Failure of Trasylol to reduce intestinal content of trypsin and chymotrypsin in man
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SUMMARY The daily output of trypsin and chymotrypsin was measured in the stools of four patients with an established ileostomy under controlled dietary and metabolic conditions for a control period of four days. Trasylol, given intravenously in a dose of 500,000 units over eight hours, failed to affect the output of enzymes over the next two days, or to alter the distribution of bound and soluble enzymes.

About 10 years ago, a polypeptide obtained from beef parotid glands (‘Trasylol’, FBA Pharmaceuticals Ltd), was introduced for the treatment of acute pancreatitis. Its use was based upon its ability to inhibit pancreatic proteolytic enzymes and kinins said to be released during the course of the disease. Although some recent reports indicate that the drug may be of benefit (Hansson and Lenninger, 1967; Kneisel, 1968; Nodine and Greberman, 1968) these trials do not conform to double-blind criteria. Those that do are almost uniform in concluding that Trasylol is without proven effect in the treatment or prophylaxis of acute pancreatitis (Nardi, 1963; Skyring, Singer, and Tornya, 1965; Trapnell, Talbot, and Capper, 1967; Baden, Jordal, Lund, and Zachariae, 1967; Skinner, Corson, and Nardi, 1968; Howat, 1969). Bachrach and Schild (1968) found the drug statistically superior to a placebo but doubted its practical value. Although several reports indicate that the drug protects animals during experimental pancreatitis (McCutcheon and Race, 1963; Nemir, Hoferichter, and Drabkin, 1963; Kune, 1969), others have obtained disappointing results (Keys, 1967; Los Reis, 1967; Gabrylewicz, Niewiarowski, Prokopowicz, and Chlebowski, 1969).

The anti-trypsin effect of Trasylol has never been demonstrated in patients during acute pancreatitis, since raised levels of trypsin in the circulating body fluids do not often occur in untreated cases (Grosier, Rauch, Floch, and Bobruff, 1966) and normal serum contains anti-trypsin activity ranging from 0.5 mg to 3 mg/ml (Bundy and Mehl, 1958; Siegelman, Carlson, and Robertson, 1962; Kallos, Kahn, and Rizok, 1964). Two reports suggested to us an experimental model suitable for testing the anti-enzyme properties of Trasylol. Schultis and Rick (1964) described a reduction in the pancreatic enzyme response to secretin and pancreozymin in human subjects given Trasylol intravenously, and it has recently been stated that Trasylol raises the trypsin-inhibitor concentration of pancreatic juice (Morgan, Robinson, and White, 1968). We had developed techniques for quantitating the 24-hour output of pancreatic enzymes in human patients on ileal drainage (Roy, Campbell, and Goldberg, 1967) and it seemed appropriate to determine whether this output could be reduced by the administration of Trasylol.

Materials and Methods

Two patients with ulcerative colitis and two with polyps on ileal drainage (Roy, Campbell, and Goldberg, 1967) each had a well functioning ileostomy.
established for more than one year. The patients were put on a rigid diet containing a fixed daily amount of protein, fat, and carbohydrate. The ileostomy bags were emptied at least every six hours and the contents placed on ice until the 24-hour collection was complete. Four consecutive daily collections were made after which Trasylol in a dose of 500,000 units was administered intravenously over an eight-hour period in 2 pints of 0.15 M NaCl. Collections of ileal fluid were continued for a further two days after Trasylol was administered. Homogenates and supernatants were prepared from ileal fluid, and estimations made of their trypsin and chymotrypsin content as previously described (Roy et al, 1967).

Results

The output of trypsin and chymotrypsin in individual patients during the four-day control period was relatively constant for each patient although variations between patients were quite large. The highest daily output of an enzyme did not exceed the lowest by more than 35% in any one patient. The Figure is representative of the results obtained. The average daily output during the control period was calculated for each patient and compared with the average daily output during the 48-hour test period. Composite mean values for the group were calculated during each period (Table). No change in the output of trypsin or chymotrypsin was seen after Trasylol. It should be noted that only 63% of trypsin and 49% of chymotrypsin was present in the soluble supernatant in the pre-therapy samples, the remainder being associated with insoluble debris present in the homogenate. Trasylol did not affect this distribution.

Discussion

We were unable to demonstrate any change in the output of pancreatic enzymes from the terminal ileum of patients given Trasylol in a large dosage. We have given elsewhere our reasons for regarding this output as closely related to that of the pancreas (Roy et al, 1967; Goldberg, Campbell, and Roy, 1969) and consider the present findings indicative of a failure by Trasylol to diminish endogenous pancreatic enzyme secretion, in contrast to other workers who found a reduction in the output of enzymes when the gland was stimulated by exogenous pancreatic stimulants (Schultis and Rick, 1964). These results also throw doubt on the biological efficacy of the increased trypsin inhibitors in the pancreatic juice of patients given Trasylol (Morgan et al, 1968). Other trypsin inhibitors are ineffective when the enzyme is protected by serum $\alpha_2$ macroglobulin (Haverback, Dyce, Bundy, Wirtschafter, and Edmondson, 1962; Bieth, Metais, and Warter, 1968). The presence of protective agents for trypsin in body fluids generally as well as in the pancreas, together with its secretion as a precursor which may be immune to the action of inhibitors, may partly explain why anti-trypsin therapy in acute pancreatitis has not yet yielded the desired results. It is worth reiterating the statement by Haverback et al (1962) that such therapy is likely to be effective only if inhibition of bound trypsin occurs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before Therapy</th>
<th></th>
<th>After Therapy</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Homogenate$^*$</td>
<td>Supernatant$^*$</td>
<td>Homogenate$^*$</td>
<td>Supernatant$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>179 ± 40</td>
<td>113 ± 20</td>
<td>184 ± 43</td>
<td>117 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chymotrypsin</td>
<td>264 ± 11</td>
<td>129 ± 20</td>
<td>256 ± 25</td>
<td>120 ± 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Effect of Trasylol on output of trypsin and chymotrypsin

1 Output (mg/day) for four patients is based on a single average daily reading for each patient during each period.

2 Mean ± SE.
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