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The augmented histamine test has been widely accepted as an accurate and reproducible means of measuring the secretory capacity of the stomach.

It would appear that histamine requires the cooperation of cholinergic mechanisms to produce a full secretory response. Payne and Kay (1962) showed that the withdrawal of vagally released acetylcholine was mainly responsible for the large reduction in the augmented histamine response which had been observed after vagotomy; they were able to restore postvagotomy responses to almost preoperative levels by a simultaneous intravenous infusion of the stable cholinergic drug Mecholyl. The failure of Mecholyl to increase the augmented histamine response preoperatively may be a reflection of the already high level of cholinergic activity normally present in the human stomach. However, it has been suggested by several workers that the degree of vagal activity in duodenal ulcer patients may vary quite widely from individual to individual, and that in a small proportion of patients vagal activity may have a minor role in gastric acid secretion (Woodward, Harper, Tovee, and Dragstedt, 1949; Gillespie and Kay, 1961; Sircus and Small, 1964).

In the present study on duodenal ulcer patients attempts were made to potentiate the preoperative augmented histamine responses with the endogenous acetylcholine released at vagal nerve endings in the gastric mucosa by insulin hypoglycaemia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sixty-seven male duodenal ulcer patients were studied, the diagnosis in all being confirmed at subsequent operation.

Each patient had two preoperative gastric secretion tests. On one day a standard augmented histamine test was performed after a 12-hour fast. A radioopaque nasogastric tube was passed under fluoroscopic control and the gastric secretion collected by continuous electric pump suction, with occasional interruption to ensure continuing patency of the tube. Four basal specimens were aspirated at 15-minute intervals after removal of the fasting juice. Then 50 mg mepyramine maleate (Anthisan) and histamine acid phosphate, 0.04 mg/kg body weight, were given as a single combined intramuscular injection. Gastric aspiration was continued for a further three 15-minute periods, the response to the test being taken as the sum of the last two 15-minute outputs.

On a separate day insulin was given in addition to histamine. After collection of two 15-minute basal specimens, 10 units of soluble insulin was given intravenously. Fifteen minutes later 50 mg mepyramine maleate and histamine acid phosphate (0.04 mg/kg body weight) were given as a single combined intramuscular injection. Three further 15-minute aspirates of gastric secretion were again collected and the response to this combination of drugs was expressed as the acid output occurring in the second and third 15-minute periods after the histamine injection. The timing of the insulin in relation to the histamine was arranged so that the inhibitor effect of insulin on gastric secretion, which usually lasts about 30 minutes, would be likely to have passed by the time the histamine stimulation became maximal.

Postoperative insulin studies, using a dose of 20 units of soluble insulin, showed no increase in acid concentration greater than 10 m-equiv/litre over basal levels at any time in a two-hour period after the insulin injection in 44 of the 67 patients, and in these a further augmented histamine test was performed about 10 days after operation.

The volume of each 15-minute specimen was measured. After filtration, acid concentration was calculated by titration against 0.1 normal sodium hydroxide with Töpfer's indicator. Acid outputs were derived by multiplication of volume and concentration values, and expressed as milliequivalents.

Potentiation was defined according to one of the criteria used by Gillespie and Grossman (1964) for urecholine and gastrin, and was considered to have occurred if the response to the combined agents exceeded the maximal response attainable by either agent alone.

RESULTS

The results in the 67 patients are presented in Table I. Statistical analysis using Student's t test for paired values has shown that the mean response to the insulin plus histamine was significantly greater than the mean response to the augmented histamine response.
**TABLE I**

**EFFECTS OF INSULIN AND OF SURGICAL VAGOTOMY ON THE AUGMENTED HISTAMINE RESPONSE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Before Vagotomy</th>
<th>After Vagotomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Augmented Histamine Response</td>
<td>Insulin + Augmented Histamine Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-operative means for 44 patients in whom post-operative augmented histamine responses were obtained.

Values are expressed in m-equiv HCl/l hour.

Percentage changes were calculated by taking preoperative augmented histamine response as 100%.

1Values are expressed in m-equiv HCl/l hour.
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test alone (t = 5.052; p < 0.001). The arithmetic mean of the percentage difference between each pair of test responses showed an overall increase in acid response of 17.7% when insulin was given in addition to the histamine. In 48 of the 67 patients the response to the insulin plus histamine was greater than to histamine alone and in 18 patients the response to the combined drugs was less than to histamine alone; in one patient the two responses were identical.

