Underestimating acid reflux

Are we underestimating acid reflux?

S J Spechler

Have conventional pH monitoring techniques substantially underestimated the duration of acid exposure for the most distal segment of the oesophagus?

For a simple organ like the oesophagus, which functions primarily as a conduit, it is hard to imagine a more unpleasant neighbour than the stomach, a reservoir of concentrated acid constrained by a leaky valve. Studies using pH monitoring techniques developed in the 1970s have shown that the distal oesophagus of normal ambulatory individuals can be exposed to refluxed gastric acid (with pH <4) for up to 5% of a 24 hour monitoring period. Conventionally, oesophageal pH monitoring has been performed with the pH sensor positioned at a level 5 cm above the lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS). In a study reported in this issue of Gut, Fletcher and colleagues in Glasgow have found that conventional pH monitoring techniques have substantially underestimated the duration of acid exposure for the most distal segment of the oesophagus [see page 168].

To study acid exposure in the most distal oesophagus, the investigators devised a novel endoscopic technique for positioning a modified pH catheter, with two pH electrodes located 5 cm apart. A short prolene loop was attached to the catheter 5 mm below the distal pH electrode and the catheter was passed through the nose into the oesophagus. An endoscopic examination was performed, the junction of squamous and columnar epithelia (the Z line) in the distal oesophagus was identified, and an endoscopic clip was used to fix the prolene loop to the Z line. In this way, the distal pH electrode was anchored in the distal oesophagus at a level 5 mm above the Z line whereas the proximal electrode was located at approximately the conventional pH monitoring level.

The study group comprised 11 patients who previously had normal oesophagoscopy examinations and normal conventional 24 hour oesophageal pH monitoring tests. Using a combination of manometric and endoscopic measurements, the investigators determined that the distal oesophageal pH electrode (anchored 5 mm above the Z line) was located a mean of 6 mm below the upper border of the LOS. Thus the distal electrode was recording the pH of fluid bathing oesophageal squamous epithelium within the LOS itself.

The distal electrode recorded an oesophageal pH value <4 for a median of 11.7% of the 24 hour monitoring period whereas 5 cm proximally, oesophageal pH remained <4 for only 1.8% of the same period (p < 0.001). Longer distal oesophageal acid exposures were observed both when the subjects were upright (12.7% ve 2.3%; p < 0.001) and supine (10.5% ve 1.3%; p < 0.001), and the total number of individual reflux episodes was also greater distally (168 vs 33; p < 0.001). The difference between distal and proximal acid exposures was especially prominent during the three hour period after dinner (21.8% ve 2.8%). Thus the distal oesophageal pH electrode, tethered 5 mm above the squamocolumnar junction and within the LOS, recorded considerably greater acid exposure than the electrode located just 5 cm proximally in the body of the oesophagus. In patients who have no signs of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD), this study shows that the most distal oesophagus is exposed to concentrated acid for more than 10% of the day. The authors suggest the term “short segment reflux” to describe this phenomenon.

This study by Fletcher and colleagues is another in a series of fascinating investigations from Glasgow elucidating how hostile is the environment at the gastro-oesophageal junction (GOJ). The inspiration for these studies was an interesting observation about postprandial acid reflux made in subjects who had sensors recording pH simultaneously in the oesophagus and in the body of the stomach. After a meal, the investigators noted that acid which refluxed into the oesophagus often had a pH value substantially lower than that recorded by the electrode in the gastric body. This observation suggested that the acid reflux was coming from a pocket of acid in the proximal stomach that somehow escaped the buffering effects of ingested food. To confirm the presence of this postprandial acid pocket, pH was measured systematically at various levels throughout the stomach and oesophagus of healthy volunteers. Whereas pH in the body of the stomach reached a median level of 4.7 after a meal, an acid pocket with a median pH of 1.6 was found at the GOJ. This postprandial acid pocket had a mean length of 2 cm, beginning in the most proximal stomach and extending more than 1 cm above the Z line into the distal oesophagus.

