Endoscopic sphincterotomy for common bile duct calculi

SIR,—Davidson et al have clearly shown that endoscopic sphincterotomy (ES) is an effective method in the management of common bile duct calculi also in patients with gall bladder in situ. Their study showed that the only factor of value in predicting biliary complications was pre-existing cholangitis. This interpretation, however, may cause misunderstanding because the possibility of cholangitis must be taken into consideration in all cases with bile duct stones.

Our experience indicates that, if anything, it is the presence of gall bladder stones which has predictive value for biliary complication in patients with gall bladder in situ.

Of 1223 endoscopic sphincterotomies, we carried out 318 (26%) examinations in patients with gall bladder present. In this series the indications were bile duct stones in 176 patients with and in 47 cases without stones in the gall bladder. Early complications occurred in 18 patients (8%), of these 10 developed cholangitis and cholecystitis. All patients with cholangitis had stones not only in the bile ducts but also in the gall bladder.

We have follow up results from 159 patients for six months to eight years (mean 5-2 years). Of these patients 43 had biliary symptoms during the follow up period. In 22 patients recurrence of common bile duct stones could be observed, while in eight cases the stenosis of the papilla of Vater was detected.

Twenty three patients developed acute cholecystitis during the follow up; all had stones in the gall bladder. None of the patients with gall bladder in situ without stones developed biliary inflammation.

Both the early complications and the long term outcome of endoscopic sphincterotomies in our series indicate that the presence of gall bladder stones is of significant value in predicting biliary complications after ES.

In contrast with Davidson’s data our results show that the risk of biliary complications is increased in patients with stones in the gall bladder compared with those with gall bladder in situ without stones.
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Reply

SIR,—We are grateful for the learned comments of Drs Tulassay and Papp. They indicate that in their extensive experience gall bladder complications after ES only occurred in patients with gall stones still present. Although they suggest this experience contrasts with ours, this difference is more apparent than real.

Their incidence of gall bladder complications, 23/159 patients or 14·5% is similar to ours which was 129/98 patients or 12·5%. Eleven of our 12 patients who developed gall bladder complications had either gall stones still present or a blocked cystic duct (non-filling of the gall bladder by contrast), implying the presence of gall bladder stones. The incidence of no gall bladder stones in the Leicester study was only eight of 98 patients or 8·2%—perchance accounting for the lack of statistical significance. In contrast this was 47/223 patients or 21·1% in the Semmelweis series. It is perhaps noteworthy that the Leicester follow up rate was 100% compared with 70% in Semmelweis.

Thus given that the great majority of our patients do have gall stones within the gall bladder it was the presence of factors over and above this that we examined in order to predict an increased risk of gall bladder complications. To this end we could not find any, with the sole exception that empyema of the gall bladder was more commonly associated with pre-existing cholangitis. Moreover some patients without gall bladder stones can also develop gall bladder complications. Whereas we have little basis by which to contest the idea that gall bladder complications are commoner in patients with gall stones still present, from a practical point of view all patients do require careful follow-up. This is particularly pertinent in the first few weeks to months after resolution of acute cholangitis as they are more prone to empyema of the gall bladder which may prove to be lethal.
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