MELD score and serum sodium in the prediction of survival of patients with cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation
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**Background/Aims:** Serum sodium predicts prognosis in cirrhosis and may improve the prognostic accuracy of the model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score, but the available information is limited. The aim of the present study was to assess the prognostic value of serum sodium in the prediction of survival at 3 and 12 months after listing in patients with cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation, and to compare its predictive value with that of the MELD score.

**Patients and methods:** 308 consecutive patients with cirrhosis listed for transplantation during a 5-year period were included in the study. The end-point was survival at 3 and 12 months before transplantation. Variables obtained at the time of listing were analysed for prognostic value using multivariable analysis. Accuracy of prognostic variables was analysed by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves.

**Results:** The MELD score and serum sodium concentration were the only independent predictors of survival at 3 and 12 months after listing. Low serum sodium was associated with an increased risk of death in all subpopulations of patients with cirrhosis categorised according to the major complication developed before listing. The area under the ROC curves for serum sodium and MELD score was not significantly different both at 3 months (0.83 vs 0.79, respectively) and at 12 months (0.70 vs 0.77, respectively). The addition of serum sodium did not significantly improve the accuracy of the MELD score in the prediction of survival at 3 and 12 months.

**Conclusion:** In patients with cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation, serum sodium and MELD were found to be independent predictors of survival. Larger studies are needed to determine whether the addition of serum sodium to MELD can improve its prognostic accuracy.
diabetes, as described in detail elsewhere. Ascites at the time of listing was classified as controlled or uncontrolled according to the score obtained in the Child–Pugh classification, 2 or 3, respectively. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis was treated with ceftriaxone plus intravenous albumin, followed by the administration of norfloxacin to prevent recurrence. Dilutional hyponatraemia (serum sodium <130 mEq/l) was managed with fluid restriction (1–1.5 l/day), except for those patients with severe hyponatraemia (serum sodium <120 mEq/l), who were given hypertonic saline before transplantation in an attempt to increase serum sodium concentration. Hepatorenal syndrome with serum creatinine above 2 mg/dl was managed with terlipressin plus albumin. Acute variceal bleeding was initially managed with either somatostatin or terlipressin associated with emergency sclerotherapy or band ligation. Prevention of recurrent bleeding was performed with the administration of β-blockers with or without variceal band ligation. Acute hepatic encephalopathy was treated with lactulose and rectal enemas, and treatment of the precipitating cause, if any.

During the study period (January 2000 to March 2005), the allocation of livers for transplantation in our centre was strictly determined by the time on the waiting list; in other words, when a liver from a cadaveric donor became available, the liver was assigned to the patient who had been on the waiting list for the longest time, matched by ABO group and body weight. Living-related liver transplantation was offered to suitable candidates. Since April 2005, the allocation of livers in our transplant programme is based on the severity of liver disease using the MELD score.

End-points and definitions
The primary end-points of the study were survival before transplantation at 3 and 12 months after inclusion on the waiting list. The MELD score at inclusion was calculated according to the formula of the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) available at www.unos.org. Hyponatraemia was defined as serum sodium <130 mEq/l at the time of listing, according to the definition of the International Ascites Club. Renal failure was defined as the presence of serum creatinine ≥1.5 mg/dl at the time of listing. Hepatorenal syndrome was diagnosed using the criteria of the International Ascites Club, as reported elsewhere.

Statistical analysis
Demographic, clinical and biochemical variables were analysed as possible predictors of survival in a univariate analysis, and probability curves (Kaplan–Meier) were compared with the log-rank test. Values of biochemical variables included in the statistical analysis were concurrent and obtained at the time of listing in another transplant centre were considered as dead at the time of exclusion from the waiting list. Patients removed from the waiting list because of improvement of their liver function and those removed because of listing in another transplant centre were considered as censored at the time of exclusion from the waiting list. Patients still alive on the waiting list at the end of each period (3 and 12 months) were considered as censored. Predictive factors identified in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariable analysis, using Cox regression method. A stepwise forward regression method was used. Validation of the proportional hazards assumption for the final models (ie, that the relative risk of failure between subgroups in the model does not change over time) was carried out through a graphical examination of log minus log plots of the Kaplan–Meier survival curves versus the log of time, for the tertiles of the model prediction. The final models were validated using the bootstrap method.

