Helicobacter pylori infection and long term proton pump inhibitor therapy

K E L McColl

Should Helicobacter pylori infection be eradicated in patients requiring long term proton pump inhibitor therapy for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease?

S

hould Helicobacter pylori infection be eradicated in patients requiring maintenance proton pump inhibitor therapy for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease? This question has stimulated heated debate and contention over the past few years. The issue first came to prominence in 1996 when Kuipers et al published their study purporting to demonstrate that omeprazole accelerated the development of corpus atrophic gastritis in H pylori infected subjects. This rung alarm bells due to the fact that atrophic gastritis is a well recognised risk factor for gastric cancer in H pylori infected subjects. There was therefore concern that proton pump inhibitor therapy was modifying the inflammatory response to H pylori infection in such a way as to increase the risk of gastric cancer. For this reason, some experts have recommended that H pylori infection should be eradicated prior to long term proton pump inhibitor therapy.

The original paper by Kuipers et al was widely criticised due to weaknesses in its design, and its claim that proton pump inhibitor therapy accelerated atrophy in H pylori infected subjects was not supported by the FDA Gastrointestinal Drugs Advisory Committee. In 1999, Lindell et al published a study claiming that proton pump inhibitor therapy did not accelerate the development of corpus atrophy in H pylori infected subjects. However, their conclusion was challenged because there was evidence of accelerated development of moderate and severe atrophy in the H pylori infected group on proton pump inhibitor therapy and the size of this effect was similar to that reported by Kuipers and colleagues. The paper by Kuipers and colleagues in the current issue of Gut confuses the issue further as they did not observe any progression of atrophy in their H pylori infected subjects [see page 12]. Indeed, there was not even a trend in favour of progression of atrophy that might have become significant in a larger study. Several other recent studies have also found no evidence of acceleration of corpus atrophy in H pylori infected subjects on proton pump inhibitor therapy. Consequently, there is little evidence in support of the original concern that proton pump inhibitor therapy accelerates corpus atrophy in H pylori infected subjects.

One consistent finding however of all of these studies is that proton pump inhibitor therapy does change the pattern of H pylori induced gastritis, causing it to move from the antrum into the more proximal corpus mucosa of the stomach. In this way, it induces what is referred to as a corpus or body predominant gastritis. This may have significance with respect to the subsequent risk of H pylori associated gastric cancer. Uemura et al recently examined the association between the pattern of H pylori induced gastritis and the subsequent development of gastric cancer in patients not receiving proton pump inhibitor therapy. Their study indicated that the strongest risk factor for cancer was the presence of corpus predominant gastritis and that this was a greater risk factor than either atrophy or intestinal metaplasia. Irrespective of whether proton pump inhibitor therapy accelerates atrophy, there is cause for concern that it does induce the pattern of gastritis most associated with increased risk of gastric cancer. However, the association of two factors does not confirm a cause and effect relationship. It is not known whether corpus gastritis by itself increases the risk of cancer or whether it is just an epiphenomenon induced by some underlying factor which represents the link with cancer. Consequently, we do not know whether inducing a corpus predominant gastritis by proton pump inhibitor therapy will, in itself, increase the subsequent risk of gastric cancer.

The paper by Kuipers and colleagues in this issue of Gut is useful in that it demonstrates that it is readily feasible to eradicate H pylori infection in patients on long term proton pump inhibitor therapy and by so doing achieve resolution of the corpus predominant gastritis. The paper also claims that treating H pylori infection results in some resolution of corpus atrophy. However, there are concerns about assessing the severity of atrophy following eradication of H pylori infection. Resolution of inflammation makes quantification of atrophy difficult and, of course, also makes it impossible for the observer to be blinded to the patient's H pylori status. However, as discussed above, resolution of the inflammation might be more important than any possible resolution of atrophy with respect to the risk of gastric cancer.

The question regarding the appropriateness of eradicating H pylori infection in patients with reflux disease must also address the effect this may have on the reflux disease itself and its response to treatment. There are now reliable data indicating that H pylori infected subjects have a lower prevalence of reflux disease and some data indicating that patients with the more virulent CagA positive strain of H pylori infection have a lower incidence of reflux oesophagitis and its complications than those with CagA negative strains. These observations have stimulated interest in the possibility that H pylori infection may afford some protection from reflux disease and that the increasing prevalence of this disease and its complications in the Western world may be partly explained by the fall in prevalence of H pylori infection. Again, however, we must recognise that associations do not confirm causality as they may be due to confounding factors. However, the observation that CagA positive strains are associated with less reflux oesophagitis than CagA negative strains does raise the real possibility of a protective effect as this comparison within H pylori infected subjects removes confounding factors related to susceptibility to the infection.

