Randomised clinical trial of synbiotic therapy in elective surgical patients
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Background: It is possible to manipulate the composition of the gastrointestinal microflora by administration of pre- and probiotics. This may help to preserve gut barrier function and reduce the incidence of septic morbidity.

Aims: To assess the effects of a combination of pre- and probiotics (synbiotic) on bacterial translocation, gastric colonisation, systemic inflammation, and septic morbidity in elective surgical patients.

Patients: Patients were enrolled two weeks prior to elective abdominal surgery. Seventy two patients were randomised to the synbiotic group and 65 to the placebo group. Patients were well matched regarding age and sex distribution, diagnoses, and POSSUM scores.

Methods: Patients in the synbiotic group received a two week preoperative course of Lactobacillus acidophilus La5, Bifidobacterium lactis Bb-12, Streptococcus thermophilus, and Lactobacillus bulgaricus, together with the prebiotic oligofructose. Patients in the placebo group received placebo capsules and sucrose powder. At surgery, a nasogastric aspirate, mesenteric lymph node, and scrapings of the terminal ileum were harvested for microbiological analysis. Serum was collected preoperatively and on postoperative days 1 and 7 for measurement of C reactive protein, interleukin 6, and antiendotoxin antibodies. Septic morbidity and mortality were recorded.

Results: There were no significant differences between the synbiotic and control groups in bacterial translocation (12.1% vs 10.7%; p = 0.808, χ²), gastric colonisation (41% vs 44%; p = 0.719), systemic inflammation, or septic complications (32% vs 31%; p = 0.882).

Conclusions: In this study, synbiotics had no measurable effect on gut barrier function in elective surgical patients. Further studies investigating the place of pre- and probiotics in clinical practice are required.

Patients and Methods

A total of 144 patients listed for elective laparotomy were enrolled into the study two weeks prior to surgery. Patients who had received antibiotics in the month prior to surgery were excluded. Seven further patients were excluded; four procedures were cancelled, two patients had intraperitoneal pus at laparotomy, and one patient presented acutely and underwent emergency laparotomy.

The remaining 137 patients were randomised into “synbiotic” (n = 72) and “placebo” (n = 65) groups. Treatment allocation was performed by the hospital pharmacist by means of a randomly generated sequence of sealed opaque envelopes. Patients in the two groups were well matched for age, sex distribution, diagnoses, and POSSUM scores (table 1). The majority of patients (68%) underwent colectomy and the overall incidence of malignancy was 62%. Forty two patients in the synbiotic group and 48 in the placebo group received bowel preparation in the form of sodium picosulphate (two sachets of Picolax; Nordic, Feltham, UK) the day prior to surgery. Acid suppression therapy was not used routinely.

Patients randomised into the synbiotic group received probiotics (Trevis; Christen Hansen, Denmark) in a dose of one capsule three times a day, and a prebiotic (16 g oligofructose powder dissolved in a cupful of water) twice daily for 1–2 weeks preoperatively. The control group

Abbreviations: CRP, C reactive protein; IL-6, interleukin 6; IgM, EndoCAb, antiendotoxin core antibody; POSSUM score, physiological and operative severity scores for enumeration of morbidity; IQR, interquartile range
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received an identical quantity of placebo capsules (Christen Hansen) and sucrose powder. Postoperatively the trial medication was reintroduced as tolerated, and treatment continued until discharge from hospital. Each Trevis capsule contained 4 × 10^9 colony forming units of Lactobacillus acidophilus La5, Lactobacillus bulgaricus, Bifidobacterium lactis Bb-12, and Streptococcus thermophilus. Both patients and physicians were “blinded” as to whether active or placebo medication was given.

**Assessment of gastric colonisation and bacterial translocation**

We have previously described techniques for assessing gastric colonisation and bacterial translocation. All microbiological samples were obtained prior to administration of prophylactic antibiotics. A 5 ml nasogastric aspirate was obtained at the time of induction of anaesthesia and transported to the laboratory in a sealed sterile container for culture. Immediately after opening the peritoneum, a lymph node was excised from the ileocaecal mesentery, and a serosal scraping taken from the antimesenteric border of the terminal ileum using a fresh surgical blade. Both samples were transported immediately in sterile saline to the laboratory for culture.

