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Joana Torres,1 Silvio Danese,2 Jean-Frédéric Colombel3

ABSTRACT
Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic idiopathic inflammatory disease of the gastrointestinal tract that affects the mucosal lining of the colon. Recent epidemiological data show that its incidence and prevalence are increasing in many parts of the world, in parallel with altered lifestyles, improved access to health, improved sanitation and industrialisation rates. Current therapeutic strategies for treating UC have only been moderately successful. Despite major recent advances in inflammatory bowel disease therapeutic resources, a considerable proportion of patients are still refractory to conventional treatment. Less than half of all patients achieve long-term remission, many require colectomy, and the disease still has a major impact on patients’ lives. Moreover, recent data point to slightly raised mortality. While these outcomes could be partly improved by optimising current therapeutic strategies, they clearly highlight the need to develop new therapies. Currently, a number of promising and innovative therapeutic approaches are being explored, some of which will hopefully survive to reach the clinic. Until such a time arrives, it is important that a better understanding of the clinical particularities of the disease, an improved knowledge of the host-microbiome interactions and of the environmental factors beyond disease development is achieved to obtain the final and desired outcome: to provide better treatment and quality of life for patients with this disabling disease.

INTRODUCTION
Ulcerative colitis (UC) is emerging as an important public health problem. The disease can occur in all parts of the world and in all races, but rates vary widely from industrialised countries to developing nations. Epidemiological studies show that there is a significant increase in the incidence of UC in most regions of the world.1–6 Being a chronic lifelong disease with onset at a young age, the prevalence of UC is likely to continue to increase in future decades. This expected increased prevalence will translate into increasing healthcare expenditures. UC has already been associated with greater per patient costs than asthma, hypertension and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease,7 so the costs of the disease will become increasingly relevant to the economy as a whole and will become disproportionally high.8 Furthermore, recent mortality data reveal that there is still a 10% increase in intermediate- and long-term mortality among patients with UC, with even higher percentages for patients diagnosed in childhood or adolescence.9

Current management strategies in UC typically follow a step-up strategy.10 11 While this approach can be expected to maintain a considerable proportion of patients in remission, there remains a substantial proportion in whom disease activity will persist over time,12 13 eventually requiring surgery.12 14 Whereas some of these outcomes might be improved by optimising current therapeutic strategies, the truth is that they also highlight a major unmet medical need.

Previous years have been marked by landmark discoveries and advancements in our understanding of the components involved in innate and acquired immune responses in UC. These discoveries were paralleled by an exponential increase in the number of new and investigational therapeutic targets.15 Unfortunately, many of these strategies—although very auspicious in animal models and preliminary trials—have not performed well in randomised clinical trials and failed to reach the clinics, highlighting the complexity of the disease and the dynamic process of inflammation.

In this paper we will start by briefly discussing some recent and new therapeutic strategies that will probably soon be used in the clinic. Thereafter, and since a recent review on new immunological targets in UC has recently been published in Gut,13 we have deliberately chosen to focus on two other key components of UC physiopathology that could be used as therapeutic targets in UC—the mucosal barrier and the luminal components. Finally, we will discuss innovative ways for new drug discoveries such as characterisation of plant-derived medicines and computational drug repositioning, and we will debate some clinical and epidemiological observations of the disease that could foster the development of future avenues for translational research.

PROMISING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMMES IN UC
Anti-adhesion strategies
The recruitment, homing and adhesion of T lymphocytes from the peripheral circulation to the gut mucosa are crucial steps for maintaining the inflammatory process. Regulating the various steps involved in the migration of leucocytes to the gut using monoclonal antibodies directed against integrins (α4, α2 and β7), adhesion molecules (MAdCAM-1, VCAM-1 or ICAM-1), chemokine receptors (CCR-9) or chemokines (IP-10) could therefore blunt inflammation and pathological responses in tissues and become an interesting pathway to target for drug development in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).16

The first anti-adhesion agent that proved to be effective in the treatment of IBD was natalizumab, an anti-α4-integrin IgG4 non-gut selective antibody.17 The initial enthusiasm surrounding its
success for induction and maintenance of remission in CD was limited by reports of the aggressive progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML). Nevertheless, this agent provided proof of concept and paved the way for the development of new anti-adhesion agents such as vedolizumab (VDZ; MNL-0002), aliforscan, rhuMab β7 and CCR9 inhibitors.17 VDZ, an α4β7 integrin-neutralising monoclonal antibody, is the anti-adhesion molecule next in line to reach the clinic. It is gut-specific and does not affect the peripheral blood cell count, so it has the potential to provide gut-selective immunosuppression with similar or greater efficacy than natalizumab with a lower risk of systemic infection.18

