Evidence for genetic predisposition to desmoid tumours in familial adenomatous polyposis independent of the germline APC mutation


Background: Many patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) die from desmoid tumours which can arise spontaneously but often appear to be surgically induced by prophylactic colectomy. FAP results from germline adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene mutations and desmoids arise following biallelic APC mutation, with one change usually occurring distal to the second β-catenin binding/degradation repeat of the gene (3' to codon 1399). We have suggested that because families with germline mutations in this region already have the requisite change, they are more likely to develop desmoids. However, there are families with 5' germline mutations where desmoids are common.

Patients and methods: We examined desmoid risk dependent on germline APC mutation, sex, history of abdominal surgery, and family history in FAP patients from the St Mark’s Hospital Polyposis Registry.

Results: Overall desmoid prevalence was 15%. Desmoids tended to cluster in susceptible individuals, irrespective of the germline APC mutation. Independent predictors of increased desmoid risk were: germline mutation distal to codon 1399; any family history of disease; and a strong family history of desmoids. A family history of multiple desmoids (>1) increased an individual’s own risk of multiplicity. Females had twice the odds of developing desmoids compared with males. There was no significant interaction between any of the three explanatory variables.

Conclusions: Our results indicate the influence of unknown genetic factors independent of APC in susceptibility to desmoid tumours in FAP. The data have implications in terms of clinical management of FAP patients and assessing the balance between chemoprevention and prophylactic colectomy.

Desmoid tumours are rare non-metastasising but aggressive fibromatoses which may occur in normal individuals (where a somatic β-catenin mutation usually seems to be the explanation) or in association with the hereditary colorectal cancer syndrome familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP). FAP is an autosomal dominant condition caused by germline mutation of the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene and characterised by the development of hundreds to thousands of adenomatous polyps in the colon and rectum. Progression to colorectal cancer is inevitable if the colon is not surgically removed. However, even after colectomy, FAP patients remain at increased risk of other tumours, including duodenal adenomas and carcinomas, and desmoid tumours. Desmoids have a prevalence of 10–20% in FAP, arising with a frequency approximately 850 times that of the general population.

Desmoid tumours are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in FAP patients. In a series at St Mark’s Hospital, London, UK, looking at FAP patients who had undergone prophylactic colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis, desmoid tumours presented as the third equal most common cause of death after duodenal tumours and metastases from an unknown primary. Other investigators have reported deaths attributable to desmoids to be the second commonest cause of death in FAP patients after colorectal cancer. The majority of tumours arise in the abdominal wall or small bowel mesentery, with smaller numbers arising on the trunk or limbs and causing morbidity and mortality by pressure on surrounding structures. The number of tumours per individual has been reported to range from 1 to 10. Current management strategies include non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, anti-oestrogens, cytotoxic chemotherapy, and surgery. Radiotherapy has been used in the past but is unpopular in intra-abdominal tumours because of small bowel side effects. Trials of new drugs such as the antifibrinolytic agent imantinib mesylate are also being undertaken. However, these therapies are of very limited efficacy; consequently, there is urgent need for new modalities of treatment and greater understanding of the disease.

Sporadic desmoids are known to be associated with oestrogens while their role in the aetiology of FAP associated tumours is less clear. FAP associated desmoids have been linked to trauma, particularly abdominal surgery such as prophylactic colectomy, and also the position of the APC germline mutation. Caspari and colleagues found that desmoid disease in FAP was more common in patients with a germline APC mutation distal to codon 1444, an association supported by a number of other studies. Although only a limited number of desmoids have been examined, somatic APC mutations have been identified in these tumours. In all cases, one of the two APC mutations has been shown to lie near or beyond codon 1444. An association between the “two hits” at APC exists, as patients with 3' germline mutations distal to codon 1444 tend to show allelic loss (loss of heterozygosity (LOH)) of the somatic allele in their desmoids whereas other patients' tumours generally acquire a protein truncating mutation after codon 1444. Such a model would explain the more severe desmoid disease associated with germline mutations after codon 1444 on the basis that the germline mutation distal to codon 1444 explains the more severe desmoid disease associated with germline mutations after codon 1444.

