Radiological diagnosis of gastritis

W. B. JAMES, A. G. MELROSE, J. W. DAVIDSON, AND R. I. RUSSELL

From the Departments of Radiology and Medicine, Southern General Hospital, Glasgow

EDITORIAL SYNOPSIS Considerable success has been achieved in the radiological diagnosis of gastric atrophy.

The majority of physicians believe that there is no diagnostic symptom complex by which chronic gastritis can be recognized and that it is difficult or impossible to diagnose the disease clinically. The advent of gastric biopsy permitted an agreed histological classification of diffuse mucosal abnormalities, and has allowed the results obtained by other methods to be subjected to comparison with the histological findings. It has become evident that clinical and gastroscopic diagnoses of gastritis bear little relation to the mucosal changes seen on microscopy. Radiological descriptions of supposed signs of chronic gastritis, such as 'thickened rugae', and 'enlarged folds', were based to a large extent on gastroscopic observations, and they too have fallen into disrepute. Joske, Finckh, and Wood (1955) compared the radiological and histological findings in patients with various types of gastritis and could find no correlation. It seemed therefore that radiology had little to contribute to the study of diffuse gastric mucosal lesions. In 1956, however, Badenoch, Kemp, and Richards were able, in a retrospective study, to make a correct diagnosis on radiological grounds of either normality or gastric atrophy in 28 of 35 patients. Their observations have since been confirmed by Laws and Pitman (1960) and by Joske and Vaughan (1962), and criteria for a radiological diagnosis of gastric atrophy have been established. By contrast, no correlation has been demonstrated between the radiological and histological findings in superficial gastritis (Henning, Heinkel, and Frik, 1960; Joske and Vaughan, 1962; Berlin, 1962), and this lesion cannot be distinguished from normal mucosa by radiological methods.

There is the possibility therefore of differentiating radiologically between normal mucosa, including superficial gastritis, on the one hand, and the atrophic mucosa on the other. In this study we have investigated the accuracy of the radiological assessment of diffuse lesions of gastric mucosa by correlating the opinions of two radiologists on radiographs of the stomach taken at routine barium meal examination with the histological findings from gastric biopsy specimens.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Gastric biopsy was carried out on 45 patients, using Wood's flexible gastric biopsy instrument. All the patients had previously suffered from duodenal ulcer. As recommended by Bockus (1963a and b), whenever possible more than one biopsy was taken from each patient, and in the group examined the average number of specimens per patient was two. The assumption has been made that the biopsy material is representative of the overall state of the mucosa in the body of the stomach, and we believe this assumption to be justified, in view of the observations of Williams, Edwards, Lewis, and Coghill (1957), who noted agreement in approximately 90% of samples taken at the same time, irrespective of the site of the various samples.

Each section was stained with haematoxylin and eosin, a trichromic stain, and a mucin stain. If two or more specimens were obtained, it was known that they came from the same patient. Three stains were performed on each biopsy, and at least two sections were stained by each method; on average six slides per biopsy were examined. In order to minimize observer error the slides were reviewed on two subsequent occasions before analysis was undertaken.

HISTOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION The histological classification is that of Bock, Richards, and Witts (1963), namely:

1 Normal.
2 Superficial gastritis There was inflammatory cell infiltration of the mucosa, often with distortion of the superficial layers but no evidence of atrophy of the specific cells.
3 Atrophic gastritis There was inflammatory cell infiltration of the mucosa with variable but not complete atrophy of the specific cells. Islands of intestinal metaplasia were sometimes present.
4 Gastric atrophy Atrophy of the specific cells was severe or complete, intestinal metaplasia was often
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conspicuous, and there was little or no inflammatory cell infiltration of the mucosa.

Twelve patients with Addisonian pernicious anaemia were added to the group of 45 patients who had had a biopsy, bringing the total number of subjects to 57. The 12 patients with pernicious anaemia were presumed for the purpose of this investigation to have gastric atrophy and biopsy was not performed. In each case a diagnosis of Addisonian pernicious anaemia had been established beyond doubt by demonstration of a typical peripheral blood picture, megaloblastic bone marrow, histamine-fast achlorhydria, a subnormal serum B12 level, and complete correction of the anaemia by the administration of vitamin B12 alone.

Radiographs of the stomach obtained at routine barium meal examination in these 57 patients were assessed independently by two radiologists. In all cases, supine and prone films with the mucosa coated with barium, and supine and erect films with the stomach full of barium were available.

Each radiologist recorded whether the mucosa was normal or abnormal, and if abnormal, whether the changes should be classified as gastric atrophy or atrophic gastritis. At the time of assessment the radiologist did not know the diagnosis made by his colleague, nor did he know the result of the gastric biopsy.

RADIOLOGICAL CRITERIA The following radiological criteria of gastric atrophy were used in assessment: (1) A 'tube'-shaped stomach; (2) absent or diminished rugal markings along the greater curve; (3) a 'bald' fundus; and (4) very fine mucosal folds in the body and fundus. In practice, the first two of these criteria were found to be most useful.

When such changes were gross, a diagnosis of 'gastric atrophy' was made. When they were considered less evident, but nevertheless present, the case was classified as 'atrophic' gastritis. This distinction is quite arbitrary, and subject to considerable variation in individual interpretation. The reason for attempting to make the distinction will be discussed later.