The results of the phase III randomised, placebo-controlled, blinded, multicentre study on induction and maintenance of the clinical response and remission with VDZ in UC (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00783718) have recently been presented.19 20 In the induction study, 374 patients were randomised to receive VDZ (n=225) or placebo (n=149). At week 6 a significantly greater proportion of the patients treated with VDZ achieved clinical response, remission and mucosal healing compared with placebo-treated patients, with a similar rate of adverse events in both groups.19 During the maintenance study, 373 patients who met the response criteria at week 6 were randomised to receive intravenous VDZ 300 mg every 4 weeks (n=123), every 8 weeks (n=122) or placebo (n=126). Of these patients, 44.8%, 41.8% and 15.9%, respectively, achieved clinical remission and 56%, 51.6%, and 19.8%, respectively, achieved mucosal healing at week 52 (p<0.0001). No increase in the rates of opportunistic or enteric infections was observed with VDZ and there were no reported cases of PML. Of note, the population entering the induction and maintenance studies were considered to be a difficult-to-treat population, with 40% of patients having received prior treatment with anti-tumour necrosis factor (TNF) agents. However, subanalysis by failure of prior treatment with anti-TNF agents, immunomodulators or corticosteroids did not reveal any differences.21

**JAK inhibitors**

The Janus kinase (JAK) family of tyrosine kinases contains four members: JAK1, JAK2, JAK3 and TYK2. They are responsible for mediating signal transduction for a variety of cytokine receptors including interleukins (ILs) 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 15 and 21.15 Upon ligation of these receptors with their ligand cytokine, JAKs become activated leading to activation of genes relevant to the immune response.

Tofacitinib is a novel oral small-molecule, selective inhibitor of JAK1 and JAK3 and, to a lesser extent, JAK2. It suppresses the differentiation of pathogenic Th1 and Th17 cells as well as innate immune cell signalling.22 A phase II study of tofacitinib as an induction therapy in patients with moderately to severely active UC has been conducted and its results recently published.23 One hundred and ninety-four patients were randomised to receive tofacitinib 0.5 mg, 3 mg, 10 mg or 15 mg or placebo for 8 weeks. A dose-dependent response was observed. The primary outcome (clinical response) was observed in 78% of patients receiving tofacitinib at a dose of 15 mg compared with 42% of patients receiving placebo (p<0.001). Clinical remission (defined as a Mayo score ≤2 with no subscore >1) occurred in 48% and 41% of patients receiving tofacitinib 10 mg (p<0.001) and 15 mg (p<0.001), respectively, compared with 10% of patients receiving placebo.24 A dose-dependent increase in both low-density and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol was observed, and neutropenia occurred in three patients. Overall, the adverse event rates were similar for tofacitinib and placebo. However, we must bear in mind that larger and longer studies using this molecule in rheumatoid arthritis have reported other dose-related side effects such as infections (including tuberculosis), increased lipid levels, anaemia, neutropenia, elevation of transaminases and possibly changes in renal function.24 25 Larger phase III studies (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01465763, NCT01458951 and NCT01470612) involving tofacitinib are currently recruiting patients and will be helpful in defining the role of this oral drug in the treatment of UC.

**Interferon γ-inducible protein-10**

Interferon γ-inducible protein-10 (IP-10) is a chemokine that plays an important role in inflammatory cell migration and survival of epithelial cells, the expression of which is elevated in the colon and plasma of patients with UC. In a recent 8-week phase II randomised controlled proof-of-concept study in 109 patients with moderately to severely active UC, BMS-936557, a monoclonal antibody against IP-10, did not significantly improve rates of clinical response, clinical remission or mucosal healing compared with placebo.26 However, in patients who developed high steady state concentrations of BMS-936557 there was a significantly increased clinical response rate compared with placebo (87.5% for the highest tertile vs 37.0%; p<0.001).

**INNOVATIVE THERAPEUTIC TARGETS IN UC**

**Reinforcing the mucosal barrier**

The normal mucosal barrier is composed of a mucus layer, epithelial cells (including goblet cells and Paneth cells) and non-epithelial cells and intercellular and tight junctions, all intrinsically interconnected and working together to synthesise antimicrobial peptides and prevent luminal antigens and pathogenic organisms from invading the underlying lymphoid tissue. Disturbance of this barrier integrity is undoubtedly a key step in the pathogenesis of UC. Barrier dysfunction enables the influx of luminal antigens, which will continuously trigger immune cells in the lamina propria resulting in chronic inflammation. Restoring altered barrier function is therefore a strong potential therapeutic target in UC (figure 1).

