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Is the mucosal route of administration essential for probiotic function? Subcutaneous administration is associated with attenuation of murine colitis and arthritis

B Sheil, J McCarthy, L O’Mahony, M W Bennett, P Ryan, J J Fitzgibbon, B Kiely, J K Collins, F Shanahan

Background: We and others have reported the prophylactic efficacy of oral consumption of probiotic lactobacilli in the interleukin 10 knockout (IL-10 KO) model of colitis. It has not been demonstrated that the oral route is essential for probiotic efficacy.

Aims: (i) To determine the effect of parenteral administration (subcutaneous) of Lactobacillus salivarius 118 on colitis of IL-10 KO mice; and (ii) to determine if observed responses are disease specific.

Methods: (i) IL-10 KO mice were injected subcutaneously with L salivarius 118 or saline over 19 weeks. At sacrifice, the bowels were histologically scored. Isolated splenocytes were cultured in vitro and cytokine levels measured. (ii) In the collagen induced arthritis model, DBA/1 mice were injected subcutaneously with the probiotic or saline. At sacrifice, paw thickness was measured and joints were histologically scored.

Results: (i) Colonic inflammatory scores were significantly decreased in IL-10 KO mice injected with L salivarius 118 compared with controls ($p<0.05$). Proinflammatory cytokine production from stimulated splenocytes was significantly lower for the probiotic group whereas stimulated transforming growth factor $\beta$ (TGF-$\beta$) levels were significantly increased ($p<0.05$). (ii) Scoring of arthritis and paw thickness were significantly improved in the group of mice injected with L salivarius 118 compared with controls.

Conclusions: (1) Subcutaneous administration of L salivarius 118 significantly attenuated colitis in the IL-10 KO model and suppressed collagen induced arthritis, suggesting that the oral route may not be essential for probiotic anti-inflammatory effects and that responses are not disease specific. (2) The probiotic effect was associated with reduced production of proinflammatory (T helper 1) cytokines and maintained production of anti-TGF-$\beta$.

Methods

Animals

IL-10 KO mice
Twenty 129 Ola x C57BL/6-IL 10 KO female mice, aged 7–9 weeks, were used in this study (B&K Universal Ltd, East Yorkshire, UK; The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine, USA). These mice were maintained on a homozygous background and were housed under specific pathogen free conditions, with a maintained temperature of 20±2°C and a 12 hour light/dark cycle. Following initiation of this study, all mice consumed a standard non-sterile diet. Mice consumed food and water ad libitum.

DBA/1 mice
Twenty six male DBA/1 mice, aged 6–8 weeks, were used. (Harlan UK Ltd, Oxon OX23 ITP, UK). These mice were housed on a homozgyous background, under specific pathogen free conditions, with a maintained temperature of 20±2°C and a 12 hour light/dark cycle. Following initiation of this study, food and water were provided ad libitum.

Abbreviations:

IL-10 KO, interleukin 10 knockout; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; MRS broth, Man, Rogosa, Sharpe broth; DMEM, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; TNF-$\alpha$, tumour necrosis factor $\alpha$; IL-12, interleukin 12; TGF-$\beta$, transforming growth factor $\beta$. 

Probiotics are live microorganisms that confer health benefits through a number of mechanisms.4–9 Probiotic consumption has shown prophylactic efficacy against colitis in murine models of inflammatory bowel disease and effects have also been seen in human trials of the disease.4–7 We have previously shown that oral consumption of the probiotic Lactobacillus salivarius 118 can attenuate colitis seen in interleukin 10 knockout (IL-10 KO) mice.8,9 This model develops spontaneous colitis similar to that of inflammatory bowel disease, and the influence of the enteric flora on gut inflammatory activity has been demonstrated as germ free animals do not develop disease.10–12

