supplements contained vitamins, A, B1, B2, B6, B12, C, D₃, E, niacin, folic acid, iron, zinc, copper and selenium. We analysed 215 samples collected in 2005, from subjects who had taken either supplementation or placebo for a median of 19 (range 14 to 27) months. Gastric atrophy was determined using pepsinogen 1 to 2 ratio of less than 3.0 using BIOHIT ELISA kits, Helsinki, Finland, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Fasting gastric pH was available on 121 participants. The presence of atrophy was compared between the intervention and the placebo groups. Other factors analysed included the effect of HIV infection, age, body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol intake and gastric pH.

Results Gastric atrophy was found in 8 (7.8%) of 103 subjects on supplementation, and 7 (6.3%) of 112 on placebo (RR 1.24; 95%CI 0.47–3.3; $P = 0.22$). HIV infection was diagnosed in 5 participants with atrophy and 61 without (RR 1.07; 95%CI 0.37–3.2; $P = 1.0$). The lack of effect of supplementation on atrophy was not changed after stratification for HIV status (M-H OR 2.0; $P = 0.92$). Gastric atrophy was found to be more prevalent in those above the age of 40 years. In univariate and multivariate analysis, BMI, smoking, alcohol intake showed no impact on gastric atrophy. Gastric pH and pepsinogen 1:2 ratio were inversely correlated (Spearman's $r = -0.34$; $P = 0.0001$).

Conclusion An average of nine months of micronutrient-antioxidant supplementation has no impact on gastric atrophy in Zambian healthy adults. The high gastric pH seen in HIV patients can not be attributed to gastric atrophy.

Disclosure of Interest None Declared.

Nutrition

PWE-173 ELIGIBILITY FOR BARIATRIC SURGERY IN ENGLAND ACCORDING TO NICE GUIDELINES

doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2013-304907.461

¹A Ahmad, ¹A Laverty, ¹E Aasheim, ¹A Majeed, ¹C Millett, ¹S Saxena. ¹Imperial College London, London, UK

Introduction The number of people in England who are potentially eligible for bariatric surgery according to criteria set out in UK national guidance is not known. We used data from Health Survey for England (HSE) 2006, representative of the non-institutionalised English population, to determine the number of people eligible for bariatric surgery and their socio-demographic characteristics.

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) criteria for eligibility are those with body mass index (BMI) 35.0 kg/m² with at least one comorbidity that could be improved by losing weight, or a BMI > 40 kg/m². The comorbidities examined were hypertension, type 2 diabetes, stroke, coronary heart disease, and osteoarthritis.

Methods Of 13,742 adult respondents in HSE 2006, we excluded participants with invalid data for BMI ($N = 2103$), comorbidities ($N = 2187$) or socio-demographic variables ($N = 27$), for a final study sample of 9,425 participants.

Results 374 (4.0%) had BMI 35.0 kg/m² with at least one comorbid condition and 179 (1.9%) had BMI > 40 kg/m². 5.9% of the general adult population therefore fulfilled criteria for bariatric surgery in England. Those eligible were more likely than the general population to be women (60.1% vs 39.9% $P < 0.01$), retired (22.4% vs 12.8% $P < 0.01$), and have no educational qualifications (35.7% vs 21.3% $P < 0.01$).

Conclusion The number of adults potentially eligible for bariatric surgery in England (2,346,542 people based on census data) far exceeds provision. Greater investment may be required to ensure the National Health Service (NHS) and other health services internationally have the capacity to meet the needs of all those eligible for bariatric surgery under national guidance. In doing so, monitoring of implementation is essential to ensure access based on need.

Disclosure of Interest None Declared.

PWE-174 EVALUATION OF A COMMUNITY-BASED NUTRITIONAL SCREENING AND INTERVENTION SERVICE FOR NEWCASTLE ELDERLY CARE HOME RESIDENTS: THE EFFECT ON NUTRITIONAL AND CLINICAL OUTCOMES

doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2013-304907.462

¹C G Mountford, ²A Okonkwo, ³L Sweeney, ¹N P Thompson. ¹Dept of Gastroenterology, Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust; ²Medical School, Newcastle University; ³Dept of Dietetics, Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK

Introduction Malnutrition affects more than 3 million people in the United Kingdom. Elderly care home residents are at particular risk. This study aimed to establish the prevalence of malnutrition in Newcastle elderly care home residents and investigate whether a community nutritional screening and intervention programme could be successfully delivered and could improve nutritional and clinical outcomes in residents who were malnourished or at risk of malnutrition.