**Phosphatidylcholine (PC)**

The mucus layer coating the gastrointestinal (GI) tract is predominantly composed of water, glycoproteins, lipids, other proteins and nucleic acids.27 Phospholipids, although minor constituents of the GI mucus, are indispensable for the maintenance of an intact barrier function where they play a role in establishing the hydrophobic surface by virtue of their amphipathic nature.28 Phosphatidylcholine (PC), the major mucus phospholipid, has been found to be substantially reduced in the mucus of patients with UC compared with patients with Crohn’s disease (CD) and healthy controls, independent of the state of inflammation.29 30 A lack of PC could result in a reduction in surface hydrophobicity, enabling the invasion of luminal noxious agents. Furthermore, by virtue of being integrated in the plasma membranes of enterocytes, PC participates in various mucosal path-ways including tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) signalling, activation of NF-κB cytokine expression and mitogen-activated protein kinase.28 30 Therefore, it has been hypothesised that PC reconstitution in the colonic mucus of patients with UC could help to restore the structure and density of the mucus, improving the barrier function and preventing inflammation in UC.31 32 The results of phase II preliminary trials were very encouraging. Using a retarded-release preparation of PC in a randomised
double-blind placebo-controlled trial, 90% of patients either reached clinical remission (defined as a clinical activity index (CAI) ≤ 3) or significantly improved by >50% compared with 10% in the placebo group. In a more difficult to treat patient population of steroid-refractory UC, steroid withdrawal with concomitant achievement of a CAI index of ≤ 3 or CAI improvement of >50% was achieved in 50% (15/30) of the patients treated with PC compared with 10% (3/30) in the placebo group. Patients participating in the abovementioned trials were offered an open-label follow-up treatment after completion of each of the trials. After a mean follow-up time of 26 months, continuous remission was maintained in 33% of the PC-treated patients versus 10% of the controls (p=0.004). Finally, the results of a phase IIb placebo-controlled dose-finding study with an optimised highly enriched PC preparation (LT-02; 0.8 g, 1.6 g and 3.2 g) in 156 patients with mesalazine-refractory UC were recently presented. Remission rates doubled in the highest dose group compared with placebo (31.4% vs 15%) and an overall improvement in endoscopic disease activity measured by mucosal healing, as well as an earlier time to symptom resolution, was found in patients receiving LT-02 treatment.43

In summary, PC appears to be a novel therapeutic approach capable of inducing remission in patients with moderate UC without the substantial adverse effects seen with steroid or immunosuppressive therapy. Larger studies to confirm its short-term benefits and its ability to maintain remission are eagerly awaited.

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma agonists

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) is a nuclear receptor originally identified for its role in controlling the expression of a large number of regulatory genes involved in lipid metabolism, adipocyte differentiation and insulin sensitisation. More recently, high PPARγ expression has been reported in the gut, particularly in colonic epithelial cells where microorganisms such as bacteria and yeast are able to increase its expression and/or activation. PPARγ expression is impaired in colonic epithelial cells of patients with UC, both in diseased and healthy mucosa, and negatively correlated with the severity of endoscopic disease activity.36 37

Thiazolidinediones (TZDs) are PPARγ synthetic agonists used as insulin-sensitising agents in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. TZDs have drawn the attention of gastroenterologists over several years for their prophylactic and therapeutic effects in different experimental models of acute and chronic colitis, reducing intestinal inflammation by 50–70%. Several clinical trials have investigated the efficacy of TZDs in patients with active UC. Lewis et al conducted a randomised double-blind 12-week placebo-controlled trial where the efficacy of rosiglitazone 4 mg orally twice daily was compared with placebo in 105 patients with mild to moderate UC. After 12 weeks of treatment, 44% and 17% of rosiglitazone-treated patients versus 23% and 2% of placebo-treated patients achieved a clinical response (p=0.04) and clinical remission (p=0.01), respectively. In this trial serious adverse events were rare. However, these very encouraging results have been dampened by some safety issues associated with the TZDs, particularly rosiglitazone, which was found to be associated with increased risks of cardiovascular events.43 44

New hope came from the demonstration that 5-aminosalicylates are ligands for PPARγ (figure 2). This discovery formed the basis for the rational development of 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) analogues with a stronger affinity for PPARγ and fewer side effects. One of these analogues, the (R)-(-)-GED-0507-34, has demonstrated 100–150-fold higher anti-inflammatory activity than 5-ASA, giving promising results in both in vitro and in vivo

Figure 1 Potential new therapeutic avenues for ulcerative colitis through epithelial barrier restoration by (1) restoring the mucus layer; (2) stimulating PPARγ; (3) manipulating ER stress signalling pathways; and (4) modifying oxygen-related pathways such as hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α), thereby increasing oxygen tension.
experimental models of colitis. This compound is currently in phase II clinical trials. These new molecules, coupled with an innovative system to deliver active substances to the colon, will make PPARγ modulators potential agents for induction and maintenance therapy in UC with limited side effects.