The accuracy of each independent predictive factor of survival was assessed by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. Comparison between ROC curves (concordance c-statistic test) was performed with the statistical package available at www.analyse-it.com, which uses the algorithm described by Hanley and McNeil. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 10 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results are expressed as mean (SD). p <0.05 was considered as statistically significant. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Hospital Clinic of Barcelona.

RESULTS
Characteristics of the patients
Demographic, clinical and biochemical data of patients included in the study at the time of listing are shown in table 1. One hundred and thirty-five (44%) of the 308 patients had an abnormal serum sodium concentration (<135 mEq/l). The prevalence of low serum sodium concentration as defined by a serum sodium concentration <130, <125 and <120 mEq/l was 14, 5 and 1%, respectively.

Survival of patients on the waiting list
One-hundred and ninety (62%) out of the 308 patients included in the study underwent liver transplantation during follow-up (157 patients from deceased donors, 22 patients from living donors and 11 patients received a domino liver transplantation). 65 patients (21%) died while in the waiting list, 18 patients (6%) were removed because of listing in another transplant centre, 7 patients (2%) were excluded from the list either because they were too sick to be transplanted (4 patients) or because of marked improvement of liver function (3 patients), and 28 patients (9%) were still awaiting transplantation at the time of the analysis of the results. The median time on the waiting list was 6.4 months (range 0.1–18 months). Figure 1 shows the probability of survival on the waiting list of the whole population of included patients. The probability of survival before transplantation was 88% at 3 months and 68% at 12 months after inclusion on the waiting list.

Predictive factors of survival on the waiting list
The predictive factors of survival were analysed at two different time points: 3 and 12 months.

Three-month survival
Thirty-four (11%) of the 308 patients died within the first 3 months after inclusion on the waiting list before transplantation was performed. Table 2 shows the comparison of clinical and biochemical characteristics of patients who died and those of patients who survived the initial 3 months. Factors associated with 3-month survival in the univariate analysis
were: hepatorenal syndrome, uncontrolled ascites, serum bilirubin, serum albumin, INR, serum sodium concentration and MELD score. All these variables were included in the multivariable analysis as they were considered clinically relevant. In multivariable analysis, only serum sodium and MELD score were independently associated with prognosis (table 3). When the MELD score was excluded from the multivariable analysis, factors that were independently associated with prognosis were: serum bilirubin, serum creatinine, INR and serum sodium concentration. Figure 3 shows the probability of survival during the first year after inclusion on the waiting list for all patients classified according to different values of serum sodium and MELD score. The area under the ROC curves for MELD score and serum sodium were 0.77 (95% CI 0.70 to 0.80) and 0.70 (95% CI 0.60 to 0.78), respectively, the difference not being statistically significant (p = 0.14).

To assess the possible value of serum sodium in improving the accuracy of the MELD score in the evaluation of prognosis, serum sodium concentration was incorporated in the calculation of the MELD score, using a formula described recently. A MELD-Na score was then calculated for each patient and its accuracy in predicting prognosis was compared with that of the MELD score and serum sodium using ROC curves. As shown in fig 4, no significant differences were observed between the predictive value of MELD-Na at 3 and 12 months and those of the MELD score and serum sodium concentration calculated individually. The addition of the variable uncontrolled ascites to MELD-Na did not significantly improve the accuracy of MELD alone or MELD-Na in the prediction of 3- or 12-month survival (area under the ROC curve for 3-month survival: MELD 0.79, MELD-Na 0.83, MELD-Na-uncontrolled ascites 0.84; area under the ROC curve for 12-month survival: MELD 0.76; MELD-Na 0.78, MELD-Na-uncontrolled ascites 0.77). Moreover, when a separate analysis was performed in the subgroup of patients with low MELD (<21), no significant differences were found between the predictive values of MELD-Na and MELD-Na-uncontrolled ascites and those of MELD score or serum sodium individually (area under the ROC curve for 3-month survival: MELD 0.74, serum sodium 0.79, MELD-Na 0.80, MELD-Na-uncontrolled ascites 0.81; area under the ROC curve for 12-month survival: MELD 0.70, serum sodium 0.62, MELD-Na 0.70, MELD-Na-uncontrolled ascites 0.60).

