Long term prognosis of fatty liver: risk of chronic liver disease and death
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Background and aims: Fatty liver is a common histological finding in human liver biopsy specimens. It affects 10–24% of the general population and is believed to be a marker of risk of later chronic liver disease. The present study examined the risk of development of cirrhotic liver disease and the risk of death in a cohort diagnosed with pure fatty liver without inflammation.

Methods: A total of 215 patients who had a liver biopsy performed during the period 1976–1987 were included in the study. The population consisted of 109 non-alcoholic and 106 alcoholic fatty liver patients. Median follow up time was 16.7 (0.2–21.9) years in the non-alcoholic and 9.2 (0.6–23.1) years in the alcoholic group. Systematic data collection was carried out by review of all medical records. All members of the study cohort were linked through their unique personal identification number to the National Registry of Patients and the nationwide Registry of Causes of Death, and all admissions, discharge diagnoses, and causes of death were obtained.

Results: In the non-alcoholic fatty liver group, one patient developed cirrhosis during the follow up period compared with 22 patients in the alcoholic group. Survival estimates were significantly (p<0.01) different between the two groups, for men as well as for women, with a higher death rate in the alcoholic fatty liver group. Survival estimates in the non-alcoholic fatty liver group were not different from the Danish population.

Conclusions: This study revealed that patients with type 1 non-alcoholic fatty liver disease have a benign clinical course without excess mortality.

Fatty liver or steatosis hepatitis, the accumulation of lipid within hepatocytes, is a common histological finding in human liver biopsy specimens and affects 10–24% of the general population.1–3

In routine clinical practice, most cases are attributable to alcohol excess; however, it can also occur in association with a wide range of toxins, drugs, and diseases, such as morbid obesity, type 2 diabetes, hyperlipidaemia, and after jejunoileal bypass surgery and debilitating diseases with cachexia.4–5 Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is often histologically and clinically indistinguishable from the liver damage resulting from alcohol excess.5

The pathophysiology of NAFLD is believed to involve two steps.5 The first step involves insulin resistance and obesity and causes the development of steatosis; the second step is oxidative stress, activating an inflammatory response and causing non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH).

The prognosis of NAFLD is uncertain. Only a few patients have been followed prospectively in order to describe the natural history of the disease. Published follow up studies include small numbers of patients with histological diagnoses varying from simple fatty liver to NASH and cirrhosis. Teli and colleagues7 found that the non-alcoholic fatty liver had a benign long term prognosis but only 40 patients were followed in this study. Studies involving predominantly NASH patients show a much more aggressive natural history, with development of cirrhosis in up to 26% of patients.8–13

Predictive factors that may distinguish between pure fatty liver patients with a good prognosis and those developing NASH have not yet been identified. Patients with alcoholic fatty liver disease who continue to consume large amounts of alcohol daily have been found to have a risk of 8–30% of developing fibrosis or cirrhosis after 10 years.14–15

The aim of this study was to examine the risk of developing cirrhosis and the risk of death in patients histologically diagnosed with pure fatty liver without inflammation (type 1 NAFLD) and without other known chronic liver diseases.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patient population

Liver biopsy specimens obtained in 243 patients during the period 1976–1987 with a histological diagnosis of pure fatty liver without inflammation were identified (the index liver biopsy) through a computerised pathology register at the Department of Pathology, Hvidovre Hospital, University of Copenhagen, Denmark.

The 243 patients were examined with respect to the following exclusion criteria: (1) presence of acute or chronic liver disease during follow up, modifying the index liver biopsy: hepatitis B, hepatitis C, primary biliary cirrhosis, autoimmune hepatitis, $\alpha$1 antitrypsin deficiency, haemochromatosis, other types of infectious hepatitis, and human immunodeficiency virus; (2) jejunoileal bypass operation during the follow up period; (3) total parenteral nutrition at the time of the index liver biopsy; (4) use of methotrexate, amiodarone, tamoxifen, or high doses of corticosteroids; and (5) malignancy at the time of the index liver biopsy.

Abbreviations: NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; BMI, body mass index; LPR, the national registry of patients; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; ASAT, aspartate aminotransferase
During the inclusion period, several obesity research projects were performed at the Department of Endocrinology, Hvidovre University Hospital. Seventy-five (35%) patients described in this study had their index liver biopsy performed as part of these research projects and all were morbidly obese. The indication for the index liver biopsy in the rest of the cohort was either incidental finding of abnormalities in liver function tests, mainly elevated serum aspartate aminotransferase, and/or hepatomegaly, or suspicion of alcoholic liver disease.

