Progress report

Large bowel cancer: Causation and management

The death rate from large bowel cancer in England and Wales has tended to fall recently¹ but the change, which is slight, is probably accounted for by improvements in surgical and anaesthetic techniques. In contrast, incidence rates, if not actually rising are probably stationary. For a disease which is commonly considered curable, the five-year mortality rate, which is usually in excess of 60%, is disappointingly high. Simple technical advances in surgical management seem unlikely to contribute more than marginally to lowering death rates further since the scope for radical changes seems very limited. Hope for the future seems to depend upon progress in three fields: the identification of predisposing factors, the development of better diagnostic techniques, and the introduction of effective chemotherapy.

The incidence of large bowel cancer varies by approximately ten-fold from one part of the world to another, and broadly speaking its frequency is greater in developed countries, especially in western Europe and North America, than in South America, Africa and Asia^{2,3,4,6}. However, even within the developed areas there may be considerable variations; thus Scotland has a particularly high incidence of rectal cancer, whilst in Scandinavia large bowel cancer is considerably more common in Denmark than in Finland⁵. There is also a general inverse correlation, at least within developed countries, between large bowel and stomach cancer frequency.¹ These patterns seem to have altered very little recently although the expectation of the disease in migrants from one area to another changes within one generation from that found in the old country to that of the adopted area. Thus there are marked increases in large bowel cancer frequency in Japanese who move to the USA and in Puerto Ricans who do likewise⁶. Gastric cancer incidence rates seem to fall coincidentally but rather more slowly. The environmental influences responsible for these changes are still obscure. Comparisons of diets and social characteristics of colonic cancer patients and matched controls have, in general, been disappointing in their outcome^{7,8}, and therefore comparisons of broad dietary and other characteristics in areas with high and low incidence rates have been attempted instead. Of the many factors studied, only dietary constituents, particularly the proportions of animal protein and fat, have been shown to correlate well with the geographical variations in the incidence of large bowel cancer^{6,7,8,9,10,23}. Thus, 12% of calories in the diet in Japan, a low-risk area, seem to be derived from fat (mostly unsaturated) whilst in the USA almost 40% has been calculated as being derived from fat, of which almost half is saturated⁷. The Japanese in the USA seem to adopt a western diet whilst in Japan itself patients with colonic cancer seem to adhere to a western diet more often than controls⁶. The strong positive correlation between international mortality rates from colonic cancer and coronary heart disease, a disease in which a connexion with fat intake is more generally accepted, supports the hypothesis linking dietary fat with the aetiology

of colonic cancer, as do some case control studies. However, it is also worth noting that within western countries no correlation has been found between serum cholesterol concentration and the later occurrence of colonic cancer¹¹.

Available data on beef consumption support an alternative hypothesis linking the incidence of colonic cancer with animal protein intake. Argentina, New Zealand and Uruguay are three countries with particularly high consumption rates for beef. New Zealand has an extremely high national incidence rate for bowel cancer⁵ whilst the mortality rate in Argentina, a relatively impoverished country, is as high as that of the USA¹. Finally, the incidence rate projected from mortality data for Uruguay also seem to be very high⁴. There may also be significance in evidence that in Scotland, where the incidence is high, it is particularly so in rural areas and these include the beef-raising counties such as Aberdeenshire¹³.

An attractive general explanation of such observations is that dietary constituents influence the microbial flora of the gut and/or intestinal secretory patterns. The structural similarity of bile acids to carcinogenic polycyclic hydrocarbons¹⁴, the synthetic pathway which can be devised from deoxycholic acid to a carcinogen, such as 3 methyl cholanthrene¹⁵, and the carcinogenic potential in animals of deoxycholic acid, bisnor 5 cholenic acid and apocholic acid^{16,17,18}, give specific supporting evidence to such a theory even though these steroidal molecules do not seem to cause adenocarcinoma in the bowel experimentally. Bile acids are metabolized by anaerobic organisms such as *Bacteroides* and *Clostridia* species. Comparisons of the faecal bacterial flora of English and Ugandan adults showed that more bacteroides and fewer streptococci and lactobacilli were detectable in specimens obtained from the English¹⁹, and similar trends were found in a wider comparison in three countries with a low incidence of colonic cancer (Uganda, India and Japan) and three with high incidences (England, Scotland and the USA)¹⁵. In addition, a strong correlation was detectable between faecal concentrations of neutral sterols (derived from cholesterol), acid sterols (derived from bile acids) and the incidence of colonic cancer¹⁵.