The lymph node and serosal samples were separately homogenised in sterile saline using a stomacher (Seward Medical, London, UK). The homogenates and nasogastric aspirates were inoculated onto blood agar and cystine-lactose-electrolyte deficient medium for aerobic incubation, and blood and neomycin containing medium for anaerobic incubation. The homogenates and nasogastric aspirates were identified using standard microbiological techniques. A 1.5 g and metronidazole 500 mg immediately after a mesenteric lymph node and serosal scraping had been harvested. Patients received a further two doses of cefuroxime and metronidazole in the first 24 hours postoperatively.

**Statistical analysis**

A sample size calculation based on the published prevalence of bacterial translocation demonstrated that approximately 44 patients would be required in each group to demonstrate a reduction in bacterial translocation from 15% to 0% at the 5% significance level with a power of 80%. Categorical data were compared using the χ² test. Quantitative data were expressed as medians (interquartile range (IQR)) and compared using the Mann-Whitney U test for independent data and the Wilcoxon signed rank test for paired data. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows version 10.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Synbiotic intake**

Median preoperative intake of trial medication was 12 days in both groups. Five patients in the synbiotic group had problems relating to preoperative intake. Four patients had diarrhoea related to the oligofructose, and a further patient found it unpalatable. These patients continued with the Trevis capsules alone. There were no problems reported by patients in the placebo group. Median postoperative intake was four days in the synbiotic group and five days in the control group (p = 0.312, Mann-Whitney U).

**Gastric colonisation**

Nasogastric aspirates were obtained at the time of surgery from 121 patients (table 2). At least one organism was identified in 51 (42%) aspirates. The most common organism identified was Candida, comprising 53% of isolates. Seventeen (23%) isolates could be considered potentially pathogenic enteric derived organisms.

There was no difference in gastric colonisation between the placebo and synbiotic groups, with 25/57 (44%) positive aspirates in the placebo group and 26/64 (41%) in the synbiotic group (p = 0.719, χ²). There was no difference in the proportion of enteric organisms identified in each of the two groups (table 2).

The presence of multiple or enteric organisms in gastric juice was strongly associated with bacterial translocation to lymph nodes. Twenty nine per cent of patients with multiple organisms developed bacterial translocation to lymph nodes compared with 2% of patients with sterile or single organism isolates (p<0.001, χ²). Thirty one per cent of patients with enteric organisms developed bacterial translocation compared with 4% of patients with no enteric organisms (p<0.001, χ²).

Gastric colonisation was associated with an increase in the incidence of septic complications but this did not reach statistical significance. Patients with a positive nasogastric aspirate had a subsequent sepsis rate of 35% compared with a sepsis rate of 26% in patients with a sterile aspirate (p = 0.235, χ²).

**Bacterial translocation**

Details of the organisms isolated from mesenteric lymph nodes and terminal ileal serosal scrapings are shown in table 3. A lymph node was harvested in 122 patients (56 “placebo” and 66 “synbiotic”). A serosal sample was harvested in 115 of these patients. The overall incidence of translocation to nodes or serosa was 11.5% (14/122 patients).

---

**Table 1 Patient details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo group</th>
<th>Synbiotic group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of patients</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y) (median (IQR))</td>
<td>71 (66–80)</td>
<td>71 (47–76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M:F)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSSUM score (median (IQR))</td>
<td>28 (25–32)</td>
<td>30 (25–33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Diagnosis**

- Gastrointestinal malignancy: 43 in each group
- Inflammatory bowel disease: 7 in each group
- Diverticular disease: 6 in each group
- Aortic aneurysm: 3 in each group
- Other: 5 in each group

**IQR, interquartile range.**

---

Eight of 122 (6.5%) lymph nodes and 8/115 (7.0%) serosal samples were positive for bacteria. A total of 20 bacterial isolates from 14 patients were identified, of which 12 (60%) were potentially pathogenic “enteric” organisms. Of the 14 patients who translocated, four grew an identical organism in their nasogastric aspirate, in two cases this was Staphylococcus epidermidis, in one case E coli, and in another Klebsiella.

