Combination chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil (5FU) and 1,3-bis(2-chloro-ethyl)-1-nitrosourea (BCNU) prolongs survival of rats with dimethylhydrazine-induced colon cancer
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SUMMARY The effects of combination chemotherapy with 5FU and BCNU on rats with dimethylhydrazine (DMH)-induced colon cancer were investigated in a long term survival study. Eighty Wistar rats received a colon cancer producing regimen on DMH (40 mg/kg body weight/week, subcutaneously for 10 weeks). After presenting with signs of colonic disease, all rats underwent diagnostic laparotomy and colonoscopy when colon tumours were located, measured and the extent of the disease staged. Only animals with tumours (n=63) were included and allocated to one of three tumour stages. Stage A (n=17), had colonic tumours without serosal involvement; stage B (n=28) had serosal involvement without metastases; stage C (n=18) had serosal involvement with lymphadenopathy and/or metastases. Each group was randomly allocated into two subgroups, one serving as untreated controls while the other received 5FU (300 mg/m² weekly intragastrically for life) together with BCNU (40 mg/m² intraperitoneally on days 0, 42 and 84). The effect of chemotherapy on tumour growth was measured sequentially by colonoscopy. Animals were observed until death and necropsied, when colon carcinoma was histologically confirmed and survival analysed. The results indicate that chemotherapy significantly prolongs the survival of rats with the least advanced disease (stage A) but was of no benefit to rats with locally advanced or metastatic disease (stages B and C). Furthermore, chemotherapy was associated with a significant reduction in tumour size. Survival analyses in untreated animals show that the laparotomy staging system adopted provides accurate prognostic information. This study shows that DMH-induced colon tumours are chemosensitive, and suggests that this animal model may be a valuable testing ground for new chemotherapeutic agents.

The overall prognosis for patients with colorectal cancer has remained unchanged for several decades, despite advances in diagnosis and treatment.1 As surgery is the established form of primary treatment, much research is now directed towards the development of adjuvant methods of treatment such as chemotherapy. Chemotherapeutic research to date, however, has largely involved clinical trials of empirical cytotoxic drug regimens on patients with advanced disease, and these studies have generally been unsuccessful.2 Such observations suggest that there is a need for more fundamental biological information on the effects of chemotherapy on colorectal carcinoma under controlled laboratory conditions. Progress would undoubtedly be facilitated by the availability of suitable animal models of colorectal carcinoma in which chemotherapeutic regimens could be developed and tested before their clinical application.

Two experimental approaches to the chemotherapy of colorectal cancer are currently available. The first utilises human colon tumour xenografts in
immunodeficient mice, while the second is a syngeneic system in which colon tumours induced in mice by a chemical carcinogen (1,2-dimethylhydrazine, DMH) are serially transplanted into murine hosts which then receive chemotherapy. In neither of these models are the tumours autochthonous to the host or even sited in the gastrointestinal tract, and the relevance of such systems to the human situation is debatable.

Sych et al have recently investigated the chemotherapeutic sensitivity of a number of autochthonous rodent primary colon cancer models induced by a variety of chemical carcinogens. Their report indicates that colon tumours induced in rats by DMH are the most susceptible to cytotoxic agents. As the DMH model is known to closely parallel the human disease in terms of disease presentation, gross, and microscopic pathology and immunobiology, it was anticipated that DMH-induced colon tumours in rats would respond to chemotherapeutic drugs used in man.

The most promising chemotherapeutic regimens for human colorectal cancer involve combinations of fluorinated pyrimidines and nitrosoureas. The current study was accordingly undertaken to evaluate the effects of 5-fluorouracil (5FU) and 1,3-bis (2-chloro-ethyl)-1-nitrosourea (BCNU) on the survival of rats with DMH-induced colon tumours and on the growth of the induced tumours.

Methods

MATERIALS

The experimental design is summarised in Figure 1.

ANIMALS AND CARCINOGEN TREATMENT

Eighty outbred female Wistar rats (purchased from A Tuck & Sons, Battlesbridge, Essex, UK) ranging in weight from 40–50 g, were weaned on to a standard laboratory pelleted diet (formula 41B, E Dixon & Sons, Ware, Herts, UK) and water, ad libitum and remained on this diet for the entire experiment.

After two weeks acclimatisation, all rats received a course of DMH dihydrochloride (Aldrich Chemical Company, Gillingham, Dorset, UK) at a dosage of 40 mg/kg body weight/rat/week subcutaneously for 10 weeks. DMH was prepared according to the method of Filipe. The animals were housed in temperature controlled quarters in subgroups of five in suspended cages which had open mesh wire floors designed to prevent coprophagia. They were weighed weekly and inspected daily for signs of illness or colonic disease (for example, rectal bleeding with positive faecal occult blood test, tumour prolapse per rectum, diarrhoea, ascites, or colonic obstruction with abdominal distension).

