CLINICAL RELEVANCE OF PROTEINASE ACTIVATED RECEPTORS (PARS) IN THE GUT

867

N Vergnolle

Gut 2005;54:867–874. doi: 10.1136/gut.2004.048876

Of all the body systems, the gastrointestinal tract is the most exposed to proteinases. Under physiological conditions, digestive proteinases such as trypsin are released in pancreatic ducts and then into the upper gastrointestinal tract, during and after meals. The forms of proteinases released in the pancreatic duct and intestinal lumen are inactive and need to be cleaved to be activated. This cleavage occurs in the gut lumen where a constant balance between proteolytic activity and the presence of proteinase inhibitors persists in order to fulfil the digestive functions of the gastrointestinal tract, but also at the same time to protect mucosal surfaces from exposure to proteolytic enzymes. The intestinal lumen is also constantly exposed to proteases potentially released from the commensal flora, but also in pathophysiological conditions to proteinases released by infectious agents. Thus the importance of signalling pathways activated by proteinases appears to be particularly relevant for the physiology and pathophysiology of the gastrointestinal tract.

PARS: ACTIVATION AND DISTRIBUTION IN THE GUT

Proteinase activated receptors (PARs) are seven transmembrane domain receptors that are activated by specific proteolytic cleavage of their N terminal extracellular domain. This cleavage releases a new motif of amino acids on the N terminal tail which then binds the receptor like an agonist to induce an intracellular signal (see fig 1). The discovery of this family of receptors highlighted a new way of thinking about the role of proteinases, that cannot be seen merely as degradative enzymes, but that can directly and specifically send signals to cells.

Four members of the PAR family have been described: PAR₁, PAR₂, PAR₃, and PAR₄. Each of these receptors can be activated by the same proteinases: for example, thrombin can activate PAR₁, PAR₃, and PAR₄, and trypsin can activate PAR₂, PAR₄, and to a lesser extent PAR₁ and PAR₃, or they can be activated by different proteinases: for example, tryptase activates only PAR₂ (for review see Vergnolle¹ and table 1). Selective agonists are pharmacological tools available to study PAR₁, PAR₂, and PAR₄, but no selective agonist for PAR₃ has been described, thus hampering the research on understanding the physiology of this particular receptor. The selective agonists available for PAR₁, PAR₂, and PAR₄ are small peptides based on the amino acid sequence of the proteolytically released N terminal tail of the receptor, which acts as a tethered ligand (for review see Vergnolle¹ and table 1).

In the gut, PAR₁ and PAR₂ are expressed on endothelial cells of the lamina propria, on epithelial cells, smooth muscle cells, enteric neurones, fibroblasts, and on some immune cells (neutrophils, mast cells, lymphocytes, monocytes, macrophages). Expression of PAR₂ varies in the gastrointestinal tract, with strong expression in the colon and small intestine and weaker detection in the stomach. PAR₃ is expressed in the stomach and small intestine although the cell types that express the receptor still have to be identified. PAR₄ is highly expressed in the small intestine and colon where immunoreactive protein was found on epithelial surfaces and submucosa (see table 1).²

ACTIVATION OF PARS AND GUT PHYSIOLOGY

Using PAR activating peptides to selectively activate PAR₁, PAR₂, and PAR₄ in gut preparations, different responses have been observed, affecting all aspects of gut physiology (see fig 2 for summary).

Ion transport

Activation of PAR₁ and PAR₂ has been shown to provoke chloride secretion in tissues or epithelial cells mounted in Ussing chambers. In the case of PAR₁, direct activation of the receptor on enterocytes leads to chloride secretion.³ However, in whole tissues, PAR₁ activation inhibited neurally evoked chloride secretion suggesting that PAR₁ activation on enteric nerves might modulate ion transport across the epithelium. These results highlight the fact that depending on the cells activated in response to the PAR₁ agonist, opposite effects may be obtained. For PAR₂, its

Correspondence to:
Dr N Vergnolle, Mucosal
Inflammation Research Group,
Department of Pharmacology
and Therapeutics, Faculty of
Medicine, University of
Calgary, 3330 Hospital Drive
NW Calgary, Alberta,
Canada T2N4N1;
nvergnol@ucalgary.ca

Figure 1 Mechanism of activation of proteinase activated receptors. Proteinases cleave the N terminal domain (1) releasing a new N terminal tail which acts as a tethered ligand that binds the receptor itself (2) to induce an intracellular signal (3).

direct activation on enterocytes mobilises calcium, releases arachidonic acid and prostaglandins,⁴ and provokes chloride secretion. In whole tissues, chloride secretion was observed in response to PAR₂ agonists.^{5,6} However, some discrepancies subsist on the mechanism involved in PAR₂ induced chloride secretion. Results in rat jejunum suggest that nerve activation is not involved but prostaglandin release is a major mediator of PAR₂ induced chloride secretion.⁶ However, in pig ileum and mouse colon, PAR₂ induced chloride secretion depends on eicosanoids release and submucosal neurone activation.^{5,7} These differences may be explained either by different mechanisms existing between species or by differences between the different regions of the gut studied. In contrast with PAR₁, chloride secretion resulting from PAR₂ activation is always observed and does not depend on the cell type where the receptor is activated. In human mucosa, PAR₂ agonists have also been shown to provoke chloride secretion by a mechanism independent of neurone activation but involving prostaglandin release.⁸

The functional and clinical implications of regulation of chloride secretion by PARs rely on two aspects. Firstly, stimulation of chloride secretion is associated with fluid transport and results in diarrhoea which constitutes an important clinical symptom of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) or irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Secondly, one of the functions of increased chloride secretion is to flush away from the mucosal surfaces potential pathogens. In that setting, increased chloride secretion would be protective against infectious diseases of the gut. In both cases, regulation of chloride and ion secretion by PARs has important clinical implications.

