HELICOBACTER PYLORI

Impact of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and mucosal atrophy on gastric lesions in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis

S Nakamura, T Matsumoto, Y Kobori, M Iida

Gut 2002;51:485-489

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr S Nakamura,
Department of Medicine
and Clinical Science,
Graduate School of
Medical Sciences, Kyushu
University, Maidashi 3-1-1,
Higashi-ku, Fukuoka
812-8582, Japan;
shonaka@
intmed2.med.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Accepted for publication
29 January 2002

Background and aims: The role of *Helicobacter pylori* and atrophic gastritis in the pathogenesis of gastric lesions in familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) has not been clarified.

Patients: Thirty one patients with FAP.

Methods: The presence of fundic gland polyposis (FGP) and gastric adenoma (GA) was determined by upper endoscopy with biopsies. The degree of gastric mucosal atrophy and *H pylori* status were determined by serological and histological findings. Germline mutation in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene was determined by polymerase chain reaction based single strand conformation polymorphism and direct sequencing.

Results: Gastric lesions were detected in 23 patients (74%). FGP and GA were found in 52% and 39%, respectively. APC gene mutation was identified in 22 of 30 patients. Patients with FGP were less frequently infected with *H pylori* than those without FGP (13% v 67%). The former patients had a lower degree of atrophy than the latter. Patients with GA tended to be more frequently infected with *H pylori* and they had higher degrees of atrophy than those without GA. When subjects were subdivided by gastric lesions (FGP alone, FGP+GA, GA alone, and negative groups), the GA alone group had the lowest pepsinogen I/II ratio and the highest seropositivity for *H pylori*. GA was found more frequently in patients positive for the APC mutation whereas no such a trend was observed in FGP.

Conclusions: In FAP, *H pylori* associated atrophic gastritis contributes negatively to FGP. It seems to contribute positively to GA, especially in patients with truncating APC gene mutation.

Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) or Gardner's syndrome is an autosomal dominantly inherited disease characterised by innumerable adenomas in the large intestine and a high risk of colorectal cancer.¹ The majority of patients with FAP also develop gastroduodenal lesions, including fundic gland polyposis in the gastric body and multiple adenomas in the antrum and/or duodenum.²⁻¹⁴ Although some genetic abnormalities specific to upper gastrointestinal neoplasia have been suggested within the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene in animal experiments,^{15, 16} little is known of the impact of APC gene mutation on gastric lesions in patients with FAP.

The degree of atrophic gastritis and *Helicobacter pylori* infection has been shown to be associated with the development of some tumours and tumour-like lesions of the stomach in the general population. Adenocarcinoma of the intestinal-type, adenoma, and hyperplastic polyp are considered to occur in the atrophic gastric mucosa with *H pylori* infection,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ while diffuse-type adenocarcinoma and fundic gland polyps tend to arise in the non-atrophic gastric mucosa with or without *H pylori* infection.^{19, 20} While the risk of gastric cancer in FAP remains controversial,^{14, 21, 22} the high incidence of gastric cancer in Japanese patients with the disease compared with that in Western areas may be explained by *H pylori* infection.^{19, 23-25}

While genetic alteration is believed to be the major predisposing factor for the development of upper intestinal lesions in FAP, the role of *H pylori* infection and atrophic gastritis in the pathogenesis of gastric lesions has not been determined. In the current study, we evaluated *H pylori* status and the degree of gastric mucosal atrophy in relation to gastric lesions in FAP patients.

METHODS

Subjects

Between November 1997 and December 1999, 31 patients from 24 families, all of whom had an established diagnosis of FAP and underwent upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, were enrolled in the current study. Patients included 19 men and 12 women, ranging in age from 10 to 72 years (mean 40 years). Time intervals between the initial diagnosis of FAP and the current endoscopic investigations ranged from 0 to 25 years (mean 9.7). None of the patients had developed carcinoma of the stomach or duodenum. Twenty four patients had undergone a total or subtotal colectomy, and 13 patients had colorectal cancer. Prophylactic total colectomy was recommended in the remaining seven patients. Gardner's stigmata, such as bone and soft tissue tumours, were found in 25 patients. Informed consent was obtained from each patient regarding the objective and protocol of the study.

