Prostaglandins and the gastric epithelium: effects of misoprostol on gastric epithelial cell proliferation in the dog
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SUMMARY  The effects of the methyl ester analogue of prostaglandin E₁, misoprostol, on gastric epithelial cell proliferation were investigated in six dogs given 300 µg/kg/day of misoprostol orally for 11 weeks and in six control dogs given placebo for 11 weeks. Misoprostol treatment resulted in a 36% increase in stomach weight (p<0.01) and a 30% increase in the length (measured as the cell column count from the base/neck junction to the surface) of the fundic gastric glands (p<0.01). This mucosal hyperplasia was predominantly caused by enlargement of the foveolar region of the gland, with little change occurring in the neck or in the isthmus. The hyperplasia was the result of an increased number of mitotic (p<0.01) and DNA synthesising cells (p<0.05) in each gastric gland, which resulted in a significant increase in the gland cell production rate, from 22.5 to 42.6 cells per gland per day (p<0.05).

Prostaglandins are short lived derivatives of essential fatty acids which act as chemical messengers at or near their site of production. Longer lived stable synthetic analogues of the prostaglandins have now been developed, providing the opportunity for their use in the exogenous treatment of pathological conditions. There is evidence that a deficiency in prostaglandin synthesis is implicated in peptic ulcer disease.¹ Prostaglandins (including the synthetic analogue of prostaglandin E₁, misoprostol) have the ability to protect the gastric mucosa from the adverse effects of a variety of noxious agents.²⁻⁴ Prostaglandins and their analogues are also potent inhibitors of gastric acid secretion, and consequently have considerable potential as anti-ulcer agents.⁵⁻¹⁰ Misoprostol has several effects on the intestinal mucosa, which include increasing bicarbonate secretion,¹¹ mucus production,¹² and mucosal mass.¹³ While some investigators have found that misoprostol significantly stimulated mucosal DNA synthesis¹⁴ and cell proliferation,¹⁵ others have reported no proliferative effects⁶ or even decreased proliferative indices,¹⁷ causing them to suggest that the gastric hypertrophy observed after longterm prostaglandin administration was the result of decreased cell loss rather than increased proliferation.¹⁵⁻¹⁶ There are difficulties in the interpretation of the data from the various studies as different species and different techniques were used. In addition the study of intestinal epithelial cell proliferation has also been bedevilled by the use of techniques whose limitations may not be fully understood; especially those based on the gross uptake of tritiated thymidine, as this can be influenced by a variety of factors other than the rate of entry of cells into DNA synthesis.¹⁸ State measures, such as proliferative indices can also be misleading, especially if there is a concomitant increase in the denominator. The present paper describes a detailed kinetic study of the effects of misoprostol on canine gastric epithelial cell...
proliferation and cell production. A relatively high dose of misoprostol was used in order to facilitate the accurate quantification of the mucosal hyperplasia. The dogs were kept on the treatment for a long period of time so that they would be in a ‘steady state’ with regard to cell production and cell loss. The effects of misoprostol on cell migration, transit time, and gastric morphology will be described in subsequent papers.

Methods

Experimental Plan
Six dogs were given a gelatin capsule containing misoprostol once a day for 77 days (300 μg/kg/day) while six (control) dogs were given the vehicle alone. On the last day the dogs were injected iv with 0.25 mCi/kg of tritiated thymidine and killed one hour later. The digestive tract was completely removed and the stomach opened along its greater curvature, rinsed, blotted, and weighed. The stomachs were weighed and samples of fundic and antral gastric mucosa were fixed in Carnoy’s fluid and then stored in 70% ethanol. The 12 dogs referenced here were part of a larger study in which there were 30 control and 30 misoprostol treated animals. Other aspects of the study will be reported separately.

Animals
Male beagle dogs, eight to 10 months old and weighing 11.2–14.9 kg were used. They were individually housed in environmentally controlled rooms, with a 12 hour light and 12 hour dark cycle. Purina certified canine diet was provided three hours after the test agent had been administered and was available for two hours. Tap water was available ad libitum. The antemortem part of this study was carried out at Hazleton Laboratories America Inc, 3301 Kinsman Blvd, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Histology
Fundic mucosa was embedded in wax and alternate 4 micron sections cut and mounted. Autoradiographs were prepared by the dipping method3 using Ilford K2 emulsion (Ilford Ltd, Rajar Works, Town Lane, Knutsford, Cheshire, UK). After four weeks exposure, the autoradiographs were developed in Kodak D19b, fixed and stained with haematoxylin and eosin.

Data Gathering from Autoradiographs
Slides were examined systematically for well orientated glands (sectioned along the axis of the gland’s lumen) see Figure 1. The base/neck junction was defined as the location of the most basal mucous neck cell, and this was designated position 1. The gland was then scored, by recording the location of labelled and mitotic cells up to the top of the foveolus. The gland length was thus defined as the column count from the base/neck junction to the mucosal surface.

The background threshold level of radioactivity was determined by a technique of analysis of outliers3 and a threshold level of four silver grains per nucleus adopted; that is for a nucleus to be scored as labelled it must have had one or more grains directly overlying its nucleus. For a nucleus to qualify for mitotic scoring the nuclear envelope must have been absent and the chromatin starting to condense; thus early prophase or indistinct hyperchromatic nuclei were excluded. Labelling and mitotic data were expressed as the respective indices (number of labelled or mitotic cells per 100 gland cells) and as the number of dividing cells per gland column. The mitotic-labelled cell per gland data were then converted into gland cell production rates – that is, the number of cells produced per gland per day (assum-
ing a mitotic duration of one hour and a S-phase duration of eight hours). Because the production rates were based on the mitotic/labelling data per gland, the statistical probabilities were the same.

