Profound spatial clustering of simultaneous peptic ulcers
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SUMMARY In an endoscopic study of 90 consecutive patients with more than one peptic ulcer, the ulcers in an individual were profoundly spatially clustered. Clustering of ulcer locations was shown using a non-parametric test of clustering (Kruskal-Wallis statistic with 89 degrees of freedom = 151.31, probability of observing this extreme statistic with no clustering < 0.0005) and a parametric test of clustering (F test statistic with 89 and 124 degrees of freedom = 5.41, probability of observing this extreme statistic with no clustering < 0.0005). Patients having their largest ulcer in any given region had a much greater likelihood than other patients of having other ulcers in that same site. For example, the 26 patients with their largest ulcer in the proximal duodenal bulb had 20 of 33, or 61% (9) (standard error), of their other ulcers in the proximal duodenal bulb. In contrast, the 18 patients with their largest ulcer in the proximal stomach had four of 23, or 17% (8), of their other ulcers in the proximal duodenal bulb. Of the 59 patients who had two simultaneous ulcers, 28 patients had adjacent ulcers (distance between ulcers less than 4% of the distance from the gastric cardia to the apex of the duodenal bulb). These findings suggest that local factors may be important in the pathogenesis of simultaneous peptic ulcers, including infection caused by Campylobacter pylori or other microorganisms, ischaemia and mucosal barrier disruption.

Notwithstanding the apparent heterogeneity of peptic ulcer disease, an important question is the overall role of non-local factors relative to local gastroduodenal factors in the pathogenesis of peptic ulcers. Proposed non-local systemic, general gastroduodenal and environmental factors in peptic ulcer pathogenesis include abnormalities in serum hormone levels, immunity, intraluminal pH, intraluminal enzyme concentrations, and environmental stress. This work shows remarkably profound spatial clustering of simultaneous peptic ulcers in individuals. This finding suggests that local factors are important in the pathogenesis of simultaneous peptic ulcers.

Methods

Patients
From 1984 through 1987, 90 patients without a history of previous gastric or duodenal surgery had multiple peptic ulcers found in the stomach and duodenal bulb by oesophagogastroduodenoscopy at the Bronx Municipal Hospital Center of the Albert Einstein College of Medicine. Ulcer locations, determined at oesophagogastroduodenoscopy, were recorded on a diagram of the stomach and duodenum. These data were digitized using a Hewlett Packard 9864A digitizer with a scale of 1 for the proximal gastric cardia up to 50 for the distal apex of the duodenal bulb. The distance between two ulcers equated the difference between their assigned numbers. Gastric ulcers not near or in the pylorus were standardly biopsied and followed until healing to exclude malignancy. All biopsy specimens were reviewed by the investigator. Pathological examination of these biopsied ulcer margins confirmed in 47% of cases and supported (finding of acute and chronic inflammation) in 53% of cases the endoscopic diagnosis of a peptic ulcer. This study excluded non-peptic ulcers caused by adenocarcinoma, lymphoma or other neoplasms, ulcers associated with Mycobacterium avium intracellulare in one patient and with Herpes simplex in one patient, ulcers caused by
an indwelling nasogastric tube in two patients, and superficial (stress) ulcers in five patients. Biopsy specimens were not routinely tested for Campylobacter pylori (Campylobacter pyloridis) and peptic ulcers associated with Campylobacter pylori were not excluded. None of the patients had a gastrinoma. The primary indication for oesophagogastroduodenoscopy was: gastrointestinal bleeding in 61, abdominal pain in 14, an abnormal upper gastrointestinal series in 10 and other in five. Eleven patients had been taking ulcerogenic medications, including acetylsalicylic acid, indomethacin and ibuprofen, before the diagnosis of multiple ulcers; this group was too small to statistically analyse separately.

Statistical analysis
Samples of a clustered population may exhibit greater clustering. For example, the location distribution of people in the United States is highly clustered (in cities). But the location distribution of people in a family is even more clustered because all family members usually live in the same house. The location of ulcers in the population is somewhat clustered in the duodenal bulb, pyloric channel and gastric incisura. Ulcer locations in individuals were shown to have greater clustering, however — that is, ulcers closer in an individual than expected by their location distribution in the population.