The effect of probiotics on the systemic immune response is less well understood. In particular, little is known of their possible effects on extraintestinal inflammatory states, such as arthritis. Lactobacillus casei strain Shirota, when administered orally, has shown a reductive effect on the development of collagen induced arthritis.13 This is a widely used experimental murine model of polyarthritis. Collagen induced arthritis can be induced in susceptible strains of mice by immunisation with type II collagen, the major component of articular cartilage.14–17 Indeed, it is not yet known whether oral feeding and colonisation of the gut are necessary for probiotic effects. Bacterial DNA has been demonstrated to have anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory properties when administered subcutaneously in a number of animal models of colitis.18

The purpose of the present study was: (i) to determine the effect of systemically administered L salivarius 118 on colitis of IL-10 KO mice; and (ii) to determine the effect of subcutaneous administration of L salivarius 118 on the collagen induced arthritis murine model of arthritis.
Probiotic strains

*L. salivarius* subspecies *salivarius* UCC118 was originally isolated from the ileocaecal region of a human adult undergoing reconstructive surgery. This probiotic strain was isolated on the basis of having desirable probiotic properties. Briefly, these properties include being of human origin, non-pathogenic, resistant to intestinal acid and bile, ability to adhere to human epithelial cells, and ability to temporarily colonise and be metabolically active within the human gastrointestinal tract. L. *salivarius* 118 was routinely cultured in Man, Rogosa, Sharpe (MRS) broth (Oxoid, UK) at 37°C in an anaerobic environment for 24 hours. A spontaneous rifampicin resistant variant of the strain was isolated, prior to initiation of this study, in order to facilitate uncomplicated identification of this bacteria from all other lactobacilli.

IL-10 KO colitis model

Twenty IL-10 KO mice were randomised to one of two groups, with 10 mice per group. *L. salivarius* 118 was administered subcutaneously to the study group while sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was administered subcutaneously to the control group. *L. salivarius* 118 was initially grown to a 10 ml volume in MRS broth by incubating overnight at 37°C under anaerobic conditions. The bacteria were washed twice and resuspended in sterile PBS to a final concentration of 1 x 10^9 per ml. A dose of 1 x 10^8 bacteria/mouse was then injected subcutaneously. These inoculations were performed at weeks 2, 4, 6, 10, 14, and 18 and mice were sacrificed after 19 weeks.

An additional group of controls (n = 20) receiving heat treated *L. salivarius* 118 was also studied. *L. salivarius* 118 was heat treated at 80°C for 10 minutes. Cells were then immediately placed on ice. Control mice received PBS, which had undergone the same heating treatment.

Murine faecal samples were collected at weekly intervals over the trial period. The trial was completed after 19 weeks of feeding, at which time all surviving mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Blood samples were obtained by cardiac puncture for serological analysis. The caecum and colon were fixed in formaldehyde for histopathological analysis. Spleens were removed from each mouse at sacrifice and splenocytes isolated for in vitro culturing.

Histopathology

At sacrifice, the caecum, proximal colon (ascending and transverse colon), and distal colon (descending colon, rectum, and anal canal) of all mice were fixed in 10% formalin and assessed by two histopathologists. Two blinded independent observers, using a histological index ranging from 0 to 4, graded the severity of inflammation at each site within the murine gastrointestinal tract. This index was based on the degree of epithelial layer erosion, goblet cell depletion, and inflammatory cell infiltrate (0 = normal; 1 = minimal evidence of inflammatory infiltrate; 2 = significant evidence of inflammatory infiltrate (cryptitis, crypt abscesses); 3 = significant evidence of inflammatory infiltrate with goblet cell depletion; and 4 = significant evidence of inflammatory infiltrate with erosion of mucosa).

Splenocyte cultures

The spleens of all mice were removed at the time of sacrifice. Each spleen was immediately placed into serum free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM). The spleen was sieved through a sterilised metal filter into 5 ml of 0.87% ammonium chloride to lyse red blood cells. The cell suspension was centrifuged twice at 100 g for 10 minutes. Cells were suspended in DMEM (10% fetal calf serum) at a concentration of 1 x 10^6 cells/ml for in vitro culturing. The isolated lymphocytes were cocultured with the proinflammatory bacterium *Salmonella typhimurium* (1 x 10^6 cells/ml) for 72 hours at 37°C. Cell supernatants were isolated and stored at −80°C. Cytokine analysis was performed on the supernatants using ELISA (BD Pharmingen, Oxford, UK). Cytokines analysed were tumour necrosis factor α (TNF-α), interleukin 12 (IL-12), and transforming growth factor β (TGF-β).