Abbreviations: FAP, familial adenomatous polyposis; APC, adenomatous polyposis coli gene; LOH, loss of heterozygosity
“second hit” at APC is rate limiting for tumorigenesis. Initially, it was suggested that a germline mutation distal to codon 1444 led to a high prevalence of desmoid disease because any somatic mutation of the second allele of vulnerable cells led to desmoid formation. In patients with germline mutations before codon 1444, somatic mutations in desmoid cells had to occur after codon 1444, a relatively rare mutational event. Subsequently, this idea has been modified such that a combination of “first” and “second” hits is the important factor in providing an optimal level of β-catenin dysregulation for tumour growth. This requires retention of only two β-catenin binding repeats that lie immediately proximal to codon 1399. Thus patients with germline mutations distal to codon 1444 cannot simply acquire any type of “second hit”. Rather, LOH occurs spontaneously in vulnerable cells, at a relatively high frequency when compared with truncating APC mutations, and is only selected in these patients. The result is more severe desmoid disease.18 19

Despite this, and as is the case for gastrointestinal tumorigenesis, the position of the germline APC mutation does not explain all the phenotypic variation of desmoid disease in FAP. It remains to be seen whether there is interdependence or independence between a strong family history of desmoid disease and position of the germline mutation. To date, there is only one study that provides evidence of possible independence between the two risk factors.10

In this study, we have set out to refine associations between APC genotype and desmoid disease, and to find further evidence of genetic influences associated with desmoid development independent of the APC gene, similar to the modifier genes already proposed for the colorectum.20 We chose codon 1399 rather than codon 1444 as the cut off for assessing associations between germline APC mutations and desmoid disease for the following reasons: the number of β-catenin repeats remaining in the truncated APC protein has been shown to have functional importance in colorectal and upper gastrointestinal polyposis in FAP and codon 1399 lies immediately after the second 20 amino acid β-catenin binding repeat; and the original boundary at codon 1444 was chosen by Caspari and colleagues although there was no patient in their study with a germline mutation between codons 1380 and 1443.

On clinical grounds, desmoid disease is a severe, largely untreatable illness which is often the consequence of prophylactic colonic surgery to reduce cancer risk.7 10 11 Specific 3′ APC germline mutations associated with a high risk of desmoid disease are frequently associated with a lower density of colonic polyposis22–24 and hence a later and reduced cancer risk. In such cases, might the clinician elect to manage the colon by surveillance, resorting only to surgery when there is sufficient concern over dysplasia? And how should the clinician deal with cases where there is a strong family history of desmoid disease? In this study, we have set out to further define risk factors for desmoid disease in FAP so that further information can be gained when deciding how to manage at risk patients.

**RESULTS**

The APC germline mutation was known in 379 patients from 143 families. Of these, 94% (357/379) had a 5′ mutation (before codon 1399) and 6% (22/379) had a 3′ mutation (after codon 1399). The overall prevalence of desmoid disease was 15% (57/379), with affected individuals identified in 38 families (27%). The prevalence of disease in the 5′ (<1399) and 3′ groups was 12% (43/357) and 64% (14/22), respectively. The site of the germline APC mutation for each of these affected individuals is shown in fig 1. The influence of four variables (mutation location, sex, history of previous abdominal surgery, and family history) on desmoid occurrence was tested after exclusion of individuals who were the only FAP positive members of their family. In those individuals with no at risk relatives it was not possible to determine a family history. Therefore, it would be incorrect to include these individuals in the no family history of desmoids group. They could have been included in the univariate analyses of other factors but would then have to have been omitted from the multivariate analyses that included family history. For consistency, this small number of subjects was therefore completely omitted from all analyses. When such individuals were excluded, a total of 50 patients were identified from a total of 320 FAP positive individuals from 84 families, a prevalence of 16%.