RESULTS

These are shown in Tables I-III. The data have been grouped into normal, i.e., normal and superficial gastritis, and abnormal, i.e., atrophic gastritis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIAGNOSIS MADE BY RADIOLOGIST 1 CONTRASTED WITH HISTOLOGICAL FINDINGS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histological Diagnosis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial gastritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrophic gastritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric atrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and gastric atrophy, and the two groups compared.

The validity of the radiological diagnosis as between atrophic gastritis and gastric atrophy has been calculated for each radiologist (Tables I and II), and finally, the accuracy of radiologist 1 as compared with radiologist 2, in differentiating normal from abnormal, and atrophic gastritis from gastric atrophy has been defined (Table III).

There was better than chance agreement between radiological and histological diagnosis in 63% of cases ($\chi^2 = 4.68$, p < 0.05 probably statistically significant) assessed by radiologist 1 (Table I). Where a positive radiological diagnosis of normality had been made, this was correct in 55% of cases. Where a positive radiological report of abnormality had been made this was correct in 79% of cases. Where a positive radiological report of either atrophic gastritis or gastric atrophy had been made this was correct in 73% of cases.

Agreement was present between radiological and histological diagnosis in 63% of cases ($\chi^2 = 5.35$, p < 0.05, probably statistically significant) assessed by radiologist 2 (Table II). Where a positive radiological report of normality had been made, this was correct in 55% of cases. Where the report showed definite abnormality, this was in fact present in 82% of cases. A positive radiological report of atrophic gastritis or gastric atrophy was correct in 64% of cases.

Comparing the reliability of radiologist 1 against radiologist 2 (Table III), there was agreement that the mucosa was either normal or abnormal in 89% of cases ($\chi^2 = 29.4$, p < 0.001 statistically significant). When a positive radiological diagnosis of
atrophic gastritis or gastric atrophy had been made
and radiological diagnosis. In short, a radiological
diagnosis of gastric atrophy indicates that biopsy
will show gastric atrophy or severe atrophic gastritis
in the great majority of cases.

It is extremely unlikely that the suspicion that
ernia is present will first arise following
barium meal examination, though in our
practice we comment on the presence of radiological
signs of gastric atrophy when they are found.

Contrary to the opinions of Glass (1957) and
Schinz, Baensch, Friedl, and Uehlinger (1954) that
the radiological diagnosis of gastric atrophy is
unsatisfactory, we agree with Badenoch et al. (1956),
with Laws and Pitman (1960), and with Joske and
Vaughan (1962) that there are characteristic radiol-
ological appearances associated with severe diffuse
gastric mucosal atrophy, and we have shown that
there is a close measure of agreement between radi-
ologists on the recognition of these signs. Faber (1927)
considers that gastric atrophy begins as a superficial
gastritis, and subsequently passes through a stage of
atrophic gastritis. Magnus (1958) and Davidson and
Markson (1955) also believe that atrophic gastritis
and gastric atrophy represent different stages of the
same pathological process. It appears then that the
mucosal lesions in pernicious anaemia may be less
severe than complete gastric atrophy, and may
consist of a severe atrophic gastritis. The assumption
that atrophic gastritis differs from gastric atrophy
only in degree was the reason for our attempt to
differentiate the two on radiological grounds. We
found that our overall accuracy in diagnosing
atrophic gastritis was poor, as was agreement between
the two radiologists on this topic. Our experience
contrasts with that of Bock, Kemp, and Richards
(1963), who were able to diagnose ‘gastric atrophy’
radiologically, in seven out of nine patients who had
a histological diagnosis of atrophic gastritis.

It should be recognized that when the radiologist
refers to ‘mucosal pattern’ in barium meal studies,
he is describing the mucosal relief pattern, formed by
barium outlining the raised folds of mucosa. It is
likely that these folds are due to contractions of the
underlying muscularis mucosae (Taft, 1959). Magnus
(1958) has drawn attention to atrophy of the muscle
coat of the stomach in pernicious anaemia, and we
agree with Joske and Vaughan (1962) that such
changes could form a pathological basis for the
appearances seen radiologically in gastric atrophy.
This would also explain why histological atrophic
gastritis without significant muscle coat atrophy can
produce a normal radiological appearance. Joske and
Vaughan (1962), who divided their cases of atrophic
gastritis into moderate and severe groups histo-
logically, showed that the frequency of correct
radiological diagnoses of atrophy in atrophic
gastritis increased with the severity of the histological
lesion. In those cases with histological gastric atrophy
there was almost complete agreement between biopsy

SUMMARY

Barium meal examination was carried out in 45
patients who had had gastric biopsy, and on 12
patients with pernicious anaemia who were presumed
to have gastric atrophy. An attempt was made,
independently, by two radiologists (1) to state
whether the stomach appeared to be normal, or
whether there was evidence of mucosal atrophy, and
(2) if evidence of atrophy was considered to be present,
whether this represented complete gastric atrophy or atrophic gastritis.

The diagnostic accuracy of each radiologist was investigated, and their findings compared. The results were subjected to statistical analysis. When a radiological report of abnormality indicative of any degree of mucosal atrophy could be made, this was correct in four out of five cases and agreement existed between radiologists in nine out of 10 cases. It was not possible to differentiate accurately between atrophic gastritis and gastric atrophy.

We wish to thank Dr. A Dick, who reported on the histology of the gastric biopsy specimens, and Dr. J. K. Davidson for help with the manuscript. Mr. Ralph McGuire kindly carried out the statistical analysis of the results.
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