### Table 1 Demographic and clinical data and liver and renal function tests at the time of listing for transplantation in the 308 patients included in the study

| Age (years) | 52 (9) (18–66) |
| Sex | Male 207 (67%), Female 101 (33%) |
| Aetiology of cirrhosis | Hepatitis C 136 (44%), Alcohol 80 (26%), Hepatitis C and alcohol 25 (8%), Hepatitis B 20 (6%), Other* 47 (18%) |
| Complications of cirrhosis | Ascultes 271 (88%), Controlled 112 (41%), Uncontrolled 159 (59%), Hepatic encephalopathy 143 (47%), Variceal bleeding 91 (29%), SBP 83 (27%), Renal failure† 39 (13%), Hepatorenal syndrome‡ 20 (7%), Parenchymal renal disease 19 (6%) |
| Aetiology of cirrhosis | Bilirubin (mg/dl) 4.3 (5) (0.2–46), Albumin (g/l) 30 (5) (17–45), INR 1.5 (0.8) (1–12), Creatinine (mg/dl) 1.2 (0.9) (0.3–11), Sodium (mEq/l) 135 (5) (111–146), MELD score 18 (5) (7–48), Child–Pugh score 9.3 (1.8) (5–15) |

INR, international normalisation ratio; MELD, model for end-stage liver disease; SBP, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. Data are expressed as as number (%) or mean (SD) (ranges) *Other causes of end-stage liver disease were: cholestatic liver disease in 25 patients (8%), metabolic liver disease in 12 patients (4%), cryptogenic cirrhosis in 5 patients (2%), autoimmune liver disease in 3 patients (1%) and Budd–Chiari in 2 patients (1%). †As defined by serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dl. ‡All 20 patients had type 2 hepatorenal syndrome.

**Figure 1** One-year survival before transplantation of the whole population of patients with cirrhosis included in the study. Time 0 is the time of listing. Numbers under the graph are patients at risk at each time point.
Serum sodium and survival in different subpopulations of patients with cirrhosis
To assess further the prognostic value of serum sodium concentration, patients were categorised according to the major complication(s) of cirrhosis they had developed before listing. The prognostic value of serum sodium was then evaluated in these subsets of patients by comparing the hazard ratio of death at 3 months in patients with and without hyponatraemia. As shown in table 5, the presence of hyponatraemia was associated with an increased risk of death in all subpopulations of patients evaluated: patients with ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, gastrointestinal bleeding, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis or renal failure. Serum sodium was an independent predictor of survival in patients with low and high MELD scores (<21 and ≥21, respectively).

**DISCUSSION**
The current study reports the results of the analysis of survival and prognostic factors of a large cohort of patients with cirrhosis listed for transplantation in a single institution over a 5-year period. Several characteristics of this cohort make it unique in the assessment of prognostic factors of survival of patients with cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation. First, it includes a relatively large number of patients treated in a single institution following standardised protocols for the management of complications of cirrhosis developing during the waiting time. Secondly, the study period was restricted to 5 years, which minimises the impact of changes in patients’ management over time. Thirdly, during the period of the study, the major determinant of organ allocation was time on the waiting list. Finally, although this is a retrospective study, all variables evaluated as prognostic factors were collected prospectively in a large database at the time of inclusion of patients on the waiting list.

The results of the current study confirm previous data indicating that the MELD score is an independent predictor of survival in patients with cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation. MELD score at the time of listing was an independent predictor of survival at both 3 and 12 months after inclusion of patients on the waiting list. The fact that the allocation of organs in this cohort of patients was done by time on the waiting list reinforces the value of the MELD score as the best method currently available to allocate organs when a system based on the severity of the liver disease is to be used. Comparison of ROC curves assessing the relationship between MELD score and 3- and 12-month survival probability indicates that the MELD score has a similar prognostic accuracy in the assessment of 3-month survival compared with 12-month survival (c-statistic 0.79 and 0.77, respectively). Moreover, a close look at the relationship between MELD score and 3-month survival probability indicates that a major change in survival probability occurs in values of MELD ranging from 15 to 40 (fig 2). In this range, an increase in one point in the MELD score represents an 8% decrease in 3-month survival probability. Another interesting finding of the current study was that patients with a MELD score lower than 15 have a very high probability of survival at both 3 and 12 months after listing (96 and 87%, respectively). This survival probability is similar to that reported in most transplant centres after transplantation of patients with cirrhosis. Patients with low MELD scores are commonly listed for transplantation in transplant programmes using allocation systems based on time on the waiting list, as was the case in the current study. However, the current data showing very low waiting list mortality in this patient population cast doubts about the convenience of listing patients with low MELD scores in transplant programmes in which the allocation system is based on the severity of liver disease. An interesting and somewhat surprising finding of the current study was that hepatorenal syndrome, although significantly associated with prognosis in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Regression coefficients, odds ratios and 95% CI of</th>
<th>odds ratios of variables with independent predictive value</th>
<th>of survival at 3 and 12 months*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coefficient OR (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>1.1 (1.07 to 1.142)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum sodium</td>
<td>−0.095</td>
<td>0.90 (0.87 to 0.94)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>1.091 (1.05 to 1.138)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum sodium</td>
<td>−0.128</td>
<td>0.88 (0.845 to 0.916)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MELD, model for end-stage liver disease; OR, odds ratio.
*Both models were validated with the bootstrap method. In the bootstrap validations for both models, the same variables entered the bootstrap-derived models most frequently. In fact, MELD score and serum sodium concentration appeared in 94 and 100% of the analyses for 3-month survival, and in 100 and 99% of the analyses for 12-month survival, respectively. Moreover, estimated models using only MELD score and serum sodium concentration as independent predictors were observed in 76% at 3 months. At 12 months, the mentioned model was estimated in 38% of the bootstrapped samples, and in only 1% of the models these two predictors did not appear simultaneously.