Broadly, confirmatory evidence has been obtained in the USA. Faecal samples obtained from individuals on ordinary diets were found to contain greater amounts of coprostanol and coprostanone (products of cholesterol degradation), lithocholic, deoxycholic and total bile acids, and β glucuronidase (as a measure of microbial activity) than did samples obtained from Seventh Day Adventists not taking animal protein and from Japanese and Chinese in the USA taking traditional diets²⁰. Such studies demonstrate that the microbial flora of the gut does vary in association with dietary changes and that the faecal excretion of substances of biliary origin also varies in parallel.

Proof that such variations are significant in inducing cancer in man has yet to be obtained. Higher bile acid concentrations and large numbers of clostridial organisms have been found in the faeces of patients with large bowel cancer compared with those with other diseases, but since bowel cancer commonly alters bowel habit, cause and effect are impossible to distinguish²¹. Large-scale prospective studies could supply an answer but if the expectation of colonic cancer in the middle-aged and elderly lies between 50 and 100 per 100 000 per year, then, even leaving aside a run in period of say five years to exclude present but undetected bowel cancer, the number of samples required is daunting.

A reduced dietary fibre intake has also been suggested as an important factor in the incidence of large bowel cancer²². Bowel frequency in rural Africans has long been known to be greater in such individuals than in western populations, and intestinal obstruction due to volvulus (perhaps due to the increase in colonic size in persons on a high-fibre diet) is common, whereas intestinal cancer seems to be rare. Such characteristics have been emphasized by Burkitt³, and it has been propounded that a high dietary fibre content might be beneficial because it might reduce intestinal transit time and hence the length of time any carcinogen can remain in contact with the bowel wall. Secondly, it has been suggested that a high dietary fibre intake might reduce bile salt degradation²³. Support for the fibre hypothesis is hard to produce because the characteristics of fibre itself are difficult to identify and quantify. Dietary fibre is heterogeneous and the simple definition of crude fibre as that portion which is left after acid and alkali reduction, is clearly inadequate. However, at least one piece of evidence suggests that a simple inverse correlation between transit rate and bowel cancer frequency is untenable. The Japanese in Hawaii have been found to have a lower cancer incidence than the Americans there, but the Japanese bowel transit time is, if anything, slower²⁴.

Most large bowel cancers have been considered in the past to be within reach of the examining finger or sigmoidoscope but recent North American evidence suggests that right-sided cancer may be becoming increasingly frequent²⁵. If this is generally true, then conventional diagnostic methods will have to rely heavily upon contrast radiography and upon colonoscopy.

The failure of established diagnostic methods to affect the mortality rates in this disease materially has nurtured the hope that something useful will emerge from research in the field of tumour immunology.

Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), first identified in 1965 in the serum of patients with large bowel cancer²⁶, has been disappointing in its application as a diagnostic test. Although almost all patients with disseminated disease have raised values, they are raised in less than 60% when the disease is localized^{27,28,29}. Furthermore, it is not tumour-specific as was originally thought, and is found in variable amounts in a variety of other neoplastic^{29,30} and non-neoplastic^{31,32} disorders. In addition, its estimation in ulcerative colitis does not seem to discriminate between those with and without early malignant change³³. Its major application, if any, is in postoperative follow up since it allows the detection of recurrent tumour several months before it becomes clinically evident^{34,35,36}.

Cell-mediated immune reactions have also been under extensive investigation, the rationale being first that tumour cells express antigenic determinants, which are not found in normal cells of the same tissue and are thus immunogenic³⁷; and secondly, in that an inverse relationship exists between the degree of lymphocytic infiltration in tumour tissue, and the presence of cellular undifferentiation or metastatic spread, thus suggesting a role for sensitized lymphocytes in limiting tumour spread³⁸.

The ability of cancer patients to develop an immune response to tumours has been studied by a number of techniques *in vivo* and *in vitro*. The results have varied according to the methods used both in preparing the tumour extracts and in demonstrating specific responses.

