Effect of intravenous diazepam on human lower oesophageal sphincter pressure under controlled double blind crossover conditions
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SUMMARY The effect of diazepam on the lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) pressure is controversial. Therefore, a double-blind crossover study was performed on 18 healthy volunteers to determine the sphincter response to intravenous diazepam—70, 140, 280 µg/kg, which correspond to a total dose of 5, 10, and 20 mg, respectively. After the 5 and 10 mg dose no significant effect on LOS pressure could be observed when compared with placebo. After the 20 mg dose a significant rise in pressures (ΔPLOS) was recorded for 40 minutes with a maximum ΔPLOS of +16.2 ± 6.6 (mean ± SEM) mmHg after 50 minutes (p<0.01) (46 ± 1.3% increase above the basal pressure). It is concluded that diazepam does not affect lower oesophageal sphincter competence and therefore does not increase the risk of regurgitation and pulmonary aspiration in premedicated patients.

The high pressure zone between stomach and oesophagus, the functionally defined lower oesophageal sphincter, is a major determinant in the prevention of gastro-oesophageal reflux (Ingram et al., 1959; Pope, 1967; Winans and Harris, 1967; Cohen and Harris, 1970; Haddad, 1970; Cohen and Harris, 1971; Boesby, 1977; Fisher et al., 1977). Therefore, the effect of drugs which lower the sphincter pressure are of great clinical interest because of the increased risk of gastro-oesophageal reflux. Hall et al. (1975) reported significant reductions in lower oesophageal sphincter pressures and an increased rate of gastro-oesophageal reflux in man after intravenous administration of 2.5 to 10 mg diazepam. They concluded that an increased risk of regurgitation and pulmonary aspiration should be taken into account after the administration of diazepam for premedication or postoperative sedation, especially in patients known to experience gastro-oesophageal reflux. As the results of this uncontrolled study by Hall et al. did not agree with the observations of other authors (Siewert and Jennewein, personal communication) and our results based upon a pilot study, the present study was initiated to determine the effect of various doses of diazepam on lower oesophageal sphincter pressure in man in a controlled double-blind crossover study.

Methods

The original goal of the present study was to evaluate the effect of 70 and 140 µg/kg diazepam intravenously on lower oesophageal sphincter pressure in 12 subjects, a dose range corresponding to that administered by Hall et al. (1975). But, as no significant changes in lower oesophageal sphincter pressures could be observed after these doses in a 50 minute period (group I), the study was extended to six further subjects (group II) to investigate the effect of a higher dose (280 µg/kg) for a longer period of time (120 minutes). A dose of 140 µg/kg was given as a reference dosage.

Subjects

Eighteen healthy volunteers were studied in two groups. Group I consisted of 12 subjects, four of whom were females and eight males with a mean age of 23 years (range of 20 to 25 years). Group II consisted of six subjects (three females and three males) with a mean age of 24 years (range of 23 to 26
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INTRALUMINAL PRESSURE MEASUREMENT

Oesophageal manometry was performed with the intraluminal transducer system (Forster-Enteromat M 5000) which has been described previously (Forster et al., 1976, 1977; Weihrauch and Förster, 1977). For this newly developed microtransducer (9 mm in length and 5·8 mm in diameter) inductance was used for the transformation of intraluminal pressures into electrical signals. The transducer is mounted at the tip of a probe which is passed into the stomach via the mouth. Pressures were recorded on a Metrapid pen recorder. The rapid pull-through technique was applied. The lower oesophageal sphincter was identified by its characteristic pressure profile and by its relaxation on swallowing. Basal values were recorded for 15 minutes before diazepam and placebo, respectively, were administered. Pull-throughs were performed in mid-respiratory position. Sphincter pressures were recorded in mmHg and expressed as the mean value obtained by five consecutive pull-throughs from the zone of maximal sphincter pressures. Atmospheric pressure was used as zero reference.

PROCEDURE

All studies were performed in the morning after an overnight fast with the subject in the supine position. Each volunteer was studied on three separate days with 48 hour intervals. After a rest period of 10 minutes five consecutive pull-throughs were performed at 10 minute intervals. Additionally, in group II one pull-through was performed every minute during the first eight minutes to determine a possible immediate sphincter response to diazepam. Subjects of group I received 70 and 140 μg/kg diazepam and a placebo (normal saline), subjects of group II 140 and 280 μg/kg diazepam and placebo at random. The injections were administered over a period of three minutes by a perfusion pump (Unita I, Braun Melsungen, Comp.). Blood pressures and pulse rates were monitored continuously.

