Incidence and coincidence of hiatus hernia
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The frequency of hiatus hernias found incidentally during routine barium meal examinations has been reported on many occasions (Eisen, 1938; Levy and Duggan, 1941; Mendelsohn, 1946; Root and Pritchett, 1938; and Ritvo, 1930), and varies between 0.8% and 2.9%. When the abdominal pressure is increased by any method during the examination, the incidence rises to between 2.1% and 11.8% (Boyd, Harris, Butler, and Donaldson, 1956; Carmichael, 1959; Conway-Hughes, 1956; Sim, 1964; and Hafter, 1958). Stein and Finkelstein (1960), Texter, Van Derstappen, Chejiec, Chvojka, Vidinli, Barborka, and Bundesen (1962), and Wolf and Guglielmo (1957) have shown that even more hernias can be demonstrated if the patient is given barium to swallow when in the prone position with the abdomen compressed.

METHOD

To find the incidence of hiatus hernia when each patient having a barium meal is given barium to swallow whilst lying prone, 500 consecutive barium meals were studied. All the examinations were done to establish the cause of abdominal pain believed to be due either to peptic ulceration or to gastric neoplasm. Meals which were given to confirm the presence of a clinically suspected hiatus hernia were not included in the 500, nor were those merely to estimate progress of a known gastric ulcer or examinations on patients who had already had gastric surgery. If a hiatus hernia had not already been seen before completing each examination, a single mouthful of barium was given whilst the patient lay prone over a firm bolster some 9 in. in diameter. The barium was watched on the screen as it moved down the oesophagus, and the oesophageal peristaltic waves were examined particularly to see if they continued as far as the diaphragm or not. Gastro-oesophageal reflux was not diagnosed unless the oesophagus filled from below, that is, without giving barium in the prone position. A film was taken of the oesophagus after it had emptied as much as it would and a hiatus hernia was diagnosed only if a ring or groove as described by Maclean (1959) could be identified above the diaphragm and the mucosal pattern of the supra-diaphragmatic pouch was continuous with that of the infradiaphragmatic portion of the stomach. When doubt existed on the evidence of either the screening or the film about the presence of a hernia none was reported.

RESULTS

The frequency of hiatus hernias for each decade is shown in Table I. The overall incidence is 29.6%. Twenty-one per cent of the males had hernias and 39% of the females. More women than men had hernias in each age group. The frequency of hernias rose with age from 9% in those under 40 to 69% in patients over 70 years of age.

Gastro-oesophageal reflux occurred in 57 of the 148 (38%) patients with hiatus hernia and was seen in 41 women (45% of those with hernias) and 16 men (29%).

Table II shows the coincidence of hiatus hernia with other lesions of the stomach and duodenum. Sixteen out of 66 (25%) patients with duodenal ulceration had a hiatus hernia, but only three out of 41 patients with line gastric ulcers (7%). One subject had both a duodenal and a gastric ulcer.

DISCUSSION

Of the several factors which could account for the higher incidence of hiatus hernia in this series compared with those of previous writers, the method of examination is probably the most important. Unless the patient is given barium to swallow after being placed prone over a pad, small hernias will not be visualized, as a light barium coating on the fundus of the stomach may be squeezed off the mucosa as it slides through the relatively tight hiatus.
TABLE II

COINCIDENCE OF HIATUS HERNIA WITH OTHER LESIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesions Other than</th>
<th>Patients With</th>
<th>Patients Without</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hiatus Hernia</td>
<td>Hiatus Hernia</td>
<td>Hiatus Hernia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric ulcer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenal ulcer</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 One man and one woman each had both a gastric ulcer and a duodenal ulcer.
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The series is similar in other respects to most of those reported from routine radiological examinations, so it is likely that the true incidence of hiatus hernia is no greater and that the large number found is due entirely to the technic employed. Nevertheless the question of the significance of these diaphragmatic hernias is still left open and whether they are asymptomatic or whether they cause symptoms which mimic peptic ulcers and neoplasms.

SUMMARY

Using a barium swallow with the patient prone over a bolster, hiatus hernias were demonstrated in 30% of patients suspected of peptic ulceration or gastric neoplasm who were referred for barium meal examination.
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