ileo-caecal resection were excluded. Demographic data, TI pathology (endoscopic and histopathologic) and indications for colonoscopy were analysed.

Results 8016 colonoscopies were performed with a caecal intubation rate of 90.3%. The endoscopists were of different grades including gastroenterologists, colorectal surgeons and a nurse endoscopist. 206 with ileo-caecal resection were excluded. Mean age was 61 with a female preponderance at 52.6%. The TI was intubated in 1845 (23.5%). Endoscopic TI pathology was identified in 42 patients (2.3%). Histology was available for 31, of which 23 (1.3%) had confirmed histological abnormalities. Diagnoses on ileoscopy included one adenocarcinoma, one carcinoid tumour, one metastatic malignant melanoma and 20 with terminal ileitis, of which, 6 had histological Crohn's disease. The most common indications in those with TI pathology were diarrhoea (15), abdominal pain (8) and rectal bleeding (8).

Conclusion Although the overall diagnostic yield was low, TI intubation identified significant pathologies requiring further action, including three malignancies. Ileoscopy at colonoscopy is a simple manoeuvre, which, apart from quality assurance can identify important pathology. The most common indication in those with confirmed TI pathology was diarrhoea, therefore ileoscopy may have added diagnostic value in this context.

Disclosure of Interest None Declared.

PWE-063 COLONOSCOPY IN THE OVER NINETIES: HOW USEFUL AND SAFE IS IT?

SK Butt*, A Chitembwe, K Besherdas. *Gastroenterology, Chase Farm Hospital, London, UK*

10.1136/gutjnl-2014-307263.323

Introduction Colonoscopy is accepted as the gold standard imaging modality of the colon for investigation of colonic symptoms including altered bowel habit, anaemia, rectal bleeding and for the detection of colorectal cancer. The incidence of pathological findings within the colon in particular colorectal cancer increases with age, and the detection of these cancers comprise a major role of colonoscopy in the elderly population. However, colonoscopy in the elderly may be more difficult, as elderly patients are more likely than younger patients to have poor bowel preparations. Furthermore, complications of colonoscopy, in particular cardiovascular, maybe higher in this age group. To date no data exist in colonoscopies performed in those over 90 years of age.

Methods The aim of the study was to assess the diagnostic yield and safety of colonoscopy in the very elderly patients over 90 years of age. A single centre, retrospective analysis of patients over 90 years of age in a district general hospital from north London was performed. The patients were identified using the Unisoft Endoscopy reporting software over a period between June 2006 to March 2013. Data obtained during the study period was scrutinised for indication, sedation administered, quality of bowel prep, findings of procedure, and complications.

Results During the study period, 66 colonoscopies were performed out of a total 666 endoscopic procedures in patients over 90. The indications for colonoscopy included : abnormal CT scan 5/66, altered bowel habit in 17/66, anaemia 24/66, rectal bleeding 15/66, colonic obstruction 3/66, previous polyp/ colon cancer 4/66, abdominal mass 1/66. 18/66 patients had poor bowel prep. Findings included normal in 18/66, colo-rectal cancer in 13/66 patients, colonic polyps in 5/66, ischaemic colitis

1/66, angiodysplasia 2/66, diverticulosis in 31/66. Sedation administered varied from none in 4/66, to midazolam 5 mg + pethidine 50 mg in 2/66. There were no complications from the colonoscopy in 66 patients within the study.

Conclusion The data from this study demonstrates that colonoscopies can be performed safely in patients over 90 years of age with no increase in procedure related mortality. Despite poor bowel preparation encountered in 28%, the diagnostic yield of pathology in patients over 90 having colonoscopy was very high with an abnormality in 48/66 (72% in this study). Colonoscopy in the very elderly is very safe and worthwhile and clinicians should not be reluctant in referring those over 90 years of age for colonoscopic examination.

Disclosure of Interest None Declared.

PWE-064 MANAGEMENT OF LARGE COLONIC POLYPS IN THE SEVERN DEANERY HOSPITALS: AN AUDIT OF CURRENT PRACTICE

¹SW Dixon*, ¹T Valliani, ²F Fayyaz, ³D Tate, ⁴E Arthurs, ⁴L Lim, ⁴A Dhandha, ¹M Lockett. ¹Gastroenterology, North Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol, UK; ²Gastroenterology, Royal United Hospitals, Bath, Bath, UK; ³Gastroenterology, Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Trust, Gloucester, UK; ⁴Gastroenterology, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol, UK

10.1136/gutjnl-2014-307263.324

Introduction Large (>2 cm) colonic polyps present a challenge to the colonoscopist. The British Colorectal Cancer Screening Programme (BCSP) recently drafted guidelines to standardise their management. We compared our current practice with the proposed guidelines.

Methods This was a retrospective audit in four South-West hospitals with comparison between screening and non-screening patients. Patients were identified using clinical coding. Case notes were reviewed. Polyps were scored using SMSA system to standardise difficulty of endoscopic resection. Data was compared against 9 auditable outcomes.

Results 104 cases were identified (24 BCSP, 80 symptomatic). There was no significant difference in mean size (2.9 cm BCSP, 2.7 cm symptomatic, $p = 0.14$) or mean SMSA grading (2.8 BCSP, 2.9 symptomatic, $p = 0.46$). 6 polyps were malignant (1 BCSP; 5 symptomatic); all had position marked by tattoo. 1 malignant polyp was resected endoscopically in the symptomatic group. Mean time to definitive resection was 34 and 30 days (BCSP and symptomatic respectively). Recurrence of adenoma at EMR site was low at 3 months (0/22 BCSP, 1/37 symptomatic) and 12 months (0/22 BCSP, 2/37 symptomatic). However fewer EMR sites were checked if the index endoscopy was performed by a non-BCS colonoscopist (10/13 vs. 8/24). Complication rates were low: 1 haemorrhage requiring admission (symptomatic group); 0 perforations. 17 benign polyps were referred for surgery (3 BCSP, 14 symptomatic; $p = 0.49$). In both groups the mode SMSA score was 4. Benign polyps were referred directly for surgery; only those polyps with malignant histology were discussed at a formal multi-disciplinary meeting. There were no deaths or significant morbidity associated with surgery for benign polyps.

Conclusion Overall management was comparable to draft guidelines. The frequency of large polyps outside BCSP indicates that non-BCS endoscopists will gain experience with these lesions. Approximately 17% of benign polyps were referred for surgery, similar to other series. However, none had been discussed with local EMR experts. Consequently a large polyp referral pathway has been established at two centres within the region.