Endoscopy and biopsy

Upper endoscopy was performed by an experienced endoscopist (MI) with a side viewing video endoscope (JF-200 or JF-230; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) using the sprayed dye (0.2% indigo carmine) technique to confirm the presence of minute polyps. Whenever polypoid and/or depressed lesions were recognised in each of the gastric body and/or antrum, biopsy specimens were obtained from the largest and/or the irregularly shaped lesions in each site. In addition, biopsy specimens were also taken from the five points of the normal

Abbreviations: FAP, familial adenomatous polyposis; APC, adenomatous polyposis coli; PG, pepsinogen; FGP, fundic gland polyposis; GA, gastric adenoma.

Table 1 Relationship between the presence of gastric lesions and mutation of the *APC* gene in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis

	Fundic gland polyposis			Gastric adenoma		
	Positive (n=16)	Negative (n=15)	p Value	Positive (n=12)	Negative (n=19)	p Value
Age (y)	33.4 (14.4)	46.5 (16.4)	<0.05*	41.8 (3.4)	38.5 (18.4)	0.43*
Sex (M/F)	8/8	11/4	0.17†	6/6	13/6	0.26†
<i>APC</i> gene mutation						
Positive (proximal/distal)	12 (4/8)	10 (2/8)‡	0.57†	12 (2/10)	10 (4/6)‡	<0.01†
Negative	4	4‡		0	8‡	

*Mann-Whitney U test.

†Fisher's exact probability test.

‡Data not available in one patient.

appearing mucosa at the lesser and greater curvature of the antrum and corpus and at the incisura angularis, as recommended in the updated Sydney system.²⁶ The total number of biopsy samples ranged from 5 to 9 (mean 6.9).

Histological assessment of background gastric mucosa

The biopsy specimens taken from the five sites of the normal appearing gastric mucosa were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, and routinely stained with haematoxylin and eosin. In each biopsy specimen, the degree of glandular atrophy was graded as normal (grade 0), mild (grade 1), moderate (grade 2), or marked (grade 3) by one observer (SN), according to the updated Sydney system.²⁶ The specimen from the incisura angularis was treated as an additional antral specimen.²⁶ The highest grade among three or two samples was chosen for the individual histological score at the antrum and corpus. *H. pylori* status was also assessed by histological examination of the five biopsy specimens after being stained using the modified Giemsa stain and immunostained with polyclonal rabbit anti-*H. pylori* antibody B471 (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark).²⁷

Serological assay of *H. pylori* antibody and levels of pepsinogens

Serum IgG antibodies to *H. pylori* were measured by an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay using the high molecular weight cell associated protein immunoassay kit (Kyowa Medex, Tokyo, Japan),²⁸ and patients whose antibody titre was higher than the cut off value of 2.2 were regarded as positive. Serum levels of pepsinogen (PG) I and PG II were measured by a modified radioimmunoassay method using Riabead Kits (Dainabot, Tokyo, Japan), as described elsewhere.²⁹ The PG I/PG II ratio was calculated and used as a serological marker for the degree of atrophic change in the gastric mucosa.^{30, 31}

APC gene analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood using a standard protocol.³² Mutation of the *APC* gene was investigated by polymerase chain reaction based single strand

conformation polymorphism and direct sequencing, as reported previously.³³ Based on the study of Enomoto and colleagues,³⁴ patients in whom the *APC* mutation was positive were subclassified as either the proximal mutation group, who had a mutation at a proximal region up to codon 416 in exon 9, or the distal mutation group, with the mutation at a more distal region.

Statistical analysis

Numerical data are given as mean (SD) unless otherwise stated. Statistical differences were evaluated using Fisher's exact probability test, χ^2 test, Mann-Whitney *U* test, or Kruskal-Wallis test. A *p* value less than 0.05 for each test was regarded as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Prevalence of gastric lesions and *APC* gene mutation

Of the 31 patients with FAP, gastric lesions were detected in 23 patients (74%) while duodenal adenoma was detected in 27 patients (87%). Fundic gland polyposis (FGP) was found in 16 patients (52%) and gastric adenoma (GA) in 12 patients (39%). FGP positive patients were younger than FGP negative patients (33.4 (14.4) v 46.5 (16.4) years; *p*<0.05) while ages did not differ between GA positive and negative patients (table 1).

