Role of cyclooxygenases 1 and 2 in the modulation of neuromuscular functions in the distal colon of humans and mice
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Background: Cyclooxygenase isoforms (COX-1, COX-2) may exert differential regulatory actions on enteric motor functions under normal or pathological conditions.

Aims: To examine the occurrence and functions of COX-1 and COX-2 in the neuromuscular compartment of normal distal colon using human and murine tissue.

Methods: Gene expression (human, mouse), protein expression (human), gene deletion (mouse), and the effects of dual and isoform specific COX inhibitors on in vitro motility (human, mouse) were investigated.

Results: Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) showed mRNA expression of COX-1 and COX-2 in human and wild-type mouse colonic muscle whereas only COX-2 or COX-1 was detected in COX-1 or COX-2 knockout animals. Immunohistochemistry localised both isoforms in neurones of myenteric ganglia, COX-1 in circular layer myocytes, and COX-2 in longitudinal muscle. Indomethacin (COX-1/COX-2 inhibitor), SC-560 (COX-1 inhibitor), or DFU (COX-2 inhibitor) enhanced atropine sensitive electrically induced contractions of human longitudinal muscle. The most prominent actions were recorded with indomethacin or SC-560 plus DFU. These results were confirmed under pharmacological blockade of non-cholinergic nerves. Atropine sensitive contractions evoked by carbachol in the presence of tetrodotoxin were enhanced by indomethacin or DFU but not by SC-560. In wild-type mice, contractile responses to electrical stimulation were enhanced by indomethacin, SC-560, or DFU. SC-560 potentiated electrically induced contractions in COX-2, but not COX-1, knockout mice. In contrast, DFU enhanced the contractions elicited by electrical stimuli in COX-1, but not in COX-2, knockout mice.

Conclusions: These results indicate that COX-1 and COX-2 are expressed in the neuromuscular compartment of normal human colon where they modulate cholinergic excitatory control of colonic motility at prejunctional and postjunctional sites, respectively.

METHODS

Human tissue excision and preparation

Colonic specimens were obtained from patients undergoing surgery for neoplastic conditions. Samples consisted of whole wall sections of distal colon from a macroscopically normal segment of distal colon from a macroscopically normal segment of distal colon.

Abbreviations: COX-1, cyclooxygenase 1; COX-2, cyclooxygenase 2; RT-PCR, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; dNTP, deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate mixture; HRP, horseradish peroxidase; DAB, 3,3’-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride; l-NAME, N’-nitro-l-arginine methyl ester.
region taken at a distance of at least 5 cm from any visible lesion. Care was taken to verify the absence of alterations by histological examination. Only tissues excised intraoperatively within 60 minutes from skin incision were used. Portions of tissue were immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C for subsequent reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis, or fixed in cold 4% paraformaldehyde, diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), for routine histology or immunohistochemistry. The remaining parts of the colonic tissues were placed into preoxygenated Krebs solution and transported on ice to the laboratory. Longitudinal muscle strips of approximately 3 mm in width and 20 mm in length were prepared as previously described. To evaluate changes in cyclooxygenase expression throughout the experimental procedures, muscle strip fragments were stored at −80°C at end of tissue preparation and at the end of the functional experiments. The experimental protocol was approved by the ethics committee of our university hospital.

**Animal care and tissue preparation**

Experiments were performed in 129/B6 mice with COX-1(−/−) and COX-2(−/−) genotypes (courtesy of Dr L. Ballou), and wild-type C57BL6 mice served as controls. Preliminary experiments confirmed that wild-type C57BL6 mice behave the same as COX-1(−/−) and COX-2(−/−) mice. Genotypes were confirmed by PCR. Animal care was in accordance with the European Community Council Directive 86-609, recognised by the Italian Government. The colon was removed and flushed with cold Krebs solution. Colonic fragments were excised, subjected to mucosa and submucosa removal by sharp dissection, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C for subsequent RT-PCR. The remaining part was used for recording contractile activity of longitudinal muscle.

