

PTH-084

GAHS TAHP: THE GLASGOW ALCOHOLIC HEPATITIS SCORE IN THE TREATMENT OF ALCOHOLIC HEPATITIS IN PRACTICE

doi:10.1136/gut.2011.239301.485

HLafferty,^{1,*} EWatson,¹ RHamid,¹ JWinter,¹ JMorris,¹ AStanley,¹ EForrest¹ ¹Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Glasgow, UK

Introduction Alcoholic hepatitis (AH) continues to be a frequent cause of alcohol related mortality. The Glasgow Alcoholic Hepatitis Score (GAHS) has not only been proposed as an accurate measure of prognosis, but also as a guide to therapeutic intervention. However these studies have been retrospective. The authors assessed the use of the GAHS in the management of unselected patients with AH.

Methods 182 patients with a clinical diagnosis of AH were assessed prospectively (Group 1), and then compared with a historical control group (Group 2). All patients had an admission serum bilirubin greater than 80 $\mu\text{mol/l}$. No patients were Hepatitis C PCR positive or had presented with evidence of upper gastro-intestinal haemorrhage. The unit protocol was for treatment with prednisolone 40 mg or pentoxifylline 400 mg three times a day at the discretion of the responsible consultant when the GAHS was ≥ 9 in Group 1. No Group 2 patients received either prednisolone or pentoxifylline (Group 2).

Results Overall 28-day survival figures for Group 1 and Group 2 were 150 (82.4%) and 129 (73.7%) respectively ($p=0.03$; HR 1.64 (1.06–2.56)). The respective 84-day survival rates were 126 (69.2%) and 119 (68%) ($p=0.41$; HR 1.17 0.806–1.70)). In Group 1, 96 patients developed a GAHS ≥ 9 during the first week of their hospital admission (58%). On comparison Group 1 and 2 patients with a GAHS < 9 had similar rates of survival at day 28 and 84. However differences were seen between patients with a GAHS ≥ 9 . At 28 days the survival rates of Group 1 and Group 2 were 71% and 41% respectively ($p=0.0002$; HR 2.86 (1.64–3.18)). At 84 days the survival rates of the Group 1 and Group 2 were 54% and 37% respectively ($p=0.008$; HR 1.95 (1.19–3.18)). The improvement in outcome was more clearly seen in GAHS ≥ 9 patients who received treatment as per protocol (80 of 96: 83%). Reasons given for not receiving treatment were as follows: 10 suspected sepsis (confirmed: 3 SBP; 3 chest sepsis; 1 secondary peritonitis), 1 upper gastro-intestinal haemorrhage after admission and 1 patient's condition deteriorated too rapidly to allow treatment to start. In 4 cases no reasons were given.

Conclusion The GAHS can be used in clinical practice. A GAHS ≥ 9 identifies patients who may benefit from treatment.

Competing interests None.

Keywords alcoholic hepatitis.