In a univariate analysis, germline APC mutation after codon 1399, female sex, and family history were found to
increase the risk of desmoid disease (table 1). Subjects with a germline mutation after codon 1399 were found to have a sevenfold increase in the odds of presenting with desmoid tumours (odds ratio (OR) 7.19 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.27–40.67); p = 0.03). Females had twice the odds of developing desmoids compared with males (OR 2.15 (95% CI 1.02–4.65); p = 0.04). Abdominal surgery was not a predictor (p = 0.35) probably because almost all patients had undergone prophylactic colectomy. For analyses involving family history, three patient groups were created as described in the methods section. A family history of desmoids where 1–49% of family members were affected increased the risk threefold (OR 3.25 (0.76–13.87); p = 0.001). Furthermore, a stronger family history of desmoids (>50% affected members) increased the odds over sevenfold (OR 7.66 (2.53–23.25); p = 0.006).

Multivariate analysis showed female sex, family history (especially strong family history), and germline mutation after codon 1399 to be independent factors in desmoid disease occurrence (table 1). All three factors therefore have a significant influence on desmoid occurrence, and family history is a major factor even when the germline mutation is 5' (<codon 1399).

We then determined whether, in those patients with mutations before codon 1399, there was evidence to support the possibility that desmoids cluster in susceptible individuals. Within this mutation group 12% of patients might be expected to show multiple tumours. A one sample test of proportions demonstrated that the actual occurrence of multiple desmoids (44%) differed significantly from this predicted chance frequency (p<0.001).

Next, we tested predictors (mutation location, sex, abdominal surgery, and family history) of multiple (>1) desmoids. Owing to the lower frequency with which multiple desmoids were found, two groups were created for the family history analysis (no other family members affected by multiple desmoids and any other family member so affected). Subjects in families where other members were affected by multiple lesions had a notable increase in the odds of multiple tumours compared with individuals with no other affected family member (OR 13.12 (95% CI 3.54–48.50); p<0.001; univariate analysis, table 2). A mutation location >codon 1399 increased the odds of multiple tumours 10-fold compared with patients with <codon 1399 mutations (OR 10.46 (95% CI 2.02–54.11); p = 0.005) (table 2). Multivariate analysis confirmed these as strong independent predictors (table 2). There was no evidence of an interaction between family history and mutation location (p = 0.64), suggesting the relationship between family history and occurrence of multiple desmoids did not differ for the two mutation location groups.

DISCUSSION

As more is understood about duodenal disease and its management, desmoid disease has become the greatest remaining challenge in the management of FAP. A frequently severe and largely untreatable illness, desmoids are often induced by the very abdominal surgery intended to prolong life and improve its quality. For this reason we performed a detailed analysis of risk factors for desmoid formation to further understanding and clinical management of the disease. Previous abdominal surgery is given as a risk factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No with desmoids/total No (%)</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of family members with desmoids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>18/218 (8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–49%</td>
<td>12/53 (23)</td>
<td>3.25 (0.76, 13.87)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%+</td>
<td>20/49 (41)</td>
<td>7.66 (2.53, 23.25)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 1399</td>
<td>41/303 (14)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 1399</td>
<td>9/17 (53)</td>
<td>7.19 (1.27, 40.67)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17/159 (11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>33/161 (20)</td>
<td>2.15 (1.02, 4.65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of abdominal surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2/23 (9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>48/297 (16)</td>
<td>2.02 (0.46, 8.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and included in this study to ensure independence from other variables. However, as the majority of patients have had surgery, and the time between surgery and the study was not always known, quantifying the desmoid risk associated with abdominal surgery remains problematic.

We examined the desmoid history of all FAP patients in whom the germline mutation was known and who have attended the St Mark’s Registry for follow up. Patients were subdivided into those with an APC germline mutation 5’ of codon 1399 and those with a germline mutation 3’ of codon 1399. As mentioned previously, most previous studies have made this point of division at codon 1444. We chose codon 1399 for the reasons discussed in the introduction. In fact, only two patients had mutations between these two points, and altering the division to codon 1444 made no difference to the statistical analyses results (data not shown).