The approach *in vivo* has been to skin test with soluble tumour extracts, observing the delayed cutaneous response. In one study, less than half the

patients with colonic cancer had a positive response³⁹, whilst others have found a much higher rate of positivity⁴⁰. More recent work has shown that patients with colonic cancer generally give a positive skin test to extracts of fetal large bowel but not to similar extracts from adult colon⁴¹, whereas such reactions are undetectable in control patients with other primary tumours. The antigens involved in these reactions seem to be distinct from CEA.

The ability of sensitized mononuclear cells from patients with cancer to inhibit the growth of, or kill, tumour target cells maintained *in vitro* has formed the basis of the colony inhibition test⁴² and microcytotoxicity assay^{43, 44} respectively. These have been applied extensively to the study of large bowel cancer, and tumour-directed immune reactivity has been demonstrated in a high proportion of patients. It has been shown that cytotoxic cells are active against both autochthonous and allogeneic target cells provided they come from tumours of a similar histological origin, implying that such tumours share type-specific neo-antigens^{45, 46, 47}. This cytotoxicity can be abrogated by the addition of serum from tumour-bearing patients⁴⁸ or of extracts prepared from a homogenate of the tumour tissue⁴⁹. The nature of this 'blocking factor' is uncertain but its presence in the serum of patients with cancer may in part explain the ineffectiveness of their circulating, tumour-directed cytotoxic lymphocytes. Doubt has been shed on the specificity of these reactions in extensive studies by Takasugi *et al*^{50, 51}, but the complexity of these methods make it very unlikely that they could ever be adapted to be of use in a diagnostic setting. Two other simpler methods have been used to detect sensitization of lymphocytes to tumour-specific antigens. They rely on the observation that sensitized lymphocytes release a number of soluble factors (or lymphokines) on contact with the appropriate antigen. One, the migration inhibition factor is detected by its effect on the movement of peripheral blood leucocytes in an *in-vitro* assay system. The migration of leucocytes taken from patients with large bowel cancer is retarded in the presence of the supernatant from a crudely prepared homogenate of allogeneic colon cancer tissue, an effect not seen in patients without colonic or rectal cancer^{52, 53, 54}. A blocking effect of tumour-bearer serum has again been described⁵³. This migration inhibition does not occur to the same extent in response to more refined tumour extracts (for example, 3M KCl or papain-treated material which contains solubilized and soluble constituents of the tumour cell or cell membrane respectively)⁵⁵; or to crude extracts prepared from normal colonic mucosa^{53, 54}. Though leucocyte migration inhibition is often detectable in samples obtainable from patients with limited tumour spread, making it potentially more promising than CEA in early cancer detection, the effect is often small and sometimes undetectable at all. It thus has great limitations as an additional clinical investigation system unless the cause of non-responsiveness can be identified, and the degree of inhibition enhanced. A second *in-vitro* test under investigation is leucocyte adherence inhibition⁵⁶. It depends basically upon the observation that normal adherence to glass of peripheral blood leucocytes is inhibited if the leucocyte preparation is drawn from a cancer patient and is exposed first to a tumour extract of similar type. Preliminary studies have shown specific inhibition of adherence after exposing peripheral blood leucocytes from patients with colonic cancer to colonic cancer extracts but this has yet to be confirmed on a wider scale⁵⁷.

Whilst these experimental studies are of considerable interest, they are neither sufficiently reliable nor discriminative to be of value diagnostically in

ordinary clinical practice.

Prospects for improving treatment by non-surgical means remain far distant. Available chemotherapeutic agents are largely ineffective and there is, as yet, no evidence that adjuvant immunotherapy will be clinically useful; this whole field is ill developed and much more work is needed.