If H pylori infection does provide protection against reflux disease then eradicating the infection should induce or aggravate the condition. The data on this question are also conflicting. Labenz et al reported that eradicating H pylori increased the incidence of oesophagitis in ulcer patients. However, Moayyedi et al did not find any increase in reflux symptoms following eradication of H pylori in patients with symptomatic heartburn. Simonnek et al reported improvement in reflux symptoms following H pylori treatment but their study was criticised for its small size and inadequate matching of randomised groups. Two studies have observed development of reflux disease.
following eradication of *H pylori* in patients with spontaneous corpus predominant gastritis and associated hypochlorhydria and attributed it to the recovery of acid secretion produced by treating such subjects.\textsuperscript{12,13} It does seem likely that recovery of acid secretion following eradication of *H pylori* in patients with *H pylori* induced hypochlorhydria will increase their propensity to reflux disease.

There are also concerns that eradication of *H pylori* infection will make it more difficult to adequately control reflux disease with proton pump inhibitor therapy. It has been clearly shown that proton pump inhibitor therapy is much more potent in *H pylori* positive subjects than in *H pylori* negative subjects.\textsuperscript{14-17} Omeprazole 20 mg increases 24 hour median intragastric pH to 5.5 in *H pylori* infected subjects but this falls to 3.0 following *H pylori* eradication.\textsuperscript{18} One would expect this impaired pH control to reduce the ability of proton pump therapy to control reflux disease. Holtmann et al have indeed demonstrated this in their study of 971 patients receiving proton pump inhibitor therapy for endoscopic oesophagitis.\textsuperscript{19} They found that both the rate of healing of the endoscopic oesophagitis and the rate of control of symptoms was significantly higher in *H pylori* infected subjects. A study of 483 patients with uninvestigated heartburn also found that control of symptoms with omeprazole 20 mg was achieved in 86% of *H pylori* positive compared with only 65% of *H pylori* negative patients (p<0.02).\textsuperscript{20} *H pylori* infection also protects against rebound acid hypersecretion following discontinuation of proton pump inhibitor therapy and this protection is lost following eradication of the infection.\textsuperscript{21-23}

In their paper in this issue of *Gut*, Kuipers et al claim that treating *H pylori* infection did not make the reflux disease more difficult to control.\textsuperscript{24} However, this conclusion is not supported by their own results. Thirty two per cent of those eradicated of *H pylori* had reflux symptoms on omeprazole compared with only 24% of those not eradicated. When their data are analysed looking at changes in symptoms over the two year follow up period, there is a −14% (−28% to 0%) difference in favour of the group not eradicated of *H pylori* (p<0.05). Contrary to the authors’ conclusion, I believe the current study by Kuipers et al provides further evidence that eradicating *H pylori* infection does make it more difficult to achieve long term control of reflux disease with proton pump inhibitor therapy.

In summary, therefore, reviewing the available evidence does not provide a clear answer to our question of whether we should eradicate *H pylori* infection in patients requiring long term proton pump inhibitor therapy for reflux disease. There is some evidence in favour of eradicating the infection; namely the observation that proton pump inhibitor therapy induces a corpus predominant gastritis which is associated in other circumstances with an increased risk of gastric cancer and that eradication of the infection resolves that gastritis. However, we do not know whether this gastritis is actually increasing the risk of cancer to a level any higher than in any other *H pylori* infected subject. Against treating *H pylori* infection is the fact that there is some evidence that *H pylori* infection may afford some protection from reflux disease and its complications, in addition to some reasonably good evidence that eradicating *H pylori* infection makes the control of reflux disease by proton pump inhibitor therapy slightly more difficult. The evidence based answer to our question is that we do not know whether *H pylori* should be eradicated in reflux patients requiring long term proton pump inhibitor therapy.