Data collection
The unique personal identification number assigned to all inhabitants in Denmark was found through record linkage to the pathology register at Hvidovre Hospital. Systematic data collection was carried out by review of all 243 medical records. Age, height, and weight were documented at baseline (time of index liver biopsy). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated and defined as weight/height$^2$ (kg/m$^2$)

The Danish National Registry of Patients (LPR)$^{16}$ contains information on all patients admitted to non-psychiatric hospitals in Denmark since 1977. This includes data on age, sex, hospital department, date of admission, and discharge diagnoses. Data collection was carried out by review of all medical records. However, there was no systematic testing for diabetes or hyperlipidaemia at baseline.

Laboratory data at baseline included the following variables when available: serum aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT), serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), serum alkaline phosphates, serum bilirubin, serum albumin, plasma prothrombin time, blood glucose, urine glucose, serum sodium, serum potassium, serum creatinine, platelet count, serum cholesterol, serum triglycerides, and hepatitis B surface antigen.

The Danish National Registry of Patients (LPR)$^{16}$ contains information on all patients admitted to non-psychiatric hospitals in Denmark since 1977. This includes data on age, sex, hospital department, date of admission, and discharge diagnoses. Data collection was carried out by review of all medical records. However, there was no systematic testing for diabetes or hyperlipidaemia at baseline.

All members of the study cohort were linked through their personal identification number to the LPR and the nationwide Registry of Causes of Death, and all admissions, discharge diagnoses, and causes of death in the study population were obtained. Patients were followed until death or 31 December 1999 in the LPR and Registry of Causes of Death; information regarding time of death for survival statistics was until 1 May 2001, thus allowing follow up for up to 23 years. Patients were excluded if they were lost to follow up in the registries.

Liver cirrhosis was accepted as present in patients who had a discharge diagnosis, death certificate diagnosis, or a histological finding in the follow up period consistent with cirrhosis. The first registered date of diagnosis was used in the statistical analysis.

Patients with an alcohol intake above the sensible drinking limits set by the Danish National Board of Health (21 drinks per week for men (1 drink = 12 g alcohol) and 14 drinks per week for women) or an alcohol related diagnosis at any time from biopsy to 31 December 1999 were considered to have alcoholic fatty liver. Alcohol related diagnoses used were: alcohol abuse (ICD-8 codes 303.xx + 294.30 + 780.19 + 979.19 + 979.29 + 979.49; ICD-10 codes F10.x + E51.2 + 272.1), alcoholic polyneuropathy (ICD-8 code 303.91; ICD-10 code G62.1), alcoholic cardiomyopathy (ICD-10 code I42.6), and alcoholic pancreatitis (ICD-10 code K86.0). The primary end point was death from all causes and the secondary end point was cirrhosis. Survival curves were constructed based on the Kaplan-Meier method. We performed our statistical analysis using delayed entry, taking into account both the age of the patient and time of entry at diagnosis, and compared survival between the groups in a Cox model. Survival curves for the whole Danish population as a comparison were estimated from data from Statistics Denmark for 1982–83.

Statistical tests were performed using SPSS software (version 10.1 for Windows) and SAS software (version 8 for Windows).

RESULTS
Clinical and biochemical results
Numbers of patients and reasons for exclusion are shown in fig 1. The medical records of the 243 patients initially identified as having fatty liver were traced and the biopsies reviewed. Two index biopsies were misclassified; four patients had an jejunoileal bypass operation performed in the follow up period due to obesity; 13 were excluded owing to specific hepatic diseases diagnosed in the follow up period (we could have modified the index biopsy/three biopses shortly after acute hepatitis B, one hepatitis C, four primary biliary cirrhosis, two haemochromatosis, one α-tiropins deficiency, one autoimmune hepatitis, one histologically verified cirrhosis diagnosed prior to the index liver biopsy); two received methotrexate treatment; three had malignant disease at the time of biopsy; and four were lost to follow up. No patient was receiving drugs known to be associated with the development of steatosis. All biopsies were without histological signs of viral hepatitis.

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics at the time of the index liver biopsy in 215 patients in the two groups. Median follow up time was 16.7 (0.2–21.9) years in the non-alcoholic and 9.2 (0.6–23.1) years in the alcoholic group.