M. B. MCILLMURRAY, M. J. S. LANGMAN
*Department of Therapeutics,
 City Hospital,
 Nottingham*

References

- ¹Segi, M., and Kurihara, M. (1972). Cancer mortality for selected sites in 24 countries, No. 6 (1966-1967). Japan Cancer Society, Tokyo.
- ²Berg, J. W., Haenszel, W., and DeVesa, S. S. (1973). Epidemiology of gastrointestinal cancer. In *Proceedings of the Seventh National Cancer Conference*, pp. 459-464. Philadelphia.
- ³Burkitt, D. P. (1971). Epidemiology of cancer of the colon and rectum. *Cancer (Philad.)*, **28**, 3-13.
- ⁴Doll, R. (1969). The geographical distribution of cancer. *Brit. J. Cancer*, **23**, 1-8.
- ⁵Doll, R., Muir, C., and Waterhouse, J. (1970). *Cancer incidence in five Continents*, Vol. 2. UICC, Geneva.
- ⁶Wynder, E. L., Kajitani, T., Ishikawa, S., Dodo, H., and Takano, A. (1969). Environmental factors of cancer of the colon and rectum. 11. Japanese epidemiological data. *Cancer (Philad.)*, **23**, 1201-1220.
- ⁷Wynder, E. L., and Shigematsu, T. (1967). Environmental factors of cancer of the colon and rectum. *Cancer (Philad.)*, **20**, 1520-1561.
- ⁸Drasar, B. S., and Irving, D. (1973). Environmental factors and cancer of the colon and breast. *Brit. J. Cancer*, **27**, 167-172.
- ⁹Wynder, E. L., and Reddy, B. (1973). Studies of large-bowel cancer: human leads to experimental application. *J. nat. Cancer Inst.*, **50**, 1099-1106.
- ¹⁰Gregor, O., Toman, R., and Prusova, F. (1969). Gastrointestinal cancer and nutrition. *Gut*, **10**, 1031-1034.
- ¹¹Rose, G., Blackburn, H., Keys, A., Taylor, H. L., Kannel, W. B., Paul, O., Reid, D. D., and Stamler, J. (1974). Colon cancer and blood-cholesterol. *Lancet*, **1**, 181-183.
- ¹²Puffer, R. R., and Griffith, G. W. (1967). *Patterns of Urban Mortality: Pan American Health Organization Serial No. 151*. Pan American Health Organization, Washington, D.C.
- ¹³Berg, J. W. (1972). Bowel cancer in Scotland. *Lancet*, **2**, 486.
- ¹⁴Coombs, M. M., Bhatt, T. S., and Croft, C. J. (1973). Correlation between carcinogenicity and chemical structure in cyclopenta[a]phenanthrenes. *Cancer Res.*, **33**, 832-837.
- ¹⁵Hill, M. J., Drasar, B. S., Aries, V., Crowther, J. S., Hawksworth, G. M., and Williams, R. E. O. (1971). Bacteria and aetiology of cancer of large bowel. *Lancet*, **1**, 95-99.
- ¹⁶Cook, J. W., Kennaway, E. L., and Kennaway, N. M. (1940). Production of tumours in mice by deoxycholic acid. *Nature (Lond.)*, **145**, 627.
- ¹⁷LaCassagne, A., Buu-Hoi, N. P., and Zajdela, F. (1961). Carcinogenic activity of apocholic acid. *Nature (Lond.)*, **190**, 1007-1008.
- ¹⁸LaCassagne, A., Buu-Hoi, N. P., and Zajdela, F. (1966). Carcinogenic activity *in situ* of further steroid compounds. *Nature (Lond.)*, **209**, 1026-1027.