Endoplasmic reticulum stress

Within the colonic mucosa, goblet cells play a central role in epithelium protection. In agreement with the fact that an early decrease in mucosecretion is a histological pattern of UC, several recent experimental studies suggest that goblet cells are particularly sensitive to deregulation of a conserved cellular homeostatic mechanism—namely, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress. ER stress is a highly regulated physiological mechanism that allows the cell to adapt and survive through the activation of the three proximal sensors (IRE1, ATF6 and PERK) that sense the accumulation of misfolded proteins in response to environmental changes (infection, ischaemia, nutrients). Impairment of proper ER stress resolution induces proinflammatory responses and ER stress-dependent apoptosis. Different animal models have recently shown ways in which genetic impairment of ER stress resolution leads to spontaneous enteritis and colitis.

A comprehensive analysis of ER stress responses in UC showed strong activation of IRE1 and ATF6 pathways (responsible for the induction of ER chaperones and proinflammatory pathways) associated with an unsuspected weak inhibition of PERK/eIF2α pathway (figure 3). The drastic decrease in eIF2α phosphorylation observed in the inactive mucosa from patients with UC was associated with an overexpression of GADD34, an effector of the negative feedback loop that dephosphorylates eIF2α. This defect in ER stress-dependent eIF2α phosphorylation was thought to trigger reprogrammed translation and disruption of the proteostasis in non-inflamed colonic mucosa resulting in a weakened epithelial barrier. Pangenomic analysis of mRNA bound to polysomes isolated from controls and patients with UC revealed a subset of translationally regulated genes known to be involved in the pathogenesis of experimental colitis and UC, as well as selected genes that may play a crucial role in cell proliferation, ER stress, immune response and colorectal cancer. These alterations suggest primitive disturbances of ER stress susceptible to: (1) reprogramme protein synthesis in unaffected UC mucosa and (2) alter the capacity of colonic epithelium and, more particularly, goblet cells to cope with stress modulators derived from the local environment (bacteria, food digestion products, pollutants). This results in the activation of inflammatory signals relayed and perpetuated by immune cells.

Pharmacological restoration of the PERK/eIF2 pathway could represent a new therapeutic strategy in UC, switching the goal from mucosal to molecular healing of the mucosa.

Improving hypoxia-related pathways

There is a close relation between hypoxia, microvascular dysfunction and inflammation in IBD. Hypoxia is believed to activate NF-κB in intestinal epithelial cells. This leads to increased production of TNFα and expression of Toll-like receptors, which stimulates leucocyte recruitment, phagocytosis and adaptive immunity. Additionally, hypoxia-inducible factor
1α (HIF-1α), a major oxygen homeostasis regulator that has been shown to be protective in experimental colitis,55 is upregulated under hypoxic conditions, activating genes essential to cellular adaptation to low oxygen conditions. Hence, handling of the oxygen sensing mechanisms and improvement of mechanisms that regulate mucosal oxygenation and hypoxia signalling may be potential therapeutic targets for the treatment of UC by improving the mucosal barrier.

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) involves the application of pressures >1 atmosphere absolute (ATA) to an environment of 100% oxygen. HBOT is used in many medical conditions due to its positive effects on wound healing.56 By increasing the amount of dissolved oxygen carried in the plasma, HBOT increases neoangiogenesis in the early stage of wound healing, thus facilitating bacterial killing, resistance to infection, collagen synthesis and epithelialisation.55 Despite the theoretical potential of HBOT, only a few case reports or case series have reported on its use in UC.57–61 All treated patients were reported to be refractory to conventional therapies including immunosuppressors, and all patients had significant improvements with minimal adverse effects with HBOT delivered at 2.0 ATA. Unfortunately, all these studies were uncontrolled, with small numbers of patients and absence of strict endpoints. Larger controlled trials examining the effects of HBOT in patients with UC would be interesting to pursue.

Harnessing the bugs

The human intestinal microbiota is essential for host well-being due to their participation in several metabolic, nutritional and immune functions.62 Recent metagenomic advancements have provided new insights into the microbiota phylogenetic composition in health and in disease, as well its genetic and metabolic potential.63 IBD involves a dysbiosis characterised by a decreased ratio of protective commensal bacteria from the phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes (Clostridium XIVa and IV groups, Bacteroides, bifidobacteria) and a concomitant increase in detrimental bacteria from the phyla Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria.64 Recent analysis of the faecal microbiota of patients with UC in relapse and remission confirmed the reduction of bacterial diversity in these patients, mainly affecting members of the Clostridium cluster IV within the phylum Firmicutes.65

While it is not clearly understood whether this dysbiosis is the cause or consequence of inflammation in IBD, it is believed that this imbalance between beneficial and pathogenic bacteria promotes perpetuation of inflammation. Therefore, manipulation of the dysbiosis may be an attractive way to prevent disease or induce its resolution.

