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ABSTRACT

Objective Etrolizumab (rhuMAb β7, anti-β7, PRO145223) is a humanised monoclonal antibody targeting the β7 subunit of the heterodimeric integrins α4β7 and αEβ7, which are implicated in leucocyte migration and retention in ulcerative colitis (UC). This randomised phase I study evaluated the safety and pharmacology of etrolizumab in patients with moderate to severe UC.

Design In the single ascending dose (SAD) stage, etrolizumab (0.3, 1.0, 3.0, 10 mg/kg intravenous, 3.0 mg/kg subcutaneous (SC) or placebo) was administered 4:1 (n=25) in each cohort. In the multiple dose (MD) stage, new patients received monthly etrolizumab (0.5 mg/kg SC (n=4), 1.5 mg/kg SC (n=5), 3.0 mg/kg SC (n=4), 4.0 mg/kg intravenous (n=5)) or placebo (n=5). The pharmacokinetics was studied and Mayo Clinic Score evaluated at baseline, day 29 (SAD), and days 43 and 71 (MD).

Results In the SAD stage, there were no dose limiting toxicities, infusion or injection site reactions. Two impaired wound healing serious adverse events occurred in two patients receiving etrolizumab. In the MD stage, there were no dose limiting toxicities, and no infusion or injection site reactions. Headache was the most common adverse event, occurring more often in etrolizumab patients. Antietrolizumab antibodies were detected in two subjects. The duration of β7 receptor full occupancy was dose related. A clinical response was observed in 12/18 patients, and clinical remission in 3/18 patients treated with etrolizumab in the MD stage, compared with 4/5 and 1/5 placebo patients, respectively.

Conclusion Etrolizumab is well tolerated in moderate to severe UC. Further investigation is warranted.

INTRODUCTION

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory condition of the colon characterised by mucosal ulceration, rectal bleeding, diarrhea, abdominal pain and sometimes extraintestinal manifestations. UC may be complicated by severe bloody diarrhea and toxic megacolon that requires colectomy. Pharmacological management of UC currently relies on 5-aminosalicylates, corticosteroids, purine antimetabolites, calcineurin inhibitors such as tacrolimus and cyclosporine, and antitumour necrosis factor (anti-TNF) therapy. Corticosteroid dependence and probability of colectomy remain clinically significant challenges, the latter most commonly due to failed medical therapy.

Sustained remission in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) with currently available therapies is achieved in no more than 20%–30% of patients with chronic disease. Patients treated with anti-TNF therapy eventually lose response and are at risk of serious side effects. Therefore, there is a need to develop more targeted therapies optimised for chronic use that can modify the disease while decreasing dependence on corticosteroids and the probability of progression to surgery, without compromising immune competence. New therapies...
should provide improved safety profiles with sustained remission and mucosal healing, thereby preventing long-term complications in a greater proportion of patients, including patients who do not respond to standard of care.

Integrins are cell surface glycoproteins that play a role in leucocyte adhesion, signalling, proliferation and migration,7 aiding in leucocyte recruitment from blood into both lymphoid and non-lymphoid tissues.31 32 Amelioration of IBD in nonclinical homing to mucosal sites, with limited to no effects on migration that etrolizumab provides selective targeting of lymphocyte E-cadherin, respectively. Murine and primate studies indicate MAdCAM-1 expression are elevated at sites of chronic inflammation such as in the intestinal tract of patients with UC.13 14 Migration into the gastrointestinal tract is highly dependent on interactions between the α4β7 integrin expressed on the surface of the leucocyte and its ligand, mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule (MAdCAM-1) expressed on endothelial cells.9 α4β7 Binds preferentially to MAdCAM-1 expressed on the high endothelial venules of mucosal-associated lymphoid tissues, and has limited binding to vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) and the fibronectin fragment CS-1.30–12 α4β7+ Cells and MAdCAM-1 expression are elevated at sites of chronic inflammation such as in the intestinal tract of patients with UC.13 14