Microbial analysis

Faecal samples were collected weekly, weighed, and dispersed in 10 ml of PBS. Microbial analysis of the faecal samples involved enumeration of *L. salivarius subspecies salivarius* UCC118, total lactobacilli, total bifidobacteria, coliforms, and *Clostridia perfringens*. This analysis was performed by pour plating or spread plating onto MRS agar (pH 5.5) plus rifampicin; MRS agar supplemented with 0.05% cysteine hydrochloride (Sigma, St Louis, Missouri, USA) plus rifampicin; MRS agar; MRS agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood, 0.2% lithium chloride (BDH Laboratory Supplies, Poole, UK), 0.3% sodium propionate (Sigma), and 0.05% cysteine hydrochloride (Sigma); violet red bile agar; and *Clostridia perfringes* selective medium OPSP with supplements A SR 76 and B SR 77, respectively (all Oxoid, UK unless otherwise stated). Violet red bile agar plates were incubated aerobically for 24 hours while all other plates were incubated anaerobically for 48 hours at 37°C. Anaerobic environments were created using CO₂ generating kits (Anaerocult A; Merck Darmstadt, Germany) in sealed gas jars (BBL BD, Dublin, Ireland).

Collegen induced arthritis model

Twenty six DBA/1 mice were randomised to three groups. Mice in the first group were injected subcutaneously with *L. salivarius* UCC118 at a dose of 1 x 10^8 bacteria/mouse (preparation as for the IL-10 KO trial.) The second group received an equal volume of sterile PBS administered subcutaneously. Innoculations were administered at weeks 1, 4, and 8. A final group (n = 6) did not receive any of the above treatments.

At week 6, arthritis was induced as follows: bovine type II collagen (Chondrex) was dissolved in 0.05 M acetic acid to a concentration of 2 mg/ml by stirring overnight at 4°C. This was then emulsified in equal volumes of Freund’s complete adjuvant (2 mg/ml of *M. tuberculosis* strain H37Ra (Difco BD, Dublin, Ireland)). Groups 1 and 2 were immunised subcutaneously, in the tail, with 100 μl at week 6. At week 9, a booster immunisation of 50 μl of collagen emulsified in Freund’s incomplete adjuvant (Difco) was administered to all three groups. From week 10 onwards, mice were assessed on a daily basis for visual appearance of arthritis in the peripheral joints.20 21 Visual signs were assessed using the following index: 0, normal; 1, mild but definite redness and swelling of the ankle or wrist, or apparent redness and swelling limited to individual digits, regardless of the number of affected digits; 2, moderate redness or swelling of the ankle or wrist; 3, severe redness and swelling of the entire paw including digits; and 4, maximally inflamed limb with involvement of multiple joints.20 21 The trial was completed after 12 weeks at which time all mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. At sacrifice, the thickness of each paw was measured using a spring loaded calliper.

Histopathology

At sacrifice, limbs were removed and fixed in formaldehyde for histopathological analysis by an independent blinded observer. Following paraffin embedding, limbs were surface decalcified using Calbonex (KB Scientific, Togher, Cork, Ireland). Tissue sections (7 μm) were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Histological analysis of cartilage and bone...
destruction by pannus formation and mononuclear cell infiltration in synovial tissues was undertaken using the following scoring system: cartilage and bone destruction by pannus formation: 0, no change; 1, mild change (pannus formation within cartilage); 2, moderate change (pannus invasion into cartilage/subchondral bone); and 3, severe change (pannus invasion into the subchondral bone); mononuclear cell infiltration: 0, no infiltration; 1, mild infiltration; 2, moderate infiltration; and 3, severe infiltration.22

Statistical analysis
Analysis of variance, for differences between groups in inflammatory activity, was carried out using ANOVA analysis while differences between groups in microbial numbers were estimated using area under the curve analysis.