There was no significant difference in the prevalence of bacterial translocation (defined as a positive mesenteric lymph node or serosal scraping) between the placebo and synbiotic groups (6/56 (10.7%) vs 8/66 (12.1%); p = 0.808, $\chi^2$). There was an increase in the proportion of enteric bacteria isolated, although this was not statistically significant. In the placebo group, 130 samples were analysed for evidence of translocation. Of these, six (4.6%) samples grew enteric bacteria. In the synbiotic group only 3/144 (2.0%) samples grew enteric bacteria ($p = 0.244, \chi^2$).

The incidence of postoperative sepsis in patients with evidence of translocation was 43% compared with 30% in patients with no evidence of translocation ($p = 0.244, \chi^2$).

### Table 2 Organisms isolated from nasogastric aspirates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Placebo group</th>
<th>Synbiotic group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus</td>
<td>57 (44%)</td>
<td>64 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Californis’ (unspecified)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrobacter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus epidermidis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphtheroids</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B strep</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucostreptococcus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-haemolytic staphylococci</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micrococcus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NGA, nasogastric aspirates.

### Table 3 Organisms isolated from mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) and serosa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Placebo group</th>
<th>Synbiotic group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus epidermidis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serosa obtained</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serosa positive</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
<td>5 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus epidermidis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total isolates</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total enteric isolates</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In two patients in the placebo group the same bacteria was isolated from both lymph node and serosa, and the organisms responsible were Klebsiella and E coli.

### Systemic inflammatory response and endotoxin exposure

Serial CRP and IgM EndoCAb levels are shown in figs 1 and 2. IL-6 levels essentially mirrored CRP; median (IQR) levels in the placebo and synbiotic groups were, respectively, 9.72 (4.23–12.19) pg/ml and 7.21 (5.45–19.3) pg/ml preoperatively, 130.8 (98.1–177.8) pg/ml and 106.9 (59.6–182.9) pg/ml on the first postoperative day, and 16.5 (3.40–29.8) pg/ml and 15.2 (7.45–40.7) pg/ml on day 7. Both the placebo and synbiotic groups demonstrated a significant increase in CRP and IL-6 on the first postoperative day ($p<0.05$, Wilcoxon signed rank). By day 7, IL-6 levels had fallen to preoperative values whereas CRP levels remained elevated. There were no significant differences between groups at any time point ($p>0.05$, Mann-Whitney U).

Within each group, there were no significant differences between translocators and non-translocators ($p>0.05$ at each time point, Mann-Whitney U).

IgM EndoCAb levels fell significantly on the first postoperative day in both groups ($p<0.05$, Wilcoxon signed rank). Antibody levels had returned to baseline by day 7. There were no significant differences between the placebo and synbiotic groups at any time point ($p>0.05$, Mann-Whitney U). Within each group there were no significant differences between translocators and non-translocators ($p>0.05$ at each time point).

### Septic morbidity, mortality, and duration of stay

There was no difference in the incidence of septic morbidity between the placebo and synbiotic groups (20/65 (31%) vs 23/72 (32%); $p = 0.882, \chi^2$). The commonest sites of infection were the urinary tract (32%), respiratory tract (24%), and surgical wound (22%). Seventy-three per cent of isolates obtained from septic foci were potentially pathogenic “enteric” bacteria. Fourteen patients (10%) died within 30 days of surgery, of which five were in the placebo group and nine in the synbiotic group ($p = 0.354, \chi^2$). Median duration of stay was eight days in both groups.