**RT-PCR analysis**

Total RNA was isolated by Trizol (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA) and chloroform. RNA (1 μg) served as template for single strand cDNA synthesis in a reaction using 2 μl random hexamers (0.5 μg/μl) with 200 U MMLV-reverse transcriptase in manufacturer’s buffer containing 500 μM deoxynucleotide triphosphate mixture (dNTP) and 10 mM dithiothreitol. PCR was performed by specific primers based on the nucleotide sequence of cloned COX-1 and COX-2 human or mouse genes (table 1). PCR, consisting of 25 μl of RT products, Taq polymerase 2.5 U, dNTP 100 μM, and primers 0.5 μM, was performed by a PCR-Express thermocycler (Hybaid, Ashford, UK), as reported in table 1. Untranscribed RNA was included in PCR reactions to verify the absence of genomic DNA. RT-PCR efficiency was evaluated by primers for human or mouse β-actin (table 1). Amplified products were separated by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide. cDNA bands were visualised by UV light, quantitated by densitometric analysis with NIH Image program (Scion Corporation, Frederick, Maryland, USA), and normalised to β-actin.

**Immunohistochemical analysis**

Fixed specimens were paraffin embedded and sections (8 μm) were processed for haematoxylin-eosin staining or immunohistochemistry. In the latter case, as reported by Bernardini and colleagues, sections were sequentially exposed to: hydrogen peroxide (1% H2O2 in methanol), proteinase K (0.05 mg/ml in PBS), normal swine serum (1:20 in PBS), and primary antibody (1:100 in PBS containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin and 0.1% sodium azide, overnight at 4°C). Anti-COX-1 or anti-COX-2 antibodies were used. Myenteric neurones were detected on serial sections by antineurofilament antibody. Immunoreaction was detected by sequential use of biotinylated anti-rabbit/rabbit immunoglobulins, streptavidin labelled with horseradish peroxidase (HRP), and 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB 1 mg/ml in Tris buffer containing 0.02% H2O2). Specimens were then counterstained with haematoxylin. To verify the specificity of immunohistochemical detections, non-immune serum or preadsorbed antiseras were applied on serial sections. Briefly, COX-1 and COX-2 antiseras were preadsorbed with specific COX-1 and COX-2 blocking peptides, respectively, at five times the antibody concentration, overnight at 4°C. Endogenous peroxidases and avidin binding activity were tested by incubation of slides with DAB alone or streptavidin-HRP complex plus DAB.

**Recording of longitudinal muscle contractile activity**

Motor activity of colonic muscle was recorded as previously described. Preparations were set up in 10 ml organ baths containing Krebs solution at 37°C, bubbled with 95% O2+5% CO2. Preparations were connected to isotonic transducers (Basile, Comerio, Italy) under constant load (1 and 0.5 g for human and mouse, respectively) and allowed to equilibrate for 30 minutes. Longitudinal muscle activity was recorded by polygraph (Basile, Comerio, Italy). Transmural electrical stimulation was delivered by a BM-S676 stimulator.

---

**Table 1** Primers used for reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction analysis of cyclooxygenase (COX) isoforms and β-actin mRNA expression in human and mouse colonic tissues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Nucleotide sequence</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human COX-1</td>
<td>S: 5′-TGC CCA GCT CCT GGC CGG CCG CTT-3′  &lt;br&gt; A: 5′-GTG CAT CAA CAC AGG CCG CTC TTC-3′</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human COX-2</td>
<td>S: 5′-TCC AAA TGA GAT TGT GGG AAA ATT GCT-3′  &lt;br&gt; A: 5′-AGA TCA TCT CCG CCT GAG TAT CTT-3′</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse COX-1</td>
<td>S: 5′-AGG AGA TGG CTG CTG AGT TGG-3′  &lt;br&gt; A: 5′-AAC TGG CTG CTG ATG AGA AGA AGT TGG-3′</td>
<td>602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse COX-2</td>
<td>S: 5′-ACA CAC TCT AAT ACT GGC ACC-3′  &lt;br&gt; A: 5′-ATG GAG CAG GAG GAT TGG GAG CAG-3′</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human and mouse β-actin</td>
<td>S: 5′-TCA TGA AAT GCG TGG TGA TCC GT-3′  &lt;br&gt; A: 5′-CTG ATG GCA GGC ATG GAT TTC GT-3′</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After three minutes of denaturation at 94°C, PCR was performed as follows: 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C (one minute), annealing at 50°C (1.5 minutes), extension at 72°C (two minutes), final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes (human COX-1, COX-2); 32 cycles of denaturation at 94°C (15 seconds), annealing at 60°C (15 seconds), extension at 72°C (one minute), final extension at 72°C for seven minutes (mouse COX-1); 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C (15 seconds), annealing at 55°C (15 seconds), extension at 72°C (one minute), final extension at 72°C for seven minutes (mouse COX-2). S, sense; A, antisense.
(Biomedica Mangoni, Pisa, Italy). Stimuli were applied as 10 second single trains of suprathreshold square wave pulses (0.5 ms, 30 mA) at 10 Hz. Each preparation was repeatedly challenged with electrical stimulations, and experiments started when reproducible responses were obtained (usually after 2–3 stimulations).