Information on BMI was available in 53% of the total population; 75% in the non-alcoholic and 29% in the alcoholic fatty liver group. The non-alcoholic fatty liver group had a significantly higher BMI which in part reflected the fact that they comprised a selected group from the ongoing obesity research projects at that time. If, in the present context, obesity was defined as BMI ≥30 or a clinical description of obesity in the patient record by the physician, 81% were obese in the non-alcoholic group and 30% in the alcoholic group.
alcoholic group. Four patients (of 106 patients with alcoholic fatty liver) had their initial index liver biopsy performed due to participation in an obesity research project but were later classified as having alcoholic fatty liver because of excessive alcohol intake at the time of the index liver biopsy. None developed cirrhosis or had an alcohol related diagnosis registered during the follow up period.

There were no correlations between the prevalence of cirrhosis and obesity in the alcoholic group. Only four of 22 patients diagnosed with alcoholic cirrhosis were also characterised as obese. There was no difference in the amount of alcohol consumed in the obese alcoholic patients diagnosed with cirrhosis compared with non-obese alcoholic patients with cirrhosis.

At the time of the index liver biopsy, 2% and 1% of patients had known type 1 diabetes in the non-alcoholic and alcoholic groups, respectively. Type 2 diabetes was present in 7% and 2% in the non-alcoholic and alcoholic groups, respectively.

ASAT and bilirubin were significantly higher, and albumin significantly lower, in the alcoholic group at the time of the index liver biopsy. Other biochemical results were not significantly different (table 1). Serological markers for hepatitis B were examined in 27% of the cohort at the time of the index liver biopsy and none was positive for hepatitis B virus. Other biochemical results were not significantly lower, in the alcoholic group at the time of the index liver biopsy. None was tested for hepatitis C at the time of the index liver biopsy but one patient had a diagnosis of hepatitis C registered in the follow up period and was excluded from the study.

**Histological end points**

In the non-alcoholic fatty liver group, one patient (1%) developed cirrhosis during the follow up period compared with 22 (21%) in the alcoholic fatty liver group (table 2). Two of 22 patients diagnosed with alcoholic cirrhosis denied alcohol intake at the time of the index liver biopsy but had alcohol related diagnoses registered during the follow up period.

Of 23 patients diagnosed with cirrhosis in our cohort, seven had a histologically verified diagnosis, either by means of a second liver biopsy during the follow up period or at autopsy, 12 had a diagnosis registered in the LPR, and four patients were classified as having cirrhosis based only on the information on the death certificate. In eight of the 12 patients, the diagnosis in the LPR was based on the following clinical signs: bleeding oesophageal varices (n = 1); oesophageal varices and ascites (n = 1); hepatic coma (n = 1); hepatic coma and ascites (n = 1); hepatic coma and coagulopathy (n = 3); and compensated cirrhosis (n = 1). The last four patients were admitted to other hospitals and we do not know whether the diagnosis was based on histology or clinical criteria alone.

A total of 13 patients in the alcoholic and six patients in the non-alcoholic group were autopsied. Another 19 in the alcoholic and 20 patients in the non-alcoholic group had at least one follow up biopsy during the study period.

Two patients were diagnosed with NASH in a second liver biopsy less than one year after the index liver biopsy. Both were obese women (BMI >38 kg/m²) and one had diabetes at the time of the index liver biopsy. None died in the follow up period. The four patients with alcoholic steatohepatitis diagnosed in another liver biopsy during the follow up period were all men. Two died of causes unrelated to liver disease.

---

### Table 1: Clinical and biochemical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-alcoholic fatty liver (n = 109)</th>
<th>Alcoholic fatty liver (n = 106)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (F/M)</td>
<td>76/33</td>
<td>31/75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>39 (19–80)</td>
<td>50 (26–72)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (%)</td>
<td>81 (n = 101)</td>
<td>30** (n = 76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>42 (19–72) (n = 82)</td>
<td>26 (18–50)** (n = 31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily alcohol intake drink†</td>
<td>0 (0–3) (n = 95)</td>
<td>10 (0–50)** (n = 95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAT (10–40 U/l)</td>
<td>25 (9–201)</td>
<td>43 (10–576)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH (200–450 U/l)</td>
<td>393 (165–948)</td>
<td>361 (177–747)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin (5–17 μmol/l)</td>
<td>7 (2–49)</td>
<td>10 (3–57)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatases (80–275 μmol/l)</td>
<td>212 (100–6360)</td>
<td>226 (99–1037)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin (0.70–1.30)</td>
<td>1.09 [0.62–2.01]</td>
<td>1.15 (0.49–1.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (34–50 g/l)</td>
<td>429 (407–754)</td>
<td>584 (303–728)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (135–400.10³/l)</td>
<td>277 (121–638)</td>
<td>255 (102–624)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (3.5–8.0 mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.13 [2.22–8.84]</td>
<td>5.35 [3.32–7.97]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†1 Drink = 12 g alcohol.
‡Normal range.
Values are median (range).
**p<0.01; ***p<0.001.
BMI, body mass index; ASAT, aspartate aminotransferase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.
Comparison with the general population.