The mechanisms underlying the intense acid exposure of the most distal oesophagus are not known. During conventional oesophageal pH monitoring in which the pH electrode is positioned 5 cm above the LOS, most episodes of acid reflux are attributed to transient LOS relaxations (TLOSRs). Although Fletcher and colleagues did not monitor TLOSRs during their study, two important observations suggest that TLOSRs may not be the predominant mechanism for short segment reflux. Firstly, TLOSRs occur at an average rate of only 2–6 episodes per hour whereas the investigators observed approximately 7 episodes of short segment reflux per hour. Secondly, the frequency of TLOSRs decreases substantially at night (supine posture) whereas the investigators found no substantial decrease in the supine frequency of short segment reflux.

One potential mechanism for short segment reflux relates to the mechanical effect of gastric distension on the LOS. It has been proposed that gastric distension induced by eating and other factors can cause the distal portion of the LOS to be prized apart by the expanding gastric fundus. In this situation, the proximal portion of the sphincter remains closed, preventing reflux into the proximal oesophagus, but the distal portion is pulled open, thus exposing the most distal oesophagus to the gastric contents. The observation that short segment reflux is especially common after meals is consistent with this mechanism. Nevertheless, further studies are necessary to establish the physiology of short segment reflux.

Potential consequences of short segment reflux include not only acid peptic injury but also exposure of the distal oesophagus to high concentrations of nitric oxide (NO) generated from dietary nitrate (NO3-) in green leafy vegetables. Most ingested nitrate is absorbed by the small intestine and excreted unchanged in the urine, but approximately 25% is concentrated by the salivary glands and secreted into the mouth where bacteria on the tongue reduce the recycled nitrate to nitrite (NO2-). When swallowed, nitrite encounters acidic gastric juice and the nitrite is converted rapidly.
to NO.\textsuperscript{15} In earlier studies, the Glasgow group demonstrated that high levels of NO are generated at the GOJ, the region where swallowed nitrite first meets gastric acid.\textsuperscript{13} NO can participate in nitrosation reactions that result in the deamination of the purine and pyrimidine bases of DNA, the formation of carcinogenic N-nitroso compounds from amines and amides, and the inactivation of DNA repair enzymes.\textsuperscript{12,14} NO also can participate in redox reactions which generate reactive oxygen species that can further damage DNA.\textsuperscript{15} Thus NO can generate reactive oxygen species that participate in redox reactions which have become rising at an impressive rate for colon cancer, a tumour whose frequency has increased in the West, 5% of individuals will at some time in their life develop colon cancer, and after the age of 54 years the risk lies between 1 in 19 to 1 in 22.\textsuperscript{4,13} There is increasing pressure to develop colon screening programmes to identify early tumours and adenomatous polyps whose endoscopic removal has been shown to reduce cancer development.\textsuperscript{3,4} Colon cancer screening is likely to be funded in England and in Scotland, and whether this is based on faecal occult blood testing,\textsuperscript{4} flexible sigmoidoscopy,\textsuperscript{3} or colonoscopy alone,\textsuperscript{4,13} and whether or not programmes will be targeted towards high risk groups or to all comers over a specific age, it is obvious that referrals for colonoscopy will increase. At the same time, there is increasing awareness among the general population and in primary care that rectal bleeding and altered bowel habit need investigation, ideally by colonoscopy. Most endoscopy units in the UK have difficulty coping with their current workload, and strains on the colonoscopy waiting list will inevitably increase when screening programmes are instigated. Series published from the USA have reported success rates in excess of 97% for achieving total colonoscopy, coupled with low complication rates, and detection of significant pathology in asymptomatic populations.\textsuperscript{9,12} Specialist centres in the UK report comparable data and have shown that it is possible to undertake safe diagnostic and therapeutic colonoscopy using minimal sedation, little patient discomfort, and low complication rates. The Joint Advisory Group on Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (JAG) state that approved endoscopy units should achieve completion rates greater than 90%, without
The second major development has been a government allocation of £8.2 million, via the National Cancer Plan, to support endoscopy training in England over the years 2003–2006. This has funded three national training centres (based at the Royal Liverpool Hospital, St George's Hospital, and St Mark’s Hospitals) and seven regional endoscopy training centres, and is administered by the NHS Modernisation Agency Endoscopy Project. Service improvements which aspire to improve efficiency, quality, and output are developed in parallel with endoscopy training.

The third development has been the revision of the endoscopy section of the specialist medical curriculum for higher medical training in gastroenterology, produced by the statutory Specialist Advisory Committees (SACs) on behalf of the Joint Committee on Higher Medical Training. This is now a competency based assessment devised by the JAG.