In the first series, human or mouse preparations were exposed to the cyclooxygenase inhibitors, indomethacin (1 μM), SC-560 (0.1 μM), or DFU (1 μM), for 30 minutes before electrical stimulation. Preparations were incubated with test drugs along two 15 minute consecutive periods with an intervening washing. Drug concentrations were selected on the basis of previous studies. The second set was designed to assay cyclooxygenase inhibitors on responses elicited by electrical stimulation directed selectively at cholinergic innervation. Human preparations were maintained in Krebs solution containing guanethidine (adrenergic cholinergic innervation. Human preparations were main-
ed on the basis of previous studies. The second set was designed to assay cyclooxygenase inhibitors on responses elicited by electrical stimulation directed selectively at cholinergic innervation. Human preparations were maintained in Krebs solution containing guanethidine (adrenergic blocker 10 μM), Nω-nitro-L-arginine methylester (L-NAME, nitric oxide synthase inhibitor, 100 μM), L-732,138 (NK1 receptor antagonist 10 μM), GR-159897 (NK2 receptor antagonist 1 μM), and SB-218795 (NK3 receptor antagonist 1 μM), to prevent non-cholinergic responses. Incubation of strips with cyclooxygenase inhibitors before challenge with electrical stimulation was performed as reported above. In the last series, cyclooxygenase inhibitors were assayed on cholinergic responses of human preparations elicited by extrinsic activation of muscarinic receptors on smooth muscle cells. Preparations were maintained in Krebs solution containing tetrodotoxin (1 μM), and stimulated twice with carbachol (muscarinic receptor agonist 1 μM). The first stimulation was applied in the absence of other drugs while the second was applied after 30 minutes of incubation with cyclooxygenase inhibitors, as reported above. In a subset of experiments on human preparations, cyclooxygenase inhibitors were assayed on contractions evoked by exogenous histamine (1 μM) in the presence of tetrodotoxin. Additional experiments, designed to test the effects of cyclooxygenase inhibitors on contractions elicited by carbachol in colonic preparations of COX-2 deficient mice, were carried out in accordance with the protocol reported above for human tissues.

**Drugs and reagents**

Indomethacin, SC-560 (5-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-(4-metoxyphe-
nyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-pirazole), atropine sulphate, L-NAME, carbachol hydrochloride, histamine dihydrophosphate, and gua-

nethidine were supplied by Sigma Chemical (St Louis, Missouri, USA); DFU (3-(3-fluorophenyl)-4-(4-methanesul-
fonyl)-5,5-dimethyl-5H-furan-2-one) was kindly provided by Dakopatts (Milan, Italy). Cyclooxygenase inhibitors were dissolved in dimethylsulphoxide and further dilutions were made with saline solution. Dimethylsulphoxide concentra-
tion in the organ bath never exceeded 0.5%. At this concentra-
tion, dimethylsulphoxide alone did not affect resting tone, spontaneous contractile activity, or evoked motor responses in either human or mouse colonic preparations.