First, the ulcer locations in the 90 individuals were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test, a non-parametric one-way analysis of variance on the ordered sites with 90 samples. For this test ulcer locations were divided into five ordered regions: proximal stomach (location 1–25), antrum (26–35), pyloric region (36–40), proximal duodenal bulb (41–45) and distal duodenal bulb (46–50). For each patient the number of ulcers at each site were calculated. The Kruskal-Wallis statistic (method 1) with 89 degrees of freedom, then tests the null hypothesis that the distribution of ulcers across sites is the same for all patients.

Second, clustering of ulcer locations in individuals was tested using a parametric one-way analysis of variance with an F test (performed on the 90 patients and 214 ulcers) with 89 and 124 degrees of freedom (method 2). The observations on each patient were the measured location of each ulcer on a scale of 1 to 50. The F statistic tests the null hypothesis that the distribution of measurements is the same for each patient.

Results

Non-parametric test of clustering
The Kruskal-Wallis method tests the null hypothesis that the probability distributions of ulcer locations in the 90 patients were identical. With 89 degrees of freedom, the Kruskal-Wallis statistic for the 90 patients was 151.31 (method 1). Thus the null hypothesis of no clustering was rejected at a 0.0005 level of confidence (critical value of cumulative $\chi^2$ with 89 degrees of freedom = 139.5).

Parametric test of clustering
The null hypothesis of a homogeneous location distribution in the 90 patients was rejected using an F test (method 2) with 89 and 124 degrees of freedom at a 0.0005 level of confidence ($F = 5.41 > 1.88 = F_{(0.0005),89,124}$).

Other ulcers near largest ulcer
If ulcers occur independently within the same patient, then the distribution of smaller, secondary ulcers should be independent of the site of the primary largest ulcer. Figures 1b to le show that this did not occur, however. The patients had a greater likelihood of having their other ulcers in the same region as their largest ulcer. For example, the 26 patients with their largest ulcer in the proximal duodenal bulb had 61% (9) (or 20 out of 33) of their secondary ulcers in the proximal duodenal bulb (Fig. 1d). In contrast, the 18 patients with their largest ulcer in the proximal stomach had 17% (18) (or four of 23) of their secondary ulcers in the proximal duodenal bulb (Fig. 1b). Also, patients had a smaller likelihood of having their other ulcers in regions remote from their largest ulcer (Fig. 1b to le).

Other ulcers were as close to a patient’s smallest as compared with a patient’s largest ulcer. For example, patients with their smallest or with their largest ulcer in the distal duodenal bulb had similar distributions of their other ulcers (not illustrated, $p > 0.10$, $\chi^2 = 1.48$, degrees of freedom = 4).

Frequently adjacent ulcers
Of 59 patients with two simultaneous ulcers, 28 patients had adjacent ulcers (distance between ulcers in the longitudinal direction less than or equal to 4% of the distance from the gastric cardia to the apex of the duodenal bulb).

Discussion
This report extends previously reported findings. Gastric ulcers associated with duodenal ulcer or deformity tended to be more frequently prepyloric and antral. Patients with a gastric ulcer were more likely to have other gastric than duodenal ulcers. The reported tendency to develop new ulcers near a prior ulcer site may be because of spatial clustering of new ulcers near a previous ulcer or merely because...
of ulcer recurrence. First degree relatives of patients with gastric ulcers had an increase in the prevalence of gastric ulcer, but not of duodenal ulcer. Relatives of patients with duodenal ulcers had an increase in the prevalence of duodenal ulcer, but not of gastric ulcer.\textsuperscript{12-24} The clustering of multiple ulcers at the same locus in individuals and their relatives can be explained by a genetic predisposition to ulceration at a specific site. Spatial clustering shows the importance of local factors, possibly including local mucosal histology, ischaemia,\textsuperscript{25} infection with \textit{Campylobacter pylori},\textsuperscript{26} or other microorganisms, or defective cytoprotection\textsuperscript{27} in the pathogenesis of multiple peptic ulcers.
Local ischaemia produces skin ulcers clustered near the medial malleolus in patients with sickle cell disease. Analysis of differences between mucosa near to and remote from ulcers may elucidate the mechanism of ulcer clustering.

Patients with multiple ulcers represented 20-5% of all patients with peptic ulcers found on oesophagogastro-duodenoscopy during the present study. Some evidence supports that patients with multiple duodenal ulcers and concomitant gastric and duodenal ulcers have a different disease than patients with single ulcers. Some evidence supports that patients with multiple gastric ulcers or concomitant gastric and duodenal ulcers have a similar disease as patients with single ulcers.
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