Interestingly, Kuipers et al conclude that *H pylori* infection should be eradicated in patients requiring long term proton pump inhibitor therapy for reflux disease.\textsuperscript{25} This is surprising and rather inconsistent with the findings they report. They observed no evidence of progression of corpus atrophy which had been their previous reason for recommending eradication of the infection. In addition, their study provides further evidence that eradicating *H pylori* impairs symptomatic control of reflux disease. The findings of their current study would therefore equally well support the opposite conclusion, namely that *H pylori* infection should not be eradicated in reflux patients requiring proton pump inhibitor therapy.
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Helminths and harmony

J V Weinstock, R Summers, D E Elliott

Mounting evidence suggests that helminths help regulate mucosal inflammation

The frequency of Crohn’s disease (CD) has increased substantially over the last 50 years. It is most prevalent in highly industrialised temperate regions. CD and ulcerative colitis (UC) are rare in less developed countries. This suggests that critical environmental factors affect the worldwide distribution of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). The ‘IBD hygiene hypothesis’ states that raising children in extremely hygienic environments negatively affects immune development which predisposes them to immunological diseases such as IBD.1 It is also postulated that the modern day lack of exposure to helminths due to our hygienic practices is an important environmental factor contributing to IBD. Until modern times, nearly all children and most adults harboured intestinal helminths. Helminths and the immune system of Homo sapiens co-evolved in close proximity over many 1000s of years. Helminths regulate their host’s immune system and prevent excessive inflammatory responses, which could underlie the mechanism of protection. Moreels and colleague2 now lend further underlie the mechanism of protection.

Inflammatory responses, which could immune system and prevent excessive...
mucosal surfaces. IL-10 is a mediator with strong immunomodulatory actions. For instance, IL-10 inhibits macrophage and dendritic cell function and suppresses the production of important proinflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor α, IL-12, IL-1, nitric oxide, and various chemokines. Mice with a disruption of the IL-10 gene develop severe colitis showing the importance of IL-10 for mucosal immune homeostasis. TGF-β mediates highly pleiotropic immunoregulatory functions, and transgenic mice with a T cell selective blockade in TGF-β signalling develop colitis. PGE₂ is another well known factor that influences T helper 1 cell/T helper 2 cell (Th1/Th2) activation. It preferentially down-regulates IL-12 receptor expression, inhibits the differentiation of Th1 cells, blocks IL-12 production from antigen presenting cells, and more. Mice deficient in the PGE receptor EP4 are more subject to dextran sodium sulphate induced colitis suggesting that PGE₂ is important for mucosal protection.

Regulatory T cells can induce peripheral tolerance and limit mucosal reactivity. In various animal models, several regulatory T cell phenotypes have been reported. Some express CD4 while others CD8. In some systems, they are distinguished through differential expression of surface molecules, such as CD25, CD45RB, and CTLA-4. This pattern of cell surface protein expression suggests that they may be in a primed effector or memory state. These regulatory cells may mediate some of their effects through production of IL-10 and TGF-β. Described is an anergic regulatory T cell (Tr1) that produces high levels of IL-10 and TGF-β. Another cell called Th3 suppresses induction of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis primarily through production of TGF-β. Still others are not dependent on soluble IL-10 or TGF-β but instead express on their surface latency associated peptide, which is the amino terminal domain of the TGF-β precursor peptide. These cells can induce suppression via cell-cell contact.

Rag mice reconstituted with CD4⁺, CD45⁺/CD4⁺ T cells can develop severe colitis, which can be prevented by cotransfer of CD4⁺, CD45⁺/CD4⁻ T cells. TGF-β and IL-10 are required for protection, suggesting a role for these cytokines in the regulatory process. These studies suggest that regulatory T cells are also important in preventing IBD.

**THERE IS AN IMMUNOLOGICAL BASIS FOR HELMINTHIC PROTECTION**

Populations experiencing deworming also undergo other socioeconomic alternations that could affect risk for disease. These include changes in diet, housing, and sanitation among others. Yet there is an immunological basis to suspect deworming as a risk factor. People bearing helminths display dampened immune responses to unrelated concurrent antigenic exposures. These changes in immune responsiveness can persist long after elimination of these helminthic exposures. Mice colonised with helminths have blunted Th1 responses. Helminths promote Th2 responses associated with production of IL-4 and IL-13. IL-4 helps impede Th1 cell differentiation. Thus induction of IL-4 could underlie the alternations seen in host immunity. However, the mechanism of protection is not simply “Th2 suppresses Th1” as helminths also appear to protect the host from aberrant Th2 diseases such as asthma and food allergy. Interactions between these parasites and their hosts are complex and multifaceted as would be expected for such a successful co-evolutionary process that leads to “peaceful” coexistence. Helminths not only trigger Th2 responses, which help to limit worm number in the host, they also promote production of powerful immunomodulatory molecules such as IL-10 and TGF-β, and “regulatory” T cells.