**Statistical analysis**

Results are expressed as per cent values of control data and given as mean (SEM). The significance of differences was evaluated on raw data, prior to percentage normalisation, by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Student-Newman-Keuls test. A p value <0.05 was
considered significant. Colonic preparations included in each test group were obtained from distinct patients or animals, and therefore the number of experiments also refers to the number of patients or animals assigned to each group. Calculations were performed by commercial software (GraphPad Prism, version 3.0; GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, California, USA).

RESULTS

RT-PCR analysis

RT-PCR analysis revealed expression of both COX-1 and COX-2 in the neuromuscular layer of the human colon. Densitometric analysis of cDNA bands did not show appreciable changes in expression of either cyclooxygenase isoform at different experimental times (fig 1). RT-PCR analysis of tissues from wild-type animals showed expression of both COX-1 and COX-2 mRNA. Furthermore, as expected, in tissues from COX-1 or COX-2 knockout mice, RT-PCR revealed only expression of COX-2 or COX-1 mRNA, respectively (fig 2).

Immunohistochemical analysis

In the neuromuscular compartment of the human colon, immunostaining for COX-1 was detected in myenteric ganglia at the level of neuronal cells. Cell specificity was confirmed by antineurofilament immunoreactivity on serial sections (fig 3A, B). COX-1 immunoreaction was localised within neuronal soma and distributed throughout the cytoplasm. COX-1 was also observed in cells of both circular and longitudinal muscle layers, particularly localised within the cytoplasm of circular layer myocytes (fig 3B). Different localisations were observed with anti-COX-2 antibody. Within myenteric ganglia weak COX-2 immunoreactivity was evident in the cytoplasm of neurones (fig 3C), and COX-2 was also detected throughout the cytoplasm of longitudinal smooth muscle cells (fig 3C).

Effects of cyclooxygenase inhibitors on longitudinal smooth muscle activity

Studies on human colon

During equilibration, most muscle preparations displayed rapid spontaneous activity which was low in amplitude and generally stable throughout the experiment. Electrically evoked responses consisted of phasic contractions (6.1 (0.7) mm; n = 8) followed, in most cases, by after contractions of variable amplitude. Atropine (1 μM) abolished initial phasic contractions or converted them into relaxations, and only after contractions became evident (fig 4A, B). Tetrodotoxin (1 μM) abolished electrically induced responses (~94%). Indomethacin (1 μM) did not usually affect resting muscle tone, although transient and moderate reductions (up to 0.6–1.1 mm) were recorded in less than 20% of colonic preparations. Furthermore, no changes in resting tone were observed on incubation of muscle strips with SC-560 (0.1 μM) or DFU (1 μM). Under these conditions, cyclooxygenase inhibitors did not affect spontaneous contractile activity while they enhanced the responses elicited by electrical stimulation. The most prominent effects were recorded with indomethacin (1 μM; +42%; fig 4C, 5A) while
SC-560 (0.1 μM) or DFU (1 μM) were less effective (+30% and +21%; fig 5A). After incubation with SC-560 plus DFU, electrical stimulation induced motor responses with an amplitude (+46%) comparable with that observed with indomethacin (fig 5A).

In the presence of guanethidine, L-NAME, and NK receptor antagonists, electrical stimulation evoked phasic contractions (5.7 (0.8) mm; n = 7) which were abolished, or markedly reduced, by atropine 1 μM (fig 4D, E). Likewise, they were suppressed by tetrodotoxin (1 μM; −97%) while hexamethonium (10 μM) had no effect (−6%). Under these conditions, indomethacin (1 μM) enhanced cholinergic contractile responses to electrical stimulation (+109%; fig 4F, 5B). SC-560 (0.1 μM) or DFU (1 μM) mimicked this effect although they were less effective (+69% and +51%; fig 5B). Incubation with SC-560 plus DFU was followed by potentiation of electrically induced contractions (+101%) similar to that achieved with indomethacin (fig 5B).

