

- 16 Hirayama T. A study of epidemiology of stomach cancer, with special reference to the effect of the diet factor. *Bull Inst Publ Health* 1963; **12**: 85–96.
- 17 Sato T, Fukuyama T, Suzuki T, *et al*. Studies of the causation of gastric cancer. 2. The relation between gastric cancer mortality rate and salted food intake in several places in Japan. *Bull Inst Publ Health* 1959; **8**: 187–98.
- 18 Whelton PK, Goldblatt P. An investigation of the relationship between stomach cancer and cerebrovascular disease. *Am J Epidemiol* 1982; **115**: 418–27.
- 19 Joossens JV. Dietary salt restriction – The case in favour. In: Robertson JIS, Pickering GW, Caldwell ADS, eds. *The therapeutics of hypertension*. Royal Society of Medicine Series No 26. London: Academic Press 1980: 243–50.
- 20 Joossens JV. Stroke, stomach cancer and salt. In: Kesteloot H, Joossens JV, eds. *Epidemiology of arterial blood pressure*. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 1980: 489–508.
- 21 Tuomilehto J, Geboers J, Joossens JV, Salonen JT, Tanskanen T. Trends in stomach cancer and stroke in Finland. Comparison to Northwest Europe and USA. *Stroke* 1984; **15**: 823–8.
- 22 Public Health Service, Guralnick L. *Mortality by occupation level and cause of death among men 20 to 64 years of age: United States, 1950*. US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. *Vital Statistics Special Reports*, vol 53, no. 5. Washington DC: US Government Printing Office, 1963.
- 23 The Registrar General. *The Registrar General's Decennial Supplement England and Wales 1961. Occupational Mortality Tables*. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1971.
- 24 Haenszel W, Kurihara M, Segi M, Lee RKC. Stomach cancer among Japanese in Hawaii. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1972; **49**: 969–88.
- 25 Howson CP, Hiyama T, Wynder EL. The decline in gastric cancer: epidemiology of an unplanned triumph. *Epidemiol Rev* 1986; **8**: 1–27.
- 26 Stemmermann G, Haenszel W, Locke F. Epidemiologic pathology of gastric ulcer and gastric carcinoma among Japanese in Hawaii. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1977; **58**: 13–9.
- 27 Sonnenberg A. Gastric cancer, gastric ulcer, and hypertensive diseases – A common epidemiologic risk factor? [Abstract] *Gastroenterology* 1987; **92**: 1649.

Psychological factors in the irritable bowel syndrome

SIR,—We were sorry to read in the Progress Report by Creed and Guthrie,¹ the statement that we had used the Beck Inventory wrongly for screening depression in surgical outpatients, taking a cut off point for depression of 5 instead of 14.²

In fact we used a simplified form of the Beck Depression Index, for which the range of normality is 0–4. 5–7 indicating mild, 8–15 moderate and over 15 severe depression. Had Creed and Guthrie read our article more carefully, they would have found that we correctly used the criteria of Beck and Beck,³ and our finding of a 50% incidence of depression in gastro-

intestinal outpatients, and 68% in the irritable bowel syndrome, remains valid. They would also have learnt that the majority of patients were medical, and not surgical, outpatients.

PAUL M SMITH AND JOHN S HARVEY

*Llandough Hospital,
Penarth,
South Glamorgan CF6 1XX*

References

- 1 Creed F, Guthrie E. Psychological factors in the irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 1987; **28**: 1307–18.
- 2 Rose JDR, Troughton AH, Harvey JS, Smith PM. Depression and functional bowel disorders in gastrointestinal outpatients. *Gut* 1986; **27**: 1025–8.
- 3 Beck AT, Beck RW. Screening depressed patients in family practice: a rapid technic. *Postgrad Med* 1972; **52**: 81–5.

Reply

SIR,—Smith and Harvey are right to draw attention to the fact that they had used a shortened form of the Di-Beck questionnaire so that our criticism of their specific cutoff point does not hold; but we would still reject their claim that they have accurately demonstrated rates of depression of 50% and 68% in gastrointestinal and IBS patients respectively.

In their paper Rose *et al*¹ aimed 'to establish the number of patients suffering from depression' among new referrals to a gastrointestinal clinic. There are several reasons why the shortened Di-Beck questionnaire did not allow them to do this accurately. First, it is not a diagnostic tool but a measure of severity of depression.² Second, any selfadministered questionnaire cannot be used as a substitute for clinical assessment and should therefore be validated by a use of standardised interview.³ We are not aware of any such validation of the shortened Di-Beck against clinical interviews among gastrointestinal outpatients, but there is evidence that 14 of the 21 items of the original questionnaire discriminated poorly between depressed and non-depressed patients in a general medical unit⁴ and many of these items have been included in the shortened form.