Faecal transplant

An increasingly popular approach to reshape the gut microbiome is by means of faecal bacteriotherapy or faecal microbiome transplantation (FMT). This technique is supposed to restore the composition and function of the intestinal microbiota in diseased patients. It was first used in patients with *Clostridium difficile* infection when standard treatments had failed,66–68 but is increasingly being described in IBD. A systematic review of FMT in IBD has recently been published.69 Of the 26 patients with IBD receiving FMT for refractory disease, 18 were patients with UC. Of the treated patients with UC, 13 displayed symptom resolution or reduction. The number of infusions (mostly as an enema) varied between 1 and 70. Although the available evidence is at best weak and limited, the rationale behind FTM makes it an interesting approach. However, we must bear in mind that there may be risks

Figure 3  In healthy subjects the three branches of stress (IRE1, ATF6 and PERK) are coordinated and allow adaptation of the colonic mucosa to its environment. In patients with ulcerative colitis (UC), overactivation of IRE1 and ATF6 pathways is potentially responsible for a proinflammatory state of the mucosa. Hypophosphorylation of eIF2 alters the PERK pathway and the mucosal proteostasis. Regulators of the PERK/eIF2 pathway are therefore promising candidates for UC control.

associated with this treatment as worsening of UC after faecal transplantation for *Clostridium difficile* has already been described, so patients should not be treated outside clinical trials. A better understanding of the microbiota changes that occur before and after FMT, its safety profile, a clarification of the best route of administration and of whether antibiotic preconditioning is necessary before FMT, and a perfection of the methods used to administer it in order to make it more acceptable by patients and their physicians is warranted.4 Four registered clinical trials (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01545908, NCT01560819, NCT01650038 and NCT01650038) are currently recruiting patients for clinical studies using FMT in the treatment of UC or colonic CD. The results of these studies will hopefully shed some light on the efficacy and underlying mechanisms of FMT.

**Helminth therapy**

Epidemiological data suggest that the eradication of helminth in the developed world led to a rise in allergic and autoimmmune diseases such as atopic dermatitis, multiple sclerosis, type 1 diabetes and IBD. Moreover, patients with immune-mediated disorders and exposure to parasites tend to have fewer exacerbations and improved symptoms. These data are further supported by the positive impact of helminth infections in laboratory models of colitis. Helminths or their soluble products, via their interaction with the host, activate several innate and adaptive immune regulatory pathways that may suppress inflammation. Exposure to helminths may alter the gut microbiome towards colonisation with more anti-inflammatory strains. They may modulate the function of dendritic cells. Helminthic exposure induces Th2 and regulatory T cell subsets within the intestinal mucosa and mesenteric lymph nodes, resulting in increased production of the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-4, IL-5, IL-10 and transforming growth factor β which activate macrophages and block effector T cell proliferation and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines IL-17 and interferon γ.

Clinical trials using helminths are being performed in several allergic and autoinflammatory diseases, including IBD, with encouraging results. In IBD, most of the trials use *Trichuris suis* ova (TSO) due to its safety profile. *T suis* has never been documented to cause human disease, multiply within the host or transmit from one human host to another (although this has been questioned). The very first open-label trial of TSO in IBD was conducted in 2003 in a restricted number of CD and UC patients. Four patients with CD and three with UC were given one single dose of 2500 live TSO. Six of the seven patients achieved remission (according to the IBD Quality of Life Index), with no adverse effects. Only one of the completed TSO trials has been conducted in patients with UC alone. This was a randomised controlled double-blind trial in 54 patients with active UC who received either 2500 TSO or placebo every 2 weeks for 3 months. After 12 weeks of treatment, 43.4% of the patients given TSO improved compared with 16.7% of those given placebo (p<0.04). No side effects were reported. A 24-week randomised double-blind placebo-controlled crossover study of TSO in patients with active UC is currently recruiting patients. Its main goal is to further clarify and characterise the mechanisms (mucus composition, change in effector lymphocyte populations, bacterial composition) triggered in response to the ingestion of TSO (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01433471).

**Genetically modified organisms as drug delivery systems**

Transgenic bacteria expressing interleukin-10

Advances in biotechnology have made possible the design of a new generation of mucosal delivery systems. Among these are genetically modified organisms such as bacterial or viral vector systems. These have proved to be effective and safe, but they raise concerns about survival and propagation in the environment.

The food-grade bacterium *Lactococcus lactis* is a good example of such a delivery vector for therapeutic proteins at mucosal sites. IL-10 has been shown to be a key cytokine in IBD, which led to the development of recombinant human IL-10 for subcutaneous administration. Unfortunately, IL-10 treatment was not as effective as expected in clinical trials, possibly because of the method of drug delivery. Using *L lactis*-secreting murine IL-10 (mIL-10), investigators demonstrated that it was possible to reduce inflammatory symptoms in two murine colitis models. Furthermore, these investigators were able to modify this strain in order to make it biologically contained, thus overcoming safety issues. In a phase I trial, this approach was used to treat 10 patients with CD and was shown to be safe and effective in reducing disease activity.