Permeability

Recent work has revealed that both PAR₁ and PAR₂ activation lead to a leakage in intestinal barrier functions, increasing the passage of fluids or even microorganisms across the gut mucosa.^{9,10} In the case of PAR₁, this increased intestinal permeability was mediated by direct activation of

Table 1 Characteristics of the four members of the protease activated receptor (PAR) family: PAR₁, PAR₂, PAR₃, and PAR₄.

	PAR ₁	PAR ₂	PAR ₃	PAR ₄
Activating proteases	Thrombin, trypsin, plasmin, cathepsin G, granzyme A, factors Xa and VIIa, gingipain	Trypsin, mast cell Trypsin, trypsin-2, trypsin VI, tissue factor, matriptase/membrane-type serine protease I, factors Xa and VIIa, gingipain, acrosin, dust mite proteases	Thrombin, trypsin, factor Xa	Thrombin, trypsin, cathepsin G, factors Xa and VIIa, gingipain, trypsin VI
Selective peptidic agonists*	TFLR, ApfFRChaCiY, TFRID (xenopus)	SLIGRL, SLIGKV, trans-cynnamoyl-LIGRLO, 2-furoyl-LIGRLO		GYPGKF, GYPGFK, GYPGQV, AYPGKF
Antagonists	RWJ-56110, RWJ-58259, SCH-79797, SCH-73754, FR-171113, BMS-200261			Transcinnamoyl-YPGKF, palmitoyl-SGRRYGHALR
Location in the GI tract	Enterocytes, myenteric neurones, submucosal neurones, fibroblasts, mast cells, smooth muscle, endothelium, human colon cancer epithelium, immune cells	Enterocytes, myenteric neurones, submucosal neurones, fibroblasts, mast cells, smooth muscle, endothelium, human colon cancer epithelium, pancreatic duct epithelium, pancreatic acinar cells, immune cells	Detected in stomach and small intestine in unidentified cell types	Enterocytes, submucosa, enteric neurones, endothelium, immune cells
Known effects in the GI tract	Increased permeability, apoptosis, ion secretion, prostaglandin release, proliferation, and cell motility, smooth muscle contraction/relaxation, inflammation	Ion secretion, prostaglandin and eicosanoid release, neuropeptide release, neuronal hyperexcitability, amylase secretion, ion channel activation, inflammation, visceral hypersensitivity, motor functions		Motor functions (contraction of longitudinal muscle)

*Each capital letter in this row represents one amino acid according to the amino acid letter code.

the receptor on enterocytes. This PAR₁ induced increased permeability was due to initiation of programmed cell death (apoptosis) at the mucosal surface.¹⁰ While there is still a large debate on the question of whether or not apoptosis can induce changes in permeability in vivo, this study showed for the first time that blockade of the apoptotic cascade inhibited PAR₁ induced increased permeability both in vitro and in vivo.¹⁰ PAR₂ induced increased intestinal permeability was also mediated, at least in part, by activation of the receptor on enterocytes but was not linked to activation of the enteric nervous system.¹¹ Rearrangements of cytoskeletal organisation of the tight junctions between epithelial cells were observed in response to PAR₂ agonists, thereby explaining the passage of macromolecules across the epithelial barrier. In vivo, this PAR₂ induced increase in intestinal permeability even allowed the passage of bacteria from the gut lumen to peritoneal organs,⁹ which could thus participate in a general inflammatory response.

Motility

PAR₁, PAR₂, and PAR₄ have been shown to be expressed on intestinal smooth muscle.²⁻¹² Both PAR₁ and PAR₂ activation caused contraction of the resting rat and mouse gastric smooth muscle in a prostaglandin dependent manner.¹³ In the mouse gastric fundus, responses to PAR activation were biphasic, showing relaxation that was able to mask a concomitant contractile effect.¹⁴ In longitudinal muscles of the colon, as well as in circular muscles, PAR₁ and PAR₂ activation inhibited contractile effects.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ The effects of PAR₄ activation have only been studied in isolated organs to date, and a recent study has shown that PAR₄ agonists produced a concentration dependent contractile effect on longitudinal muscle.² While the effects of PAR agonists on isolated gut organs resulted in opposite effects, whether or not the organ was precontracted, an in vivo study by Kawabata *et al* definitively established the resultant effects of PAR₁ and PAR₂ agonists on gut motility.¹⁸ In vivo, administration of PAR₁ and PAR₂ agonists increased gastrointestinal transit¹⁸ thus showing that PAR activation can alter motility patterns. The resultant effect of PAR activation on gut motility might depend on the cellular target that is first reached by PAR agonists. Smooth muscle cells have been shown to be responsive to PAR agonists. However, myenteric neurones have also been shown to be responsive to PAR agonists,¹⁹⁻²⁰ and activation of PARs on those fibres might be responsible for motility dysfunctions associated with disease. This is further supported by the fact that most of the contractile responses to PAR agonists were desensitised by neurokinin receptor antagonists, which suggests previous neural activation in response to PAR agonists.²⁻¹⁷⁻²¹

