Hepatitis C virus among childbearing women in Scotland: prevalence, deprivation, and diagnosis
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Objectives: (A) To examine the prevalence and demographic characteristics of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection among childbearing women in Scotland; and (B) to determine the extent of maternal HCV infection diagnosed prior to birth.

Methods: (A) Residual dried blood spot samples from routine neonatal screening, collected throughout Scotland during March-October 2000, were unlinked from identifiers and tested anonymously for HCV antibodies; and (B) electronic record linkage of Scotland’s databases of births and diagnosed HCV infections was performed.

Results: (A) Of 30 259 samples, 121 were enzyme linked immunosorbent assay repeat reactive and 88 of these were confirmed as anti-HCV positive in the recombinant immunoblot assay, representing a seroprevalence of 0.29–0.40%. HCV seroprevalence was highest among 25–29 year olds (0.4–0.57%), in high deprivation areas (0.92–1.07%), and in Greater Glasgow (0.83–0.96%) and Grampian (0.38–0.62%). Adjusted relative risk for HCV infection was highest among residents in high deprivation areas of Glasgow (7.2 (95% confidence interval 2.0–25.5)). (B) Of 121 HCV infections found among women at delivery, 24% and 46% were estimated to have been diagnosed prior to pregnancy and birth, respectively.

Conclusions: Hepatitis C virus infection among Scottish childbearing women is consistent with that expected from injecting drug use. Based on reported rates of mother to child transmission, 8–11 paediatric infections are expected per annum. Diagnosis in only 24% of infected women prior to pregnancy indicates the extent to which HCV goes unrecognised in the injecting community. The current HCV screening approach—to test only those with a history of injecting drug use (or other risk factors for infection)—identifies approximately a quarter of previously undetected infections among pregnant women.

Abbreviations: HCV, hepatitis C virus; IDU, injecting drug user; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HBV, hepatitis B virus; EUSA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; RIBA, recombinant immunoblot assay; SCIEH, Scottish Centre for Infection and Environmental Health; SMR, Scottish Morbidity Record
unaffected by cultural, behavioural, and economic biases, the resulting data would inform (i) modelling work to estimate the total number of prevalent HCV infections among adults in Scotland and (ii) the allocation of resources, nationally, regionally, and locally, for implementation of interventions to prevent infection. The investigators also set out to estimate the proportion of infected pregnant women who had been diagnosed before and during their pregnancy. Such information would gauge the effectiveness of the existing de facto selective policy of offering a HCV test to pregnant women who have ever injected drugs in detecting all infected pregnant women.

**METHODS**

**Setting**

The study population comprised women who delivered live babies in Scotland between mid March and mid October 2000 (31 107 of a total of 53 076 for the full year);21 the exact inclusion dates of the study period could not be deduced because only the quarter year, rather than the date, of delivery was recorded with each woman’s study results to retain anonymity. While data on ethnicity and country of birth were not available, the great majority of these women would have been Caucasian and indigenous to Scotland.22

**Design**

The study can be categorised into the following components: (i) unlinked anonymous HCV testing of blood from neonates; (ii) analysis of HCV prevalence by the mother’s age, health board area of residence, and deprivation status; (iii) linkage of records from Scotland’s database of diagnosed HCV cases (for women known to be infected) with those from Scotland’s maternity inpatient and day case register (for women delivering live births); and (iv) analysis of diagnosed and undiagnosed HCV positive women before and during pregnancy. The first two components involved the whole study group of 31 107 women, and the third and fourth components involved a reduced group of 26 488 women who had delivered live births during the second and third quarter of the year (that is, between 1 April and 30 September); for the latter, it was necessary to restrict the analysis to an exact time period (to allow an alignment with findings obtained from the record linkage described in (iii) below), and thus 4619 women who had delivered on unspecified days during March and October 2000 were excluded. The four components are described in detail below and analyses described in (ii) and (iv) are presented in the results. Analyses were performed using SPLUS23 and StatXact24 software.