TUMOUR DIAGNOSIS AND STAGING

Animals with DMH-induced colon cancer characteristically develop multiple tumours and each tumour is at a different histological stage. As Peto has pointed out the heterogeneity of histological stages of malignancy within each animal makes it impossible to accurately classify the extent of the disease in each animal as a whole. Comparisons of total tumour numbers between whole animals, when each tumour within each animal is at a different histological stage, are thus of doubtful validity. Consequently, for the purpose of this experiment, animals were staged with reference to a single index tumour, defined as the largest macroscopically identifiable colon tumour.

By the 19th week, the majority of animals were exhibiting signs of colonic disease and all rats then had a staging laparotomy and diagnostic colonoscopy under nitrous oxide and halothane anaesthesia. At laparotomy the bowel was palpated (without opening), the location and size of colonic tumours recorded and the presence of serosal tethering noted. The abdominal cavity was examined for evidence of metastases (to lymph nodes, omentum, peritoneum, and liver). Only animals with visible and palpable colonic tumours (n=63) were included in the study.

Under the same anaesthetic, colonoscopy was performed using an Olympus fiberoptic paediatric bronchoscope. The bronchoscope carried, on its distal end, a wire loop with two cross wires of known dimensions. In each animal the index tumour was located and its exact distance from the anal verge measured. This was to ensure that the individual index tumour in each animal could be identified at subsequent colonoscopies and at necropsy. Each index tumour also had its two maximum perpendicular diameters measured using the wire loop. Tumour areas were calculated from these measurements using the formula for surface area of an ellipse (\(\pi a b\)).

The 63 animals with visible and palpable colon tumours were allocated to one of three tumour stages according to the macroscopic assessment of the largest and most advanced colonic tumour in each animal (the index tumour). Stage A rats (n=17) had index tumours with no visible signs of serosal involvement; stage B (n=28) index tumours showed serosal involvement with puckering and dimpling but no evidence of extracolonic metastases; stage C (n=18) had index tumours with serosal involvement together with regional lymphadenopathy and/or distant metastases (to omentum,
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peritoneum, or liver). Each group was then randomly allocated into two subgroups, one serving as untreated controls while the other received chemotherapy.

CHEMOTHERAPY

5-fluorouracil (5FU) is a pyrimidine antagonist which belongs to the antimetabolite group of tumour inhibiting compounds. Its efficacy against human colorectal cancer, given either singly or in combination with nitrosoureas is well documented and it has been shown to be active against DMH-induced transplanted mouse colon tumours and against primary DMH-induced rat colon tumours. 1,3-bis(2-chloro-ethyl)-l-nitrosourea (BCNU) is a nitrosourea compound which inhibits protein, DNA and RNA synthesis. It has been used, in combination with 5FU in man, but there are no previous reports of its use in DMH-treated rats. The therapeutic dosage and regimen of usage of these drugs were chosen on the basis of a series of toxicity studies carried out in our laboratory during pilot studies in tumour-free rats. The doses were given on the basis of a body weight/surface area nomogram according to the method of Freireich et al. 16FU, dissolved in saline, was given in doses of 300 mg/m² weekly, intragastrically for life. BCNU, dissolved in camphor and absolute ethanol according to the method of Zeller et al 17 was injected intraperitoneally on days 0, 42 and 84 in doses of 40 mg/m².

ASSESSMENT OF TUMOUR RESPONSE

The effect of chemotherapy on the index tumour in each rat was serially assessed at 30 day intervals by means of fibreoptic colonoscopy under nitrous oxide/halothane anaesthesia. At colonoscopy, the index tumour was relocated by its distance from the anal verge and measured as described before; sequential tumour area data were calculated from these measurements.

SUBSEQUENT OBSERVATION AND NECROPSY

The animals were weighed and inspected daily for signs of illness or colonic disease as described before. When moribund, animals were isolated to prevent cannibalisation and either died spontaneously or were painlessly killed when they...
fulfilled objective criteria. Complete necropsies and the selection of specimens for histological examination were carried out on every animal. Colon tumours were only classified as carcinoma if there was invasion across the muscularis mucosae, according to the criteria of Morson.

EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF DATA

The experiment was evaluated by a statistical comparison of overall survival (irrespective of cause of death) between treated and untreated animals with the same stage of disease, and by comparisons between stages, using the log rank method of Peto et al. Sequential measurements of tumour size and calculated tumour area data were compared between groups of treated and untreated animals with the same stage of disease, and between rats of different stages, using the Wilcoxon's rank sum test and the Wilcoxon's paired rank sum test.