Fourthly, JAG has developed a system for accrediting new endoscopy units and re-accrediting established units. A programme of inspections, held in conjunction with the SAC in gastroenterology, is planned to start in April 2004. These visits will concentrate on the training environment and will include scrutiny of endoscopy standards and compliance with current national guidelines. In order to limit the number of visitations to units, it is intended to involve the endoscopy lead for each strategic health authority in the visits so that aspects of service delivery can be assessed.

It will take some time before all endoscopists are trained to appropriate standards and all units are optimally equipped and functioning. We anticipate that endoscopy practice in the UK will improve but it is crucial for our credibility that this is confirmed by future surveys of practice. The Department of Health seems remarkably unenthusiastic to support large scale audits of gastrointestinal practice; there has been no success in obtaining government funding for our audit of ERCP and attempts to support further audits of upper gastrointestinal bleeding, acute pancreatitis, and management of inflammatory bowel disease seem futile at the time of writing. The results of the colonoscopy audit will undoubtedly stimulate adverse comment about British endoscopy performance, but the audit has already caused us—and the Department of Health—to address important issues of training, equipment, and facilities. Surely we must strive for more large scale properly supported audits in order to improve and justify our practice, not only in relation to endoscopy but for the full range of our activities within gastroenterology.
Surveillance colonoscopy in ulcerative colitis: magnifying chromoendoscopy in the spotlight

R Kiesslich, M F Neurath

Chromoendoscopy in ulcerative colitis may offer the possibility of detecting dysplastic or neoplastic changes at a curable stage, and thus expand the indication for chromoendoscopy from screening to surveillance colonoscopy.

Colonoscopy is the gold standard for colorectal cancer screening.\(^1\)\(^2\) Detection and complete removal of adenomas disrupt the adenoma-carcinoma sequence and thus prevent the development of colorectal cancer. However, endoscopists still fear that they may have overlooked relevant lesions despite the availability of modern videomicroscopy. This problem is underlined by a relatively high rate of adenomas missed by conventional colonoscopy (up to 27%), as determined by back to back or repeat colonoscopy studies.\(^3\)\(^4\) Furthermore, retrospective analyses have suggested that colonoscopy may even fail to detect colorectal cancer (CRC).\(^5\)\(^6\) although large multicentre studies indicated a high negative predictive value for a normal complete colonoscopy with regard to CRC (>99%).\(^7\) Therefore, the question arises of whether adenomas or CRC detected after previous colonoscopies have grown fast or were simply overlooked during initial endoscopic analysis?

Exophytic adenomas can be diagnosed easily and most of the Western endoscopists have previously focused on these polypoid lesions. In contrast, several years ago Japanese researchers described flat lesions in the colonic mucosa and classified these so-called “flat adenomas” as premalignant lesions.\(^8\) These lesions now can be subdivided according to their growing pattern: small flat adenoma, lateral spreading adenoma, and depressed lesions with high malignant potential.\(^9\) It is well accepted that flat lesions show only small changes in the mucosal architecture, such as small depressions and discrete changes in colour. Detection of such subtle mucosal changes during conventional colonoscopy remained a challenge, even for experienced endoscopists. Recently, using dye spraying techniques, chromoendoscopy has revolutionised the detection of flat lesions in the colonic mucosa and, when used in a targeted fashion, allows the unmasking of the type of lesion and its borderlines. Furthermore, the use of magnifying endoscopes during chromoendoscopy allows a detailed surface analysis of suspected lesions and prediction of the dignity of the lesions using the so-called pit pattern classification (see fig 1). These features of magnifying chromoendoscopy may also offer the possibility of achieving appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic decisions during ongoing colonoscopies (for example, biopsy, polypectomy, and mucosal resection versus surgery).