**Unlinked anonymous HCV testing of blood from neonates**

Heel prick blood samples, spotted onto filter paper (Guthrie cards), are routinely taken from all newborns in Scotland and sent to the Scottish Inborn Errors Screening Laboratory at Yorkhill Hospital, Glasgow, UK, for metabolic screening.25 The nurse who takes the sample enters information, which includes the mother’s date of birth and address, on the Guthrie card. After all routine laboratory tests were completed, non-repeat residual dried blood spot specimens were punched out from the Guthrie cards and irreversibly unlinked from patient identifiers using established methods.26 While full identifiers were removed from those residual specimens, certain non-identifying details—mother’s age and postcode district of residence—were retained and linked to each specimen, in the form of a code, prior to anonymous testing; data on potential risk factors for acquiring blood borne virus infection were not collected. Information, on posters and leaflets, which indicated that anonymous testing for HIV and other infectious diseases might be performed on leftover blood samples, was made available to the women; mothers expressing an objection to testing of their baby’s blood were excluded from the survey. The methods used for this unlinked anonymous testing programme were approved by ethics committees in all of Scotland’s 15 health boards. Government approval to allow the programme to incorporate HCV, in addition to HIV, testing was given in 1996.

Previous studies have demonstrated that newborns of HCV infected mothers have detectable HCV antibodies, which they have acquired through passive transplacental transfer and can persist for up to 15–18 months of age;11 12; the presence of passively acquired maternal antibodies in a newborn was thus an ideal surrogate for the presence of HCV antibodies in the mother. At the metabolic screening laboratory, dried blood spot specimens from newborns were eluted and tested for HCV antibodies using a previously validated, highly sensitive “inhouse” IgG enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) which incorporated the recombinant proteins c22-3, c20, and NS526 27; a technique for detecting anti-HCV in dried blood spots using a commercially available assay has since been developed and could readily be used by other groups.28 Specimens which were reactive in the primary screening test were sent to the Virus Laboratory, Glasgow, UK, for repeat testing in the same ELISA and for supplemental testing by a modification of the recombinant immunoblot assay (RIBA-3; Chiron Corp., Emeryville, California, USA). Test results for HCV (and HIV) were united with their corresponding epidemiological data and sent to the Scottish Centre for Infection and Environmental Health (SCIEH) for analysis.

(ii) Analysis of HCV prevalence by the mother’s age, health board area of residence, and deprivation status

Maximum (and minimum) HCV seroprevalence was calculated by dividing the number of samples which were repeat reactive on ELISA (and confirmed positive on supplementary RIBA) by the number of samples tested; exact 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the minimum and maximum HCV seroprevalences for the whole study group were also calculated using the Clopper-Pearson method.24 Unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models were used to assess the association between demographic characteristics of women—age band, health board area of residence, and level of deprivation—and maximum HCV seroprevalence; women with missing information on one of the three demographic factors were excluded. All main effects and second order interactions, statistically significant at the 5% level, were included in the adjusted model. As a sensitivity check, regressions were also performed for minimum HCV seroprevalence and produced comparable findings (data not shown).