Selfadministered questionnaires tend to overestimate the prevalence of depression in general medical patients because somatic symptoms and social dysfunction score on the questionnaire even when these are not the result of depressive illness.⁵ In the case of the shortened Di-Beck, a score of 7 could be achieved by the patient who reports 'my appetite is much worse now' (2 points), 'I get too tired to do anything' (3 points), and 'I have to push myself hard to do anything' (2 points). Such complaints could be attributable to physical illness, and are common

among IBS patients without necessarily indicating psychiatric disorder. A more conservative estimate of depression among Rose *et al*'s patients would therefore use a cutoff point of 8 or more, which would greatly reduce the overall prevalence of depression from their figure of 50%.

Rose *et al* compared their results with those of Macdonald and Bouchier⁶ who did use a standardised interview before making a psychiatric diagnosis. These workers found that only 20% of their sample had depression (in 16% it was rated as severe); a further 22% had anxiety (which is not measured by the Di-Beck). Among the non-organic group the figures were 25% for depression and 22% for anxiety. The other studies quoted in our review paper suggest that these are much more accurate figures than those produced by the Rose *et al*'s method.

We applaud Rose *et al*'s attempts to highlight the prevalence of depressive illness in general medical and gastrointestinal patients, and agree completely with the view 'that early diagnosis of psychiatric disorder . . . may lead to a shorter period of illness'. One of the main points of our review article however, was that the research instrument and the cutoff points must be chosen with extreme care, otherwise the prevalence of psychiatric disorder will be overestimated.

FRANCIS CREED AND ELSPETH GUTHRIE

University of Manchester,
Department of Psychiatry,
Rawnsley Building,
Manchester Royal Infirmary,
Oxford Road,
Manchester M13 9WL

References

- 1 Rose JDR, Troughton AH, Harvey JS, Smith PM. Depression and functional bowel disorders in gastrointestinal outpatients. *Gut* 1986; **27**: 1025–8.
- 2 Peck DF, Dean C. *Measurement in Psychiatry*. Chapter 13. Companion to Psychiatric Studies. 3rd ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1983.
- 3 Goldberg DPG. Identifying psychiatric illness among general medical patients. *Br Med J* 1985; **291**: 161–2.
- 4 Clark DC, Cavanaugh S, Gibbons RD. The core symptoms of depression in medical and psychiatric patients. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1983; **171**: 705–13.
- 5 Mayou R, Hawton K. Psychiatric disorder in the general hospital. *Br J Psychiatry* 1986; **149**: 172–90.
- 6 MacDonald AJ, Bouchier IAD. Non-organic gastrointestinal illness: a medical and psychiatric study. *Br J Psychiatry* 1980; **136**: 276–83.

Continuous oesophageal pH-monitoring

SIR.—We were very interested in the recent article by McLauchlan and coworkers¹ comparing different

electrodes for 24 hour pH monitoring. This study shows very clearly better results obtained with glass electrodes compared with antimony electrodes and also the differences obtained when different electrodes are used. We prefer to use glass electrodes with a remote skin reference electrode because of the very small external diameter (1.6 mm; Microelectrodes Inc. M 506), as we are especially interested in infants younger than four months. In our opinion the paper by McLauchlan stresses the necessity to establish normal physiological ranges of gastroesophageal reflux for each group of patients, or for each technique. The physiological incidence of gastroesophageal reflux will be different according to the position,² and age³ of the infants. In infants, results will be dependent on the formula administered to the infant,⁴ with or without milk thickening agents.⁵ The type of material used for registration (continuous, one measurement stored in a memory every 5, 7.5 seconds, or every minute), and the pH electrode¹ used are of course other factors that can influence the data. We would like to stress the necessity for each team to establish normal ranges for their method and for their population data considered as within physiological ranges for a six month old infant investigated with a glass electrode with a remote reference electrode can be 'pathological' for a one month old baby investigated with a glass electrode with a combined sensing and reference electrode.

YUAN VANDENPLAS

Pediatric Gastroenterology,
Academic Childrens' Hospital,
Free University of Brussels,
Laarbeeklaan 101, 1090,
Brussels, Belgium

References

- 1 McLauchlan G, Rawlings JM, Lucas ML, McCloy RF, Crean GP, McColl KEL. Electrodes for 24 hour pH monitoring – a comparative study. *Gut* 1987; **28**: 935–9.
- 2 Vandenplas Y, Sacre L. Seventeen hour continuous esophageal pH monitoring in the newborn: evaluation of the influence of position in asymptomatic and symptomatic babies. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 1985; **4**: 356–61.
- 3 Vandenplas Y, Sacre L. Continuous 24 hour esophageal pH monitoring in 285 asymptomatic infants 0–15 months old. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 1987; **6**: 220–4.
- 4 Vandenplas Y, Sacre L, Loeb H. Effect of formula feeding on gastric acidity time and esophageal pH monitoring data. *Eur J Pediatr* (in press).
- 5 Vandenplas Y, Sacre L. Milk-thickening agents as a treatment for gastroesophageal reflux. *Clin Pediatr* 1987; **26**: 66–8.