The αEβ7 integrin, another member of the β7 integrin family, is expressed exclusively on mucosal intraepithelial T lymphocytes. αEβ7 binds selectively to E-cadherin on epithelial cells and has been proposed to play a role in the retention of T cells in mucosal tissue.13–15 which exhibit cytotoxicity against stressed or infected epithelial cells.16–20 The expression of αEβ7 is elevated in UC and Crohn’s Disease (CD) in the active phase of the disease,21 22 and anti-αEβ7 treatment attenuates experimental colitis in mice, implicating a role for αEβ7+ lymphocytes in experimental models of IBD.23 Interestingly, genetic polymorphisms at the CDH1 locus, which encode E-cadherin, have been associated with susceptibility to UC.24 25 In addition, CDH1 polymorphisms associated with CD may result in the generation of a truncated E-cadherin protein with abnormal intracellular accumulation and impaired plasma membrane localisation.26 Studies of gene targeted animals with E-cadherin intracellular accumulation and impaired plasma membrane generation of a truncated E-cadherin protein is critical for maintenance of intestinal epithelial integrity and defense.27 28 Thus, while alterations in the E-cadherin homotypic interactions likely underlie the increased disease susceptibility associated with CDH1 polymorphisms, dysregulated E-cadherin expression or function may also result in altered αEβ7+ cell responses.

Etrolizumab is a humanised IgG1 monoclonal antibody which targets the β7 subunit of α4β729 30 and αEβ7 integrins with high affinity, blocking binding to their ligands, MAdCAM-1 and E-cadherin, respectively. Murine and primate studies indicate that etrolizumab provides selective targeting of lymphocyte homing to mucosal sites, with limited to no effects on migration to non-mucosal tissues.31 32 Amelioration of IBD in nonclinical models is associated with downmodulation and/or disappearance of α4β7 and αEβ7 cells from the inflamed mucosa.33–38

Etrolizumab provides a dual approach mechanism of action for the treatment of IBD that involves inhibition of leucocyte trafficking to the gut (through blocking of α4β7–MAdCAM-1 interactions) as well as inhibition of retention of leucocytes in the intraepithelial lining of the gut (through blocking αEβ7–E-cadherin interactions), which may provide improved efficacy over currently available therapies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind within-cohort study was designed to evaluate the safety and tolerability of etrolizumab in patients with moderate to severe UC. Secondary objectives included the characterisation of the pharmacokinetic (PK) profile, and to conduct an initial assessment of immunogenicity. Exploratory objectives were to evaluate pharmacodynamic (PD) properties and evaluate potential clinical activity. Study participants were recruited from 15 centres in Canada, the USA and Europe (the UK, Belgium, The Netherlands, Germany). Institutional review boards at each study site approved the protocol, and all subjects provided written informed consent. The trial was registered under US National Institutes of Health ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT00694980.

Patients

Eligible patients included male and female subjects, 18–70 years of age, with a diagnosis of UC and a Mayo Clinic Score (MCS),39 of ≥5 points at screening. Patients had a disease duration of ≥12 weeks at screening, and were on stable doses of oral 5-aminosalicylic acid (2.4–4.8 g/day) or oral steroids (<20 mg/day of prednisone or prednisone equivalent), or azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine or methotrexate. Key exclusion criteria included hospitalisation due to severity of UC; evidence of moderate to severe anaemia (haemoglobin <10 g/dl); risk of infection including presence of JCV DNA in serum, such as ongoing or history of severe infections; recent live vaccinations; concomitant medications including anti-TNF; cyclosporine or tacrolimus within 3 months; and any manifestation of UC or other conditions likely to require, in the investigator’s judgement, treatment with >20 mg/day of prednisone or prednisone equivalent.