Inflammation

Intraluminal administration of PAR₁ or PAR₂ agonists into the colon of mice provoked an inflammatory reaction characterised by the formation of submucosal oedema and infiltration of granulocytes.⁹⁻²² In the case of PAR₁, it was shown that this inflammation was not due to activation of sensory nerves or to their release of neuropeptides.²² However, PAR₁ induced colitis was partly due to an increase in intestinal barrier permeability but also to direct activation of B and T lymphocytes,²² thereby implicating PAR₁ in potential gut immune functions. Activation of PAR₁ was able to exacerbate chronic inflammation in a mouse model of IBD.²²

PAR₂ activation induced colitis in mice was clearly mediated by activation of enteric nerves and subsequent release of neuropeptides: calcitonin gene related peptide (CGRP) receptor antagonists and neurokinin 1 receptor antagonists were able to completely suppress PAR₂ agonist induced inflammation.¹¹⁻²³ This result implies that PAR₂ activation on enteric neurones provokes the release of neuropeptides such as substance P and CGRP, which in turn provoke an acute inflammatory reaction. However, in the context of chronic gut inflammation, activation of the enteric nervous system is known to have protective effects.²⁴⁻²⁶ This suggests that PAR₂ induced enteric nerve activation could exert anti-inflammatory properties against chronic inflammation. This hypothesis has been confirmed by a study by Fiorucci *et al* who have shown that systemic treatments with PAR₂ activating peptides significantly reduced trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid induced colitis in mice.²⁷ In that study, the authors suggested that the anti-inflammatory effects of PAR₂ agonist treatments were mediated by CGRP release.²⁷ As mentioned earlier, PAR₂ agonists from the lumen of the colon are also able to increase intestinal barrier permeability, and this effect could, to a certain extent, participate in generation of inflammatory disorders and may counteract the protective effects of enteric nervous system activation in the chronic context of inflammation.

Nerves, epithelial layers, or immune cells are probably not the only targets to explain the proinflammatory effects of PAR₁ and PAR₂ agonists. Numerous studies have demonstrated the effects of PAR₁ and PAR₂ agonists on endothelial cell permeability,²⁸⁻²⁹ and PAR activation induced endothelial permeability may also explain, in a large part, oedema formation in response to PAR agonists.

Sensory functions

Because PAR₁ and PAR₂ are expressed and functional on afferent sensory fibres in the gut,¹ a role for these receptors in nociception mechanisms has been suggested. It has been shown that intracolonic administration of subinflammatory doses of PAR₂ agonists (trypsin or selective PAR₂ activating peptide) provoked longlasting hyperalgesia in response to colorectal distension in rats.³⁰ Furthermore, the same intracolonic treatment provoked nociceptor activation at the spinal level in the superficial laminae (laminae I and II) of the dorsal horn, thereby showing that colonic activation of PAR₂ provoked pain.³⁰ Whether this PAR₂ induced pain symptom is due to direct activation of PAR₂ on sensory neurones is still debatable. However, studies have shown that PAR₂ agonists evoked transient depolarisation of submucosal neurones, followed by longlasting hyperexcitability of those neurones.³¹ Another study has shown that PAR₂ agonists were able to cause increases in jejunal afferent firing and intrajejunal pressure in rats,³² thereby demonstrating a direct effect for PAR₂ activation on intestinal afferent nerves.

In contrast with PAR₂, subinflammatory doses of PAR₁ agonists provoked analgesia when injected into the colon lumen or the peritoneal cavity.³³⁻³⁴ The same effect was observed at a somatic level when PAR₁ agonists (thrombin or selective PAR₁ activating peptide) were injected into the rat paw.³⁵ Whether this effect is due to direct activation of PAR₁ on enteric afferents has still to be determined.

Proliferation

Most recently, expression and function of PARs in human colon cancer cell lines has been studied. A study by Darmoul

Figure 2 Consequences of protease activated receptor (PAR) activation on gut physiological functions.

et al has shown that PAR₂ was expressed in six of 10 colon cancer cell lines tested. Moreover, trypsin and a selective PAR₂ activating peptide induced an important proliferative response in different colon cancer cells.³⁶ PAR₁ expression was found in 11 of 14 colon cancer cell lines tested. Similarly, PAR₁ expression was detected in human colon tumours but was absent in normal human colonic epithelial cells.³⁷ In colon cancer cell lines, PAR₁ was functional, inducing calcium mobilisation and proliferation of cancer cells.³⁷ A recent study indicated that activation of both PAR₁ and PAR₂ could act in synergy to induce migration of transformed cells.³⁸ Taken together, these results suggest that proteases, through activation of PAR₁ and PAR₂, should be considered as growth factors and potential actors of mitogenic and metastatic events associated with colon cancer.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

The effects of PAR agonists on all the aspects of gut physiology (fig 2) strongly suggest an important role for these receptors on gut functions and thereby a potential role in disease associated gut dysfunctions. Defining clearly clinical implications for PARs in gut diseases relies on the use of antagonists or inhibitors that would specifically interact with activation of these receptors. For PAR₁ and PAR₄, such drugs have recently started to be available and have already raised some answers. However, for PAR₂, such a drug is still not readily available. Several lines of evidence suggest a role for PARs in IBD, IBS, infectious colitis, colon cancer, and fibrosis.