RESULTS
IL-10 KO colitis model
Histopathology
Post sacrifice, a score of 0–4 was awarded to each examined area of bowel; caecum, proximal colon (ascending and transverse colon), and distal colon (descending colon, rectum, and anal canal) with a total possible score of 12 to be awarded to each mouse gut. When all sections had been examined, the mean score for each group was calculated. Mean inflammatory score for the control group was 8.25 (0.94), and mean inflammatory score for the group given L. salivarius UCC118 was 4.35 (0.9). Thus the probiotic group showed a significant reduction in inflammatory score compared with the control group (p<0.05) (fig 1). In addition, four mice in the PBS control group were found to have severe pancolitis, with all of the proximal and distal colon equally affected. No case of pancolitis was seen in the probiotic group.

The group receiving heat treated L. salivarius 118 had 100% mortality rate by week 10 of the trial and were not included in the analysis. These mice had an obvious and rapid response to administration of the killed bacteria, becoming shocked within hours. This effect is likely to be related to the method used to kill the bacteria, and studies are now planned to incorporate a range of alternative strategies.

Microbial analysis
Faecal samples from all mice were analysed to assess changes in the microflora and to detect transit of the probiotic strain. There was no significant difference in culturable bacteria when total lactobacilli, total bifidobacteria, coliforms, and Clostridia perfringens were enumerated in faecal samples (data not shown). The L. salivarius 118 strain was not isolated from any of the mice in the control or probiotic group (data not shown).

Immunological assessment
Cytokine analysis was performed on the splenocyte supernatants by ELISA following stimulation in vitro with the proinflammatory bacterium Salmonella typhimurium. Cytokines analysed were TNF-α, IL-12, and TGF-β.

TNF-α levels were significantly reduced in the group given L. salivarius 118 following stimulation with the proinflammatory stimulus (TNF-α levels in the control group 1386.4 (347.2) in the L. salivarius UCC118 group 872.8 (143.8); p<0.05) (fig 2A). TNF-α levels were undetectable in non-stimulated cultures.

IL-12 levels following stimulation with Salmonella typhi- murium showed a significant reduction in the probiotic group (p<0.05). IL-12 levels in the control group were 2471.2 (256) compared with 1386.4 (347.2) in the L. salivarius 118 group (p<0.05) (fig 2B). IL-12 levels recorded in non-stimulated
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**Figure 1** Post sacrifice, a histological score of 0–4 was awarded to each examined area of bowel; caecum, proximal colon (ascending and transverse colon), and distal colon (descending colon, rectum and anal canal) with a total possible score of 12 to be awarded to each mouse gut. Gastrointestinal inflammatory score in the probiotic and control fed groups is shown. *p<0.05, n = 10 per group. Results are expressed as mean (SEM) inflammatory score.

![Figure 2](http://gut.bmj.com/)

**Figure 2** Cytokine production by stimulated splenocytes in control and probiotic interleukin 10 knockout mice. Results are expressed as mean (SEM) cytokine level per group. There was a reduction in the proinflammatory cytokines tumour necrosis factor α [TNF] (A) and interleukin 12 p40 [IL-12] (B), with an increase in the anti-inflammatory cytokine transforming growth factor β [TGF] (C). *p<0.05; n = 10 per group.
splenocyte supernatants were reduced in the probiotic group compared with the control group, although this did not reach statistical significance.

Unstimulated TGF-β levels were increased in the probiotic group compared with the control group (TGF-β levels in the control group 114.4 (71.6); TGF-β levels in the L salivarius UCC118 group 362.3 (79.6); p<0.05) (fig 2C). There was no statistically significant difference in levels of TGF-β between the two groups following stimulation with salmonella.

Cytokine levels in serum samples were below detectable limits in all groups on analysis by ELISA.

Collagen induced arthritis model

Daily clinical assessment of mice for visual signs of arthritis

Daily macroscopic scoring of visual signs of arthritis was carried out from week 10 to the time of sacrifice (week 12) using an established scoring system.20 21 L salivarius 118 had a suppressive effect on disease development. From week 10, day 3 to week 11, day 12, there was a significant reduction in arthritic score in the L salivarius 118 group (p<0.01). The reduction in arthritis was also significant at week 12, day 15 (p = 0.05) (fig 3).