Data on mutations of the *APC* gene were available in 30 patients. In the remaining one patient, sufficient amount of genomic DNA could not be obtained. The *APC* germline mutations were identified in 22 of 30 patients (73%). Eight patients (27%) were considered to be negative for the *APC* mutation. Of the 22 patients positive for the *APC* mutation, six were subclassified into the proximal mutation group and 16 into the distal mutation group.³⁴

The relationship between the presence of gastric lesions and mutation of the *APC* gene is shown in table 1. The rate of positivity of the *APC* gene mutation did not differ between patients with FGP (12/16, 75%) and those without FGP (10/14, 71%). Conversely, all patients with GA had the *APC* mutation while

Table 2 Relationship between the presence of gastric lesions and *Helicobacter pylori* positivity and the degree of mucosal atrophy in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis

	Fundic gland polyposis			Gastric adenoma		
	Positive (n=16)	Negative (n=15)	p Value	Positive (n=12)	Negative (n=19)	p Value
<i>H. pylori</i> positive cases	2 (13%)	10 (67%)	<0.005*	7 (58%)	5 (26%)	0.08*
PG I (ng/ml)	46.3 (14.2)	44.9 (19.5)	0.50†	42.0 (20.6)	48.0 (13.9)	0.16†
PG II (ng/ml)	9.2 (3.7)	17.5 (12.3)	0.11†	14.6 (8.9)	12.3 (10.4)	0.39†
PG I/PG II ratio	5.4 (1.6)	3.4 (1.7)	<0.005†	3.3 (1.2)	5.2 (2.0)	<0.01†
Histological atrophy score						
Antrum	0.7 (0.7)	1.5 (0.7)	<0.005†	1.4 (1.0)	0.9 (0.7)	0.13†
Corpus	0.2 (0.4)	1.0 (0.8)	<0.005†	0.9 (0.8)	0.4 (0.6)	<0.05†

PG, pepsinogen.

*Fisher's exact probability test.

†Mann-Whitney U test.

Figure 1 *Helicobacter pylori* seropositivity (A) and serum pepsinogen (PG) I/PG II ratio (B) in 22 patients positive for the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene mutation in relation to gastric lesions. (A) The rate of *H pylori* seropositivity in patients positive for the APC mutation with fundic gland polyposis (FGP) (17%) was significantly lower than in those without FGP (30%) (Fisher's exact probability test, $p<0.005$). *H pylori* positivity in patients positive for the APC mutation with gastric adenoma (GA) (58%) was higher than in those without GA (30%) but the difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.18$). (B) PG I/PG II ratio in patients positive for the APC mutation with FGP was significantly higher than in those without FGP (Mann-Whitney *U* test, $p<0.005$) while the ratio in those with GA was significantly lower than those without GA ($p<0.05$).

the mutation was found in only 10 of 18 patients (56%) without GA ($p<0.01$). Among patients positive for the APC mutation, the proximal mutation group had GA less frequently (2/6, 33%) than the distal mutation group (10/16, 63%) but the difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.23$).

Positivity of *H pylori* antibody, PG I and PG II, and histological atrophy score

Serologically, *H pylori* infection was positive in 12 of 31 patients (39%) with FAP. In each patient, the serological result

was concordant with that determined by histology and/or immunohistochemistry. Neither age nor sex (male/female ratio) was different between the *H pylori* positive and negative patients. Table 2 summarises the relationships between gastric lesions and the serological/histological results.

The prevalence of *H pylori* seropositivity in patients with FGP (13%) was significantly lower than in those without FGP (67%) ($p<0.005$). Although serum levels of PG I and PG II did not differ between the two groups, the PG I/PG II ratio was significantly higher in FGP positive (5.4 (1.6)) than in FGP negative (3.4 (1.7)) patients ($p<0.005$). The histological score for glandular atrophy was lower in patients with FGP than in those without FGP at both the antrum and corpus ($p<0.005$).

H pylori positivity in patients with GA (58%) was higher than in those without GA (26%) but the difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.08$). Although serum levels of PG I and PG II did not differ between the two groups, the PG I/PG II ratio was significantly lower in GA positive (3.3 (1.2)) than in GA negative (5.2 (2.0)) patients ($p<0.01$). Histologically, patients with GA showed a higher degree of atrophy than those without GA, and the difference was statistically significant at the corpus ($p<0.05$) but not at the antrum ($p=0.13$). Among 12 patients with GA, the PG I/PG II ratio in *H pylori* positive patients ($n=7$) was significantly lower than in *H pylori* negative patients ($n=5$) (2.7 (1.2) v 4.0 (0.8); $p<0.05$).