**Bacteria enhancing expression of elafin**

Elafin is a natural inhibitor of the proteases neutrophil elastase, proteinase-3 and endogenous vascular elastase enzymes produced by a variety of phagocytic inflammatory cells to degrade ingested pathogens and help cell motility through the extracellular matrix. Elafin is secreted by cells local to the site of inflammation in response to IL-1, TNFα, defensins, neutrophil elastase and lipopolysaccharide. It is expressed in several mucosal sites including the healthy intestinal epithelium. Besides its antiprotease properties, elafin has 'defensin-like' antimicrobial activity and anti-inflammatory properties promoting tissue remodelling and wound healing.

Colonic tissues of patients with IBD have been reported to have increased proteolytic activity and decreased elafin mRNA expression. This suggests that the increase in elastolytic activity in the colon of patients with IBD correlates with a reduction in the expression of the endogenous protease inhibitor. Furthermore, elafin has been shown to prevent intestinal inflammation in mouse models of colitis, implying that delivery of elafin to the site of inflammation could naturally protect against IBD. However, to be delivered efficiently and safely, elafin would have to be delivered locally and progressively released in small amounts at the site of inflammation. An ingenious way for doing this has recently been developed. Investigators manipulated non-pathogenic lactic acid bacteria (*L lactis* and *L casei*) normally present in dairy food, and introduced the human Elafin gene so that they would produce human elafin once at the site of inflammation. They showed that oral treatment with Elafin-expressing food-grade bacteria downregulated inflammation both in acute and chronic mouse models of colonic inflammation. Furthermore, in cultures of human intestinal epithelial cells stimulated by an inflammatory milieu and treated with lactic acid bacilli secreting Elafin, the inflamed epithelium was protected from increased intestinal permeability and from the release of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. These results suggest that there may be a role for the clinical application of Elafin delivered by probiotic bacteria in treating IBD.

INNOVATIVE WAYS FOR DRUG DISCOVERY

Novel plant-derived drugs

Characterisation of new drug targets through clinical plant-based research is an evolving field in many areas such as cancer (e.g., taxoids and camptothecins), infectious diseases, pain and inflammation.97–99

Andrographis paniculata is a member of the plant family Acanthaceae used in ayurvedic medicine and Chinese traditional medicine. Its extract (HMPL-004) has potent anti-inflammatory properties by inhibiting NF-xB activity, and its use is being explored in various medical conditions. In experimental colitis, HMPL-004 has been shown to affect T cell proliferation and differentiation and Th1/Th17 responses.100 Its clinical use has been already explored in phase II trials in UC. In a first trial, patients with mild to moderate UC were randomised to receive either 1200 mg per day HMPL-004 or 4500 mg mesalazine. By week 8 the rates of clinical and endoscopic response and remission were similar in both treatment groups, suggesting that HMPL-004 could be an alternative to mesalazine as an induction therapy. In a more recent trial, 1200 mg or 1800 mg daily of A. paniculata extract were compared with placebo for 8 weeks for the induction of a clinical response in mild to moderate UC.101 Although there was no difference between the lower dose of the plant extract and placebo, 60% of patients receiving A. paniculata 1800 mg daily achieved a clinical response compared with 40% receiving placebo (p=0.018). No difference in the rate of adverse events between the groups was observed, with the exception of rash which was higher in the group treated with A. paniculata extract.101

Computational drug repositioning (ex topiramate)

Drug repositioning, repurposing or reprofiling involves the exploration of drugs that have already been approved for treatment of other diseases. It has the inherent advantages of being a less expensive and time-consuming process for drug development and is a strategy that is therefore becoming increasingly popular.102 Drug repositioning normally involves computational approaches, where public gene expression signatures known to be associated with a disease are screened against libraries of drugs with biological targets of interest.103 Topiramate, an antiepileptic drug, is an example of such drug repositioning in IBD.104 Using a computational approach where gene expression signatures of IBD derived from public microarray data were evaluated and run against a compendium of gene expression signatures of 164 drugs, Dudley et al found that topiramate presented a high therapeutic score prediction for use in IBD. Based on this finding, the authors then pursued a set of in vivo studies using the anticonvulsant drug in mice models of trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) colitis, demonstrating a reduction in clinical symptoms and in gross and microscopic pathological inflammation and therefore corroborating the results found in silico.104 Further clinical investigation of the use of topiramate for treating IBD in human subjects could be beneficial. It is likely that, with current in silico technologies and with the increasingly availability of public databases on the structures and biological activities of drugs, new opportunities for other drug repurposing in IBD will emerge.

