## PMO-053 APPETITE, TASTE AND SMELL CHANGES AFTER WEIGHT LOSS SURGERY

doi:10.1136/gutinl-2012-302514b.53

L Graham,\* G Murty, D Bowrey. Department of Surgery, University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, UK

Introduction It is apparent from day-to-day practice that patients frequently report changes to their appetite, taste and smell after weight loss surgery. There has been surprisingly little written in the literature on this. The aim of the current study was to assess these parameters in a cohort of patients and to explore potential differences between the different types of procedure.

Methods Questionnaires relating to appetite, taste and smell were administered to 264 patients who had undergone weight loss surgery at our institution during the years 2000-2011. Eight of these patients also underwent detailed smell testing using a validated Olfactometer for taste testing for the flavours of sweet, salt, sour and bitter.

**Results** Responses were received from 133 patients (50%). Sensory changes in appetite, taste and smell were noted by 95%, 68% and 39% of patients respectively. Patients who had undergone Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) more frequently experienced new aversions to specific foods compared to patients having other types of surgery (RYGB 73% vs sleeve gastrectomy 40% vs gastric banding 20%), p<0.01. Patients who experienced food aversions experienced a greater level of postoperative weight loss and reduction in BMI, compared to their counterparts without these features. Detailed taste and smell testing did not identify significant changes to smell or taste thresholds after surgery, nor was there a significant correlation between overall taste and smell scores (p=0.67).

**Conclusion** This study provides preliminary support that patients do experience changes in their appetite, taste and smell following weight loss surgery. These changes need to be investigated further to help support patient education and the informed consent process.

Competing interests None declared.

## PMO-054 | LAPAROSCOPIC SILASTIC RING LOOP GASTRIC BYPASS (SR-LGBP): A SINGLE CENTRE EXPERIENCE

doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302514b.54

<sup>1</sup>M Clarke,\* <sup>2</sup>L Pearless, <sup>1,2</sup>M Booth. <sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery, North Shore Hospital, UK; <sup>2</sup>Surgical Weight Loss Solutions, Waitemata Specialist Centre, Auckland, New Zealand

Introduction Laparoscopic loop gastric bypass (LGBP) may represent a simpler alternative procedure to Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Placement of a silastic ring (SR) may minimise weight regain. This study reports upto 5-year outcomes following laparoscopic SR-LGBP.

Methods Retrospective analysis of consecutive patients undergoing SR-LGBP between August 2005 and January 2008. A 15-20 ml lesser-curve gastric pouch was created with 32Fr orogastric bougie, handsewn gastroenterostomy 150-200 cm distal to the ligament of Treitz and a 6.5–7 cm silastic ring around the gastric pouch.

**Results** 156 patients (78% female, 22% male) with a mean (range) age of 44 (18-63) years, pre-op weight of 129 (83-197) kg and BMI of 46 (35–64) kg/m<sup>2</sup> underwent surgery. 87% had pre-operative comorbidities and median (range) follow-up was 35 (6–72) months. Mean (SD) % excess weight loss (EWL) at 6, 12, 24, 36 and 60 months was 74.6 (19.5), 93.4 (21.1), 98.8 (27.6), 93.5 (20.1) and 89 (16.1) respectively. 37% had complete resolution of comorbidities and 67.3% required vitamin/mineral supplementation. Overall 90 (57.7%) patients; 12 (7.7%) early and 78 (50%) late, reported minor complications, the commonest being reflux (10%) or vomiting/ dysphagia/food intolerance (16%). 39 (25%) patients; 4 (2.6%) early and 35 (22.4%) late, reported major complications requiring hospi-

talisation. Marginal ulcer and anastomotic stenosis were seen in 7.7% and 9.6% respectively. 16 (10.3%) patients required reoperation: 6 (3.8%) bile reflux, 3 (1.9%) ring removal, 2 (1.3%) perforation and 5 (3.2%) other. There were no deaths.

Conclusion SR-LGBP achieves excellent EWL with low mortality. While vomiting, food intolerance, reflux, stricture and stomal ulceration were relatively common, only 10% required reoperation.

Competing interests None declared.

## PMO-055 QUALITY OF LIFE FOLLOWING LAPAROSCOPIC BANDED (SILASTIC RING) SLEEVE GASTRECTOMY

doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302514b.55

<sup>1</sup>L Pearless, <sup>2</sup>M Clarke,\* <sup>1,2</sup>M Booth. <sup>1</sup>Surgical Weight Loss Solutions, Waitemata Specialist Centre; <sup>2</sup>Department of Surgery, North Shore Hospital, Auckland, New

**Introduction** Placement of a silastic ring around a sleeve gastrectomy (SG) may minimise long-term dilatation and weight regain. This study assessed medium term quality of life outcomes.

Methods A questionnaire was sent to 46 patients that underwent surgery between November 2006 and February 2010. During the procedure the stomach was divided 3 cm proximal to the pylorus. Orogastric bougie diameter was 36 French (November 2006-June 2008) or 32 Fr (thereafter). A 6.5-7 cm diameter silastic ring was placed around the mid-portion of the SG.

**Results** Responses were received from 29 (63%) patients (25 female. 4 male) with a mean (range) age of 49 (33-65) years and mean preop BMI of 37.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Mean (SD) weight loss and % excess weight loss at 3 years was 31.1 (10.8) kg and 90.6 (28.9)% respectively. 66% were satisfied with surgery (median Likert score=9) although 48% reported weight regain. Physical—97% reported food intolerances: meat (59%), solids (35%) and vegetables (17%). 21 (72%) patients reported vomiting: daily (14%), twice weekly (14%), weekly (29%) or less frequently (43%). 66% had reflux, with a median Visick of 2. Exercise capacity increased in 96% of patients. Emotional—28% described depression or anxiety affecting their work or other activities. Social—28% found physical health /emotional problems following surgery interfered with social activities. Compliance-59% had blood tests at least annually, 79% continued multivitamins and 41% required vitamin/mineral supplementation. **Conclusion** Placement of a silastic ring around SG as a primary procedure should be avoided due to a high incidence of post-operative reflux, vomiting and food intolerance.

Competing interests None declared.

## PMO-056 | LAPAROSCOPIC BANDED (SILASTIC RING) SLEEVE **GASTRECTOMY: MEDIUM TERM OUTCOMES**

doi:10.1136/gutinl-2012-302514b.56

<sup>1</sup>M Clarke,\* <sup>2</sup>L Pearless, <sup>1,2</sup>M Booth. <sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery, North Shore Hospital; <sup>2</sup>Surgical Weight Loss Solutions, Waitemata Specialist Centre, Auckland, New Zealand

**Introduction** Placement of a band of human dermis around the sleeve gastrectomy (SG) has previously been described to prevent late dilatation and weight regain. The aim of this study was to report our experience using a silastic ring placed around the SG.

**Methods** 53 patients (male 15%, female 85%) with a mean (range) age of 46 (23-65) years and mean (SD) preoperative BMI of 37.6 (5.3) kg/m<sup>2</sup> underwent surgery between November 2006 and February 2010. The stomach was divided 3cm proximal to the pylorus. Orogastric bougie diameter was 36 French (November 2006-June 2008) or 32 Fr (thereafter). A 6.5-7 cm diameter silastic ring was placed around the mid-portion of the SG. A retrospective analysis was performed.

Gut July 2012 Vol 61 Suppl 2 A95