Topical administration of 5-aminosalicylic acid enemas in patients with ulcerative colitis. Studies on rectal absorption and excretion
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SUMMARY 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) is a new treatment for patients suffering from ulcerative colitis but only limited information is available about its rectal absorption. We therefore studied seven patients with ulcerative colitis in remission, and five with active disease to determine acetylated and free 5-ASA plasma concentrations and urinary acetyl 5-ASA after the administration of three different types of enemas: (2 g 5-ASA/100 ml, 4 g/100 ml, and 200 ml). In patients in remission urinary acetyl 5-ASA excretion was dose and volume dependent (p<0.01; p<0.05) but this correlation was absent in active disease. Because aminosalicylates are usually eliminated through the kidney, these low values (10% in active disease and 19% in those in remission) suggest that the beneficial action may be local. Urinary recovery was significantly lower in patients with active disease (p<0.01; p<0.02). No accumulation of 5-ASA was found in plasma after repeated daily administration.

Topical use of steroid derivatives1-3 provides local treatment for patients suffering from mild or moderate4 ulcerative colitis. There are promising reports about prednisolone metasulphobenzoate and beclomethasone dipropionate which are poorly absorbed5 6 but a significant amount of prednisolone 21-phosphate is absorbed from the rectum when given as a retention enema, and it is still a matter of dispute whether its beneficial action is because of local, or systemic activity.7 8

More recently a new approach has been topical treatment with sulphasalazine9 10 or of its active constituent: 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA).11-13 Administration of 5-ASA as high dosage enema was more effective than the 100 mg hydrocortisone enemas in present use.14 Encouraging results have also been reported using other similar compounds such as sodium azosulphate15 salicylazobenzoic acid16 and, more recently 4-aminosalicylic acid.17 There is little doubt 5-ASA of clinical efficacy but information available about its rectal absorption when given as suppositories12 18 is limited. This study was performed to measure peak plasma levels and urinary excretion of 5-ASA after rectal administration, as enemas.

Methods

Patients
All the patients admitted to this investigation volunteered to take part in it after full explanation. Three different experiments were done.

Experiment 1
A single dose enema was administered to seven patients with ulcerative colitis in remission to determine the degree of absorption in relation to the volume and concentration. The patients were documented clinically, sigmoidoscopically, and histologically4 and were asked to retain an enema containing 4 g 5-ASA (Merck, Darmstadt, West Germany; product N 820095 96% pure) suspended in 100 ml water, for as long as possible. All patients retained the enema for up to 10 hours. Heparinised blood samples were taken immediately before administration, then every hour for at least eight hours consecutively and 24, 48 and 72 hours after administration of the enema. Two more blood
samples were obtained at the ninth and 10th hour in
five of the seven patients. Twenty four hour urine
collections were made during the 72 hours after
dosing. The study was repeated after a seven day
interval with 2 g 5-ASA suspended in 100 ml water
and a third experiment was done after a similar
interval with 4 g in 200 ml.

The enemas were prepared by the hospital
pharmacists as a stock solution with only minor
changes from published details. Before
administering the enema the active agent was
added. With 4 g 5-ASA the final pH of the
suspension was 5.5-5.8; with 2 g pH was 6.1-6.3.
The osmolality of the enema 5-ASA excluded was
19390 mosm/l. Using this method aimed to use
5-ASA, which is unstable as a fresh preparation
administered as a suspended powder and not
dissolved as an aqueous solution.

Blood samples were centrifuged and the plasma
stored at -20 C. Free 5-ASA and acetyl-5-ASA
were measured fluorimetrically as described by
HanSSon. The same procedure was used for urine
specimens. Some urine samples were also analysed
by HPLC in order to exclude quenching
phenomena, but as the results were similar only the
first method was used. Student's t test for paired and
unpaired data was used for statistical analyses.

EXPERIMENT 2
A single dose enema was given to patients with
active left sided disease to determine the effect of
active inflammation on the absorption of 5-ASA.
The design was similar to study 1. A few
modifications adopted were: the three treatments
were given in a predetermined random order with a
two-day interval between each study. The change in
the protocol was for ethical reasons and was possible
because 5-ASA was mainly eliminated within 24
hours. Five outpatients with mild to moderate
relapses volunteered to take part in this study.

EXPERIMENT 3
Measurements of 5-ASA plasma concentrations
were made during a prolonged period of treatment.
As 5-ASA is generally given to patients with active
disease for at least a 15 day or one month course 16
patients were asked to attend the outpatient clinic
in the middle and at the end of the treatment, in order
to verify whether daily enema administration might
lead to an accumulation of 5-ASA in the blood: two
had a proctitis, eight sigmoiditis, and in the other six
the disease extended to the splenic flexure. Blood
samples were collected in the afternoon – that is, at
about 18 hours after the retention enema. None of
the patients studied was taking oral sulphasalazine
as maintenance treatment.
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**Figure 1**. Plasma concentrations of total 5-ASA (mean ± SD)
in 7 patients with UC in remission (a); and in 5 patients with
active UC (b).

**Results**

EXPERIMENT 1
Figure 1a shows that plasma concentrations of total
5-ASA vary with time after enemas containing 2 and
4 g 5-ASA suspended in 100 ml. The peak levels,
which never exceed 7 µg/ml were reached within
three to six hours. After eight, nine and 10 hours, the
mean peak plasmatic values for 2 g in 100 ml were
significantly lower than for 4 g in 100 ml (p<0.01). After
24 hours only negligible levels (from 0 to 0.1
µg/ml were recorded after all types of enemas and
plasma 5-ASA was undetectable after 48 hours.