### DISCUSSION

This prospective randomised controlled trial showed no evidence of benefit from a preoperative course of pre- and probiotics (synbiotics) in patients undergoing elective abdominal surgery. Synbiotics failed to impact significantly
on gastric colonisation, bacterial translocation, the systemic inflammatory response, or septic morbidity. These results mirror those seen in a similar study in the authors’ institution employing the probiotic Lactobacillus plantarum 299V.11

There are many possible explanations to account for the failure in this study to show benefit from symbiotic administration. It could be argued that the wrong strains of probiotic organisms were used, that they were non-viable, that the dose was too low, or that patients were non-compliant. The authors consider all of these possibilities unlikely. Viability of the organisms administered was regularly confirmed by culture of the probiotic capsules. Little work has been performed on the optimal dose of probiotics but the concentration of organisms administered (3 × 10^9 per day of each species) was similar to that used in previous studies showing clinical benefit from probiotics.13–17

Patients were asked to bring their study medication to hospital when they were admitted for surgery, and the remaining capsules were counted in order to ensure that the prescribed amount had been consumed. We accept that the median duration of postoperative therapy was relatively short (four days in the placebo group and five in the symbiotic group); this reflects patient intolerance of the symbiotic preparation in the first 1–3 days following major abdominal surgery.

The pre- and probiotics used in this study were chosen on the basis of evidence from clinical and in vitro studies. Oligofructose is an inulin derivative which resists degradation in the upper gastrointestinal tract.24 Administration to healthy volunteers results in increased numbers of faecal bifidobacteria and a reduction in anaerobes and clostridia.19–20 The organisms present in Trevis capsules have been shown to survive transit of the upper gastrointestinal tract.27 Oral administration results in a detectable increase in the numbers of faecal Bifidobacterium and lactobacilli.22–23 The combination of administering oligofructose with multiple probiotic strains was designed to maximise the chance of detecting any treatment effect. While it might have been desirable to demonstrate the presence of viable probiotic organisms in faecal samples, the complex identification techniques required were outwith the scope of the current study.

We consider it unlikely that a beneficial effect of symbiotics on upper gastrointestinal microflora has been overlooked due to inappropriate patient selection. The rationale for the use of pre- and probiotics is that they alter gastrointestinal microflora to the benefit of the patient. In order to demonstrate such benefit, patients must therefore be colonised with potentially pathogenic organisms in the first place. The results of the current study confirm this; at least one organism was isolated from over 40% of gastric aspirates, and approximately 20% of isolates were potentially pathogenic “enteric” bacteria such as those seen in the majority of subsequent septic complications.

The results of this study regarding bacterial translocation are at variance with results from animal studies, many of which show a marked reduction in translocation following administration of probiotic strains.6–10 While the prevention of bacterial adhesion and translocation appears to be important in animal models, symbiotics might act via an alternative mechanism in humans, such as immunomodulation. Probiotic organisms have been shown to result in an increase in intestinal antibody and cytokine production and activation of T helper lymphocytes.4, 27–29 Similar effects can also be seen at distant mucosal sites and in peripheral blood, implying activation of the mucosa associated immune system.30, 31 It may be that immunomodulation, rather than a reduction in translocation, was responsible for the clinical benefit seen in recent clinical studies using probiotic strains such as Lactobacillus plantarum 299V.15–17

In the current study, no immunomodulatory effect was demonstrated using CRP and IL-6 as markers of systemic inflammation. It is possible that the magnitude of the surgical insult prevented detection of a subtle immunomodulatory effect. It is conceivable that such modulation of the immune response might become detectable following weeks or even months of postoperative symbiotics, as opposed to the short course administered in this study.

One other possible reason for failure to demonstrate benefit from symbiotics may have been insufficient sample size. The overall prevalence of bacterial translocation to lymph nodes was 6.6%, which is considerably lower than the predicted 15% used in the initial sample size calculation. A greater number of patients would be required in order to...
minimise the chance of a type 2 error with such low rates of translocation.

CONCLUSION
This prospective randomised controlled trial showed no evidence of benefit from a preoperative course of pre- and probiotics (synbiotics) in patients undergoing elective abdominal surgery. The particular synbiotic preparation used failed to impact significantly on gastric colonisation, bacterial translocation, the systemic inflammatory response, or septic morbidity. Generalisation of these results may not be warranted, and further studies investigating the place of pre- and probiotics in clinical practice are required.
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