- ¹⁹Aries, V., Crowther, J. S., Drasar, B. S., Hill, M. J., and Williams, R. E. O. (1969). Bacteria and the aetiology of cancer of the large bowel. *Gut*, **10**, 334-335.
- ²⁰Reddy, B. S., and Wynder, E. L. (1973). Large-bowel carcinogenesis: faecal constituents of populations with diverse incidence rates of colon cancer. *J. nat. Cancer Inst.*, **50**, 1437-1442.
- ²¹Hill, M. J., Drasar, B. S., Williams, R. E. O., Meade, T. W., Cox, A. G., Simpson, J. E. P., and Morson, B. C. (1975). Faecal bile-acids and clostridia in patients with cancer of the large bowel. *Lancet*, **1**, 535-538.
- ²²Walker, A. R. P., and Walker, B. F. (1969). Bowel motility and colonic cancer. *Brit. med. J.*, **3**, 238.
- ²³Pomare, E. W., and Heaton, K. W. (1973). Alteration of bile salt metabolism by dietary fibre (bran). *Brit. med. J.*, **4**, 262.
- ²⁴Haenszel, W., Berg, J. W., Segi, M., Kurihara, M., and Locke, F. B. (1973). Large-bowel cancer in Hawaiian Japanese. *J. nat. Cancer Inst.*, **51**, 1765-1779.
- ²⁵Cady, B., Persson, A. V., Monson, D. O., and Maunz, D. L. (1974). Changing patterns of colorectal carcinoma. *Cancer (Philad.)*, **33**, 422-426.
- ²⁶Gold, P., and Freedman, S. O. (1965). Demonstration of tumour-specific antigens in human colonic carcinoma by immunological tolerance and absorption techniques. *J. exp. Med.*, **121**, 439-462.
- ²⁷Dhar, P., Moore, T., Zamcheck, N., and Kupchik, H. Z. (1972). Carcinoembryonic antigen in colonic cancer. *J. Amer. Med.*, **A55**, 221, 31-35.
- ²⁸Booth, S. N., King, J. P. G., Leonard, J. C., and Dykes, P. W. (1973). Serum carcinoembryonic antigen in clinical disorders. *Gut*, **14**, 794-799.
- ²⁹Laurence, D. J. R., Stevens, U., Bettelheim, R., Darcy, D., Leese, C., Turberville, C., Alexander, P., Johns, E. W., and Neville, A. M. (1972). Role of plasma carcinoembryonic antigen in diagnosis of gastrointestinal, mammary, and bronchial carcinoma. *Brit. med. J.*, **3**, 605-609.
- ³⁰Go, V. L. W., Schutt, A. J., Moertel, C. G., Summerskill, W. H. J., and Butt, H. R. (1972). Radioimmunoassay of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA): a modified method and a clinical evaluation. *Gastroenterology*, **62**, 754.
- ³¹Unger, A., Panayi, G. S., and Lessof, M. H. (1974). Carcinoembryonic antigen in rheumatoid arthritis. *Lancet*, **1**, 781-783.
- ³²Khoo, S. K., and Mackay, I. R. (1973). Carcinoembryonic antigen in serum in diseases of the liver and pancreas. *J. clin. Path.*, **26**, 470-475.
- ³³Dilawari, J. B., Lennard-Jones, J. E., Mackay, A. M., Ritchie, J. K., and Sturzaker, H. G. (1975). Estimation