Study design

We initiated a randomised within cohort single ascending dose (SAD) stage followed by a randomised within cohort, multiple dose (MD), parallel-treatment stage. The interactive voice response system conducted the randomisation/treatment assignment through the use of a process designed by the biostatistician. In the SAD stage of the study, patients (n=5/cohorts; 4 etrolizumab to 1 placebo; total n=25) were enrolled sequentially, in ascending doses, into five cohorts (Cohorts A–E) and treated with single intravenous or subcutaneous (SC) doses at one of four dose levels (0.3, 1.0, 3.0 or 10.0 mg/kg) (figure 1). Enrolment in one cohort was completed before the next cohort opened. In the first dose cohort (Cohort A, 0.3 mg/kg intravenous) no more than one patient was treated per 24 h, and each patient was monitored for 24 h for acute adverse reactions before the next patient was treated. Within subsequent cohorts (Cohorts B–E), dosing of the first two patients in each cohort was staggered by 24 h.

Figure 1 Study design and patient flow. IV, intravenous; SC, subcutaneous; SAO, single ascending dose; DE, dose escalation.
Safety was evaluated after a cohort had completed enrolment and all patients in the cohort had completed follow-up through day 15. If the safety data were acceptable as determined by the number of dose limiting toxicities (DLTs), then enrolment of the subsequent cohort was initiated. Patients were followed for 18 weeks after the single dose of study drug was administered, with follow-up visits at days 1, 2, 4, 8, 15, 22, 29, 43, 57, 71, 99 and 127.

The MD parallel stage was commenced ~10 weeks after dosing was completed for the last patient treated in Cohort E (3.0 mg/kg SC) of the SAD stage, and was conditional on adequate PK properties being observed in the SAD stage and establishment of a maximum tolerated dose (MTD) for etrolizumab at the following doses: 0.5 mg/kg SC (Cohort F; n=4), 1.5 mg/kg SC (Cohort G; n=5), 3.0 mg/kg SC (Cohort H; n=4) or 4.0 mg/kg intravenous (Cohort I; n=5). In the MD stage, patients were enrolled concurrently and randomised within cohort to receive placebo (n=5) or etrolizumab at the following doses: 10 mg/kg intravenous (predose) and days 64, 85, 113, 141, 169 and 197. In the SAD stage, peripheral blood samples were collected at study days 1 (predose) and days 15, 29, 57, 99 and 127.

Correlative analysis of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics

A total of 38 etrolizumab treated patients were evaluated to determine the minimal serum etrolizumab concentration that is required to maintain full occupancy of the β7 integrin. Five of the 58 treated patients were excluded from the PK/PD analysis.

due to missing PK and PD values as they terminated early from the study.

Clinical activity
Exploratory analyses were conducted for the SAD and MD stages to evaluate the effect of etrolizumab on the MCS compared with baseline on days 29 (SAD only) and days 43 and 71 (MD stage only). A clinical response was defined as ≥5 points and 30% reduction from baseline in MCS, and ≥1 point decrease in rectal bleeding subscore or absolute rectal bleeding score of 0 or 1. Clinical remission was defined as MCS ≤2 with no individual subscore exceeding 1 point.

Statistical analysis
The cohort sample size was determined by estimating the minimum number or patients required to safely escalate at different DLT rates to the next dose level in the SAD. All patients who received at least one dose of study drug or placebo were included in the safety, PK and PD analyses. AEs, vital signs, laboratory tests, PK, PD and disease activity measurements were descriptively compared across the various treatment groups with no formal statistical testing.

RESULTS
Study population
Patient characteristics were similar between active drug and placebo recipients (table 1) in both the SAD and MD stages of the study. Information on previous therapy with anti-TNF was not available for patients who took part in the SAD stage of the study. In the MD stage, concomitant treatment for UC was not available for patients who took part in the SAD stage of the study. In the MD stage, concomitant corticosteroid therapy (80% placebo vs 44% for etrolizumab). In addition, among patients who received active treatment with etrolizumab, there were a greater proportion of patients who had been treated with anti-TNF therapy (76% etrolizumab vs 40% on placebo). The mean MCS for patients participating in the phase I study was 9.0±1.7 (SD) indicating they had severe UC.