Depressed lesions can lead to early malignant invasion, even when small in size. For a long time, these lesions were frequently not recognised by Western endoscopists. However, Fujii and colleagues\(^10\) impressively demonstrated that detection of depressed early colorectal malignancies was possible with the help of chromoendoscopy and magnification endoscopy in the UK. This first observation was confirmed by Rembacken and colleagues.\(^11\) After training in chromo- and magnifying endoscopy, the investigators showed that in 1000 patients, 117 flat and two depressed lesions among 321 adenomas could be diagnosed. Similar results were seen by Saitoh and colleagues\(^12\) where American and Japanese endoscopists performed team colonoscopies in 211 American patients and stained parts or the whole colon with indigo carmine. In 23% of cases, flat lesions or flat lesions with depressions were diagnosed. Most of these lesions (82%) were adenomatous. In conclusion, these data show clearly that intravalvular staining techniques allow significantly improved detection and analysis of flat lesions and should be part of the endoscopist’s armamentarium.\(^13\) The endoscopist should be aware of diminutive mucosal alterations and stain in a targeted fashion, thereby reducing the additional time required to perform magnifying chromoendoscopy and increasing the diagnostic yield for screening colonoscopy in CRC.
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In this issue of Gut, Rutter and colleagues describe the higher sensitivity of pancolonic chromoendoscopy with indigo carmine dye spraying for the detection of intraepithelial neoplasias in patients with longstanding ulcerative colitis (UC) [see page 256]. The collective of patients with UC is ideal for intravalv staining. Patients with chronic active UC have an increased risk of colitis associated colon cancer, depending on the duration, extent, and activity of the disease, and the presence of primary sclerosing cholangitis, and this has led to considerable efforts to detect early lesions in UC. Furthermore, in contrast with sporadic CRC, lesions in UC patients do not follow the classical adenoma-carcinoma sequence but colitis associated dysplastic changes are first limited to the mucosa and submucosa and the growing pattern of dysplastic changes is often multifocal and flat. Thus chromoendoscopy in UC patients should be used in an extensive (pan-)chromoendoscopy rather than in a targeted fashion. In conjunction with magnifying endoscopes, chromoendoscopy in UC may offer the possibility of detecting dysplastic or neoplastic changes at a curable stage, and thus expand the indication for chromoendoscopy from screening to surveillance colonoscopy.

Rutter and colleagues investigated 100 patients with back to back colonoscopy, starting with random and targeted biopsies followed by panchromoendoscopy with targeted biopsies only. The design of this study is interesting although the potential value of chromoendoscopy after intensive random biopsies may even have been underestimated due to multiple bleedings that might have interfered with the chromoendoscopy procedure. But despite this potential limitation, the diagnostic yield of dysplastic changes was increased in this study by the use of chromoendoscopy from two to seven patients (3.5-fold increase) and from two to nine dysplastic lesions (4.5-fold increase). After panchromoendoscopy, a plethora of lesions were unmasked in UC patients. The majority of the lesions consisted of non-neoplastic tissue but this is a common problem using chromoendoscopy in a non-targeted fashion and endoscopists need to select and focus towards lesions with suspicious surface staining patterns.

New powerful endoscopes (high resolution and magnifying endoscopes) offer resolutions which allow new surface details to be seen on the colonic mucosa, such as the opening of the crypts. Kudo and colleagues were the first to note that some of the regular staining patterns were often seen in hyperplastic polyps or normal mucosa whereas unstructured surface architecture was associated with malignancy. This experience has led to categorisation of the different staining patterns in the colon. The so-called pit pattern classification differentiated five types and several subtypes. Types I and II are staining patterns that predict non-neoplastic lesions whereas types III to V predict neoplastic lesions (fig 1). With the help of this classification, the endoscopist can predict histology with good accuracy.

The study by Rutter et al demonstrates improved detection of colonic lesions in UC patients using chromoendoscopy with indigo carmine. This study strongly supports and extends recent studies in UC patients. In a randomised controlled trial using magnifying chromoendoscopy in patients with longstanding UC, we showed that the diagnostic yield for intraepithelial neoplasias was significantly increased by using methylene blue staining. More targeted biopsies were possible, and significantly more intraepithelial neoplasias were detected in the chromoendoscopy group. Using the modified pit pattern classification (pit patterns I–II: endoscopic prediction—non-neoplastic; pit patterns III–V: endoscopic prediction—neoplastic), both the sensitivity and specificity for differentiation between non-neoplastic and neoplastic lesions were 93%. Furthermore, Hurlstone recently analysed 162 patients with longstanding UC in a prospective study using magnifying chromoendoscopy with indigocarmine. After detection of subtle mucosal changes (such as fold convergence, air induced deformation, interruption of innominate grooves, or focal discrete colour change), targeted intravalv staining with indigo carmine was used. Chromoendoscopy with targeted biopsy significantly increased the diagnostic yield for intraepithelial neoplasia and the number of flat neoplastic changes as opposed to conventional colonoscopy. Intraepithelial neoplasia in flat mucosal...
change was observed in 37 lesions, of which 31 were detected using chromoendoscopy. The overall sensitivity of magnifying chromoendoscopy in predicting neoplasia was 97% with a specificity of 93%.