Two 'marker' cell positions were also recorded, namely, the last mucous neck cell (neck/isthmus junction; which is the zero point used by Willems et al.7 and the position of the last parietal cell (isthmus/foveolus junction) (Fig. 2).

For the determination of the row count Alcian blue/periodic acid Schiff stained sections were examined and the number of cells in the circumference of well oriented glands cut in cross section (parallel to the mucosal surface) counted (Fig. 2). Glands were only scored if sectioned through the neck region (that is if mucous neck cells were present).

Results

There was no change in the body weight of the two groups of animals, but a 36% increase in the wet weight of the stomach of the misoprostol treated group (p=0.0068) was observed (Fig. 3). No significant difference in body weight or food consumption was noted.

The gland length (cell column count from the base/neck junction to the mucosal surface), was increased by 30% (p=0.0042) in the misoprostol treated group. There was no change in the gland row count (control=8.357±0.213 and test=8.408±0.15). The gland cell population, defined as the product of gland column and row counts, consequently increased by 32% in the misoprostol treated group (p=0.008) (Fig. 3).

The location of labelled and mitotic cells approximated to a normal distribution (p≤0.05 by normal scores test, Table). Very little labelling or mitosis was observed outside the glandular region of the stomach, these two parameters never overlapping the results of control

| Description of cell positions of the distribution of labelled and mitotic cells in the gastric gland (from the base/neck junction to the luminal surface) of control and misoprostol treated dogs |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Control | Test |
| Mean of labelling distribution | 23.36 & 1.80 | 23.66 & 1.80 |
| Median of labelling distribution | 22.36 | 23.66 |
| Neck/isthmus junction | 14.02 & 1.37 | 14.15 & 1.40 |
| Isthmus/foveolus junction | 26.94 & 1.63 | 25.05 & 1.88 |
| Mean mitotic distribution | 24.51 & 1.17 | 23.52 & 0.92 |
| Median mitotic distribution | 23.00 | 26.00 |
seen in the base of the gland. The similarities of the mean and the median values for each group further indicate the symmetry of the distributions. There was little difference in the position of the junction markers (last mucous neck and last parietal cells) with misoprostol treatment; thus the expansion in gland length must have occurred in the foveolus.

The labelling and mitotic data are presented in Figure 4. The mitotic index increased by 117% in the test group (p=0.0098), but while the labelling index rose by 41% this was not statistically significant. Figure 4, however, also shows that the number of mitotic or labelled cells per gland however had increased by 118% (p=0.003) and by 73% (p=0.025) respectively. This data were then converted into gland cell production rates which are shown in Figure 5.

Discussion

The increase in gastric mucosal weight associated with misoprostol treatment was very similar in its extent to the observed increase in gland cell column count. There was no change in the position of the last mucous neck cell and the last parietal cell which demark the neck/isthmus and isthmus/foveolus respectively; thus the increase in gland length can be attributed to an increase in the number of surface mucous cells in the foveolus. It can thus be concluded that the increased stomach weight was predominantly due to hyperplasia rather than hypertrophy.

Mitotic and labelling indices are measures of state, not rate, and as such can be misleading, especially if associated with concomitant changes in cell population size. This was indeed the case in the present investigation, as a casual inspection of the labelling index (labelled cells per 100 gland cells) would suggest that there was no significant proliferative effect. When the number of labelled cells per gland and the gland cell production rate were considered, however, the difference between the treated and control animals became apparent. The importance of the correct choice (and use) of method cannot be overemphasised.23 The difficulties in obtaining well orientated glands in the tissue available from gastric biopsies in man has persuaded some workers17 that they should confine their quantification to the gastric foveolus; but most cell division occurs in the neck and isthmus. Thus an expanded foveolus population would effectively 'dilute' the labelling index obtained, and yield results which are at odds with other investigations.28

There was little difference in the pattern of distribution of labelled cells within the gland between the two groups, which suggests that the increased cell production either occurred through the resting phase (G1) decreasing or via a general shortening of the cell division cycle time. The usual kinetic response is a decreased duration of the G1 resting phase rather than an alteration of the DNA synthesis (S) phase, the postsynthetic gap G2, or the mitotic (M) phase.24 If the cell cycle time or the duration of the mitotic or...
of the DNA synthesis phases had decreased, our estimates of the gland cell production rate would in fact be underestimates.

The agreement between the mitotic and labelling based estimates of gland cell production was quite good, the differences observed could either be explained by experimental variation, by the rigorous definition of mitosis used, or by the presence of a diurnal rhythm of cell proliferation.25

Raised rates of gastric epithelial cell proliferation and the resultant increase in surface mucous cell population should increase the stomach's ability to withstand damage and to repair itself in several ways in addition to the mechanical protection afforded by increased mucosal mass. One of the first responses to epithelial damage is a rapid migration of epithelial cells to re-epithelialise the injured area;27 an increased epithelial population might aid this process. The surface mucous cells also secrete considerable quantities of mucous and of bicarbonate, both of which have obvious implications for mucosal protection.11 17 18 20 The increase in the gland cells mainly occurred in the foveolar region, as the position of the uppermost parietal cell did not change (isthmus/foveolus junction); so that the relative proportion of the gland devoted to acid secretion would have been decreased, which should also shift the balance between damage and protection towards the latter.

In conclusion, misoprostol effectively elicits a hyperplastic response in the gastric mucosa resulting in a significantly increased gland cell population, with most of the increase occurring in the mucous and bicarbonate secreting surface mucous cell (foveolar) cells.

We would like to thank Mrs Y E Price for the histological preparations and autoradiographs.
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