H pylori status and PG I/PG II ratio in patients positive for APC gene mutation

The relationship between *H pylori* status and gastric lesions in 22 patients positive for the APC gene mutation is demonstrated in fig 1A. The rate of *H pylori* seropositivity in patients positive for the APC mutation with FGP (2/12, 17%) was significantly lower than in those without FGP (8/10, 80%) ($p<0.005$). *H pylori* positivity in patients positive for the APC mutation with GA (7/12, 58%) was higher than in those without GA (3/10, 30%) but the difference was not statistically significant.

Figure 1B shows the relationship between the PG I/PG II ratio and gastric lesions in patients positive for the APC mutation. The PG I/PG II ratio in patients positive for the APC mutation with FGP (5.4 (1.6)) was significantly higher than in those without FGP (2.9 (1.5)) ($p<0.005$) while the ratio in those with GA (3.3 (1.2)) was significantly lower than in those without GA (5.4 (2.2)) ($p<0.05$).

Correlation of the type of gastric lesion with *H pylori* status and mucosal atrophy

Based on gastric lesions, patients were further divided into four groups as follows: 11 patients with FGP alone, seven patients with GA alone, five patients with both FGP and GA (FGP+GA group), and eight patients without gastric lesions (negative group). Table 3 shows a comparison of the four groups.

Neither age nor the sex of the patients differed substantially among the four groups. The rate of *H pylori* seropositivity was

Table 3 Comparison of the four groups by the presence or absence of gastric lesions in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis

	FGP alone (n=11)	FGP+GA (n=5)	GA alone (n=7)	Negative (n=8)	p Value
Age (y)	33.7 (15.3)	32.8 (14.0)	48.3 (9.2)	45.0 (21.4)	0.14*
Sex (M/F)	6/5	2/3	4/3	7/1	0.27†
<i>H pylori</i> positive cases	0	2 (40%)	5 (71%)	5 (63%)	<0.01†
PG I/PG II ratio	6.0 (1.5)	4.2 (1.0)	2.6 (0.9)	4.1 (2.0)	<0.005*
Histological atrophy score					
Antrum	0.6 (0.7)	0.8 (0.8)	1.9 (0.9)	1.3 (0.5)	<0.05*
Corpus	0.1 (0.3)	0.4 (0.5)	1.3 (0.8)	0.8 (0.7)	<0.05*

FGP, fundic gland polyposis; GA, gastric adenoma; PG, pepsinogen.

*Kruskal-Wallis test.

† χ^2 test.

lowest in the FGP alone group (0%) and highest in the GA alone group (71%). The FGP+GA group (40%) and the negative group (63%) showed an intermediate grade of *H pylori* positivity. A significant difference was found in *H pylori* positivity among the four groups ($p < 0.01$).

The PG I/PG II ratio was highest in the FGP alone group (6.0 (1.5)) and lowest in the GA alone group (2.6 (0.9)). The FGP+GA group (4.2 (1.0)) and the negative group (4.1 (2.0)) showed an intermediate PG I/PG II ratio. There was a significant difference in the PG I/PG II ratio among the four groups ($p < 0.005$). Significant differences in the ratio were also found between the FGP alone group and the FGP+GA group ($p < 0.05$), and between the FGP+GA group and the GA alone group ($p < 0.05$). The histological score for mucosal atrophy was significantly different among the four groups at both the antrum and corpus.

DISCUSSION

Upper gastrointestinal polyps have been reported in 46–100% of patients with FAP.^{2–14} Whereas duodenal adenoma is the most common lesion with a prevalence ranging from 40% to 92%, the prevalence of GA is 2–50% while that of FGP is 26–67%. In our study, gastric lesions were observed in 74% of FAP patients, and the prevalences of GA and FGP were 39% and 52%, respectively. These results are comparable with those reported in previous studies.