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)

As discussed earlier, acute activation of PAR₁ and PAR₂ in the colon of mice provoked an inflammatory reaction involving different mediators and different target cells. Does that mean that PAR₁ and PAR₂ activation could be implicated in inflammatory diseases of the gut such as Crohn's disease (CD) or ulcerative colitis (UC) (table 2)?

We have recently used PAR₁ antagonist treatments in two different mouse models of inflammatory bowel disease. In this study, we showed that blockade of PAR₁ activation significantly decreased the mortality rate and severity of inflammatory parameters in mice. Furthermore, we used a genetic approach investigating the effects of colitis induction (by intracolonic administration of trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid or by dextran sodium sulphate treatment) in PAR₁ deficient mice. We confirmed that PAR₁ deficiency was beneficial to improve clinical signs of inflammation in those two models of IBD.²² Expression of PAR₁ was found to be upregulated in biopsies from CD or UC patients, further suggesting an important role for PAR₁ activation in IBD. Finally, this study highlighted the fact that PAR₁ activation on monocytic cells of the lamina propria is most likely responsible for activating the inflammatory/immune response. Taken together, these results imply an important role for PAR₁ in the pathogenesis of experimental colitis, supporting the notion that PAR₁ inhibition may be beneficial in the context of IBD. Permeability disorders have been associated clinically with the development of IBD³⁹ as PAR₁ activation also led to increased permeability.¹⁰ PAR₁ induced increased permeability on enterocytes may also participate in the development of IBD. As thrombin is the most recognised activator of PAR₁, and because coagulation disorders and thrombosis have been implicated in the pathogenesis of IBD,^{40–41} thrombin appears to be a good candidate to activate PAR₁ in IBD. However, cathepsin G, which is largely released by activated neutrophils, can also activate PAR₁ and could account for endogenous PAR₁ activation during the course of the disease, thereby amplifying and prolonging the inflammatory response.

While acute PAR₂ activation in the colon of mice induced colitis, two separate studies have shown that this inflammatory reaction involves a neurogenic component.^{11–23} Since in the context of chronic inflammation of the gut, activation of the enteric nervous system is considered to be

Table 2 Protease activated receptors (PARs) and inflammatory bowel disease	
PAR ₁	PAR ₂
Upregulated in the colon of CD and UC patients Activation causes colitis and increased permeability	Upregulated in the colon of UC patients Acute activation causes colitis but chronic systemic activation is protective
Activation is involved in the pathogenesis of IBD animal models	
PAR ₁ potential target for IBD treatments	

protective,^{11 25 26} PAR₂ activation in IBD patients might exert an anti-inflammatory effect. This is further suggested by the study of Fiorucci *et al* who showed that PAR₂ agonist treatment reduced inflammatory parameters in a model of chronic colitis in mice.²⁷ PAR₂ expression was increased in biopsies from UC patients,^{27 42} suggesting a role for this receptor in IBD. Whether this role is protective or pro-inflammatory still needs to be investigated in depth, specifically using PAR₂ deficient mice and/or PAR₂ antagonists when they are available. However, the last study demonstrated that PAR₂ was overexpressed in mast cells in tissues from UC patients and that PAR₂ activation in human mast cells induced release of tumour necrosis factor (TNF).⁴² Thus it can be hypothesised that activation of PAR₂ on mast cells and subsequent release of TNF might be implicated in the pathogenesis of UC. In that setting, blockade of PAR₂ could be beneficial for the treatment of IBD. Similar to PAR₁, PAR₂ activation on epithelial cells induced increased permeability,⁹ and considering the potential involvement of permeability disorders in IBD,³⁹ PAR₂ activation in this context may also participate in pathogenesis. Taken together, these studies show that pro- or anti-inflammatory effects could be expected in response to PAR₂ activation in the gut, depending on the cell where the receptor is activated. Thereby, in the absence of studies using a PAR₂ antagonist, it is difficult to predict any clear advantage for PAR₂ related drug in the treatment of IBD. It is interesting to note that an open label pilot study has investigated the effects of a tryptase inhibitor in UC patients, and this study reported beneficial effects for inhibition of tryptase. As tryptase exerts some of its effects through activation of PAR₂, this could point to a proinflammatory role for PAR₂ activation in IBD. Another enzyme that might be responsible for activation of PAR₂ in the colon is trypsin, the activity of which has been shown to be increased in the tissues and lumen of IBD patients.^{43–45} Better knowledge of the endogenous enzymes that are responsible for PAR activation could contribute to the development of selective protease inhibitors as new therapeutic options for IBD.

Infectious colitis

A recent study has shown that PAR₁ agonist induced chloride secretion was altered after nematode infection thereby suggesting that PAR₁ might be implicated in infectious intestinal diseases.⁴⁶ Another fact that supports this hypothesis comes from the observation that proteinases from pathogens could activate PARs. Gingipains, which are arginine specific proteinases released by the buccal pathogen *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, can activate PAR₁, PAR₂, and PAR₄.⁴⁷ Although it has never been shown for intestinal pathogens, but because of the strong expression of PARs on gut epithelial mucosa, it is reasonable to think that these receptors could be activated by pathogen proteinases in the gut. Considering the

rather “inefficient” mechanism of activation of PARs (these receptors are one shot receptors, activated only once by proteolytic cleavage of their N terminus and then internalised for degradation—reviewed by Ossovskaya and Bunnett⁴⁸) they can be viewed as a first line of defence against pathogen infection. Their activation by proteases released from pathogens would be part of the innate immune response, organising an immediate inflammatory reaction which would aim at fighting the infection. The fact that PAR₂ induced colitis is mediated by a neurogenic mechanism supports this hypothesis because infectious colitis is known to involve enteric nerve activation and release of neuropeptides.⁴⁹ If PARs are truly activated on gut infection by intestinal pathogens, blockade of PAR activation in the gut could be beneficial in counteracting disproportionate inflammatory responses to pathogens. However, we need to consider the possibility that PAR activation at mucosal surfaces could be a surveillance mechanism for pathogen infection, and its blockade would lower the capacity of the body’s response to infection, thereby rendering the patient more susceptible to infectious diseases.