**HELMINTH PROTECT**

There is now substantial human epidemiological data and several animal studies supporting the hypothesis that helminths protect the host from immunological disease. For instance, people colonised with helminths have high serum levels of IL-10, which may protect them from atopy. Helminths protect mice and rats from TNBS induced colitis, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, and other diseases of immunity most likely in part through induction of IL-4. They also reverse ongoing colitis in IL-10KO animals via induction of regulatory T cells (manuscript submitted). Thus natural exposure to helminths may guard people from developing IBD and other immunological diseases through induction of IL-4, IL-10, TGF-β, regulatory T cells, or perhaps by other means. In a preliminary and uncontrolled trial, we have demonstrated that oral administration of *Trichuris suis* ova to patients with active ulcerative colitis or Crohn’s disease is safe and possibly effective. Controlled clinical trials in both disorders are also being conducted and are nearing completion using a similar approach.

**SUMMARY**

Environmental factors affect the worldwide distribution of IBD. Supported by a growing volume of both epidemiological and experimental data, it appears plausible that exposure to helminths is a factor that protects people from IBD (fig 1). As reported by Moreels and colleagues in this issue of Gut, helminths protect mice from experimental colitis. Many factors help initiate and maintain immunological diseases. Targeting one or just a few cytokines in most cases may not prove sufficient to permanently suppress disease activity. Helminths have broad immunoregulatory properties that evolved as part of the successful host-parasite interaction.
Studying helminths and how they alter the host’s immune response could lead to new and highly effective therapeutic strategies for human IBD. Such studies may also provide new insight into the pathogenesis of CD, UC, and other emerging immunological diseases.
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Do steroids ameliorate bile acid malabsorption in Crohn’s disease?

R S Kwon, M C Carey

Steroids may partially restore impaired bile salt absorption in Crohn’s disease patients, highlighting a new modus operandi for steroids as their beneficial effects have traditionally been attributed to immunomodulatory effects alone

S teroids are among the mainstays of medical therapy for Crohn’s disease but may lead to unfavourable long term complications. Recently, budesonide has been shown to be effective in inducing remission in mild to moderate disease while undergoing less systemic absorption compared with other corticosteroids. It is hypothesised that steroids exert their salutary effect through an immunomodulatory action on the small bowel mucosa. In this issue of Gut, Jung and colleagues shed light on the possibility of another potentially beneficial effect of steroid therapy—namely, the partial restoration of impaired bile salt absorption in Crohn’s patients with distal ileal involvement [see page 78].

The integrity of the enterohepatic circulation of bile salts is dependent on active uptake from the ileum, which is mediated by SLC10A2, known previously as the apical sodium dependent bile acid transporter (ASBT). Given the malady’s proclivity for the distal ileum, one of the classic hallmarks of intestinal Crohn’s disease is bile salt malabsorption. Bile salt malabsorption occurs when intestinal transport is appreciably disrupted, and the degree to which this occurs depends on the length of ileal involvement and/or resection. Therefore, the activity and functioning of the remaining ASBT, as well as colonic compensation by passive absorption of bacterially modified (secondary) bile acids are essential for keeping the enterohepatic circulation of bile salts partially intact. Mild bile salt malabsorption may result in cholelithogenic enteroopathy that is easily controlled with low dose bile salt sequestrants. However, more extensive ileal involvement is accompanied by severe bile salt malabsorption, fat malabsorption, and steatorrhea, as well as frequent diarrhoea made worse by sequestrants.

Previously, ASBT expression was shown to be upregulated by glucocorticoids in a rat model. Jung and colleagues have built upon this framework by showing that this response also takes place in humans. Employing ileal biopsies obtained at colonoscopy, the authors determined that a 21 day course of budesonide induced a 34% increase in ileal ASBT expression in 10 apparently normal volunteers. Within the promoter region of ASBT, the authors identified two inverted repeat (IR3) motifs that were shown to function as glucocorticoid response elements (GRE). They cotransfected the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) with a luciferase promoter construct of the ASBT gene into an in vitro cell line. When the cells were treated with dexamethasone, a known GR ligand, and budesonide (both at concentrations of 0.1–1 μmol/l), ASBT promoter activity increased 15–20-fold. Utilising electrophoretic mobility assays, the authors demonstrated that the IR3 sequences formed DNA-protein complexes with GR that could be inhibited with anti-GR antibodies.