Paw thickness

The thickness of each paw was measured using spring loaded callipers at the time of sacrifice. Results were described as paw thickness above normal (mean thickness for the unaffected/negative control group was 1.62 (0.051) mm). The L salivarius 118 group showed statistically significant reduction in thickness compared with the control group (p = 0.0002) (L. salivarius 118 group paw thickness 0.292 (0.071); control group paw thickness 0.677 (0.071)) (fig 4A).

Histopathology

Following sacrifice, histopathological changes were scored using a scale of 0–3, based on cartilage and bone destruction by pannus formation (fig 5). Infiltration of inflammatory cells was also scored on a scale of 0–3, ranging from no cell infiltration to severe infiltration. When all sections had been examined, the mean score for each group was calculated with a maximum possible score of 6. While no significant reduction was observed between the placebo vaccinated and L salivarius 118 groups for total histopathological changes, a significant reduction in inflammatory cell infiltration was observed in the L salivarius 118 group compared with controls (mean inflammatory cell score for the control group 1.18 (0.11); L salivarius 118 group 0.85 (0.11); p = 0.04) (fig 4B). However, on further analysis, a significant reduction in cartilage and bone destruction, as well as inflammatory cell infiltration, was observed in the fore limbs of mice given the probiotic bacteria (mean histological score for the control group 1.30 (0.46); L salivarius 118 group 0.46 (0.19); p = 0.03) (fig 4C). There was no significant differences in the hind limbs of the groups (mean histological score for the control group 2.55 (0.26), L salivarius 118 group 2.33 (0.29)) (fig 4D).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study confirm that systemic administration of L salivarius 118 had an anti-inflammatory effect on colitis in IL-10 KO mice, demonstrating that the oral route is not mandatory for its effect. It is noteworthy that the anti-inflammatory effect was of comparable, but not superior, magnitude to that previously reported by us using the oral route of administration.9 Dosing and frequency of administration were arbitrary, with a compromise between exposure to the microbes while minimising stress to the animals. Whether alternative regimens would have a greater or lesser impact requires study. The results are not specific to this model, nor to intestinal inflammation, because the anti-inflammatory effect was also seen in a murine model of arthritis. This further supports an earlier observation that probiotic effects are not solely local, but may include systemic anti-inflammatory activity.9 The systemic nature of the probiotic activity is reflected in a decrease in proinflammatory cytokines and increase in the regulatory cytokine TGF-β.

In this study, the probiotics were given as a prophylactic measure for a number of weeks before disease development. While they did not completely prevent disease occurrence, they were successful in ameliorating the severity of colitis and collagen induced arthritis, which are both aggressive models of inflammation. This is not the first time the influence of bacteria has been demonstrated in the setting of experimental induced arthritis; a previous study demonstrated both suppression and induction of arthritis by various fed bacteria.20 Other studies of experimental colitis demonstrated contrasting responses for different strains of lactobacilli, suggesting that different lactobacillus strains may have variable host specificity or different efficacy in various inflammatory conditions.21 These results demonstrate the complex nature of the large ecosystem that is the intestinal microflora and the variety of responses that can be expected when this is manipulated.

Overall, the mechanism of action of probiotics is not well understood. Whether the effects rely on alteration of the gut flora, adherence to human epithelial cells, or production of an antimicrobial compound following ingestion of probiotics depends on the clinical setting and desired effect.25 The intestinal microflora has been shown to have an impact on the development and functioning of the immune system and it is believed that the microflora influences, among other intestinal functions, the mucosal immune response through signalling with the gut epithelium.26–28 Interactions with Toll-like receptors and dendritic cells in the gut are believed to be involved in this communication.29 30 Dendritic cells inhabiting the gut mucosa are mostly immature and potentially prone to modulation by the environment, containing microorganisms. It has been demonstrated that the Th1/Th2/Th3 cytokine profiles induced by gut dendritic cells can be modulated by administration of lactobacilli.31 Indeed, probiotics have been seen to alter cytokine production by immunocompetent cells.
Figure 4  Effect of subcutaneous inoculation of *L salivarius* 118 and phosphate buffered saline (PBS) on paw thickness (A), total inflammation in all limbs (B), inflammation in the fore limbs (C), and inflammation in the hind limbs (D), in collagen induced arthritis. The thickness of each paw was measured with spring loaded callipers. Total paw swelling for each mouse was calculated by adding the thickness of the individual paws. Paw thickness of the unaffected/negative control group was taken as 0. Results (A–D) are expressed as mean (SEM). The *L salivarius* 118 group showed a significant reduction in paw thickness compared with controls (n = 10 per group).