Methods This was a multi-centre community-based cohort study in five care homes in Newcastle. 205 participants entered the study; 66 males and 139 females (mean age 84.2 ± 8.5 years). Residents were excluded if they were receiving end of life or respite care, were in hospital or were uncooperative with measurements. Follow-up data was available on 175 residents. Residents already taking an oral nutritional supplement (ONS) were excluded from the interventions. Those with a 'Malnutrition Universal Screening Score' ('MUST') of 0 were followed up at 12 weeks, but received no active intervention. Those with a score of 1 received dietetic advice to increase oral intake and those with a score of 2 or more received dietetic advice and were prescribed an ONS (220ml, 1.5kCal/ml) twice daily for 12 weeks.

Body mass index (BMI), 'MUST' score, mini nutritional assessment score® and mid upper arm muscle circumference (MAMC) were recorded at baseline and 12 weeks. Feeling of wellbeing was assessed using the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). Hospital admission data for the study period were compared to data for the previous year for each home.

Results Prevalence of malnutrition or risk of malnutrition was 36.6% (95% CI 30.0 to 43.2). Mortality during the study period for residents with a 'MUST' score of 0 or 1 was 7.9% and rose to 50.0% in those with a score of 4 ($P = 0.004$). Nutritional status did not deteriorate in the majority (86%) of residents during the study. However, there were no clinically significant improvements in anthropometric measures, including BMI ($P = 0.445$) and MAMC ($P = 0.256$) following any study intervention. There were no significant changes in GDS ($P = 0.385$) or hospital admission rates ($P = 0.537$) following any intervention.

Conclusion Malnutrition is common in care home residents and associated with increased mortality. Nutritional interventions, including ONS, given over a 3-month period in those with a MUST score of 2 or more, did not appear to improve nutritional status, reduce mortality or decrease hospital admission rates.

Disclosure of Interest None Declared.

PWE-175 SIMPLE INTERVENTION IMPROVES OUTCOME IN DELIVERY OF PARENTERAL NUTRITION

doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2013-304907.463

¹P Davies, ¹J Bowness, ²C Whittingham, ³A Bassi. ¹Surgery; ²Dietetics; ³Gastroenterology, St Helens and Knowsley NHS Trust, Prescot, UK

Introduction Recent report by NCEPOD into hospital care of patients receiving parenteral nutrition (PN) suggested that only 19% adult patients had PN care that was considered to represent good practise. An initial audit (retrospective audit over six months) in our hospital suggested lack of quality control at the point of

initiation of PN. Simple remedial action was introduced and the audit cycle was completed to assess the impact of mandatory minimal set documentation prior to initiation of PN.

Methods A mandatory request sticker was introduced which prompted the clinical team to document why enteral nutrition is inappropriate and duration of inadequate enteral intake, the clinical purpose and goal of PN and expected duration of PN. A prospective re-audit was performed to assess any change over the same six month period.

Results The initial audit included 43 patients and 34 patients received PN during the reaudit period. Administration of PN was predominantly in surgical (47%) or critical care (20%) areas. The indication was documented in the case notes in 88% of cases (initially 43%), and considered appropriate by the nutrition team in 94% of cases (initially 67%). There were unreasonable delays in 21% of cases (initially 29%), mostly related to delays in placing appropriate central venous access. The treatment goal was documented in 82% of cases (initially 36%). Alternatives were considered in 100% of cases (initially 64%) and an appropriate assessment was made in 97% of cases (initially 69%). TPN was reviewed and monitored appropriately in the initial audit and there was no change in the re-audit. Metabolic complications occurred in 38% of cases (initially 52%), all cases were managed appropriately. Nine percent of patients received PN for fewer than 5 days (initially 12%) but the vast majority received an appropriate course length. Seventy four percent of patients were weaned onto oral diet (initially 67%) and 6% of patients died during their stay (initially 17%).

Conclusion A relatively simple intervention, involving no additional cost, vastly improved the delivery of PN in our hospital. Mandatory information prior to starting helped to focus the thoughts of the requesting clinicians to decide on the appropriateness of using PN. This simple win-win strategy can be easily adopted in other hospitals to improve delivery of PN.

Disclosure of Interest None Declared.

PWE-176 TRENDS IN GASTROSTOMY INSERTION OVER A 10 YEAR PERIOD. ARE THE INDICATIONS CHANGING?

doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2013-304907.464

¹C S MacLeod, ¹R McKay, ¹D Barber, ¹A W McKinlay, ¹J S Leeds on behalf of Aberdeen PEG research group. ¹Gastroenterology, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Aberdeen, UK

Introduction Gastrostomy insertion is a well accepted technique for enteral feeding however the most robust evidence is for patients with dysphagic stroke or head and neck cancer. Other indications for gastrostomy insertion have developed but the proportion of patients referred for each indication is not well documented. Our aim was to examine referral patterns for feeding tube insertion in our unit over the last ten years with reference to indication for tube insertion and type of tube inserted.