The demographics and baseline characteristics for patients enrolled in the SAD and MD stages, per cohort, are presented in Supplementary table 1. Although each cohort has data for only four or five patients, these covariates appear balanced across cohorts. The only covariate with a high variability is disease duration, higher in the 1 mg/kg intravenous and 3 mg/kg intravenous than the other SAD cohorts.

Patient disposition
Overall, 26 patients in the etrolizumab group and seven patients in the placebo group completed the study. Of the 25 subjects enrolled in the SAD stage, seven subjects discontinued early from the study, five in the etrolizumab treated groups and two in the placebo group. Of the 23 subjects enrolled in the MD stage, eight subjects discontinued early from the study: seven in the etrolizumab treated groups and one in the placebo group. Subject disposition and the reasons for premature discontinuation for subjects treated during the SAD and MD stages of the study are presented in table 2.

Safety
Etrolizumab was well tolerated during the study. The overall incidence of treatment-related AEs was marginally higher in the active treatment group (table 5), and occurred in the first 24 h of treatment. There was no dose-dependent increase in the incidence of AEs. Headache was the most common AE occurring more often in actively treated patients. No DLTs or clinically significant infusion reactions or injection site reactions were reported.

Eight patients had serious AEs: seven in the SAD stage (6:1; etrolizumab:placebo) and one in the MD stage (1:0; etrolizumab: placebo). Exacerbation of UC was the most common serious AE. There were two patients with impaired wound healing posturgent colectomy for exacerbation of UC. Both patients had severe UC with baseline MCS of 9 and 11. Each patient received a single dose of etrolizumab at dose levels of 1 mg/kg intravenous and 3 mg/kg SC, respectively. There were significant confounding factors for impaired wound healing such as the use of high dose steroids, hypoalbuninaemia, type 2 diabetes mellitus and obesity in one patient, and hypoalbuninaemia and obesity in the second patient. Two other patients also underwent colectomy after exposure to single doses of etrolizumab in the phase I study without any postoperative complications.

Two patients, one in the SAD stage and one in the MD stage, developed transient low level JCV shedding into the serum at levels of 20–30 viral copies/ml. Neither patient developed any symptoms suggestive of PML and both had no significant abnormalities on brain MRI.

Pharmacokinetics
The PK behaviour of etrolizumab was generally consistent with that of a typical humanised IgG1 monoclonal antibody (figure 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Patient demographics and baseline characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Etrolizumab SAD (n = 20)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration years, median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant drug treatment, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-ASA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercaptopurine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous anti-TNF therapy, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline MCS, median (range)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid; MCS, Mayo Clinic Score; MD, multiple dose; NA, not applicable; SAD, single ascending dose; TNF, tumour necrosis factor.
The PK profile of etrolizumab is generally linear and dose proportional with regard to C_{max} and AUC (SAD) or AUC_t (MD) within the dose range tested. A slight trend of non-linearity was observed at doses ≤1.0 mg/kg, possibly due to target-mediated clearance at lower etrolizumab serum concentrations.

The slight non-linearity of PK at doses ≤1.0 mg/kg resulted in a shorter mean t_{1/2} at lower doses (4.8–7.4 days at 0.5–1 mg/kg) compared with higher doses (10.6–13.1 days at 3–10 mg/kg). These slight differences in half-life and PK linearity had no impact on the exposures (C_{max}, AUC) and the overall PK dose proportionality across the dose ranges tested. The apparent bioavailability following a single SC administration, based on cross-cohort comparison with intravenous single dose, was estimated to be approximately 67% at 3 mg/kg. Mean CL\_ss/F ranged from 5.1 to 10.1 ml/day/kg following multiple SC doses and showed no time-dependent changes as compared with a single SC administration. The repeated monthly dosing for three doses resulted in moderate drug accumulation over time (accumulation ratio for AUC_t of approximately 1.2-fold for intravenous and 2.0-fold for SC).

