Demographic data, histology, procedure success, long-term outcome and complications were assessed.

Results A total of 161 lesions were treated by UGI ER between 2005 and 2011. 14 of 161 were submucosal lesions. Nine of the 14 patients were female and the mean age was 54.3 years (range 34–69 years). Five lesions were located in the oesophagus, seven in the stomach and two in the duodenum. Histology revealed granular cell tumour (3), neuroendocrine tumour (5), inflammatory fibroid polyp (2), lipoma (2), gastrointestinal stromal tumour (1), Leiomyoma (1). All cases were successfully treated in a single ER session of which 6 cases were treated by conventional EMR, 9 by ESD techniques. The single complication was a microperforation during ESD of an oesophageal GIST which was endoscopically clipped. The patient was managed conservatively with intravenous antibiotics and was discharged after 3 days. There were no cases of significant bleeding and no patient required surgery. After a mean follow-up of 19.5 months all patients remain well and have no signs of recurrence.

Conclusion The caseload of UGI ER for submucosal lesions in low and average with an average of two cases per year in a large UK specialist centre. The majority of cases required ESD skills and therefore these cases should be treated in specialist centres with expertise in this technique. Outcomes and complication rates were acceptable in this small series and major surgery was avoided in these patients reducing costs and bed occupancy.

Abstract PWE-178 Table 1

| Oesophagus                | Granular cell tumour | 3
| GIST                      | 1
| Leiomyoma                 | 1
| Gastric                   | Neuroendocrine tumour | 4
| Lipoma                    | 1
| Inflammatory fibroid polyp| 2
| Duodenum                  | Neuroendocrine tumour | 1
| Lipoma                    | 1
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Introduction Eosinophilic Oesophagitis (EoE) is an under recognised condition. There are clues in the history, biochemistry, immunology and gastroscopy to make the diagnosis. Strictures associated with this condition need careful endoscopic management.

Methods Retrospective review of histology proven eosinophilic oesophagitis cases between April 2009 and June 2011.

Results Total no of patients 16, Male: female = 7:1. Age range: 18–89 years (IQR 24.25 (24.75–49). Mean age 41.5 years. All of them complained of intermittent dysphagia and 50% of them had a history of food bolus impaction on presentation. Only 25% had symptoms less than a year. 18.75% (3/16) had symptoms for more than 10 years. 10/16 (62.5%) had a history of atopy. 2/16 (12.5%) had food intolerance/oral allergy especially for fruits. 6/16 had oesophageal manometry; 5/6 of them had normal manometry findings and one showed dysmotility. 50% had barium swallow; two of them showed mild dysmotility. 7/16 had high peripheral eosinophil count (0.04–0.4). Mean 0.58. Only 56% (9/16) of patients had IgE levels checked, 8/9 had high IgE levels. All of them were treated with proton pump inhibitors, while 75% needed fluticasone inhaler. 25% needed montelukast maintenance therapy to achieve clinical benefit. 25% showed normal gastroscopy, remainder showed characteristic findings such as concentric rings, furrows with ulceration. 25% had symptomatic oesophageal strictures, two of them had balloon dilatation previously. The other 2 (50%) were successfully treated with biodegradable stent. One patient had sustained response with single stent even after 18 months, the other had two sequential stents (8 months apart) to improve the symptom of dysphagia.

GASTRIC POLYPS: ARE WE FOLLOWING GUIDELINES?
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Introduction Gastric polyps are usually benign and >90% are found incidentally at endoscopy, most common type being fundic gland polyps (FGP).1 Current guideline advocates biopsy for all types of gastric polyps.1 Literature review failed to demonstrate a strong link between proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and FGP. BSG guidelines also suggest testing and eradicating Helicobacter pylori in patients with hyperplastic polyps. Our study is to analyse gastric polyp subtypes and management.

Methods Retrospective case note analysis of patients with gastric polyps between January 2009 and December 2010 at James Paget University Hospital. Data collected: Age, gender, endoscopic appearance, histology, association with PPI, management and follow-up.

Results Total number of patients was 79. Mean age was 67.1 (38–89 yrs). 60.8% were women (n = 48). Reflux symptom was the most common indication for OGD (36.7%; n = 29). 22.5% (n = 18) had single polyp, 58.2% (n = 46) had multiple polyps (>3). Majority had sessile appearance at OGD 62.0% (n = 49). Most polyps were found in the gastric body 45.5% (n = 36), followed by fundus 20.3% (n = 16). 54.4% (n = 45) polyps were estimated <5 mm, compared to 6.3% (n = 5) over 10 mm. Biopsy was performed in 94.9% (n = 75) gastric polyps. 2.5% (n = 2) polyps were not biopsied due to typical appearance of FGP. Fundic gland polyp (FGP) was the most common histopathological diagnosis (73.4%; n = 58), followed by hyperplastic polyp (11.4%; n = 9). Neither adenoma nor neoplastic lesions were detected. Information on PPIs use was obtained in only 60.8% patients (n = 48); 53.3% (n = 16) were not on PPIs; 35.4% (n = 17) were on PPIs for an uncertain length of time; 8.3% (n = 4) were on PPIs for <6 months; and 22.9% (n = 11) were more than 6 months. The prevalences of FGP’s in the above groups were 75%, 76.5%, 75% and 90.0% respectively. 3.8% polyps (n = 3) were removed, all of which were greater than 10 mm. H pylori test was performed in 34.2% (n = 27) of the patients. All nine patients (100%) with hyperplastic polyps underwent the test. 87.5% (n = 69) patients had no follow-up. Only 2.5% (n = 2) had repeat OGD.

Conclusion The local practice in management and follow-up seems to be discordant with the current guidelines. In this study, all gastric polyps were benign, with FGP’s as the most frequent diagnosis (73.3%). It raises the question whether a routine biopsy is necessary for polyps with typical FGP appearance. There is no definitive link between FPI’s and FGP’s (75% FGP’s in non-PPI users compared with 30.5% in PPI users). However, the percentage of FGP’s presented in long-term PPI users is slightly higher (90%).

Competing interests None declared.
PWE-179 Gastric polyps: are we following guidelines?

Q Liu and S Joglekar

Gut 2012 61: A369
doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302514d.179

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://gut.bmj.com/content/61/Suppl_2/A369.1

These include:

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/