Methods A retrospective review of all gastrostomy insertion was performed over the period May 2001 to December 2011 using the gastrostomy database. Data collected included patient demographics, indication for tube insertion and type of tube inserted (PEG, PEJ, PEG-J or gastrostomy). Indication was divided into 5 main categories; stroke with dysphagia, head and neck cancer, neurological, dementia and other. Assessment of total number of each type of tube inserted per year and, for PEG alone, the total number of insertions per indication per year.

Results During the study period 1387 tube insertions were carried out (1289 PEG, 41 PEJ, 32 PEG-J and 25 gastrostomy). Over the ten year period there was a significant increase in the number of all types of tubes inserted ($p < 0.001$) with 65 PEG's alone inserted in 2001 rising to 129 PEG's, 7 PEJ, 4 PEG-J and 11 gastrostomy's in 2011. In 2001 the proportion of patients having a PEG inserted by

indication was stroke with dysphagia 26.2%, head and neck cancer 6.2%, neurological 35.4%, dementia 1.5% and other 10.8% (indication was not recorded in 20%). In 2011 the proportion of patients having a PEG inserted by indication was significantly different with stroke with dysphagia (22.5%), head and neck cancer (12.4%), neurological (37.2%), dementia (0.0%) and other 25.6% (indication was not recorded in 2.3%). The main differences were an increase in insertions in those with head and neck cancer and other indications.

Conclusion In our centre, there has been a significant increase in the number and type of feeding tubes inserted over a decade. The proportion of patients having a PEG for the traditional indications such as stroke account for around one third of all insertions. PEG insertions for the miscellaneous indications have significantly increased suggesting that longer term nutritional support is being offered for a much broader range of conditions.

Disclosure of Interest None Declared.

PWE-177 AN AUDIT TO EVALUATE THE SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF PERCUTANEOUS ENDOSCOPIC GASTROSTOMY (PEG) PLACEMENT IN PATIENTS WITH LEARNING DISABILITIES

doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2013-304907.465

¹C Black, ¹I Shaw, ¹L Ayres. ¹Gastroenterology, Gloucestershire Royal Hospital, Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Gloucester, UK

Introduction Guidelines exist to aid clinicians in selecting patients likely to benefit from percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) placement. Patients with learning disabilities (LD) are distinct from those with dementia in whom PEG placement is not usually recommended¹. We report our experiences of PEG placement in patients with learning disabilities and our use of a specially designed pathway which emphasises multi-disciplinary team (MDT) input.

Methods To describe the efficacy and safety of PEG insertion in patients with LD, we retrospectively analysed a cohort of 42 patients with severe LD who required nutritional support. We reviewed their medical case notes and their dietetic notes.

Results Forty two patients underwent 117 procedures: 38 index PEG insertions, 43 PEG replacements, 35 percutaneous endoscopic gastrojejunostomy (PEGJ) replacements and 1 PEG removal (6 procedures (5.1%) were unsuccessful). Best interest meeting or MDT assessment took place in all patients. General anaesthetic was required for 34 (29%) procedures. Mean weight pre-PEG was 39.8 Kg and mean weight after a median follow-up of 21.5 months was 46.1 Kg (mean overall weight gain = 2.3 kg). 30 day mortality was zero. Complications were common (74%) and included perforation $n = 1$, aspiration pneumonia $n = 13$, tube displacement $n = 10$, PEG site infection $n = 4$. In 2 cases of unsuccessful PEG insertion surgical feeding gastrostomy was required. On-going vomiting occurred in 6 patients (14.3%) prompting conversion to PEG-J in 5 cases.

Conclusion We found that PEG placement in LD patients was a positive intervention for the majority. Although there were frequent late complications such as aspiration pneumonia and PEG displacement, these reflect the complex underlying medical and social needs of the patients concerned. Overall, PEG placement appears to be safe and results in a modest weight gain; PEG-J should be considered for those with on-going vomiting. The use of a pathway for PEG placement ensures MDT input, appropriate patient selection, better pre-procedure planning e.g. use of general anaesthesia, and appears to promote good patient outcomes.

Disclosure of Interest None Declared.

REFERENCE

- Westaby, D. *et al.* The provision of a percutaneously placed enteral tube feeding service. *Gut* 2010; 59: 1592–1605.