Irritable bowel syndrome

Several aspects of gut physiology are involved in the generation of IBS symptoms: altered motility patterns, inflammatory mediator release, loss of intestinal barrier integrity, ion transport dysfunctions, altered nociceptive functions, and even psychological factors (for review see Mayer and Collins⁵⁰). With the exception of the latter, PAR activation has been shown to be able to modify all of these functions (table 3).

As previously discussed, several studies have reported the effects of PAR agonists on motor functions of the gastrointestinal tract, suggesting that PAR activation could participate in motor dysfunctions associated with IBS symptoms.

The putative role for microinflammation in tissues of IBS patients has been largely discussed and is supported by numerous studies.⁵¹ As both PAR₁ and PAR₂ activation have been shown to provoke an inflammatory reaction that was rather discrete compared with inflammatory models of IBD, and that did not last longer than 48 hours,^{9 22} this bout of inflammation might serve as a basis for generation of IBS symptoms. Development of IBS is often associated with a previous history of infectious colitis.^{49 51–53} The potential role for PAR₁ and/or PAR₂ activation as initial inflammatory events for the development of IBS symptoms is even more supported by the fact that PARs can be activated by pathogen proteinases.

Loss of intestinal barrier integrity has been associated with IBS in child and adult patients.^{53 54} This suggests that intestinal barrier dysfunction may play a role in the generation of IBS symptoms. As both PAR₁ and PAR₂ activation

Table 3 Protease activated receptors (PARs) and irritable bowel syndrome

Pathogenic effects	Inhibitory effects
Modifies motility patterns (PAR ₁ , PAR ₂ , PAR ₄)	Increases nociceptive threshold (PAR ₁)
Causes inflammation (PAR ₁ , PAR ₂ , PAR ₄)	Causes analgesia (PAR ₁)
Increases permeability (PAR ₁ , PAR ₂)	
Modifies ion secretion (possibly causing diarrhoea) (PAR ₁ , PAR ₂)	
Increases permeability (PAR ₁ , PAR ₂)	
Induces visceral hypersensitivity (PAR ₂)	
Induces enteric neurones hyperexcitability (PAR ₂)	
PAR₂ potential target for IBS treatments	

provoked an increase in intestinal barrier permeability, here again this could serve as a basis for generation of IBS symptoms.

IBS is often associated with either diarrhoea or constipation. Electrolyte transport across the intestinal barrier regulates water movements in the intestinal lumen, thereby participating in the generation of diarrhoea symptoms. Both PAR₁ and PAR₂ activation modify chloride secretion,^{3,6} suggesting that both receptors could be implicated in secretory dysfunctions associated with IBS. However, in contrast with PAR₂, PAR₁ activation in whole intestinal tissues was shown to inhibit neurally evoked chloride secretion, indicating a differential role for PAR₁ activation depending on the cells that are activated. Thus in IBS, PAR₂, but not necessarily PAR₁, may participate in generation of diarrhoeal symptoms.

The stronger evidence for the role of PARs in generation of IBS symptoms relies on the properties of PAR activation on visceral nociceptive functions. Both cellular and animal model approaches have shown that PAR₂ activation provoked longlasting visceral hypersensitivity and hyperexcitability of enteric neurones,³⁰⁻³² suggesting an important role for PAR₂ activation in visceral hypersensitivity states. The consequences of PAR₂ activation coincide with all of the pathophysiological factors associated with generation of IBS symptoms (altered motility, microinflammation, increased permeability, increased chloride secretion, pain symptoms), strongly suggesting PAR₂ activation in IBS. Although PAR₁ activation altered motility and intestinal permeability and can induce release of inflammatory mediators, PAR₁ activation also provoked analgesia, suggesting an inhibitory role for PAR₁ in pain symptoms associated with IBS. These conflicting results on the role of PAR₁ may be explained by the different cellular targets involved in each function.

Here again the question of the endogenous protease responsible for PAR activation in the setting of IBS is crucial. Trypsin appears as an obvious candidate for activation of PAR₂ considering the involvement of mast cells in IBS. Recently, a study by Barbara *et al* has shown that in IBS patients, the distance between mast cells and enteric nerves was significantly reduced compared with control patients.