Antietrolizumab antibodies were detected in two out of a total of 38 drug-treated patients. One patient was from the lowest dose cohort in the SAD stage (0.3 mg/kg intravenous) with positive ATA detected in a range of 1.6 titre units on study day 169 and 1.44 titre units on study day 215. In these two ATA positive patients, the ATA titre levels were low and close to the minimum reportable level (1.3 titre units). There were no effects on PK profile for either of these patients nor were there any AEs suggestive of an allergic reaction.

### Pharmacodynamics

Etrolizumab occupied \( \beta_7 \) integrin on CD45RA-\( \beta_7^{\text{high}} \) CD4+ T cells in all cohorts (ABS, % BL, figure 3). There was an observed dose-dependent trend in the duration of occupancy in the actively treated patients. For the cohorts receiving single intravenous doses of 0.3, 1.0 and 10 mg/kg, cohort mean occupancy was maintained for approximately 2 weeks, 6 weeks and 10 weeks, respectively. The extent and duration of \( \beta_7 \) occupancy was similar in the 3 mg/kg SC and 3 mg/kg intravenous cohorts.
with cohort mean occupancy maintained for approximately 10 weeks.

For the cohorts administered multiple SC doses of 0.5 mg/kg and 1.5 mg/kg etrolizumab, cohort mean occupancy was maintained for ~2–4 weeks postdose (day 57), whereas in the cohorts dosed with 3 mg/kg SC and 4 mg/kg intravenous, there was an extended duration of occupancy which was maintained for ~8–12 weeks postdose. The duration of occupancy was also longer when comparing the cohort receiving a single SC dose of 3 mg/kg etrolizumab (~10 weeks) compared with those receiving multiple SC doses of 3 mg/kg (~12 weeks).

Recovery of unoccupied β7 receptors on gut-homing T lymphocytes was associated with decreasing serum drug concentrations. A consistent PK–PD relationship was observed in both the single dose and MD cohorts in which a minimum serum concentration range of 0.05–2.7 μg/ml of etrolizumab (mean ± SD = 0.5±0.6 μg/ml, median =0.5 μg/ml) maintained occupancy of CD4+CD45RA-β7high T lymphocytes (see supplementary figure 1).

There was no change from baseline in the total lymphocyte count in patients who received etrolizumab (data not shown).

**Clinical activity**

In the SAD stage, individual changes in MCS show a trend for a decrease in the MCS in the low dose etrolizumab treated groups, especially in those where etrolizumab was administered SC (figure 4). In the MD stage, there was a similar trend for decrease in MCS in the low dose SC groups treated with etrolizumab (figure 4). The trend for decrease in the MCS in the etrolizumab treated groups was not different from that observed in the placebo treated patients and is confounded by the imbalanced use of oral corticosteroids in the placebo treated patients compared with the etrolizumab treated groups (table 1). Endoscopy scores were evaluated as an exploratory end point and tracked well with the overall MCS (supplementary figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The humanised monoclonal antibody etrolizumab targets the β7 subunit of the α4β7 and αEβ7 integrin heterodimer receptors. In this phase I study, etrolizumab was safe and well tolerated in patients with moderate to severely active UC. PK data indicate that etrolizumab has acceptable PK parameters to enable monthly SC administration. There were no early indications that etrolizumab is immunogenic on repeated intravenous or SC administration. Etrolizumab achieves immediate occupancy of β7 integrin on CD4+CD45RA-β7high T lymphocytes (see figure 1).