- of carcinoembryonic antigen in ulcerative colitis with special reference to malignant change. *Gut*, **16**, 255-260.
- ²⁴Mach, J. P., Jaeger, P., Bertholet, M. M., Rueggsegger, C. H., Loosli, R. M., and Pettavel, J. (1974). Detection of recurrence of large-bowel carcinoma by radioimmunoassay of circulating carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA). *Lancet*, **2**, 535-540.
- ²⁵Mackay, A. M., Patel, S., Carter, S., Stevens, U., Laurence, D. J. R., Cooper, E. H., and Neville, A. M. (1974). Role of serial plasma C.E.A. assays in detection of recurrent and metastatic colorectal carcinomas. *Brit. med. J.*, **4**, 382-385.
- ²⁶Booth, S. N., Jamieson, G. C., King, J. P. G., Oates, G. D., and Dykes, P. W. (1974). Carcinoembryonic antigen in management of colorectal carcinoma. *Brit. med. J.*, **4**, 183-187.
- ²⁷*British Medical Journal*. (1974). Foetal antigens and tumour cells. *Brit. med. J.*, **3**, 299.
- ²⁸Sion, A., and Friedell, M. T. (1972). Cellular immunity: polyps and carcinoma of the colon, significance of the lymphocytic infiltrate. *Int. Surg.*, **57**, 384.
- ²⁹Stewart, T. H. M. (1969). The presence of delayed hypersensitivity reactions in patients toward cellular extracts of their malignant tumours. 1. The role of tissue antigen, nonspecific reactions of nuclear material, and bacterial antigen as a cause for this phenomenon. *Cancer (Philad.)*, **23**, 1368-1379.
- ³⁰Hollinshead, A., Glew, D., Bunnag, B., Gold, P., and Herberman, R. (1970). Skin-reactive soluble antigen from intestinal cancer-cell-membranes and relationship to carcinoembryonic antigens. *Lancet*, **1**, 1191-1195.
- ³¹Hollinshead, A. C., McWright, C. G., Alford, T. C., Glew, D. H., Gold, P., and Herberman, R. B. (1972). Separation of skin-reactive intestinal cancer antigen from the carcinoembryonic antigen of gold. *Science*, **177**, 887-889.
- ³²Hellstrom, I. (1967). A colony inhibition (C.I.) technique for demonstration of tumor cell destruction by lymphoid cells *in vitro*. *Int. J. Cancer*, **2**, 65-68.
- ³³Hellstrom, I., Hellstrom, K. E., Sjogren, H. O., and Warner, G. A. (1971). Demonstration of cell-mediated immunity to human neoplasms of various histological types. *Int. J. Cancer*, **7**, 1-16.
- ³⁴Takasugi, M., and Klein, E. (1970). A microassay for cell-mediated immunity. *Transplantation*, **9**, 219-227.
- ³⁵Hellstrom, I., Hellstrom, K. E., Pierce, G. E., and Yang, J. P. S. (1968). Cellular and humoral immunity to different types of human neoplasms. *Nature (Lond.)*, **220**, 1352-1354.
- ³⁶Nairn, R. C., Nind, A. P. P., Guli, E. P. G., Davies, D. J., Rolland, J. M., McGiven, A. R., and Hughes, E. S. R. (1971). Immunological reactivity in patients with carcinoma of colon. *Brit. med. J.*, **4**, 706-709.
- ³⁷Baldwin, R. W., Embleton, M. J., Jones, J. S. P., and Langman, M. J. S. (1973). Cell-mediated and humoral immune reactions to human tumours. *Int. J. Cancer*, **12**, 73-83.
- ³⁸Hellstrom, I., Sjogren, H. O., Warner, G., and Hellstrom, K. E. (1971). Blocking of cell-mediated tumor immunity by sera from patients with growing neoplasms. *Int. J. Cancer*, **7**, 226-237.
- ³⁹Baldwin, R. W., Embleton, M. J., and Price, M. R. (1973). Inhibition of lymphocyte cytotoxicity for human colon carcinoma by treatment with solubilized tumour membrane fractions. *Int. J. Cancer*, **12**, 84-92.
- ⁴⁰Takasugi, M., Mickey, M. R., and Terasaki, P. I. (1973). Reactivity of lymphocytes from normal persons on cultured tumor cells. *Cancer Res.*, **33**, 2898-2902.
- ⁴¹Takasugi, M., Mickey, M. R., and Terasaki, P. I. (1974). Studies on specificity of cell-mediated immunity to human tumors. *J. nat. Cancer Inst.*, **53**, 1527-1538.
- ⁴²Bull, D. M., Leibach, J. R., Williams, M. A., and Helms, R. A. (1973). Immunity to colon cancer assessed by antigen-induced inhibition of mixed mononuclear cell migration. *Science*, **181**, 957-959.
- ⁴³Guillou, R. J., and Giles, G. R. (1973). Inhibition of leucocyte migration by tumour-associated antigens of the colon and rectum. *Gut*, **14**, 733-738.
- ⁴⁴McIllMurray, M. B., Price, M. R., and Langman, M. J. S. (1974). Inhibition of leucocyte migration in patients with large intestinal cancer by extracts prepared from large intestinal tumours and from normal colonic mucosa. *Brit. J. Cancer*, **29**, 305-311.
- ⁴⁵McIllMurray, M. B., and Langman, M. J. S. Unpublished observations.
- ⁴⁶Halliday, W. J. and Miller, S. (1972). Leucocyte adherence inhibitions: a simple test for cell-mediated tumor immunity and serum blocking factors. *Int. J. Cancer*, **9**, 477-483.
- ⁴⁷Maluish, A., and Halliday, W. J. (1974). Cell-mediated immunity and specific serum factors in human cancer: the leucocyte adherence inhibition test. *J. nat. Cancer Inst.*, **52**, 1415-1420.