INTERPRETATION OF DATA

All tracings were coded and read blindly after the studies of group I and group II had been completed. Data were expressed as means ± SEM. Student’s t test for paired data was used to test the significance between the means of LOS pressure changes (ΔP₉₀) in relation to the basal LOS pressure.

Results

The basal and post-injection sphincter pressures of group I are shown in Fig. 1 and those of group II in Fig. 2. With the doses of 70 and 140 μg/kg (which correspond to a total dose of 5 and 10 mg) no significant change in lower oesophageal sphincter pressure (ΔP₉₀) could be observed in the 12 subjects of group I during the 50 minute observation period, compared with placebo. In group II ΔP₉₀ were also not significant after the application of 140 μg/kg. In contrast to that, the sphincter responses to 280 μg/kg (which corresponds to a total dose of 20 mg) were significant in the time period from 40 to 80 minutes (p<0·005 and p<0·025, respectively), with a maximum ΔP₉₀ of +16·2 ± 6·6 mmHg (46 ± 1·3% increase above the basal pressure) after 50 minutes (p<0·01). ΔP₉₀ after placebo were statistically not significant. After 120 minutes the pressures had returned to the range of the placebo values. Pressure recordings in group II during the first eight minutes showed no significant differences of ΔP₉₀ between the two dosages and the placebo. No significant changes of intragastric pressure were observed. In no case was a sustained reduction in sphincter pressures observed after the three different diazepam dosages. No significant changes in blood pressures and pulse rates were noted.

Discussion

Diazepam is one of the most commonly used sedatives. Its property of reducing anxiety and producing a certain degree of amnesia (Goodman and Gilman, 1970) has led to the use of intravenous diazepam for premedication before endoscopic procedures and for sedation before and after surgery. Since gastro-oesophageal reflux and pulmonary aspiration may be complications in these procedures, the effect of premedication drugs on the lower oesophageal sphincter, a major determinant of gastro-oesophageal reflux, has increasingly been taken into consideration (Bettarello et al., 1960; Lind et al., 1967; Skinner and Camp, 1968; Pedersen et al., 1971; Hall et al., 1975; Sehhati et al., 1976). According to these studies atropine, morphine sulphate, pethidine hydrochloride, and promethazine reduce and triflupromazine hydrochloride increases lower oesophageal sphincter pressure. The first report on the effect of diazepam on the gastro-oesophageal junction was published by Hall et al. (1975) who observed a reduction in sphincter pressures after the administration of 2·5 to 10 mg diazepam intravenously in an uncontrolled study. These results are in contrast to the results of the present study. As the authors do not indicate when the single post-injection pressures which had been compared with the basal values were read, it is not possible further to evaluate the contra-
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Fig. 1  Effect of 70 and 140 µg/kg diazepam i.v. on the lower oesophageal sphincter pressure in 12 healthy volunteers (group I). Diazepam and placebo were administered to all subjects at random and crossover. None of the differences were significant. Values are given as means ± SEM.

Fig. 2  Effect of 140 and 280 µg/kg diazepam i.v. on lower oesophageal sphincter pressure in six healthy volunteers (group II). The increase in sphincter pressure (ΔP₁₀₀) following the injection of 280 µg/kg was statistically significant after 40 to 80 min, whereas the pressure changes after 140 µg/kg and after placebo were not significant. Values are given as means ± SEM.
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In their observations and our results. As in our study the dose range applied by Hall et al. (1975) (approximately 5 and 10 mg diazepam in a subject weighing 70 kg) did not lower the sphincter pressure but rather increased it, our investigation was extended. In order to assess a possible immediate effect developing within the first few minutes or later than 50 minutes after the injection, which would have been missed in the first study (group I), studies in group II were carried out taking these factors into account. However, this second study also failed to show a decrease in sphincter pressure.

No explanation for this effect of diazepam on the smooth muscle of the distal oesophagus can be given at the present time, as the mode of action of benzodiazepines is unknown.

As diazepam reduces gastric acid secretion (Birnbaum et al., 1971; Bennett et al., 1975; Roberts and Oldrey, 1975) a gastrin-mediated increase in sphincter pressure is unlikely. An α-adrenergic stimulation of the sphincter due to a reduction in blood pressure could be excluded by continuous monitoring of this parameter.

The results indicate that, in small doses, diazepam does not influence lower oesophageal sphincter pressures but pressures are increased when higher doses are used. It may, therefore, be concluded that diazepam has no negative effect on lower oesophageal sphincter competence and, for this reason, does not increase the risk of regurgitation and pulmonary aspiration when used for premedication or for postoperative sedation.
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