The deprivation measure was based on the Carstairs and Morris index, which combines four census indicators (overcrowding, unemployment, social class, and car ownership), judged to represent material disadvantage in the population, into a single composite seven point score, ranging from very affluent (1) to very deprived (7), for each postcode sector in Scotland.20 21 The Carstairs index was recategorised, for the purposes of this study, to provide a crude score of deprivation for each woman based on her postcode district of residence: highest deprivation was assigned to women whose postcode district had greater than 50% of its population residing in areas rated as Carstairs scale 6–7; lowest deprivation was assigned to women whose postcode district had greater than 50% of its population residing in areas rated as Carstairs scale 1–2 (see footnote of table 1 for all categories). Linear least squares regression was used to examine the relationship between maximum HCV seroprevalence and extent of high deprivation (measured as the percentage of women’s...
Table 1 Prevalence and demographic determinants of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection among childbearing women in Scotland during 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health board area of residence*</th>
<th>No anti-HCV positive</th>
<th>Seroprevalence %‡</th>
<th>Relative risk for maximum HCV seroprevalence (95% CI)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Confirmed on ELISA and RIBA</td>
<td>Unconfirmed on ELISA and RIBA</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>30,259 (100%)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Glasgow (GG)</td>
<td>5118 (17%)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grampian (GR)</td>
<td>2913 (10%)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lothian</td>
<td>4887 (16%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tayside</td>
<td>2224 (7%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of West/Central</td>
<td>9202 (30%)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>4257 (14%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>1,574 (5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Not known)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**West/Central** includes Argyll and Clyde (n = 2,693), Hcv seroprevalence 0–0.22%, Ayrshire and Arran (209), 0.14–0.33%, Dumfries and Galloway (779, 0.51–0.51%), and Lanarkshire (3639, 0.25–0.41%); East includes Borders (624, 0.16–0.16%), Forth Valley (1660, 0.06–0.18%), and Fife (1973, 0.15–0.20%); North includes Highlands (1234, 0%) and Island Boards (340, 0%).

†Level of deprivation was calculated for each woman, based on their postcode district of residence: 1 was assigned to postcode districts where 50% of the population resided in Carstairs 1–3 areas; 2 was assigned to postcode districts where 50% of the population resided in Carstairs 4–5 areas; 3 was assigned to postcode districts where 50% of the population resided in Carstairs 6–7 areas; 4 was assigned to postcode districts where 50% of the population resided in Carstairs 5–7 areas; 5 was assigned to postcode districts where <50% of the population resided in Carstairs 6–7 areas (high deprivation).

‡Seroprevalence (95% CI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health board area of residence*</th>
<th>Level of deprivation†</th>
<th>Interaction in adjusted regression model: Health board area of residence and level of deprivation</th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th>Adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low (1)</td>
<td>(Not known)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5574 (19%)</td>
<td>(665)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.29)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6064 (20%)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.43)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9544 (32%)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.35)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3701 (12%)</td>
<td>(Not known)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.36)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5139 (17%)</td>
<td>(237)</td>
<td>0.92 (1.07)</td>
<td>0.92 (1.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*)*Number of anti-HCV positive women delivering a live baby during 1 April to 30 September 2000 who knew of their HCV status either pre or during pregnancy (iv) Analysis of diagnosed and undiagnosed HCV positive women before and during pregnancy

Matches obtained from the linkage, described in (iii) above, on diagnosed anti-HCV positive women who had delivered live babies during 1 April to 30 September 2000 were categorised as known infected pre or during pregnancy if the interval between a mother’s date of first positive HCV antibody specimen (from the HCV diagnosis database) and date of delivery (from SMR 02) exceeded nine months or was within zero and nine months, respectively. To determine the proportion of anti-HCV positive women delivering a live baby during 1 April to 30 September 2000 who knew of their HCV status either pre or during pregnancy, age and health board area of residence variables from the above matched records were aligned with the corresponding variables from the records of women who were detected as anti-HCV positive through the unlinkable anonymous testing survey. Pearson’s χ² test was used to compare antenatal HCV detection rates between age groups of mothers.
RESULTS
Analysis of HCV prevalence by mother’s age, health board area of residence, and deprivation status
Of the total 31,107 Guthrie cards available, 30,259 (97%) were tested for HCV antibodies; 838 were of insufficient volume and 10 were not tested because of parental refusal. The minimum and maximum HCV seroprevalences were 0.29% (95% CI 0.23–0.36%) (that is, repeat reactive on ELISA and confirmed on RIBA) and 0.40% (95% CI 0.33–0.48%) (that is, repeat reactive on ELISA), respectively. Of the 12 women who were anti-HIV positive (0.04% of the total), none was anti-HCV positive.