The average variation in duplicate augmented histamine tests in the same individual was recorded as 5% by Kay (1953) and 9% by Sircus (1960). Marks (1961) observed a similar degree of reproducibility, but expressed it as a coefficient of variation of 9.7%. Although repeated augmented histamine tests were not performed on each of the patients in the present series, it would seem reasonable to accept a variation of up to 10% as being within clinical experimental error range. The acid response to the combined administration of insulin and histamine exceeded the response to histamine alone by more than 10% in 32 patients, i.e., in 47.8% of the series. The largest increase in acid output from the combined drugs was 99% over the augmented histamine response. It seems likely that potentiation between the insulin and histamine had occurred in these 32 patients, according to the already mentioned criterion.

The responses to the two tests were within 10% of each other in 28 patients (41.8% of the series), and in these potentiating clearly had not occurred. In seven patients (10.4% of the series), the response to the insulin plus histamine was less than that to the histamine alone by more than 10%, and it seems that the histamine response may have been inhibited by insulin.

The possibility of a relationship between the absolute value for the augmented histamine response and the change in it exerted by the insulin was explored by calculating the correlation coefficient for these two sets of values. The low correlation coefficient (r = 0.1232; t = 0.998; p < 0.2) clearly shows that the level of the preoperative histamine response by itself is not necessarily related to the ease of achieving augmentation or inhibition by the added insulin.

A study of the acid responses in the 44 patients for whom postvagotomy augmented histamine responses were available revealed that there was, however, a relationship between the preoperative effect of the insulin on the histamine response, and the reduction in the augmented histamine response after vagotomy. A statistically significant negative correlation was obtained for the absolute change produced in the augmented histamine response by insulin, and the absolute difference between pre- and postoperative augmented histamine responses. In other words, the greater the increase in the histamine response as a result of the added insulin, the smaller the reduction in histamine response after vagal section, and vice versa.

This relationship is more clearly demonstrated by subdividing the 44 patients into three groups, namely, (1) those in whom the insulin appeared to produce potentiation, as already arbitrarily defined (21 patients); (2) those in whom insulin produced no significant alteration (21 patients); and (3) those in whom the insulin appeared to inhibit the augmented histamine response (two patients). The average reduction in the augmented histamine response after vagotomy was 59.8% in group 1, 77.5% in group 2, and the reductions for the two individuals in group 3 were 93.2% and 100% respectively. There were eight patients in group 1 with a postoperative reduction in the augmented histamine response of less than 50%, whereas in group 2 there were only two such patients.

DISCUSSION

It is of interest that insulin had a variable effect on the augmented histamine response in different individuals of the group of duodenal ulcer patients studied. Increase in the histamine response, probably amounting to potentiation, occurred in almost 50% of the 67 patients studied preoperatively, while inhibition of the histamine response was seen in 10%, and no significant effect in the remainder. There would seem to be no satisfactory means of clearly defining true potentiation in such a series of clinical measurements, with the attendant variations for experimental error, and other sources of imprecision. It therefore seems impossible to establish an absolute level of difference between a histamine test and an insulin plus histamine one, above which potentiation can be confidently assumed, and below which it can be excluded. However, the indirect evidence which supports the occurrence of true potentiation, at least in those patients displaying the largest increase in acid output from the combination of insulin and histamine, are (1) that the overall mean acid output to the combined drugs is statistically significantly greater than that to histamine alone, and (2) that the uppermost value for experimental error in augmented histamine responses from published literature is exceeded in half of the patients studied. One of the criteria used by Gillespie and Grossman (1964) was that potentiation was considered to have occurred if the response to the combined agents exceeded the maximal response attainable by either agent alone. It would appear
that those patients in the present series with a more
than 10% increase in the augmented histamine
response as a result of the added insulin satisfied
this criterion as far as exceeding the maximal response
attainable by the histamine alone. However, it
might be argued that the increased responses were
not demonstrated to be significantly greater than
the maximal attainable by insulin alone. Although
acid dose response curves to insulin were not
performed in these subjects, there is evidence from
the work of Ross (1964), in a study of comparable
patients, that the mean acid response to the dose of
insulin used in the present study was 70% of the
maximal histamine response. Furthermore, in a
detailed study of the gastric secretory response to
graded insulin doses in experimental animals, Davis,
Brooks, and Robert (1956) showed that increase in
insulin dosage to approximately five times that used
in the present study failed to evoke significantly
larger acid responses. We conclude, therefore, that
it is most unlikely that the maximal acid response
to insulin alone would exceed the maximal histo-
mine response, and that a response to the combined
insulin plus histamine exceeding the maximal histo-
mine response alone would satisfy the criterion
already outlined. This would appear to be the first
time that potentiation of the augmented histamine
response in the intact stomach has been demonstrated,
although Nechelles, Motel, Kosse, and Neuwelt
(1938) did show cholinergic potentiation of sub-
maximal doses of histamine in man.