Most (>90%) of 5-ASA detected in the urine (all
in acetylated form) was excreted in the first 24
hours. The urinary values were dependent on the
concentration of the enema, being significantly
greater for 4 g than 2 g (p<0.01) and were
respectively 16% (range 13–21%) and 17% (range
10–26%) of the administered dose. When the
volume was doubled, the urinary excretion was
significantly increased (p<0.05) (Table 1).

EXPERIMENT 2
It can be seen in Figure 1b that in patients with
active disease the mean plasma concentrations were generally similar to those in experiment 1. The mean percentage of urinary recovery of acetyl-5-ASA (Table 2) was dose and volume dependent, but no statistical difference was detected between the three treatments. Comparing the results of these treatment groups with the corresponding groups of the first experiment, the mean urinary acetyl-5-ASA was lower when the disease was active being statistically significant for 4 g in 100 and 200 ml (p<0.01; p<0.02) (Fig 2).

EXPERIMENT 3
Practically no plasma accumulation of total 5-ASA was observed in 12 patients after 15 days treatment with 2 g or 4 g enemas. On day 16 the mean plasma value was 0.27 μg/ml (range 0-1.5) and on day 17 0.18 μg/ml (range 0-0.90). A similar result was also observed after one month’s treatment in the other four patients with 2 g enemas only; on day 31 we found 0.45 μg/ml (range 0.2-0.7); and on day 32 0.09 (range 0.01-0.2).

RATIO ACETYLATED/FREE 5-ASA%
Figure 3 shows the mean plasma values of total, acetyl, and free 5-ASA detected in patients treated respectively with 2 g 5-ASA in 100 ml or 4 g 100 ml. Most of the 5-ASA was in acetylated form; comparison of areas under the curves shows that in patients taking 2 g or 5-ASA, the acetyl 5-ASA was 76±12% (SD) of total 5-ASA; for 4 g enema the values were of 82±11% (SD).

Discussion
This study shows that after rectal administration 5-ASA is absorbed to a variable extent; mean values of total 5-ASA peak plasma concentrations are

Table 2 Urinary recovery of acetyl-5-ASA (mg) excreted in urine in the first 24h after dosing by patients with active disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Extent of disease</th>
<th>2g 100ml</th>
<th>(Time of retention h)</th>
<th>4g 100ml</th>
<th>(Time of retention h)</th>
<th>4g 200 ml</th>
<th>(Time of retention h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sigmoid</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>(11.00)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>(10.50)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>(11.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Leftsided</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>(10.15)</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>(10.30)</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>(10.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Leftsided</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>(10.20)</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>(10.40)</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>(11.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sigmoid</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>(11.10)</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>(11.00)</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>(10.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sigmoid</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>(10.15)</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>(10.25)</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>(10.40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean±SD 258±86-7 378±165-4 409±236-7

Percentage (range) 12 (6-18) 8 (3-14) 10 (2-17)
greater than those reported after oral sulphasalazine administration\textsuperscript{21, 22} and 5-ASA as a slow release preparation,\textsuperscript{25} but are lower than those reported after 4 g 4-ASA given orally for tuberculosis\textsuperscript{23} and negligible compared with the therapeutic range of salicylates.\textsuperscript{24} It has recently been reported that after
sodiumazidosalicylate (ADS) was administered rectally\textsuperscript{26} very low plasma values were obtained for total 5-ASA and for ADS.

In a previous report using 5-ASA 1-5 g as suppositories Fischer et al\textsuperscript{18} reported plasma concentrations of total 5-ASA which were considerably lower than those recorded here. The reason for this discrepancy might be the different methods of treatment. Fischer et al used suppositories which might have acted only locally, while enemas which spread to the splenic flexure (unpublished observation), allowed greater surface for absorption of the drug.

The negligible levels of total 5-ASA recorded after 24 hours are probably due to immediate evacuation by most patients immediately after the end of the experiment with a rapid fall of plasma curve (T\textsubscript{1/2} about one hour). In Figure 4 are described the plasmatic values obtained in two patients who did not retain the enemas for the whole experiment. This may also account for the lack of plasma accumulation after prolonged treatment of up to one month (Experiment 3) which represents a safe feature of this therapeutic approach.

The urinary recovery of acetyl-5-ASA in the 24 hour urine was 19\% (range 10-37\%) in patients with disease in remission, and 10\% (range 2-18\%) in patients with active diseases. Considering that aminosalicylates are almost completely excreted through the kidneys,\textsuperscript{23} these low values might suggest that 5-ASA exerts its therapeutic benefit mainly through a local action.

On increasing the concentration of enemas the urinary recovery is proportionally higher; this also occurs when the volume is doubled because the retrograde spread of 5-ASA enemas is volume-dependent (unpublished observations). The lower levels of urinary recovery found in patients with
active disease (4 g/100 ml and 200 ml) might only partially be explained by the period of retention of enemas; it is likely that the presence of blood and mucus, the severity of inflammatory process, and luminal pH might also have some determinant role.26 27

Another consideration is described in the data in Figure 3 where the mean values of total, acetyl, and free 5-ASA are reported. The first two values run in a parallel way independently of the concentration of the enemas. These similar percentages of acetylation in the different subjects for different dosages might suggest that the acetylation process does not take place in the liver as reported for sulphalazine; in fact its most absorbed metabolite, sulphapyridine, is acetylated in the liver according to a genetically determined phenotype.20 Therefore, the similar percentage of acetylation might support the idea, already suggested,30 that this process takes place in the colon.

5-ASA high dosage enemas, because of their clinical efficacy and mainly local therapeutic effects, can be considered a suitable form of topical treatment for ulcerative colitis. As aminosalicylates are mainly eliminated through the kidney it is essential that the renal function should be normal. Even if found normal, renal function tests should be done serially to exclude possible nephrotoxicity.31
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