In the current study, we demonstrated that FGP and GA were closely associated with the degree of atrophic gastritis and *H pylori* status in FAP patients. FAP patients with FGP had a lower degree of atrophy or a higher PG I/PG II ratio and less frequent *H pylori* infections (table 2), which may be associated with the fact that FGP tended to be detected in younger FAP patients (table 1).⁷ Similar results in non-FAP patients have been described in several studies.^{20–35} Haruma and colleagues²⁰ demonstrated that FGP tends to arise from the non-atrophic gastric mucosa, in contrast with the foveolar hyperplastic polyps. Sakai and colleagues³⁵ reported *H pylori* infection in only three (4%) of 84 non-FAP patients with FGP. A recent abstract by Lakshman and colleagues³⁶ showed that *H pylori* infection was not detected in any of 64 FAP patients with FGP. In addition, our gene analysis results suggested that the development of FGP is independent of the truncating *APC* germline mutation (table 1).³⁴

Conversely, FAP patients with GA showed a higher degree of atrophy than those without GA (table 2). These results seem to be consistent with previously published data in non-FAP patients^{18–37–38}; GA has been reported to develop in patients with severely atrophic gastritis^{18–38} or those with a low PG I/PG II ratio.³⁷ Such mucosal atrophy in non-FAP patients with GA is generally considered to be induced by *H pylori* infection.³⁹

In our study however, *H pylori* was only detected in 58% of FAP patients with GA (table 2). Our *H pylori* negative patients with GA showed a significantly higher PG I/PG II ratio than in *H pylori* positive patients with GA (4.0 (0.8) *v* 2.7 (1.2); $p < 0.05$). Conversely, all of our patients with GA harboured the detectable *APC* gene mutation in contrast with those without GA (100% *v* 56%; table 1). These results suggest that the genesis of GA in *H pylori* negative patients may be directly associated with genetic abnormalities, as seen in adenomatosis in the colorectum or duodenum.^{34–40–42} Toyooka and colleagues⁴¹ detected somatic mutations in the *APC* gene in 26 of 29 (90%) GA in FAP while only three of 11 (27%) FGP had somatic mutations. Enomoto and colleagues³⁴ showed that GAs were less frequently found in FAP patients with a germline mutation at the proximal region of the *APC* gene up to codon 416 (exon 9) than in those with a more distal mutation; however, no such relationship was observed for FGP. Our finding that the proximal mutation group had GA less frequently than the distal mutation group (33% *v* 63%) thus closely correlated with their data.³⁴ These observations suggest that detectable

mutations in the central region of the *APC* gene may be associated with the development of GA in FAP.

In our study, five of 31 patients (16%) with FAP had both FGP and GA (FGP+GA group). Interestingly, patients in the FGP+GA group were regarded to have an intermediate grade of mucosal atrophy determined by the PG I/PG II ratio, histological scores, and *H pylori* seropositivity compared with the FGP alone group or the GA alone group (table 3). As a result, patients in the FGP+GA group were considered to have a mildly to moderately atrophic gastric mucosa. The precise mechanisms of synchronous occurrence of the two lesions in the FAP patients remain unclear. We speculate that FGP develops in the non-atrophic gastric mucosa without *H pylori* infection in young FAP patients and thereafter GA arises during progression of antral mucosal atrophy, partly due to *H pylori* infection as well as other genetic or environmental factors. Long term follow up studies are necessary however to confirm this hypothesis.

In conclusion, the occurrence of gastric lesions in FAP was found to be closely associated with the degree of gastric mucosal atrophy and *H pylori* status. FGP is considered to arise in the non-atrophic mucosa without *H pylori* infection, irrespective of the *APC* gene mutation. Conversely, GA tends to occur in the atrophic mucosa with *H pylori* infection, and detectable mutations in the central region of the *APC* gene may be associated with its development. The necessity of eradicating *H pylori* still needs to be elucidated. Further investigations in a large number of patients, including genetic analyses, are therefore called for to clarify the mechanism of the development of gastric lesions in FAP.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Brian Quinn for editing the manuscript. A preliminary report of this study was presented at the 59th annual meeting of Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society, Kyoto, Japan, 30 May 2000.

Authors' affiliations

S Nakamura, Department of Medicine and Clinical Science, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

T Matsumoto, Department of Medicine and Clinical Science, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, and Department of Endoscopic Diagnostics and Therapeutics, Kyushu University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan.