In unadjusted logistic regression, maximum HCV seroprevalence differed significantly by region, age, and level of deprivation (table 1). Greater Glasgow and Grampian residents were significantly more likely to be anti-HCV positive (unadjusted relative risks 4.2 and 2.7 (95% CIs 2.8–6.2 and 1.6–4.7), respectively) than women who lived elsewhere in Scotland. Maximum HCV seroprevalence peaked among 25–29 year olds for all Scotland (0.57%) and for residents of Greater Glasgow (1.56%) but was highest among 20–24 year olds in Grampian (1.59%). HCV infection also predominated among women residing in areas of high deprivation: 45% (55/121) of all infections in Scotland, increasing to 92% (46/50) in Greater Glasgow (compared with 52% (2649/5138) of anti-HCV negatives; $\chi^2(1) = 30.8$, $p<0.0001$) were among women residing in postcode districts where greater than half of the population were categorised by Carstairs index 6 and 7. High deprivation however was not significantly associated with HCV infection outside Greater Glasgow (fig 1).

Figure 1 shows a strong positive linear relation between maximum HCV seroprevalence and extent of high deprivation (measured as the percentage of women’s postcode district of residence rated as Carstairs categories 6 and 7) among women in Greater Glasgow ($p<0.001$).

In adjusted logistic regression (table 1), childbearing women who (i) resided in Grampian, (ii) were aged 20–34 years, and (iii) both resided in Greater Glasgow and in an area of high deprivation were significantly more likely to be anti-HCV positive (based on repeat reactive ELISA tests); group (iii) had the highest maximum HCV seroprevalence (1.7%) and adjusted relative risk (7.2 (95% CI 2.0–25.5)).

Analysis of diagnosed and undiagnosed HCV positive women before and during pregnancy
Of the 121 HCV infections detected among women at the time of delivery (based on samples which were repeat reactive in ELISA), 112 gave birth during April–September 2001. Age and health board area of residence of these 112 women were aligned with those who had given birth during the same period and were also known to be HCV infected (table 2). An estimated 24% and 46% of 112 HCV infected women had been diagnosed prior to pregnancy and birth, respectively; thus 47% (24/51) of all diagnoses occurred during pregnancy. Among the 51 diagnosed cases, 40 had ever injected drugs (95% of the 42 cases for whom at least one risk factor was known), two reported heterosexual contact, and no information was available for the remaining nine. Hence of the 85 mothers whose HCV infections had not been detected prior to pregnancy, 24 (28%) were estimated to have been diagnosed during the antenatal period. The antenatal HCV detection rate among women aged <25 years (72%; 13/18) was significantly higher than for those aged $\geq$25 years (17%; 11/65) ($\chi^2 = 18.4; p<0.0001$).

DISCUSSION
The worldwide literature on HCV prevalence has increased considerably over the past decade yet few surveys have been conducted at a national level. Hitherto, maternal HCV prevalence in Scotland\(^1\) and the rest of the UK\(^5–9\) had been studied regionally. To overcome the uncertainty in interpreting results from selected geographical areas and to allow comparison of regional differences, we undertook the first national HCV seroprevalence study among childbearing women in Scotland.

Unlinked anonymous testing of residual dried blood spots on neonatal metabolic screening cards has been performed for many years for HIV,\(^{10–12}\) and more recently for HCV,\(^{13}\) and is regarded as the most cost effective means of providing minimally biased estimates of prevalence in a large general population.\(^{12}\) Due to the anonymous nature of these surveys,
participation bias is generally avoided; the proportion of women (0.03%) who refused testing was too small to influence overall prevalence rates. Women who had perinatal deaths (<1% of all births) however could not be taken into account because stored specimens from these cases were unavailable for retrospective testing. Our data reflect women giving birth but may not necessarily represent all females of childbearing age; the ratio of HCV prevalence in childbearing women to that in all women is influenced by HCV related risk factors that are different for childbearers and non-childbearers and also by differences in fertility between HCV infected and uninfected women. Nevertheless, repeat prevalence surveys at, for example, three yearly intervals will allow the incidence of HCV, and thus the effectiveness of prevalence surveys at, for example, three yearly intervals will influence overall prevalence rates. Women who had perinatal births (0.03%) who refused testing was too small to be accounted for.