Nanotechnologies

Nanomedicine is an emerging discipline that brings together nanotechnology and medicine. It refers to the utilisation of materials and devices at the nanometer scale in disease diagnosis, treatment and prevention, and it has the potential to turn molecular discoveries arising from systems biology into real benefits for patients.105 Nanomedicine will theoretically allow the accurate delivery of drugs to the sites of inflammation, therefore targeting their action and minimising their side effects.107

An example of this kind of nanotherapy is gene silencing via orally delivered small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). Using an oral nanoparticle capable of localising and delivering an siRNA directed against TNFα to sites of intestinal inflammation, investigators effectively decreased the levels of TNFα mRNA levels in a dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced mouse model of UC.108 Another illustration of the potential of these therapies includes the nanodelivery of IL-10-producing plasmid to the inflamed mucosa of IBD.109 In a TNBS murine model of intestinal inflammation, an oral system of nanoparticles-in-microsphere was formulated with murine IL-10-expressing plasmid DNA. Upon oral administration, IL-10 expression was enhanced in the large intestine leading to the suppression of proinflammatory cytokines.109

Although nanomedicine is still in its first steps, the results of these very preliminary studies provide evidence and potential utility for oral gene therapy in IBD.107

FUTURE AVENUES FOR TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH

Recent advances in clinical practice

In contrast to CD where disease location is fairly stable, UC is a dynamic disease with the extent of colorectal inflammation changing over time in up to 50% of patients.114 Proximal extension of colorectal inflammation suggests a generalised susceptibility of the colonic mucosa to disease trigger factors. As discussed above, recent data show that the unaffected mucosa of patients with UC displays an inappropriate unfolded protein response compared with controls.105 Additionally, abnormal microRNA expression profiles have been demonstrated in the normal mucosa of patients with UC.111 These results show that, even in the normal appearing/non-inflamed mucosa of patients with UC, there are molecular differences harbouring a dormant inflammatory process which could have a crucial role interacting with environmental factors or other triggers of inflammation. The prospective identification of biological markers in the normal-appearing mucosa of patients that ultimately progress to more extensive colitis may lead to the development of novel diagnostic tools to identify patients at risk of disease extension who could benefit from more aggressive therapeutic approaches.

PSC-UC as a unique phenotype

Patients with PSC-IBD typically have mildly symptomatic or even asymptomatic extensive colitis with prolonged remissions and a quiescent course of their colonic disease.112 113 Furthermore, recent data suggest that there is an inverse relationship between the severity of the liver disease and of the UC,114 suggesting an intriguing cross-talk between the liver and the gut.

It has been shown that producing experimental hepatitis in mice models of colitis protects them from developing colitis. This is mediated by the influx of invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cells from the liver to the gut and mesenteric lymph glands.
nodes where they have anti-inflammatory functions and locally enhance the expression of IL-10.\textsuperscript{118} Confirmation of these results in humans could pave the way for new cell therapeutic strategies using NKT cells in IBD.

Facial secondary bile acid (BA) rates are significantly lower in active IBD, possibly due to dysbiosis with a decrease in bacteria bearing bile salt hydrolase activities.\textsuperscript{119} Secondary BAs have anti-inflammatory properties due to their activation of G protein-coupled specific membrane receptor TGR5, which inhibits the secretion of TNF\textalpha, IL-1\beta and IL-6 in macrophages.\textsuperscript{119} PSC is characterised by defective hepatic BA excretion that results in systemic BA accumulation as the disease progresses. This results in the activation of anticholestermic responses to provide alternative excretory routes and thus prevent accumulation of toxic components.\textsuperscript{120} At the intestinal level, the ileal expression of the apical bile salt transporter that permits intracellular absorption of BA is downregulated during obstructive cholestasis.\textsuperscript{121, 122} This could hypothetically lead to a relative increase of BAs entering the proximal colon in patients with PSC-UC where they would be converted from primary into secondary BAs.

BA homeostasis is tightly regulated by the activation of Farnesoid X Receptor (FXR) that is expressed at high levels in the liver and intestine.\textsuperscript{123} Recently, the BA-FXR axis has been implicated in intestinal barrier function.\textsuperscript{124, 125} Intestinal inflammation decreases FXR expression in a colitis mouse model and FXR knockout mice have been shown to be more susceptible to intestinal inflammation.\textsuperscript{126, 127} FXR activation has been shown to protect against intestinal inflammation in mice, possibly by counterregulating inflammatory cytokine expression in immune cells.\textsuperscript{128, 129} FXR agonists may therefore be an interesting approach for treating UC.

**Why is smoking protective against UC?**

Smoking is the most robust environmental association with UC. UC is predominantly a disease of non-smokers and former smokers.\textsuperscript{130–132} On the other hand, resumption of smoking has been shown to induce remission, even in medically refractory disease.\textsuperscript{133, 134}

Many mechanisms have been proposed to explain the influence of smoking on IBD and its opposite effects in UC and CD. Smoking may have a different effect on the colon than on the small bowel; it might produce alterations in gut permeability and motility, in microcirculation and blood flow, and in the mucus composition. Nicotine produces changes in cytokine levels and modifies eicosanoid-mediated inflammation, production of oxygen-free radicals and the release of endogenous glucocorticoids.\textsuperscript{131} Furthermore, smoking has been shown to induce an ER stress response by activating the PERK/eIF2 axis, a mechanism that could explain the protective role of tobacco.\textsuperscript{132, 135}