Figure 2  Survival probability for women (A) and men (B) with histologically verified non-alcoholic and alcoholic fatty liver in comparison with the general population.

Mortality
A total of 106 patients died during the follow up period; 44 women and 62 men (table 2). Of these, 20 were liver related in the alcoholic group while 59 died from other causes. The only patient in the non-alcoholic group diagnosed with cirrhosis died of liver disease; 26 died of causes unrelated to liver disease. Comparing the two groups in a Cox model, survival was found to be significantly higher (p<0.01) in the non-alcoholic fatty liver group for men as well as for women. Survival estimates of those with non-alcoholic fatty liver were not different from the Danish population (according to the confidence intervals for the survival curve for patients) (fig 2).

At the time of the index biopsy, nine patients had an alcohol consumption lower than the limits defined by the Danish National Board of Health but had an alcohol related diagnosis registered in the LPR during the follow up period and were characterised as having alcoholic fatty liver. Seven of these died; two from cirrhosis and two from alcohol related causes.

DISCUSSION
In this large cohort study, we found that patients diagnosed with alcohol induced fatty liver disease had a high risk of developing cirrhosis and premature death, for both men and women. In contrast, patients with type 1 NAFLD seemed to have the same life expectancy as the average normal population and the risk of progressing to end stage liver disease was small.

The study was based on register, clinical, and histological data. The LPR is a unique source of data for monitoring long term outcome, as in this cohort of patients, and record linkage using the unique personal identification number ensures complete follow up.

The discharge diagnosis in the LPR may vary in validity but is generally high. We minimised this problem further by a thorough examination of the medical records and a search in the pathology register supplementing the diagnostic information available in the LPR. The index diagnosis, alcoholic versus non-alcoholic, was made from the information on alcohol intake in the medical record at the time of the index biopsy and before we requested data from the LPR and Registry of Causes of Death. However, if the patient had an alcohol related diagnosis at any time during the follow up period, they were characterised as having alcoholic steatosis, regardless of alcohol intake. The ICD diagnoses from the registries were not altered or interpreted.

The majority of patients had not moved from the uptake area of Hvidovre Hospital and medical records from the follow up period were available. It cannot be excluded that some patients with clinical cirrhosis were treated by their general practitioner and thereby not registered in the LPR. However, the structure of the Danish health service makes it likely that patients with clinically significant liver disease are admitted to hospital and the long follow up period makes this even more likely.

Death is recorded without errors in the Registry of Causes of Death while the cause of death may be misclassified. Misclassifications in the death certificates may over or underestimate the risk of death from liver cirrhosis. In the group of patients with known excessive alcohol intake, a tendency towards overestimation of cirrhosis as the cause of death might be expected while patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver are less likely to be suspected of chronic liver diseases. This would underestimate the prevalence of the cirrhosis diagnosis for this group of patients both in the LPR and Registry of Causes of Death. In the four patients who did not die in hospital, it is likely that a general practitioner completed the death certificate. In Denmark, it is the patient's local general practitioner who writes the death certificate in cases of death outside hospital. This makes the certificate more valid because of their knowledge of the patient. In the inclusion period, a high percentage of patients, who died during hospitalisation, had an autopsy performed. We believe that data on liver disease end points in this study are sufficiently valid even though histological verification could not be obtained in all cases.

Patients were classified as having non-alcoholic or alcoholic fatty liver on the information given to the physician on alcohol intake at the time of the index liver biopsy. As this was not a prospective study, the validity of self reported alcohol intake can be questioned and was most likely underreported; potentially patients with high alcohol consumption may be misclassified as belonging to the non-alcoholic group. Because of the lack of a sensitive and specific marker of alcoholism, it is impossible to prove the non-alcoholic status of patients with NAFLD. We tried to compensate for this misclassification by examining all discharges registered in the LPR. If the patient was discharged from a hospital during the follow up period with an alcohol related diagnosis, they were classified as having alcoholic fatty liver, regardless of when this hospitalisation took place. Nine patients would have been classified as non-alcoholic, based solely on information on alcohol consumption in the medical report at the time of the index liver biopsy but had an alcohol related diagnosis registered during the
follow up period and were subsequently reclassified into the alcoholic group. Two of these developed cirrhosis. Thereby, the prevalence of cirrhosis was lowered in the non-alcoholic group and is likely to have increased the validity of the classification.