The overall prevalence of maternal anti-HCV infection detected was low (0.29–0.4%), comparable with a previously modelled central estimate for Scotland (0.29%), higher than that modelled for England/Wales (0.15%), although lower than regional estimates from the rest of Western Europe (1–2%), the USA (2–4%), and Australia (1%). While a quarter (33/121) of the dried blood spot samples reactive on repeat ELISA tests were unconfirmed on RIBA, there was no supporting evidence to indicate that at least 40% of these were true positives. Extrapolating from the observed 0.29–0.4% HCV seroprevalence in 30 259 samples to all 53 076 births in Scotland during 2000, and assuming that 80% of infected mothers were viraemic, there were an estimated ([0.0029–0.004] × 0.8 × 53 076) 123–170 births to HCV carrier mothers; assuming a mother to child transmission rate of 6.2%, 8 to 11 HCV infected infants were born.

Interpretation of the Scottish data is limited because of the lack of behavioural information collected through the unlinked anonymous programme. Nevertheless, the distribution of HCV infection among Scottish childbearing women was consistent with parameters of injecting drug use epidemiology. Firstly, a significantly higher prevalence of maternal infection was found among women residing in areas recognised as the most highly deprived in the UK. Low socioeconomic status among pregnant women—specifically unemployment, unmarried condition, and a history of previous abortion—has previously been correlated with higher HCV seroprevalence. Secondly, marked geographical variation in maternal prevalence was observed; areas with the highest rates—Greater Glasgow (0.83–0.96%) and Grampian (0.38–0.62%); were the same as those with the greatest prevalences of HCV infected (current) injecting drug users (0.86% and 0.55% per population aged 15–54 years in Greater Glasgow and Grampian, respectively, compared with lower rates of 0.06–0.31% elsewhere in Scotland). Also, HCV seroprevalences peaks among childbearing women aged 25–34 years in Greater Glasgow and 15–24 years in Grampian, a difference which reflects the respective older and younger drug user populations in these areas. Record linkage of Scotland's databases of births and diagnosed HCV infections further established injecting drug use as the predominant risk factor for HCV acquisition among childbearing women in 95% of diagnosed cases with known risk factors.

Universal HCV screening in pregnancy is considered to be unjustified given the absence of an intervention to prevent mother to child transmission. Nevertheless, it is also recognised that antenatal HCV testing provides an opportunity to identify asymptomatic women with chronic disease who, following pregnancy, may benefit from antiviral therapy at a time when they are most receptive to medical intervention. The results of this study demonstrate however
that the current de facto selective antenatal screening policy, to offer a test only to women with a history of injecting drug use or other risk factors for infection,14 15 failed to identify 72% (28% and 83% among <25 and ≥25 year olds, respectively) of previously undiected HCV infections; while the reasons for such non-identification of cases would likely be a combination of failure to identify those at high risk, failure to offer an HCV test, and non-acceptance of a test, such information was unavailable. These findings are consistent with those generated by local studies in the UK16 and indicate that the universal offer and recommendation of a HCV test would need to be introduced if an efficient intervention to prevent mother to child transmission became available. Another finding of value is the proportion of the infected population who had been diagnosed before pregnancy (24%; 27/112). While it is possible that some of the 85 undiected infections could have occurred during pregnancy—thus leading to an underestimate of the proportion diagnosed—such detection rate data can be used to estimate indirectly the number of prevalent (diagnosed and undiagnosed) HCV infections in Scotland.16 Robust estimates of the prevalent number of HCV infections are required to estimate the total burden of current and future disease and thus facilitate the planning of future HCV related healthcare services.3
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