www.gutjnl.com
the univariate analysis, was not an independent predictive factor of survival in the multivariable analysis. Although we do not have a complete explanation for this finding, it may be due, at least in part, to the fact that most patients with hepatorenal syndrome were treated with terlipressin and albumin before transplantation, which could have resulted in an improvement of survival of these patients.

The results of the current study are in keeping with several recent studies in patients with cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation as well as with previous studies in patients with cirrhosis and ascites indicating that serum sodium concentration is a very good marker of survival, at both 3 and 12 months after inclusion of patients on the waiting list. Serum sodium concentration has also recently been shown to be a good marker of outcome after transplantation. Comparison of ROC curves assessing the relationship between serum sodium concentration and 3- and 12-month survival probability indicates that serum sodium concentration has better prognostic accuracy in the assessment of 3-month survival than 12-month survival (c-statistic 0.83 and 0.70, respectively). On the other hand, a close look at the relationship between serum sodium concentration and 3-month survival probability indicates that a major change in survival probability occurs in values of serum sodium ranging from 120 to 135 (fig 2). In this range, a reduction in 1 mEq/l in serum sodium concentration is associated with a 12% decrease in 3-month survival probability. Another relevant clinical finding of this study was that patients without hyponatraemia but with a serum sodium concentration lower than normal values (ie, patients with serum sodium between 130 and 135 mEq/l) have a 12-month probability of survival significantly greater than that of patients with hyponatraemia (serum sodium >130 mEq/l) but lower than that of patients with normal serum sodium concentration (fig 3).

The reason why the MELD score is a good marker of prognosis in patients with cirrhosis who are candidates for liver transplantation is probably related to the fact that MELD combines two parameters, bilirubin and INR, that are sensitive markers of liver function, together with serum creatinine, a marker of renal function. The severity of both liver and renal dysfunction has been shown to correlate with prognosis in patients with cirrhosis. In contrast, the explanation for why serum sodium concentration is a good marker of prognosis in patients with cirrhosis is uncertain and has not been specifically investigated. It might be that serum sodium concentration reflects the severity of liver failure, because patients with hyponatraemia commonly have a more advanced liver disease compared with that of patients without hyponatraemia. However, the results of this study as well as those from several previous studies indicate that the prognostic value of hyponatraemia is independent of that of the MELD score, which appears to dissociate the predictive value of serum sodium from that of liver and renal failure. Alternatively, it is possible that serum sodium concentration predicts prognosis.

![Figure 2](http://gut.bmj.com/...)

Figure 2 Relationship between serum sodium (left) and model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score (right) and estimated 3-month probability of survival in all patients included.

| Table 4 Comparison of clinical and biochemical characteristics of patients included in the study divided according to whether they were dead or alive at 12 months |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Age (years) | 52 (10) | 52 (9) | 0.9 |
| Ascites | 60 (94%) | 211 (87%) | 0.001 | 9.3 |
| Controlled | 14 (23%) | 98 (46%) | |
| Uncontrolled | 46 (77%) | 113 (54%) | 0.02 | 4.7 |
| Hepatic encephalopathy | 38 (59%) | 107 (44%) | 0.42 | 0.1 |
| GI bleeding | 20 (21%) | 71 (29%) | 0.348 | 0.28 |
| SBP | 19 (30%) | 64 (26%) | 0.348 | 0.28 |
| Renal failure* | 13 (20%) | 26 (11%) | 0.04 | 3.8 |
| Hepatorenal syndrome† | 9 (15%) | 11 (5%) | 0.01 | 6.38 |
| Bilirubin (mg/dl) | 6.7 (7.1) | 3.7 (3.5) | 0.002 |
| Albumin (g/l) | 28 (5) | 31 (5) | 0.000 |
| INR | 2 (1) | 1.6 (0.8) | 0.03 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 1.2 (1.1) | 1.2 (1.0) | 0.453 |
| Sodium (mEq/l) | 132 (6) | 136 (4) | 0.000 |
| MELD score | 21 (6) | 16 (5) | 0.000 |