Patients may have stopped drinking alcohol after the index liver biopsy and these individuals may not have the same risk of developing chronic liver disease as individuals who continue to drink. However, the design of the study did not allow us to analyse this aspect further. The same method has been used in other follow up studies by but by using the unique information from the registries, we believe classification into the two groups, non-alcoholic and alcoholic, is even more valid.

Not all patients were examined for hepatitis B, and hepatitis C tests were not available at the time of the index liver biopsy. However, none of these patients had any known risk factors for the development of hepatitis C, and the clinical follow up did not suggest viral hepatitis; furthermore, liver biopsies in all patients were consistent with NAFLD or alcoholic fatty liver and did not show the typical findings of chronic hepatitis C infection. Also, Denmark is a low prevalence area for infectious hepatitis in the general population (0.08%). However, we recognise that hepatitis C may be present and that we could not control for this potential confounder in the follow up study.

Boucher and colleagues observed a 75% survival rate after 10 years in patients diagnosed with alcoholic fatty liver. Patients with this histological diagnosis had the best survival rate in the spectrum of alcoholic liver diseases. However, they estimated survival in the various groups of patients from the time of diagnosis, regardless of the age at diagnosis. We performed our statistical analysis with delayed entry, taking into account both the age of the patient and time of entry at diagnosis. Thereby the age distribution was not a bias. We found significantly higher mortality in patients with alcoholic fatty liver in comparison with both type 1 NAFLD patients and the general population, as previous observed by Orholm and colleagues in patients with alcohol related liver diseases. Patients in our cohort with NAFLD had a benign course as only one of 109 patients developed cirrhosis after the 16.7 year follow up and the survival rate did not appear to differ from that of the general population when survival curves were compared. We did not compare the survival estimate statistically with the general population but the survival curve of the general population was within the confidence interval of the non-alcoholic fatty liver group for both men and women (fig 2).

Our findings are in contrast with previous reports on the prognosis for NAFLD and the risk of developing chronic liver diseases. This discrepancy may have several explanations. Other studies mainly comprised selected patients with NASH, which accounts for the higher incidence of chronic liver diseases. However, the patient cohort in our study was the same as in other studies, mainly obese women, and we would have expected that the natural history was the same, with development of NASH and a high prevalence of cirrhosis. It seems that factors other than obesity contribute to the development of chronic liver disease in patients with type 1 NAFLD. It may be speculated whether pure non-alcoholic fatty liver predisposes to NASH and the development of chronic liver disease or if NASH develops primarily without the presence of fatty liver, which could explain the apparent different prevalence rates of cirrhosis in the study. Teli and colleagues also found a good prognosis for patients with pure non-alcoholic fatty liver. Insulin resistance and hyperlipidaemia are other well known factors that predispose to fatty liver but we have no data to substantiate this hypothesis. The natural history may also be influenced by hitherto unknown genetic or nutritional differences, which could explain the different findings in studies from the USA in particular.

It has previously been shown that obesity in both alcoholic and non-alcoholic patients predisposes to the development of fatty liver and chronic liver disease. Obesity could be a contributing factor in patients in our cohort with alcoholic fatty liver, even though the observed number of cirrhosis among the 106 patients was comparable and not higher than in other reports on non-obese patients with alcoholic fatty liver. Non-alcoholic patients in our cohort had an extremely high median BMI of 42 kg/m². This makes our population a selected group, but was also a unique opportunity to study the natural history of NAFLD in a large cohort with a long term follow up. Even though they were very obese, only one developed cirrhosis in the 16.7 years of follow up.

In conclusion, in this long term follow up study, we demonstrated a high prevalence of cirrhosis in patients with alcoholic fatty liver, in contrast with a benign clinical course in patients with type 1 NAFLD with no excess mortality. It is important for clinicians to realise that fatty liver is one of the most common causes of liver dysfunction, but few non-alcoholic individuals seem to develop chronic liver disease. However, more information on the natural history of NAFLD in large prospective follow up studies is needed to guide future decisions about diagnostic strategy and identification of subgroups with a risk of developing chronic liver disease and the potential need for future specific treatments.
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