Table 4 Protease activated receptors (PARs) and colon cancer

PAR ₁	PAR ₂
Activation induces proliferation	Activation induces proliferation
Aberrant expression in colon cancer cells but not in normal cells	
Increased cell motility	
PAR₁ potential role in colon cancer and metastasis	

Colon cancer

Proteinases in general, and trypsin-like proteinases in particular, are extremely abundant in the vicinity of tumours. Moreover, most of the colon cancer cell lines express both PAR₁ and PAR₂, activation of which is known to induce proliferation in those cells.^{36,37} Thus it is reasonable to believe that PAR₁ and PAR₂ can be activated on transformed epithelial cells during colon cancer, and that this activation might participate in the proliferation of cancer cells (table 4). In the case of PAR₁, because thrombin and selective PAR₁ activating peptide led to a twofold increase in cell motility of wounded colon carcinoma cells,³⁷ this suggests further that PAR₁ activation could be involved in metastatic events. In that setting, inhibition of PAR₁ and/or PAR₂ activation would have beneficial effects against tumour progression in colon cancer. Whether or not PAR activation participates in proliferation and metastasis in animal models of colon cancer has still to be investigated to provide clear answers on the role of PARs in colon cancer. Procoagulant activity and platelet activation have been shown to enhance the ability of tumour cells to spread via the circulation, and colonise other organs inducing metastasis.⁵⁵ Because PAR₁, PAR₃, and PAR₄ are all implicated in thrombin signalling to platelets, a recent study using PAR deficient mice has investigated their role and the role of platelets in the formation of lung metastases after injection of melanoma cells.⁵⁵ The authors reported that PAR₁ and PAR₂ deficient mice were not protected against metastasis. However, PAR₄ deficiency conferred some protection against metastasis in this model. Similar studies would be necessary in a model of colon cancer to definitively conclude on the potential effects of PARs on proliferation and metastasis mechanisms.

Fibrosis

The presence of activated mast cells in the gut correlates with fibrotic disorders such as collagenous colitis, intestinal strictures observed in CD, or radiation induced fibrosis. As trypsin, the major protein present in mucosal mast cell granules and released on mast cell activation, can signal through PAR₂, it is likely that PAR₂ is activated in fibrotic disorders of the gut. One study performed with mucosal biopsies collected from cystic fibrosis patients supports this hypothesis. In that study, the authors observed that cystic fibrosis tissues were no longer able to activate chloride secretion in response to PAR₂ stimulation, suggesting that the receptor had been desensitised.⁸ Activation of PAR₂ has been shown to reproduce proliferative effects of trypsin on lung fibroblasts and myocytes, thereby suggesting an important role for this receptor in lung fibrosis. Given the strong resemblance between lung and gut mucosal physiology, a role for PAR₂, which has been shown to be present on

enteric fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells, could also be suggested in gut fibrotic disorders.

Fibrotic disorders are also associated with excessive deposition of extracellular matrix proteins and ongoing coagulation cascade activity. Proteinases of the coagulation cascade such as thrombin, factor VIIa, and factor Xa can activate the two thrombin receptors PAR₁ and PAR₄. Activation of PAR₁ by thrombin and factor Xa has been shown to stimulate expression of connective tissue growth factor in fibroblasts.⁵⁶ Moreover, in a model of radiation induced fibrosis in the gut, PAR₁ was overexpressed in smooth muscle cells and its expression correlated with fibrotic damage.⁵⁷

Taken together, these experimental approaches suggest a role for PAR₁ and PAR₂ in fibrotic disorders associated with gut pathologies such as radiation injuries, collagenous colitis, or stricture formation observed in IBD. However, such a role for PARs in gut fibrosis has still to be delineated and clearly established by investigating the consequences on gut fibrosis of receptor blockade.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

There have been substantial advances in our understanding of the role of proteinases as signalling molecules to cells. Particularly in the gut, which is the organ most exposed to proteinases, it appears that proteinases, through activation of PARs, can interfere with most of the physiological functions of the gut. PAR activation can participate in inflammatory reactions, be protective to mucosal surfaces, send or inhibit nociceptive messages, modify gut motility or secretory functions, and stimulate cell proliferation and motility. It is still difficult to clearly define the role of PARs in human gut diseases, mostly because of the lack of pharmacological tools to efficiently block in vivo activation of these receptors. However, studies that combined in vitro and in vivo approaches using pharmacological and genetic tools clearly pointed to a role for PAR₁ in IBD. Strong evidence also supports a role for PAR₂ in symptoms associated with IBS. In vivo approaches are still needed to fully investigate the role of PARs in fibrosis, colon cancer, or even infectious colitis.

Another important aspect that needs to be investigated to propose a therapeutic approach involving PAR related drugs would be to define which proteases are responsible for PAR activation in the setting of a particular disease.

In conclusion, it appears that recent advances in basic science point to a crucial role for proteinases and their receptors in gut functions. We need to consider proteinases not only as digestive or degradative enzymes in the gut, but as signalling molecules that actively participate in several clinical symptoms of gut diseases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of Canada, by the Canadian Association of Gastroenterology, and by the Canadian Institute for Health Research. Nathalie Vergnolle is an Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research Scholar and a Canadian Institute for Health Research New Investigator.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1 Vergnolle N. Modulation of visceral pain and inflammation by protease-activated receptors. *Br J Pharmacol* 2004;**141**:1264–74.
- 2 Mule F, Pizzuti R, Capparelli A, et al. Evidence for the presence of functional protease activated receptor 4 (PAR4) in the rat colon. *Gut* 2004;**53**:229–34.