Exposure of preparations to carbachol (1 μM) resulted in atropine sensitive contractions (fig 4G, H). Carbachol induced contractions (6.3 (1) mm; n = 8) were enhanced to a similar extent by indomethacin (1 μM) or DFU (1 μM) (+50% and +43%, respectively) while SC-560 (0.1 μM) had no effect (fig 4I, 5C). Following incubation with SC-560 plus DFU, carbachol elicited contractile responses (+53%) not different from those recorded with DFU alone (fig 5C). Under the same conditions, histamine (1 μM) elicited contractions which were insensitive to indomethacin, SC-560, DFU, or SC-560 plus DFU.

Studies on isolated mouse colon
During equilibration, colonic preparations from wild-type or knockout animals developed spontaneous activity which remained nearly stable throughout the experiment. In most cases, electrical stimulation elicited motor responses consisting of moderate relaxations followed by after contractions. However, in several preparations from COX-1 knockout mice, the relaxant response was less evident, or even missing, although they were less effective (−51% and −69%, respectively) while SC-560 (0.1 μM) had no effect (fig 4I, 5C). Following incubation with SC-560 plus DFU, carbachol elicited contractile responses (+53%) not different from those recorded with DFU alone (fig 5C). Under the same conditions, histamine (1 μM) elicited contractions which were insensitive to indomethacin, SC-560, DFU, or SC-560 plus DFU.

Studies on isolated mouse colon
During equilibration, colonic preparations from wild-type or knockout animals developed spontaneous activity which remained nearly stable throughout the experiment. In most cases, electrical stimulation elicited motor responses consisting of moderate relaxations followed by after contractions. However, in several preparations from COX-1 knockout mice, the relaxant response was less evident, or even missing, and electrical stimulation evoked contractions with variable superimposed after contractions (fig 6A–C). Mean amplitudes of contractile responses elicited by electrical...
stimulation of colonic preparations from wild-type, COX-1, and COX-2 knockout mice were 3.2 (0.6) mm (n = 7), 3.5 (0.7) mm (n = 7), and 3.7 (0.9) mm (n = 6), respectively. In the presence of atropine (1 μM), preparations from wild-type or transgenic animals responded to electrical stimuli with marked enhancement of relaxation followed by variable after contractions (fig 6D–F).

No significant variations in resting muscle tone were detected when applying indomethacin, SC-560, or DFU to colonic preparations from wild-type as well as COX-1 or COX-2 knockout mice. In tissues from wild-type mice, indomethacin (1 μM) enhanced electrically evoked contractions (+74%) (fig 7A); SC-560 (0.1 μM) or DFU (1 μM) also enhanced the evoked responses although to a lesser extent (+40% and +49%, respectively) (fig 6G, J, M, P; fig 7A). Coincubation with SC-560 plus DFU enhanced the evoked motor activity (+67%), hence duplicating indomethacin action (fig 7A). In COX-1 knockout mice, the contractile response to electrical stimuli was potentiated by indomethacin or DFU (+34% and +29%) while SC-560 had no effect (fig 6H, K, N, Q; fig 7B). By contrast, in COX-2 knockout animals, DFU did not modify electrically induced contractions while indomethacin or SC-560 promoted similar enhancing actions (38% and 41%) (fig 6L, O, R; fig 7C).

Carbachol (1 μM) was tested on colonic preparations from COX-2 knockout mice and elicited atropine sensitive contractions (fig 8A). These responses did not vary significantly following incubation with indomethacin (fig 8B, C), SC-560, DFU, or coincubation with SC-560 plus DFU (fig 8C).

**DISCUSSION**

Following the discovery of COX-1 and COX-2, it has become increasingly evident that COX-2 is constitutively expressed in various tissues where this enzyme mediates important regulatory actions. At the gastrointestinal level, marked COX-2 induction has been described within the mucosa in the presence of inflammation or ulcers, and COX-2 expression has been reported within neuromuscular compartments, mainly in association with inflammation. On this basis, we attempted to characterise the expression and functional relevance of cyclooxygenase isoforms in the neuromuscular layer of the normal human colon. Evidence was indeed obtained that COX-1 and COX-2 are constitutively expressed in colonic tunica muscularis, and that both contribute in modulating the cholinergic control of motor activity at the neuronal and muscular levels.