Figure 5 Joint histology in collagen induced arthritis. Following sacrifice, histopathological changes were scored using a scale of 0–3, based on cartilage and bone destruction by pannus formation. Infiltration of inflammatory cells was also scored on a scale of 0–3, ranging from no cell infiltration to severe infiltration. The range of the histological grading system used in the control and *L salivarius* groups is illustrated. (A) Example of a normal joint with no signs of arthritis. (B) A joint with mild inflammation and synovial hyperplasia. (C) A joint with moderate inflammation and pannus invasion of cartilage. (D) A joint with severe inflammation and invasion of pannus to underlying bone.
in vitro.13 Our results suggest that although these are possible mechanisms for the probiotic effect by the oral route, there appear to be other mechanisms that allow a probiotic effect distant from the site of administration. It has previously been shown that bacterial DNA has anti-inflammatory and immunostimulatory properties when administered subcutaneously in a number of animal models of colitis.14 While the effect in our study is likely to be direct at the level of regulatory dendritic/T cells, immunisation to bacterial components may also occur.

The IL-10 KO colitis model and the collagen induced arthritis model are associated with dysregulated Th1 pro-inflammatory cytokines. In the IL-10 KO model, IL-12 is a key mediator responsible for inducing colitis and is necessary for sustaining proliferation of chronically activated Th1 cells.15–17 In collagen induced arthritis, it has been shown that anti-IL-12 and anti-TNF treatment reduced joint damage.18 This suggests a role for IL-12 as well as TNF in arthritis, and modulation of these cytokines provides a therapeutic target in this disease. The associated downregulation of IL-12 and TNF-α levels seen in our study suggest that the probiotic effect may be mediated by alteration of these cytokines.

While the definition of probiotics is one of live microorganisms, it is probable that bacterial DNA or bacterial components could themselves be responsible for any observed effect. Curiously, in our study the group given heat treated bacteria had an unacceptably high rate of mortality. This issue of live versus killed bacteria needs to be revisited in a separate study involving different mechanisms of bacterial preparation. The definition of probiotics is likely to undergo continuing modification, particularly in light of the emerging era of genetically modified food grade microbes.37 Irrespective of the mechanism of action, there are reasons which might favour the therapeutic usage of live over dead bacteria. Firstly, the oral route of ingestion is likely to be preferable, particularly as the systemic route was not superior in efficacy and live bacteria may be more reliable for enteric transit and occupation of microbial niche. Secondly, live bacteria offer the advantage of elaborating biological molecules other than immunomodulatory DNA that may have relevance in clinical situations other than inflammation. These include the production of well characterised antimicrobial factors.38 Finally, issues such as patient acceptability and bulk manufacturing may determine probiotic product formulations. What is already clear is that there will be an increasing role for bacteria or bacterial products in a therapeutic setting alongside more conventional treatments for inflammatory conditions. Microbial therapeutics is an expanding field of medical microbiology inviting further investigation, and we should not allow ourselves to become captive of the definition of probiotics.
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I became a geriatric gastroenterologist 28 years ago (to clarify any ambiguity, the term “geriatric gastroenterologist” refers to an individual interested in digestive disease in the elderly rather than an elderly person interested in digestive disease—although I will soon qualify for both). During this time a succession of slim volumes, all called something like “aging and the gastrointestinal tract,” have appeared (again to clarify, aging is the same as ageing but the latter is English spelling, the former is the way the rest of the world spell it). At first, these slim volumes were either written by gastroenterologists who knew little about elderly patients or by geriatricians who knew little about gastrointestinal tract. I often wondered why these slim volumes appeared. I imagined them, like the collected works of minor Edwardian poets, to be (a very) minority interest. The latest of these slim volumes—Aging and the Gastrointestinal Tract, edited by Pilotto, Malfertheiner, and Holt—is a vast improvement on its predecessors. It is edited (mostly) written by individuals who clearly know about both digestive disease and ageing. The object of the book is stated to be “to assemble… the results of the more recent studies in geriatric gastroenterology and to review both basic research and clinical aspects of this field.”