Y Kobori, Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Kawasaki Medical School, Kurashiki, Japan

M Iida, Department of Medicine and Clinical Science, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan, and Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Kawasaki Medical School, Kurashiki, Japan

REFERENCES

- 1 Bussey HJR. *Familial polyposis coli: familial studies, histopathology, differential diagnosis and results of treatment*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1975.
- 2 Yao T, Iida M, Ohsato K, et al. Duodenal lesions in familial polyposis of the colon. *Gastroenterology* 1977;**73**:1086–92.
- 3 Watanabe H, Enjoji M, Yao T, et al. Gastric lesions in familial adenomatosis coli: their incidence and histologic analysis. *Hum Pathol* 1978;**9**:269–83.
- 4 Ranzi T, Castagnone D, Velio P, et al. Gastric and duodenal polyps in familial polyposis coli. *Gut* 1981;**22**:363–7.
- 5 Järvinen H, Nyberg M, Peltokallio P. Upper gastrointestinal tract polyps in familial adenomatosis coli. *Gut* 1983;**24**:333–9.
- 6 Burt RW, Berenson MM, Lee RG, et al. Upper gastrointestinal polyps in Gardner's syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 1984;**86**:295–301.
- 7 Iida M, Yao T, Itoh H, et al. Natural history of fundic gland polyposis in patients with familial adenomatosis coli/Gardner's syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 1985;**89**:1021–5.
- 8 Bülow S, Lauritsen KB, Johansen A, et al. Gastrointestinal polyps in familial polyposis coli. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1985;**28**:90–3.
- 9 Sarre RG, Frost AG, Jagelman DG, et al. Gastric and duodenal polyps in familial adenomatous polyposis: a prospective study of the nature and prevalence of upper gastrointestinal polyps. *Gut* 1987;**28**:306–14.
- 10 Iida M, Yao T, Itoh H, et al. Natural history of gastric adenomas in patients with familial adenomatosis coli/Gardner's syndrome. *Cancer* 1988;**61**:605–11.