A most interesting finding was that when compared with normal control tissue, ASBT expression was reduced significantly in ileal biopsies taken from 16 Crohn’s disease patients obtained from a Zurich inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) tissue bank. This seems to us rather surprising given that there were no inflammatory histological changes in the biopsies, except one with “mild” inflammation. Unfortunately, the Jung study did not include detailed clinical information on the patients, such as demographics (for example, age), duration, extent or symptomatology of their disease, how the biopsies were obtained, their Crohn’s disease activity index scores, use of any medications, or whether these patients exhibited any evidence of clinically significant or subclinical bile salt malabsorption. This dearth of clinical information makes it difficult to interpret the true significance of the reduction in ASBT expression in ex vivo banked tissue samples. If a similar reduction could be found in the ileum of patients with newly diagnosed disease and therefore with no prior therapy, then this would support the possibility that functional ileocyte abnormalities occur prior to microscopic inflammatory changes classically associated with Crohn’s disease. Clearly the authors’ findings will need to be validated in further in vitro and clinical studies but their observations raise the intriguing question that bile salt malabsorption may be a precursor to histologically evident Crohn’s disease. This would be a novel concept especially if the reduction in ASBT expression serves as a marker for the extent and even activity of the disease, particularly when the inflammation primarily affects other sites in the gastrointestinal tract, such as the colon. Nevertheless, the possibility must be borne in mind that if the index patients in this study had resolving ileitis aided by the use of medications, then the biopsies could represent histological ileocyte recovery in the face of delayed return of physiological ASBT expression.

This provocative study also sheds further light on the clinical relevance of bile salt malabsorption during the course of Crohn’s disease and its complications. The first dysfunctional ASBT mutation was actually identified in the ileum of a Crohn’s disease patient. Diminution in ASBT expression found by Jung and colleagues suggests the importance of including early therapy against bile salt malabsorption (for example, bile acid sequestrants) in conjunction with traditional immunomodulatory therapy for Crohn’s disease. The findings from the current study would suggest that budesonide itself would be particularly beneficial in early Crohn’s disease as it may be capable of targeting both therapeutic goals. Therapy against bile salt malabsorption may not only be beneficial in terms of controlling diarrhoea but also in preventing pigment gall stone formation, for which Crohn’s disease patients are at appreciably higher risk. In a systematic study, Brink and colleagues demonstrated elevations in total bilirubin levels in gall bladder bile (hyperbilirubinemia) obtained from Crohn’s disease patients with extensive ileitis (>50 cm) or ileal resections, work that was confirmed by another group. The likely mechanism for this pigment lithogenicity is passive enterohepatic cycling of bilirubin from the large intestine caused by solubilisation of unconjugated bilirubin (UCB) by increased colonic bile salt concentrations, as well as prevention of urobilinoid formation. UCB resorbed from the
Colon is taken up and reconjugated in the liver and secreted in excess into bile where the elevated levels provide supraphysiological substrate concentrations for deconjugation by biliary β-glucuronidase. The resulting higher biliary concentrations of UCB may precipitate as calcium salts in gall bladder bile and therefore increase the risk of “black” pigment gall stone formation.

The work by Jung and colleagues also contributes to the recent explosion of studies investigating the regulation of the enterohepatic circulation of bile salt metabolism. In particular, information on the extraordinary complexity of control of ASBT expression continues to grow at an amazing pace. Two recent studies, including one from the same Zurich group, have demonstrated the central role of hepatocyte nuclear factors HNF-4α and HNF-1α in the transcriptional regulation of ASBT. Now, with the addition of the GREs in the ASBT gene identified in the current study, our understanding of the complexity of ASBT regulation increases further. The authors’ findings, in conjunction with the observation that expression of fatty acid binding protein (FABP6), formerly known as ileal bile acid binding protein (IBABP), is also regulated by glucocorticoids, highlight a new modus operandi for steroids as their salutary results have traditionally been attributed to their immunomodulatory effects alone. Perhaps this knowledge will yield a role for earlier and more frequent use of corticosteroids in this disease and, in particular, budesonide which reduces the risk of untoward systemic complications associated with chronic steroid therapy. Certainly, the authors’ findings of lower ASBT levels in Crohn’s disease brings to mind the benefits of early and routine use of newer bile acid sequestrants that are now in existence (for example, colestyramine), which are more potent and better tolerated than traditional ones. However, before such changes in the management of Crohn’s disease occurs, the functional and clinical relevance of decreased ASBT expression in histologically normal ileal biopsies from IBD patients will need to be clarified. In addition, to answer our rhetorical title, it remains to be shown whether the regulatory effect of budesonide or other corticosteroids on ASBT expression can be observed in acutely inflamed ileal tissue and whether this will translate into any clinical benefit for Crohn’s patients.
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