Prior to addressing the consequences of pharmacological manipulations on contractile activity, we examined expression and cellular localisation of COX-1 and COX-2 in target tissues. RT-PCR analysis of human colonic muscle revealed expression of mRNA encoding both cyclooxygenase isoforms. The same observation was made in samples from wild-type
mice and, as expected, COX-1 or COX-2 mRNA was not detected in COX-2 or COX-1 knockout mice. Of note, COX-1 and COX-2 expression did not vary at different experimental times, indicating that tissue manipulation did not affect gene transcription. Immunohistochemical analysis of human colon confirmed the RT-PCR data and demonstrated expression of cyclooxygenase proteins in different cellular sites: both COX-1 and COX-2 were detected in neurones of the myenteric ganglia, COX-1 was predominantly expressed in circular layer myocytes, and COX-2 immunopositivity was evident in longitudinal muscle. Taken together, these findings add novel evidence to the concept that COX-2 can operate to modulate cholinergic control of human colonic muscle. Overall, our present findings support the concept that: (a) in human colon, cyclooxygenases are involved in enteric circuitries exerting tonic inhibitory control on smooth muscle responses to endogenous acetylcholine; (b) both cyclooxygenase isoforms contribute to these regulatory actions; and (c) cholinergic neurones are mostly modulated by COX-1 activity while COX-2 acts mainly at the muscular level to downregulate muscarinic responses. Our experiments also suggest that COX-1 products may operate at the prejunctional level to modulate acetylcholine release whereas, since suprathreshold stimuli were applied to colonic preparations, a possible influence of COX-1 derived prostanoids on the excitability of cholinergic nerves could not be determined.

The motor patterns of preparations from wild-type mice compare favourably with those of human colon, as murine tissues responded to electrical stimuli with atropine sensitive contractions which were enhanced by indomethacin, SC-560, or DFU. Furthermore, data from COX-1 or COX-2 deficient mice confirmed that SC-560 and DFU affected colonic motility via specific interactions with cyclooxygenase isoforms, and strongly support the implication of both cyclooxygenases in the control of gut neuromuscular functions. For a correct interpretation of the data obtained from cyclooxygenase deficient mice, it is important to mention that COX-2 deficient mice did not differ significantly from those recorded in wild-type animals. Although one might expect that knockout mice display enhanced contractile responses to electrical stimulation, compared with wild type animals, here we present evidence that COX-1 and COX-2 operate at different levels to regulate the activity of myenteric pathways driving colonic motor functions. Therefore, it is conceivable that, following genetic suppression of one cyclooxygenase enzyme, the other isoform can ensure sufficient physiological modulation of gut motor activity. In keeping with this view, gastric fundus muscles, isolated from COX-2 knockout or wild-type mice, responded in a similar manner to relaxant stimuli, while displaying different sensitivities to the effects of indomethacin or selective COX-2 blockade.

The observation that both cyclooxygenase isoforms cooperate to modulate cholinergic control of human colonic motility represents a novel aspect of this study, as much attention is being currently directed to the role of COX-2 in enteric neuromotility during inflammation. Some lines of evidence concur with the present results to suggest that cyclooxygenase products can affect myenteric cholinergic neurotransmission and/or muscarinic mediated contractile activity in normal digestive tissues, with different mechanisms and functional consequences depending on the gut region and species examined. De Backer and colleagues observed that indomethacin enhanced electrically induced...
acetylcholine release from smooth muscle strips of pig stomach suggesting, in line with our data, an inhibitory action of endogenous prostanoids on intramural cholinergic neurones. In contrast, studies on longitudinal muscle of guinea pig ileum showed that electrically or nicotine induced acetylcholine release, and related cholinergic contractions, can be stimulated by prostaglandins and reduced by indomethacin, indicating that endogenous prostanooids mediate the excitatory effect in this model.  

Pharmacological concentrations of prostaglandins contract the longitudinal muscle of human stomach and distal colon while prostacyclin induces relaxant responses and counteracts the contractile activity being elicited by exogenous acetylcholine.  