Results

The data were analysed after the last rat had died, 240 days after the staging laparotomy and are summarised in Figure 2 and Table 1.

NECROPSY AND HISTOPATHOLOGY

At necropsy, the index tumour in every rat was relocated and histologically confirmed as carcinoma. Many of the tumours in the chemotherapy group were noted to contain varying degrees of necrosis, but the incidence of necrotic tumours was not significantly different when compared with untreated controls. There was no significant difference in the incidence of metastases at necropsy.

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages and treatment</th>
<th>Observed survival (O)</th>
<th>Expected survival (E)</th>
<th>Ratio O/E</th>
<th>(X^2)</th>
<th>Degrees of Freedom</th>
<th>Probability p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.06</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Treated</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>14.79</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Untreated</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13.78</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Treated</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Untreated</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Treated</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16.66</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Untreated</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Treated</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Untreated</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 1 Tail; Tr = treated; Un = untreated
in treated and untreated groups of animals with the same stage of disease.

**SURVIVAL**
Treated rats with stage A disease had a significantly improved survival (p<0.05) compared with untreated rats with the same stage disease. (See Fig. 2). There was no difference, however, in survival between treated and untreated rats in stages B and C. Furthermore, treated rats with stage A disease had a significantly improved survival compared with treated rats with stage B (p<0.01) and stage C (p<0.005) disease.

In order to test the prognostic accuracy of the staging laparotomy the survivals of all the untreated groups were compared. These analyses show that untreated rats with stage A disease survived significantly longer than untreated rats with stage B (p<0.05) which in turn survived significantly longer than untreated rats with stage C (p<0.025).

**TUMOUR RESPONSE**
Tumour response to chemotherapy is detailed in Table 2 and Figure 3, and shows that the median tumour area in stage A treated rats was significantly smaller than the tumour area in untreated rats with the same stage of disease at 30 and 60 days (p<0.01) and at necropsy (p<0.02). A similar trend was also seen in animals with stage B disease where median tumour areas in treated rats were significantly smaller than tumour areas in untreated rats at 30 days (p<0.01), at 60 days (p<0.02) and at necropsy (p<0.01). As the majority of stage C animals died before the first colonoscopic examination (see Table 1), sequential tumour assessment was not possible in this group.

**Discussion**
The salient finding to emerge from this study is that DMH-induced colon cancer in rats is responsive to chemotherapy with agents used clinically in man. The combination of 5FU and BCNU is successful in
prolonging the survival of rats with the least advanced disease (stage A), and, as in man, appears to have no beneficial effect on animals with locally advanced or metastatic disease. Furthermore, chemotherapy is associated with a significant reduction in the size of the primary tumours in treated animals with stages A and B. In a similar study a reduction in tumour volume was observed in 5FU and BCNU treated mice bearing human colon tumour xenografts derived from patients with Duke's A and B carcinomas. It is probable that the improved survival observed is directly attributable to the reduced growth of colon tumours in treated animals.

Two additional experimental observations are of interest. The first is that the use of fibreoptic colonoscopy as developed in this experiment provides an excellent method of diagnosing and sequentially measuring the effect of chemotherapy on the induced colon tumours. Although colonoscopy has been used for tumour diagnosis in an animal colon cancer model, the sequential assessment of the effect of chemotherapy on colon tumour growth in vivo has not hitherto been possible. Secondly, the laparotomy staging system adopted in this experiment, which is analogous to the Duke's staging system for human colorectal cancer provides accurate prognostic information. In other words, animals with less advanced disease (stage A) survive significantly longer than those with more advanced disease (stages B and C), irrespective of treatment. This is in agreement with the findings of Sych et al. and indicates that the survival of rats with colon cancer closely parallels that of humans with this disease in being dependent upon the extent of disease at the time of diagnosis.

The DMH model is theoretically superior to the human-mouse xenograft and the transplantable mouse models in that the induced colon tumours arise autochthonously in the colons of their hosts. The model only incompletely parallels the clinical situation, however, in that chemotherapy in the model is given to rats with primary colon tumours in situ, while patients generally receive chemotherapy after surgical resection of their primary disease. Nevertheless, the fact that clinically used drugs such as 5FU and BCNU inhibit the growth of DMH-induced colon tumours and prolong the survival of their rodent hosts suggests a parallel in tumour sensitivity. With further refinements, such as resection of the primary disease by subtotal colectomy so as to mimic the human situation more accurately, the DMH-induced rat colon cancer model may prove a valuable additional testing ground for the chemotherapy of human colorectal cancer.

This study was presented to the BSG Spring Meeting, Norwich, 24–26 March 1982.
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