Germline mutation in the 3’ region was much less common than in the 5’ region (94% vs 6%), a finding supporting that of Bertario et al who found a 93% versus 7% prevalence when making the division at codon 1444. The presence of further ‘mutational hotspots’ for desmoid formation also seems unlikely as there were many other families with the same germline mutations and no desmoids. Independent of germline mutation, a strong family history of desmoids is a significant predictor of desmoid risk. Thus as mentioned in the introduction, an association has been found between the site of germline and somatic mutations in FAP associated desmoids, akin to an association found in colonic polyps. In patients with a 5’ germline mutation it may be that a 3’ somatic mutation in cells of desmoid origin confers a significant proliferation advantage over cells with 5’ somatic mutations. Whether other genetic factors have a role in the selection of 3’ somatic APC mutations with subsequent escape from cellular control mechanisms remains to be seen. Modifier genes may act by regulating cell proliferation. This proposal is supported by work with mice where genetic deletion of a gene important in wound healing, Rhamm, significantly reduces the number and size of desmoids arising as a result of an APC truncating mutation at codon 1638. Cell culture studies have shown that Rhamm regulates proliferation of cells with sparse cell to cell contact, as observed in desmoids. Clearly, further studies on the genetic basis of desmoid formation are necessary and such analysis should contrast mutational events in desmoid precursor lesions and desmoid tumours.

Our findings also indicate an increased desmoid risk in female patients. Female sex has previously been proposed to be a risk factor, with reports of an increased incidence in women taking oestrogens and laboratory evidence of therapeutic effects of anti-oestrogens on desmoid cells. However, earlier studies have differed in their findings, with many reporting increased risk in female FAP patients while other workers found no significant sex difference.

In terms of patient management, what are the implications of our current study in balancing the risks of desmoid disease? Given the characteristic low colonic polyph phenotype associated with germline mutations after codon 1399, the clinician might elect to manage the colon by close surveillance and chemoprophylaxis (for example, using celecoxib), reserving to surgery only when there is sufficient concern about colonic dysplasia, and particularly so in women or when there is also a family history of desmoid disease. While this may be regarded as unacceptable in terms of large bowel cancer development, this policy has been suggested by a previous study. As an independent risk factor, patients with a 5’ mutation and a strong family history of desmoids should also be considered to be at very high risk. Management of this cohort of patients is more problematic because many will have a dense colonic phenotype (particularly those with a

---

**Table 2** Influence of family history, mutation location, sex, and history of abdominal surgery on multiple desmoid occurrence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>p Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of family members with multiple desmoids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>9/266 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any</td>
<td>17/54 (31)</td>
<td>13.12 (3.54, 48.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 1399</td>
<td>19/303 (6)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 1399</td>
<td>7/17 (41)</td>
<td>10.46 (2.02, 54.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9/159 (6)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17/161 (11)</td>
<td>1.96 (0.63, 6.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of abdominal surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1/23 (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8/297 (8)</td>
<td>2.02 (0.26, 15.24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
mutation close to codon 1300), and hence an enhanced cancer risk. Surveillance and chemoprophylaxis are unlikely to prevent the early onset of invasive colorectal cancer, and early prophylactic colectomy will probably still need to be performed (except in cases where polyp density is low and follow up is likely to be good). It may be worth considering studies in these cases of the effect of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents (for example, sulindac) and anti-oestrogens at the time of surgery as attempted prophylaxis against the development of desmoid disease.

In summary, we have shown that the existence of genes independent of APC influencing desmoid formation in FAP is likely, and our data provide impetus for commencing a systematic search for such genes. Identification of controlling genetic factors is a prerequisite for individual risk assessment and it remains to be seen whether these factors are embodied in a few major genes or numerous low penetrance genes. Once all relevant genes and their contribution to desmoid formation have been specified, screening of FAP patients for such inherited genes would aid clinicians advising their patients about the risks and benefits of prophylactic surgery.
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