Unlike natalizumab, which blocks the function of both α4β1 and α4β7 heterodimers, etrolizumab does not interfere with the function of α4β1, an integrin heterodimer critical for the homing of leucocytes to the central nervous system through interactions with VCAM-1.41 Notably, treatment with natalizumab has been associated with confirmed cases of PML.42 The selectivity of etrolizumab was confirmed by its inability to inhibit adhesion of α4β1 or α4β7 Ramos cells to VCAM-1 at concentrations as high as 100 nM (data not shown). Consistent with these in vitro data, preclinical rodent studies have demonstrated that blockade of β7 integrin can prevent the recruitment of T cells to the inflamed colon in a mouse model of IBD while having no effect on lymphocyte homing to the brain in a mouse model of multiple sclerosis.31 34 43 In addition, healthy cynomolgus

---

**Figure 2** Concentration–time profiles following single or multiple doses given by IV or SC administration. The lower limit of quantification is 0.0125 μg/ml. IV, intravenous; MD, multiple dose; SAD, single ascending dose; SC, subcutaneous; LLOQ, lower limit of quantitation.

**Figure 3** Occupancy (mean ± SD) of β7 integrin on CD4+CD45RA-β7high T lymphocytes following administration of etrolizumab or placebo. BL, baseline; IV, intravenous; MD, multiple dose; SAD, single ascending dose; SC, subcutaneous.

---

monkeys treated with etrolizumab had an increase in peripheral blood mucosal-homing CD45RA-β7high CD4+ T cells with no substantial effect on CD4+ T cell subsets that home preferentially into non-mucosal sites. Following 26 weeks of treatment, etrolizumab induced a dose-dependent reversible decrease in gut-associated lymphoid tissue in cynomolgus monkeys and lymphocytes in murine intestinal lamina propria. These findings point to the ability of etrolizumab to specifically inhibit trafficking of mucosal-associated leucocytes implicated in disease pathogenesis of IBD while sparing the function of T cell subsets expressing other integrin subunits, such as α4β1, which are critical for normal host protective immune responses.

Clinical efficacy has also been demonstrated with the anti-α4β7 antibody vedolizumab (MLN-0002, LDP-02) in UC and CD. As with vedolizumab, etrolizumab binds α4β7 but is unique in that it also blocks binding of αEβ7 to its ligand E-cadherin. Thus, etrolizumab could affect leucocyte composition within the gut mucosa through multiple mechanisms. First, as discussed above, it could block α4β7-expressing leucocytes from entering the gut by inhibiting their extravasation through MAdCAM-1-expressing mucosal endothelial venules. Notably, MAdCAM-1 expression is increased in patients with UC and CD, and antibodies against β7 or α4β7 are effective in blocking migration of lymphocytes into the inflamed gut mucosa in animal models. Second, by inhibiting αEβ7 interactions...
with E-cadherin, it could directly affect retention of leucocytes within the intestinal mucosa. Intraepithelial lymphocytes may exhibit cytotoxic activity against epithelial cells and cells expressing αEβ7 integrin are pathogenic in mouse models of colitis and acute graft versus host disease. However, αEβ7 has recently been reported to be dispensable for immunity to the intestinal helminth pathogen Trichuris muris. Thus, αEβ7 and intraepithelial lymphocytes participate in mucosal immune responses but their relative importance is unclear and would likely need to be evaluated on a pathogen-by-pathogen basis. We have not directly evaluated the effect of β7 integrin blockade in animal models of opportunistic or enteric infection, but in our 26-week toxicity studies in mice and cynomolgus monkeys, there were no instances of enteric infection despite an etrolizumab-induced decrease in gut-associated lymphoid tissue. Similarly, there were no pulmonary safety signals in our study. In addition, our observation of no change from baseline in the total blood lymphocyte count in patients who received etrolizumab reflects the relatively small percentage of leucocytes affected by binding of etrolizumab.

The favourable safety profile observed with etrolizumab treatment in this trial warrants larger and longer-term studies to confirm our findings with etrolizumab in moderate to severe UC. A definitive phase II study is currently underway.
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