- 11 **Domizio P**, Talbot IC, Spigelman AD, *et al*. Upper gastrointestinal pathology in familial adenomatous polyposis: results from a prospective study of 102 patients. *J Clin Pathol* 1990;**43**:738–43.
- 12 **Church JM**, McGannon E, Hull-Boiner S, *et al*. Gastroduodenal polyps in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1992;**35**:1170–3.
- 13 **Sawada T**, Muto T. Familial adenomatous polyposis: should patients undergo surveillance of the upper gastrointestinal tract? *Endoscopy* 1995;**27**:6–11.
- 14 **Wallace MH**, Phillips RKS. Upper gastrointestinal disease in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis. *Br J Surg* 1998;**85**:742–50.
- 15 **Fodde R**, Edelmann W, Yang K, *et al*. A targeted chain-termination mutation in the mouse *Apc* gene results in multiple intestinal tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994;**91**:8969–73.
- 16 **Smits R**, van der Hoven van Oordt W, Luz A, *et al*. *Apc*1638N: a mouse model for familial adenomatous polyposis-associated desmoid tumors and cutaneous cysts. *Gastroenterology* 1998;**114**:275–83.
- 17 **Correa P**. A human model of gastric carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* 1988;**48**:3554–60.
- 18 **Nakano H**, Persson B, Slezak P. Study of the gastric mucosal background in patients with gastric polyps. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1990;**36**:39–42.
- 19 **Tabata H**, Fuchigami T, Kobayashi H, *et al*. *Helicobacter pylori* and mucosal atrophy in patients with gastric cancer: a special study regarding the methods for detecting *Helicobacter pylori*. *Dig Dis Sci* 1999;**44**:2027–34.
- 20 **Haruma K**, Sumii K, Yoshihara M, *et al*. Gastric mucosa in female patients with fundic glandular polyps. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1991;**13**:565–9.
- 21 **Jagelman DG**, DeCosse JJ, Bussey HJR. Upper gastrointestinal cancer in familial adenomatous polyposis. *Lancet* 1998;**1**:1149–51.
- 22 **Offerhaus GJA**, Giardiello FM, Krush AJ, *et al*. The risk of upper gastrointestinal cancer in familial adenomatous polyposis. *Gastroenterology* 1992;**102**:1980–2.
- 23 *International Agency for Research of Cancer Monographs with Helicobacter pylori*, vol 61. Lyon: IARC; 1994:177–240.
- 24 **Huang JQ**, Sridhar S, Chen Y, *et al*. Meta-analysis of the relationship between *Helicobacter pylori* seropositivity and gastric cancer. *Gastroenterology* 1998;**114**:1169–79.
- 25 **Yamagata H**, Kiyohara Y, Aoyagi K, *et al*. Impact of *Helicobacter pylori* infection on gastric cancer incidence in a general Japanese population: the Hisayama Study. *Arch Intern Med* 2000;**160**:1962–8.
- 26 **Dixon MF**, Genta RM, Yardley JH, *et al*. Classification and grading of gastritis: the updated Sydney system. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1996;**20**:1161–81.
- 27 **Nakamura S**, Yao T, Aoyagi K, *et al*. *Helicobacter pylori* and primary gastric lymphoma: a histopathologic and immunohistochemical analysis of 237 patients. *Cancer* 1997;**79**:3–11.
- 28 **Evans DJ Jr**, Evans DG, Graham DY, *et al*. A sensitive and specific serologic test for detection of *Campylobacter pylori* infection. *Gastroenterology* 1989;**96**:1004–8.
- 29 **Ichinose M**, Miki K, Furihata C, *et al*. Radioimmunoassay of serum group I and group II pepsinogens in normal controls and patients with various disorders. *Clin Chim Acta* 1982;**126**:183–91.
- 30 **Samloff IM**, Varis K, Ihamaki T, *et al*. Relationships among serum pepsinogen I, serum pepsinogen II, and gastric mucosal histology: a study in relatives of patients with pernicious anemia. *Gastroenterology* 1982;**83**:204–9.
- 31 **Miki K**, Ichinose M, Shimizu A, *et al*. Serum pepsinogens as a screening test of extensive chronic gastritis. *Gastroenterol Jpn* 1987;**22**:133–41.
- 32 **Miller SA**, Dykes DD, Polesky HF. A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1988;**16**:1215.
- 33 **Groden J**, Thliveris A, Samowitz W, *et al*. Identification and characterization of the familial adenomatous polyposis coli gene. *Cell* 1991;**66**:589–600.
- 34 **Enomoto M**, Konishi M, Iwama T, *et al*. The relationship between frequencies of extracolonic manifestations and the position of APC germline mutation in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2000;**30**:82–8.
- 35 **Sakai N**, Tatsuta M, Hirasawa R, *et al*. Low prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in patients with hamartomatous fundic polyps. *Dig Dis Sci* 1998;**43**:766–72.
- 36 **Lakshman V**, Shah AN, Ryan CK, *et al*. The presence of dysplasia and carcinoma in gastric fundic gland polyps in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis. *Gastroenterology* 1997;**112**:A599.
- 37 **Morson BC**, Sobin LH, Grundmann E, *et al*. Precancerous conditions and epithelial dysplasia in the stomach. *J Clin Pathol* 1980;**33**:711–21.
- 38 **Yoshihara M**, Sumii K, Haruma K, *et al*. Correlation of ratio of serum pepsinogen I and II with prevalence of gastric cancer and adenoma in Japanese subjects. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1998;**93**:1090–6.
- 39 **Komoto K**, Haruma K, Kamada T, *et al*. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and gastric neoplasia: correlations with histological gastritis and tumor histology. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1998;**93**:1271–6.
- 40 **Nagase H**, Miyoshi Y, Horii A, *et al*. Correlation between the location of germ-line mutations in the APC gene and the number of colorectal polyps in familial adenomatous polyposis patients. *Cancer Res* 1992;**52**:4055–7.
- 41 **Toyooka M**, Konishi M, Kikuchi-Yanoshita R, *et al*. Somatic mutations of the adenomatous polyposis coli gene in gastroduodenal tumors from patients with familial adenomatous polyposis. *Cancer Res* 1995;**55**:3165–70.
- 42 **Leggett BA**, Young JP, Biden K, *et al*. Severe upper gastrointestinal polyposis associated with sparse colonic polyposis in a familial adenomatous polyposis family with an APC mutation at codon 1520. *Gut* 1997;**41**:518–21.