Although little is known of the influence of endogenous prostanooids on human digestive muscle, Porcher and colleagues reported that phasic contractions of mouse gastric muscle, being induced by exogenous acetylcholine, are enhanced by indomethacin or GR253035X, a selective COX-2 inhibitor. These findings agree fully with our results, showing that carbachol induced contractile responses in human preparations were enhanced following COX-2, but not COX-1, blockade. In addition, the present data from colonic preparations of COX-2 deficient mice, showing that carbachol induced contractions were not affected by any cyclooxygenase inhibitor, further support the view that COX-2 operates directly on smooth muscle to modulate cholinergic motor activity.

Cellular locations of cyclooxygenase isofoms, as evidenced by immunohistochemistry, are compatible with present pharmacological data, as COX-1 expression in myenteric ganglia may subserve a role in modulation of cholinergic neurotransmission and COX-2 within longitudinal muscle may explain the enhancing effect of DFU on carbachol induced contractions. At the same time, the fact that COX-2 was not detected in the circular muscle layer is consistent with the observation that carbachol induced contractions of human colonic circular muscle are not significantly affected by incubation with indomethacin or DFU (Blandizzi, unpublished results). Of interest, our immunohistochemical results are partly consistent with the data of Porcher and colleagues who identified constitutive expression of COX-2 in myenteric neurones of mouse stomach. They did not perform immunohistochemistry for COX-1 and, despite positivity of RT-PCR for COX-1 and COX-2, they could not detect COX-2 immunoreactivity in smooth muscle cells. However, differences in species and gut regions may account for differential expression patterns of cyclooxygenase isofoms in digestive tissues. For instance, Barbara and colleagues demonstrated COX-2 expression within circular muscle in normal rat jejunum. Moreover, the functional significance of COX-1 expression in circular muscle and COX-2 in myenteric ganglia of human colon remains to be examined. Previous reports showed that indomethacin reduced electrically induced after contractions in longitudinal muscle of human small intestine or mouse colon, raising the interesting possibility that endogenous prostanooids contribute to facilitate the activity of excitatory non-cholinergic pathways. Although our study focused on the influence of cyclooxygenase isofoms on cholinergic motility, the present findings, together with previous studies, indicate that the cyclooxygenase enzymes are involved in a complex regulatory network of intestinal neuromuscular functions, and that further investigations are required to clarify the relationships between cyclooxygenase isofoms and non-cholinergic enteric pathways. It also remains to be examined whether cyclooxygenase enzymes are expressed in interstitial cells of Cajal and/or regulate any activity of these cells at the human gut level. Indeed, Cajal cells have been implicated in the control of enteric motor neurotransmission and were recently shown to express COX-2 in mouse stomach, suggesting that these cells may represent an important site of prostanoid production within digestive muscle layers. We are currently addressing this issue and, consistently with data reported by Porcher and colleagues, our preliminary immunohistochemical evidence indicates that, on labelling with c-Kit antiserum, a proportion of Cajal cells do express COX-2 in the normal human colon (Bernardini, unpublished results).

In conclusion, the present results indicate that both COX-1 and COX-2 are expressed in the neuromuscular compartment of the human distal colon, and that these isofoms, acting respectively at prejunctional and postjunctional sites, contribute to local inhibitory networks thought to modulate the excitatory control of colonic motility driven by myenteric cholinergic pathways. These findings can provide a basis to interpret the regulatory actions of prostanoids and cyclooxygenase inhibitors on intestinal motility under either normal or pathological conditions.
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An unusual case of terminal ileal stricture

Clinical presentation
A 42 year old unemployed male was referred to the gastroenterology department for investigation of constant severe abdominal pain and profound weight loss (45 kg over an eight month period). Further questioning revealed intermittent diarrhoea, steatorrhoea, and rectal bleeding. He was a smoker of 60 cigarettes per day but denied alcohol excess.

Examination revealed a cachectic man but no specific positive findings were revealed on systems examination.

Endoscopy with distal duodenal biopsy, pancreatealurl test, and plain computed tomography of the abdomen were all normal. Colonoscopy revealed normal colonic mucosa.

Question
A view of the terminal ileum is shown in fig 1; describe the appearance and the most likely diagnosis(es).

See page 653 for answer
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Figure 1 A view of the terminal ileum.
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