In patients with Barrett’s oesophagus, inflammation is a frequent source of irritation for both the pathologist and the endoscopist. Thus anti-inflammatory therapy with proton pump inhibitors prior to chromo- and magnification endoscopy is recommended.12 We have obtained similar experience in patients with UC.13 Therefore, we believe that patients should undergo endoscopy in clinical remission as inflammatory activity in UC may mimic neoplastic changes. Based on these findings, pit pattern analysis should be limited to circumscript lesions only, whereas diffuse alterations should be attributed to local inflammation.14

One key question resulting from the above mentioned studies is whether there is still a need for random biopsies in unsuspicous mucosa after chromoendoscopy in UC patients. This is an important question as it takes a long time to perform 30–50 random biopsies during surveillance colonoscopy in UC. In our study, dysplastic tissue was seen only in conjunction with mucosal alterations (prior or after staining). Furthermore, Rutter et al found no dysplastic tissue in 2904 non-targeted biopsies.13,14 Taken together, these data suggest that targeted biopsies after intravital staining will replace random biopsies in the future, although prospective studies fully addressing this point are required. Although panchromoendoscopy requires additional time during surveillance colonoscopy (about 8–9 minutes),14 the use of targeted rather than random biopsies will save time. Therefore, the total time required for panchromoendoscopy with targeted biopsies is similar compared with colonoscopy with random biopsies but chromoendoscopy has a higher efficacy for detection of intraepithelial neoplasia, as shown by Rutter and colleagues.13

In summary, it appears that magnifying chromoendoscopy is an evolving new standard for surveillance colonoscopy in patients with longstanding UC. However, based on the currently available data,11,12,13–15 we would propose several guidelines (SURFACE) for the use of this new technique in patients with UC (see table 1). Furthermore, comparative studies will be required to determine the dye that should preferably be used for chromoendoscopy (methylene blue or indigo carmine) in UC. The ability of the dye technique to differentiate neoplastic from non-neoplastic lesions is a major advance in dysplasia surveillance in UC however. With the help of this new technique, the old problem of missing flat colon lesions in patients with UC should be overcome and more patients with intraepithelial neoplasias will be diagnosed. However, magnifying chromoendoscopy cannot solve the problem of differentiating between colitis associated dysplasia and adenoma as the staining pattern of both entities is similar. However, chromoendoscopy significantly increases the diagnostic yield of intraepithelial neoplasias in UC compared with conventional colonoscopy by 3–4-fold. Differentiation of non-neoplastic from neoplastic lesions is possible by using surface staining analysis of circumscript lesions with a high overall sensitivity and specificity. It thus appears that the golden era of magnifying chromoendoscopy in UC is just about to begin.

### Table 1 Seven guidelines (SURFACE) for chromoendoscopy in ulcerative colitis

| (1) Strict patient selection. | Patients with histologically proven ulcerative colitis and at least eight years’ duration in clinical remission. Avoid patients with active disease. |
| (2) Umask the mucosal surface. | Excellent bowel preparation is needed. Remove mucus and remaining fluid in the colon when necessary. |
| (3) Reduce peristaltic waves. | When drawing back the endoscope, a spasmolytic agent should be used (if necessary). |
| (4) Full length staining of the colon. | Perform full length staining of the colon (panchromoendoscopy) in ulcerative colitis rather than local staining. |
| (5) Augmented detection with dyes. | Intravital staining with 0.4% indigo carmine or 0.1% methylene blue should be used to unmask flat lesions more frequently than with conventional colonoscopy. |
| (6) Crypt architecture analysis. | All lesions should be analysed according to the pit pattern classification. Whereas pit pattern types I–II suggest the presence of non-malignant lesions, staining patterns III–V suggest the presence of intraepithelial neoplasias and carcinomas. |
| (7) Endoscopic targeted biopsies. | Perform targeted biopsies of all mucosal alterations, particularly of circumscribed lesions with staining patterns indicative of intraepithelial neoplasias and carcinomas (pit patterns III–V). |
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