There is recent evidence suggesting that cigarette smoke exposure protects mice from experimental colitis through the action of iNKT cells. Using an innovative inhalation exposure system (the InExpose exposure system; Scireq) that more accurately reproduces human smoking habits, investigators first showed that smoking exposure selectively improved DSS-induced colitis (but not indomethacin-induced ileitis) in wild-type mice leading to a significant decrease in colonic TNF\textalpha, IFN\gamma and IL-22 mRNA expression together with an increased expression of IL-10 mRNA and a marked recruitment of iNKT.\textsuperscript{136} Furthermore, using two different strains of NKT-deficient mice, the same immunoregulation induced by smoking exposure was no longer observed. This demonstrated that (similar to PSC), iNKT cells are pivotal in the regulation of colonic inflammation and that targeting these cells may offer therapeutic benefits in UC.

**What makes the appendix (or rather its absence) so special in UC?**

Surgical removal of the appendix is negatively associated with the development of UC, especially if performed at a young age.\textsuperscript{137} Indeed, appendicectomy has already been suggested as a valid therapeutic strategy.\textsuperscript{138, 139}

It has been shown that the ratio between CD4 and CD8 T cells and the proportion of CD4CD69 (early activation antigen) T cells are significantly increased in the appendix of patients with UC.\textsuperscript{140} Furthermore, in a T cell receptor-\alpha chain knockout mouse model of colitis, appendicectomy performed at a young age was protective against the development of colitis.\textsuperscript{141} A link has been observed between acute appendicitis and an imbalance between bacteria with inflammatory (\textit{Fusobacterium nucleatum}/\textit{necrophorum}) and anti-inflammatory properties (\textit{Faecalibacterium prausnitzii}),\textsuperscript{142} suggesting local appendicular dysbiosis as a potential explanation.\textsuperscript{143} Together, these observations imply that the appendix is an immunologically active organ involved in antigen sampling and in the regulation of the host-microbiome response. A better understanding of the pathways involved in the protection that appendicectomy confers against UC development may be helpful. A randomised multi-centre trial designed to prospectively study the effect of laparoscopic appendicectomy on the clinical course of UC (the ACCURE trial) is currently ongoing and is likely to shed some light on this topic.

**Is it in the food?**

Changes in diet are a good mirror of the profound alterations in lifestyle that developing and industrialising societies face, leading many to look at diet as one of the environmental factors involved in IBD. In a recent French study, 67 581 women were surveyed with self-administered questionnaires and their dietary habits were recorded at baseline and re questioned every 24 months. Among the participants, 77 incident IBD cases were diagnosed. Investigators found that a high total protein intake, specifically animal protein (meat or fish), was associated with a significantly increased risk of IBD.\textsuperscript{144}

The mechanism explaining why animal proteins and/or fat would increase susceptibility to IBD is not clear, but it is likely that it could promote changes in bile salt composition and microbiome which in turn could be relevant to the initiation and maintenance of inflammation in genetically susceptible individuals.\textsuperscript{144} Investigators have shown that offering a high-fat diet and heme supplementation (normally present in high quantities in red meat) to a UC-like mouse model resulted in increased severity of colitis compared with mice fed with chow.\textsuperscript{145} In two mouse models of colitis, other investigators showed that introducing a diversified diet (in an attempt to replicate a more diverse and westernised type of diet) compared with a monotonous diet increased the severity of colitis. This was accompanied by microbiome changes—namely, a reduction in bacteria diversity.\textsuperscript{146} Devkota et al\textsuperscript{147} have shown that offering a diet rich in saturated fat to mice resulted in alterations in the pool of BAs which, in turn, stimulated the growth of sulfate-reducing bacteria that have been implicated in IBD. Further studies clarifying the impact of nutrients in the development and course of IBD may offer new insights into the early phases of disease development.
CONCLUSIONS
UC is a serious medical condition with its own genetic, patho-
genic and therapeutic identity. Despite all the recent advances, and even with our best available therapies, many patients remain symptomatic and present with a damaged colon with ulcerations, highlighting the need for new therapies. Many of the current strategies being developed in UC such as small molecules and new biological therapies focus on targeting the block-
ade or enhancement of soluble and cellular proteins and associated signalling mechanisms. However, thinking outside the box, looking at other components of UC pathophysiology and improving our understanding of some distinctive clinical and epidemiological features of disease may lead to the development of novel approaches and therapies.

While anticipating the arrival of novel and more effective medical therapy, we need to optimise the ones we currently have. This will involve being more stringent with our endpoints, introducing sufficiently potent drug regimens, optimising drug levels, intervening early before damage occurs and aiming at the sustained suppression of inflammation, with the ultimate goal of changing the course of disease.
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