It is interesting to speculate on the possible reason
for a wide range of effects of the insulin on the
augmented histamine response in different patients.
The finding that vagotomy reduces the augmented
histamine response, and that the simultaneous
administration of a stable cholinester can restore
this response to preoperative levels (Payne and Kay
1962) indicates that the augmented histamine re-
sponse of the intact stomach is to some extent depen-
dent on cholinergic participation. The degree of
cholinergic contribution may vary from one individ-
ual to another. The hypoglycaemia which follows
intravenous insulin probably stimulates the medul-
ary vagal nuclei via the hypotalamus, resulting in a
release of acetylcholine at the peripheral vagal
nerve endings. During fasting conditions, the release
of acetylcholine in the gastric wall, in any individual,
may depend upon the level of tonic activity in the
vagi. When vagal activity is already high, further
release of acetylcholine in response to insulin
hypoglycaemia may be too small to affect the aug-
mented histamine response. A further possibility is
that additional acetylcholine released by the insulin
stimulation may increase the local gastric acetyl-
choline concentration sufficiently to cause a dose
reversal phenomenon, similar to that described by
Gray and Ivy (1937) for exogenous cholinergic
activity in the form of Mecholyl, and confirmed by
Gillespie and Grossman (1964) for Urecholine. These
theoretical explanations could account for the
failure to produce potentiation of the preoperative
augmented histamine response in 41-8% of the
patients, and for the inhibition of the response which
occurred in 10-4%. Potentiation between insulin
and the histamine would then reflect a lesser degree
of tonic vagal activity, still permitting increased
stimulation from added insulin-induced acetyl-
choline. Thus it is possible that the effect of the
insulin on the augmented histamine response may
be quantitatively related to the spontaneous vagal
activity in an individual patient.

Support for this hypothesis is given by the signi-
ficant negative correlation coefficient for the change
in the histamine response caused by the insulin,
compared with the reduction of the augmented
histamine response after vagotomy in the 44 patients
in whom both pre- and postoperative data were
available. This indicates that vagotomy would
probably result in a large reduction in the augmented
histamine response when insulin had produced
little or no potentiation preoperatively; conversely,
if considerable potentiation of the histamine response
is obtained with insulin it would be likely that
vagotomy would achieve only a small reduction in
the augmented histamine response.

The failure of Payne and Kay (1962) to increase
the preoperative histamine response by the simul-
taneous administration of intravenous Mecholyl may
be due to several factors. It is possible that all five
patients studied may have come from the group in
which cholinergic activity seems to be spontaneously
operating at near maximal level; alternatively it
may be that Mecholyl administered systemically did
not reach the exact site of action of acetylcholine in
the gastric wall, or that the unpleasant side-effects
prevented the administration of adequate amounts.
These difficulties were fully discussed by Payne and
Kay, and it was suggested that confining the choli-
nergic activity locally to the gastric tissues might
yield different results.

In dogs a greater degree of potentiation between
cholinergic drugs and histamine than that demon-
strated in the present human study has generally
been reported (Nechelles et al, 1938; Uvnäs, 1942;
Gillespie and Grossman, 1964). In addition, the
maximal histamine response is not significantly
reduced in dogs by vagotomy (Hood and Code,
1950; Andersson and Grossman, 1965, Heathcote,
Daly, and Gillespie, 1965). These findings, together
with other evidence, are generally interpreted as
indicating a lower vagal tone in dogs than in man.
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In a few patients vagotomy may not significantly reduce the amount of acid secreted, as exemplified by the small reductions in augmented histamine response in a minority of the present series. If it can be conclusively shown that ulceration may recur after complete vagotomy, and that the incidence of this complication is highest in such patients with a small reduction in secretory response, the combined insulin-histamine procedure might offer an alternative means to the attempted ‘medical vagotomy’ by atropine and hexamethonium (Gillespie and Kay, 1961; Abernethy, 1967) in the selection of patients for surgical treatment of duodenal ulceration.

SUMMARY

The effect of insulin-induced hypoglycaemia on the augmented histamine response has been studied in 67 duodenal ulcer patients. Augmentation of response, probably amounting to potentiation, was observed in some patients, in others inhibition occurred, while in a third group no significant effect was noted. It is suggested that the effects of insulin on the histamine response may be related to background vagal activity. The range of effects noted suggest that in a small number of duodenal ulcer patients factors other than the vagi may be dominant in the stimulation of acid secretion.
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