- 3 Buresi MC, Schleihaut E, Vergnolle N, et al. Protease-activated receptor-1 stimulates Ca²⁺-dependent Cl⁻ secretion in human intestinal epithelial cells. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2001;**281**:G323–32.
- 4 Kong W, McConalogue K, Khitin L, et al. Luminal trypsin may regulate enterocytes through proteinase-activated receptor-2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1997;**94**:8884–9.
- 5 Green BT, Bunnett NW, Brown DR. Type 2 protease-activated receptor (PAR-2) in porcine ileal mucosa. Neuroregulation of active ion transport and modulation by d-opioid receptors. *FASEB J* 1999;**13**:A733.
- 6 Vergnolle N, Macnaughton WK, Al-Ani B, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor 2 (PAR2)-activating peptides: identification of a receptor distinct from PAR2 that regulates intestinal transport. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;**95**:7766–71.
- 7 Cuffe JE, Bertog M, Velazquez-Rocha S, et al. Basolateral PAR-2 receptors mediate KCl secretion and inhibition of Na⁺ absorption in the mouse distal colon. *J Physiol* 2002;**539**:209–22.
- 8 Mall M, Gonska T, Thomas J, et al. Activation of ion secretion via proteinase-activated receptor-2 in human colon. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2002;**282**:G200–10.
- 9 Cenac N, Coelho A, Nguyen C, et al. Induction of intestinal inflammation in mouse by activation of proteinase-activated receptor-2. *Am J Pathol* 2002;**161**:1903–15.
- 10 Chin AC, Vergnolle N, Macnaughton WK, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor-1 induces apoptosis and increases intestinal permeability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;**100**:11104–9.
- 11 Cenac N, Garcia-Villar R, Ferrier L, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor-2-induced colonic inflammation in mice: possible involvement of afferent neurons, nitric oxide, and paracellular permeability. *J Immunol* 2003;**170**:4296–300.
- 12 Vergnolle N. Review article: proteinase-activated receptors-novel signals for gastrointestinal pathophysiology. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2000;**14**:257–66.
- 13 Saifeddine M, Al-Ani B, Cheng C, et al. Rat proteinase-activated receptor-2 (PAR-2): cDNA sequence and activity of receptor-derived peptides and in gastric and vascular tissues. *Br J Pharmacol* 1996;**118**:521–30.
- 14 Cocks TM, Sozzi V, Moffatt JD, et al. Protease-activated receptors mediate apamin-sensitive relaxation of mouse and guinea pig gastrointestinal smooth muscle. *Gastroenterology* 1999;**116**:586–92.
- 15 Carvera CU, Dery O, McConalogue K, et al. Mast cell tryptase regulates rat colonic myocytes through proteinase-activated receptor 2. *J Clin Invest* 1997;**100**:1383–93.
- 16 Mule F, Baffi MC, Cerra MC. Dual effect mediated by protease-activated receptors on the mechanical activity of rat colon. *Br J Pharmacol* 2002;**136**:367–74.
- 17 Mule F, Baffi MC, Falzone M, et al. Signal transduction pathways involved in the mechanical responses to protease-activated receptors in rat colon. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2002;**303**:1265–72.
- 18 Kawabata A, Kuroda R, Nagata N, et al. In vivo evidence that protease-activated receptors 1 and 2 modulate gastrointestinal transit in the mouse. *Br J Pharmacol* 2001;**133**:1213–18.
- 19 Gao CY, Liu SM, Hu HZ, et al. Serine proteases excite myenteric neurons through protease-activated receptors in guinea pig small intestine. *Gastroenterology* 2002;**123**:1554–64.
- 20 Linden DR, Manning BP, Bunnett NW, et al. Agonists of proteinase-activated receptor 2 excite guinea pig ileal myenteric neurons. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2001;**431**:311–14.
- 21 Zhao A, Shea-Donohue T. PAR-2 agonists induce contraction of murine small intestine through neurokinin receptors. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2003;**285**:G696–703.
- 22 Vergnolle N, Cellars L, Mencarelli A, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor-1: a role in inflammatory bowel diseases. *J Clin Invest* 2004;**114**:1444–56.
- 23 Nguyen C, Coelho A, Grady EF, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor-2-induced colitis is mediated by a neurogenic mechanism. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* 2003;**81**:920–7.
- 24 Collins SM. Altered neuromuscular function in the inflamed bowel. In: Kirsner JB, ed. *Inflammatory bowel disease*. Philadelphia: Saunders WB, 2000.
- 25 Mazelin L, Theodorou V, Fioramonti J, et al. Vagally dependent protective action of calcitonin gene-related peptide on colitis. *Peptides* 1999;**20**:1367–74.
- 26 McCafferty DM, Wallace JL, Sharkey KA. Effects of chemical sympathectomy and sensory nerve ablation on experimental colitis in the rat. *Am J Physiol* 1997;**272**:G272–80.
- 27 Fiorucci S, Mencarelli A, Palazzetti B, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor 2 is an anti-inflammatory signal for colonic lamina propria lymphocytes in a mouse model of colitis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;**98**:13936–41.
- 28 Klarenbach SW, Chipiuk A, Nelson RC, et al. Differential actions of PAR2 and PAR1 in stimulating human endothelial cell exocytosis and permeability: the role of Rho-GTPases. *Circ Res* 2003;**92**:272–8.
- 29 Vouret-Craviari V, Grall D, Van Obberghen-Schilling E. Modulation of Rho GTPase activity in endothelial cells by selective proteinase-activated receptor (PAR) agonists. *J Thromb Haemost* 2003;**1**:1103–11.
- 30 Coelho AM, Vergnolle N, Guiard B, et al. Proteinases and proteinase-activated receptor 2: a possible role to promote visceral hyperalgesia in rats. *Gastroenterology* 2002;**122**:1035–47.
- 31 Reed DE, Barajas-Lopez C, Cottrell G, et al. Mast cell tryptase and proteinase-activated receptor 2 induce hyperexcitability of guinea pig submucosal neurons. *J Physiol* 2003;**547**:531–42.
- 32 Kirkup AJ, Jiang W, Bunnett NW, et al. Stimulation of proteinase-activated receptor 2 excites jejunal afferent nerves in anaesthetised rats. *J Physiol* 2003;**552**:589–601.