GI, gastrointestinal; INR, international normalisation ratio; MELD, model for end-stage liver disease; SBP, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis.

*As defined by serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dl.
†All patients had type 2 hepatorenal syndrome.
because it reflects the severity of circulatory failure associated with advanced cirrhosis, as hyponatraemia is due to a non-osmotic hypersecretion of vasopressin, which in turn is dependent on the degree of impairment in circulatory function.21 22 37 Finally, hyponatraemia has been reported as a predisposing factor for the development of hepatic encephalopathy,38–40 although evidence supporting such a relationship is still limited.

Considering the results of previous studies as well as those of the current study, the question arises as to whether serum sodium concentration should be used to improve the prognostic accuracy of the MELD score in view of its simplicity and high predictive value. To assess the possible value of serum sodium in improving the accuracy of the MELD score in the evaluation of prognosis, serum sodium concentration was incorporated in the calculation of the MELD score, using a formula described recently.28 A MELD-Na score was then calculated for each patient and its accuracy in predicting prognosis was compared with that of the MELD score and serum sodium individually. Discrepancies between the results of the current study and those of previous studies10 28 may be related to differences in the patient population, methods used to incorporate sodium in the MELD formula or the method used for organ allocation. On the other hand, it is important to point out that the sample size of the current study was not high enough to rule out completely a better predictive value of MELD-Na compared with MELD or serum sodium alone. In fact, the estimated power of the study was low, 11% at 3 months and 30% at 12 months. An estimated power >80% would have required the inclusion of 1268 patients which is difficult to achieve in single-centre studies, unless the study period is markedly prolonged, an approach that does not seem appropriate because it will increase the heterogeneity of the patient population. Therefore, multicentre studies with very large sample sizes would be required to test the superiority of MELD-Na with respect to MELD alone in the assessment of prognosis of patients with cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation.

While awaiting the results of these studies, it is important to ponder the appropriateness of including serum sodium as a new variable in a score for organ allocation in liver transplantation.
new variable should ideally meet the following criteria: good correlation with survival; easy measurement; wide availability; and stability. While serum sodium meets the first three criteria, it does not meet the fourth. In fact, serum sodium levels may have important fluctuations after simple therapeutic manoeuvres, which do not necessarily reflect changes in the severity of the disease. For example, administration of diuretics is commonly associated with marked changes in serum sodium concentration, which are of 4 mEq/l on average and can be as high as 10–15 mEq/l and are often reversible after diuretic withdrawal. Moreover, serum sodium may decrease markedly after administration of oral or intravenous hypotonic fluids due to the impaired capacity to eliminate solute-free water commonly present in patients with advanced cirrhosis. Finally, the serum sodium concentration increases markedly after the administration of drugs that antagonise selectively the V2 receptors of the antidiuretic hormone. Phase 2 studies have shown that these drugs increase serum sodium concentration and improve the management of ascites in patients with cirrhosis and hyponatraemia, and may be available soon for use in clinical practice. If the beneficial effects of these drugs are confirmed in phase 3 studies, which are currently underway, the addition of serum sodium as a variable in a new score to be used for organ allocation should ideally meet the following criteria: good correlation with survival; easy measurement; wide availability; and stability. Therefore, serum sodium should be considered as a variable in a new score to be used for organ allocation studies, which are currently underway, the addition of serum sodium as a variable in a new score to be used for organ allocation.

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Hyponatraemia</th>
<th>No hyponatraemia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascites</td>
<td>n = 37</td>
<td>n = 234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic encephalopathy</td>
<td>5.9 (2.9 to 1.7)</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variceal bleeding</td>
<td>7.8 (8.1 to 19.8)</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis</td>
<td>11.5 (3.3 to 40)</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure</td>
<td>n = 11</td>
<td>n = 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.5 (1 to 142)</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are relative risk (95% CI).

*Hyponatraemia was defined as sodium < 130 mEq/l.*
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