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The gastric insulin test: is it reliable?

It is unfortunate that many workers are still using the original technique and criteria of the so-called 'Hollander test' in assessing postoperative gastric acid secretion of cephalic origin. Such uncritical acceptance of his test has persisted in spite of the fact that Hollander's group published a report\(^1\) disclaiming its clinical reliability.

Reference is made to a report in *Gut* by Spencer et al\(^2\) on 'Differences between males and females in the Hollander insulin test' which suggests that Hollander criteria be modified for use in female patients.

Since preoperative gastric acid values are generally conceded to be lower in female than in male duodenal ulcer patients, it is not unexpected that this pattern would be carried over to postvagotomy patients, assuming that surgeons would have approximately the same incidence of incomplete vagotomy in each sex group. I am not aware that any surgeons have raised an issue of technical difficulty to perform vagotomy on a sex basis.

Since the authors quote this writer on his insulin test criteria, it would have been interesting to score the same patients by these criteria also. (For the benefit of *Gut* readers our criteria are given in Table I.) It is my prediction the sex differences would not be significant.

In our vagotomy experience since 1947, it took about 10 years of clinical application to realize the marked limitations of the Hollander test and gradually to modify it and refine it by continuous clinical correlation.

The insulin test\(^3\) which we now perform has proved to be very reliable, assuming good technique. One important point is the matter of insulin dosage. It is noted that the authors used either 20 units or a 0.25 units/kg dosage. This is a needlessly high dosage, not only because it is hazardous but also because in our experience some post-vagotomy patients may show more acid inhibition with higher dosages. We have found 10 units adequate, but we measure out 11 units in order to compensate for any loss in transfer from vial to vein.

Similar criteria obtain for the tolbutamide test\(^4\) which has proved useful in the aged and patients with serious disease contraindicating the use of insulin. The only additional precaution for interpretation is that on rare occasion a borderline positive tolbutamide test may be associated with recurrent peptic ulcer disease. In the opinions of this writer a truly complete vagotomy induces a state of basal anacidity with no response to insulin or tolbutamide for a duration of four hours. Whether this happens after total antrectomy without vagotomy is still open to question, but our clinical experience seems to indicate this.

**Stephen J. Stempfen, M.D.**
**Gastroenterology Section, Veterans Administration Hospital, Long Beach, California 90801**
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**Dr Spencer and his colleagues reply**

We are grateful for Dr Stempfen's comments on the insulin test but disagree with him on several issues. It is certainly not surprising that sex differences in the secretory response to insulin should be retained after vagotomy but we believe that this has so far remained unreported. The purpose of our recent paper\(^2\) was to show that such differences do exist and to discuss their relevance to the interpretation of the Hollander test.

We are aware that Weinstein et al (1950)\(^2\) doubted the prognostic value of the Hollander test after vagotomy. There is, however, good correlation between the results of this test and the reduction in the augmented histamine response produced by vagotomy (Ross and Kay, 1964)\(^2\); more recent reports show good correlation with clinical response, recurrent ulceration being rare in subjects with a negative Hollander test (Johnston et al, 1967)\(^2\). The test is used clinically to determine which patients are at greatest risk from the danger of recurrent ulceration. The vast literature on this subject suggests that Dr Stempfen is wrong in claiming that there is uncritical acceptance of the insulin test.

We are not aware of any published studies in man which would indicate the optimal dose of insulin to promote maximal secretion. Preliminary studies in our own laboratory (Baron, 1969)\(^2\) suggest that maximal
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**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Basal (HCl m-equiv/hr)</th>
<th>Postinsulin (HCl m-equiv/hr any hr)</th>
<th>Postinsulin pH Change</th>
<th>Clinical Rating of Vagotomy or Antrectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive responses</td>
<td>Any value</td>
<td>Rise above 0.25</td>
<td>Below 2.0</td>
<td>Incomplete, inadequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 0.25</td>
<td>Any rise</td>
<td>Below 2.0</td>
<td>Incomplete, inadequate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline positive</td>
<td>Below 0.25</td>
<td>Rise of 0-0.25</td>
<td>Below 2.5</td>
<td>Borderline incomplete, probably adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False-negative</td>
<td>Above 0.05</td>
<td>Below basal</td>
<td>Above basal</td>
<td>Equivocal, inadequate if basal HCl above 0.25 m-equiv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative responses</td>
<td>Below 0.05</td>
<td>Below 0.05</td>
<td>Above 2.5</td>
<td>Borderline complete, adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 0.001</td>
<td>Below 0.001</td>
<td>Above 3.5</td>
<td>Complete, adequate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
secretion occurs with doses in the range 0.1 to 0.3 units/kg; larger or smaller doses promote lesser degrees of secretion. We plan to publish a definitive report on this subject; meanwhile, we believe that a dose based on body weight is more logical than the 'standard dose' employed by Dr Stempn.

We have now interpreted the results of our postoperative tests by Stempn's criteria; the ratio between positive responses in men and women was 1.3:1 and the difference between the incidence in the two sexes was statistically significant (p < 0.01).

J. SPENCER
G. P. BURNS
F. C. Y. CHENG
A. G. COX
R. B. WELBOURN
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Notes and activities

BOOKS OF INTEREST TO READERS OF Gut.

A Clinical Guide to Intravenous Nutrition Both short-term and prolonged parenteral feeding is commonly needed in relation to gastrointestinal diseases. This monograph by P. C. Allen and H. A. Lee brings together present-day knowledge of the biochemical aspects of intravenous feeding with carbohydrate, alcohol, fats, and amino acids. There is a section on its use in surgery by Professor Harold Ellis and in paediatrics by C. J. Hadfield. Registrars will find this an invaluable practical manual in guiding prolonged intravenous therapy. Published by Blackwell Scientific Publications. Price 45s net.

Physiology of the Gastrointestinal Tract This book, by E. Clinton Texter Jr, Ching-Chung Chou, Higino C. Laureta, and Gaston R. Vantrappen, brings together our present knowledge of alimentary physiology in 245 pages written for clinicians who wish to bring themselves more up to date with rapidly advancing knowledge of the splanchnic circulation, motor mechanisms, secretion and digestion, and absorption. It is right up to date with significant recent work and provides a succinct, readable account for those who are busily engaged in clinical gastroenterology. Published by Henry Kimpton, London. Price 97s.

A Guide to Rapid Diagnosis: Abdominal Pain By Lars-Erik Gelin, Lloyd M. Nyhus, and Robert E. Condon. This 118-page book brings together all the ways to pinpoint rapidly the cause of abdominal pain. It is essentially a very detailed clinical analysis of the significance of different patterns of pain as well as a guide to differential diagnosis. It is full of interest and practical tips for physicians and surgeons and a valuable guide for younger doctors. Published by J. B. Lippincott Company, Philadelphia, USA, and Toronto.

INTERNATIONAL MEETINGS

10th ARGENTINE CONGRESS OF GASTROENTEROLOGY This will be held at the 'Hotel Provincial', Mar Del Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina, from 30 November to 5 December 1969. Among gastroenterologists invited to the meeting is Professor Andrew Kay, a member of the Committee of Gut. Anyone who requires further information should write to Sr. Juan A. Castelli, Secretaria General, Av. Santa Fe 1171, 41-1633 Buenos Aires.

THE 16th INTERNATIONAL SURGICAL CONGRESS OF CZECHOSLOVAKIA will be held in Prague from 8 to 1 September 1970.