The editors have tried to get away from the normal travel down the digestive tract from mouth to anus, with side trips to the hepatobiliary system and pancreas. The first section is “a geriatric approach to gastrointestinal disorders” and contains a very good review of the epidemiology of gastrointestinal disorders in the elderly together with an interesting and fashionable chapter on “comprehensive geriatric assessment of older patients with GI disorders.” The second section deals with “effect of ageing on the gastrointestinal tract,” and then the conventional journey down the gut begins. This editorial idea is not entirely successful. By dividing “aging of the esophagus and stomach” from “dysphagia in the elderly” and “gastrooesophageal reflux disease,” there is some lack of continuity as well as repetition. The same applies to the liver, pancreas, and intestines.

In general, the chapters on ageing are poor, the references on gastric atrophy and physiology are largely from studies from the 1960s (now mostly superseded), apart from the author’s own work. The chapter on basic ageing of the liver and pancreas is very poor. The pancreas is covered in half a page with five references. None of the exciting new ideas about the effect of age on ischaemic reperfusion injury or impaired response to many acute insults is discussed. In both “esophagus/stomach” and “liver/pancreas,” the relevant clinical chapters are very much better and are exemplified by an excellent chapter on Helicobacter pylori in the elderly by two of the editors (Pilotto and Malfertheiner). This is well written, up to date, and authoritative, as well as dealing specifically with the topic of H pylori in the elderly stomach.

There are some significant omissions which should have been corrected by the editors. Overall, there is little information on endoscopy in the elderly. There is also no detailed discussion of gastrointestinal bleeding—acute or occult—yet this is one of the most important problems seen in gastro-intestinal disease in elderly and very elderly patients. These omissions raise the central question about this and other similar “slim volumes”—is it a specialist textbook or merely a collection of reviews which might otherwise have appeared separately in a variety of journals? If it is the former then the omissions are very significant. If it is the latter then they are perhaps not as important but it should have been made clearer that this was not intended to be a comprehensive textbook.

Finally, the editors—obviously hoping to sell the Lord of the Rings if not Harry Potter state, “we hope that this book will be useful for general physicians, specialists in geriatrics and gastroenterology, and all health care providers who are involved in planning the care and management of elderly people with gastrointestinal disorders.” I am afraid I do not think they will become as rich as JK Rowling or even the heirs to Tolkein—rather they are destined to remain unrewarded except in heaven no doubt, like the editors of books on Edwardian poetry. The key word used by the editors above is “useful.” I do not think this book is very useful to anybody over and above what one might find in the relevant chapters in the main large textbooks of geriatric medicine or gastroenterology. However, to the increasing number of aficionados, like myself, the book is interesting (as opposed to useful). I am afraid however that we aficionados are still not enough to make a fortune for either the editors or the publishers of this book.

O F W James

In the paper by Prince et al (Gut 2004;53:865–870), a figure in table 1 has been incorrectly labelled. The row of the table that has been printed as ‘AMA titre >1 in 60’ should actually read ‘AMA titre >1 in 160’. The journal apologises for this error.

In the paper by Shell et al (Gut 2004;53:694–700), a part of the caption to fig 1 has been printed incorrectly. The sentence currently reads “Gastrointestinal inflammatory score in the probiotic and control fed groups is shown.” The sentence should have read “Gastrointestinal inflammatory score in the probiotic and control groups is shown.”