- 33 **Coelho A**, Bunnett NW. Intestinal activation of proteinase-activated receptor-1 (PAR1) reduces visceral nociception associated to rectal distension (RD) in rats. *Gastroenterology* 2003;**124**:A1.
- 34 **Vergnolle N**, Cellars L, Chapman K. Proteinase-activated receptor-1 agonists attenuate visceral pain. *Gastroenterology* 2003;**124**:A252.
- 35 **Asfaha S**, Brussee V, Chapman K, *et al*. Proteinase-activated receptor-1 agonists attenuate nociception in response to noxious stimuli. *Br J Pharmacol* 2002;**135**:1101–6.
- 36 **Darmoul D**, Marie JC, Devaud H, *et al*. Initiation of human colon cancer cell proliferation by trypsin acting at protease-activated receptor-2. *Br J Cancer* 2001;**85**:772–9.
- 37 **Darmoul D**, Gratio V, Devaud H, *et al*. Aberrant expression and activation of the thrombin receptor protease-activated receptor-1 induces cell proliferation and motility in human colon cancer cells. *Am J Pathol* 2003;**162**:1503–13.
- 38 **Shi X**, Gangadharan B, Brass LF, *et al*. Protease-activated receptors (PAR1 and PAR2) contribute to tumor cell motility and metastasis. *Mol Cancer Res* 2004;**2**:395–402.
- 39 **Danese S**, Sans M, Fiocchi C. Inflammatory bowel disease: the role of environmental factors. *Autoimmun Rev* 2004;**3**:394–400.
- 40 **Wakefield AJ**, Sawyerr AM, Dhillon AP, *et al*. Pathogenesis of Crohn's disease: multifocal gastrointestinal infarction. *Lancet* 1989;**2**:1057–62.
- 41 **Thompson NP**, Wakefield AJ, Pounder RE. Inherited disorders of coagulation appear to protect against inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 1995;**108**:1011–15.
- 42 **Kim JA**, Choi SC, Yun KJ, *et al*. Expression of protease-activated receptor 2 in ulcerative colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2003;**9**:224–9.
- 43 **Marchbank T**, Chinery R, Handy A, *et al*. Distribution and expression of pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor and its possible role in epithelial restitution. *Am J Pathol* 1996;**148**:715–22.
- 44 **Marchbank T**, Freeman TC, Playford RJ. Human pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor. Distribution, actions and possible role in mucosal integrity and repair. *Digestion* 1998;**59**:167–74.
- 45 **Playford RJ**, Hanby AM, Patel K, *et al*. Influence of inflammatory bowel disease on the distribution and concentration of pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor within the colon. *Am J Pathol* 1995;**146**:310–16.
- 46 **Fernandez M**, Auyeung KJ, Madden K, *et al*. Nematode infection alters protease-activated receptor (PAR)-induced epithelial cell responses in murine small intestine. *Gastroenterology* 2003;**124**:A-83.
- 47 **Lourbakos A**, Potempa J, Travis J, *et al*. Arginine-specific protease from *Porphyromonas gingivalis* activates protease-activated receptors on human oral epithelial cells and induces interleukin-6 secretion. *Infect Immun* 2001;**69**:5121–30.
- 48 **Ossovskaya VS**, Bunnett NW. Protease-activated receptors: contribution to physiology and disease. *Physiol Rev* 2003;**84**:579–621.
- 49 **Spiller RC**. Role of nerves in enteric infection. *Gut* 2002;**51**:759–62.
- 50 **Mayer EA**, Collins SM. Evolving pathophysiologic models of functional gastrointestinal disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2002;**122**:2032–48.
- 51 **Collins SM**, Piche T, Rampal P. The putative role of inflammation in the irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 2001;**49**:743–5.
- 52 **Collins SM**. Peripheral mechanisms of symptom generation in irritable bowel syndrome. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2001;**15**(suppl B):14–68.
- 53 **Spiller RC**, Jenkins D, Thornley JP, *et al*. Increased rectal mucosal enteroendocrine cells, T lymphocytes, and increased gut permeability following acute *Campylobacter* enteritis and in post-dysenteric irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 2000;**47**:804–11.
- 54 **Barau E**, Dupont C. Modifications of intestinal permeability during food provocation procedures in pediatric irritable bowel syndrome. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 1990;**11**:72–7.
- 55 **Camerer E**, Qazi AA, Duong DN, *et al*. Platelets, protease-activated receptors, and fibrinogen in hematogenous metastasis. *Blood* 2004;**104**:397–401.
- 56 **Chambers RC**, Leoni P, Blanc-Brude OP, *et al*. Thrombin is a potent inducer of connective tissue growth factor production via proteolytic activation of protease-activated receptor-1. *J Biol Chem* 2000;**275**:35584–91.
- 57 **Wang J**, Zheng H, Ou X, *et al*. Deficiency of microvascular thrombomodulin and up-regulation of protease-activated receptor-1 in irradiated rat intestine: possible link between endothelial dysfunction and chronic radiation fibrosis. *Am J Pathol* 2002;**160**:2063–72.