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Debirs. It may, however, damage external surfaces and in particular the rubbers and plastics of the insertion tube so instrument manufacturers do not recommend immersion. Casemore and Blewett provide evidence that it is fully effective if cryptosporidial oocysts are exposed for 30 minutes. Flushing and shorter times may not be sufficient.

There are problems with the four other disinfectants listed and which are said to be partially effective. Exspor in preliminary tests against polio virus and herpes simplex virus has shown rather poor activity compared with glutaraldehyde (Ayliffe G. – personal communication). One per cent ammonia is not suitable for bacterial disinfection, sodium hypochlorite damages endoscopes and sodium hydroxide may do also.

Although in immunocompetent individuals cryptosporidium causes a transient diarrhoea, the infection may be life threatening in the immuno-suppressed. While there have been no reports of endoscopic transmission we would agree that a new disinfectant, active against cryptosporidium, is needed for use before endoscopy in immuno-suppressed patients. At present we recommend thorough mechanical cleaning followed by immersion for one hour in 2% activated glutaraldehyde before and after endoscopy on immunocompromised patients. This is to ensure that atypical mycobacteria are not transmitted to immuno-suppressed patients and that M tuberculosis is not transmitted from a symptomatic patient with HIV infection to an immunocompetent patient.

Casemore and Blewett provide evidence that 30 minutes in 2% glutaraldehyde is not effective for cryptosporidium. We stress in our report that thorough mechanical cleaning with detergent is the most important part of the disinfection procedure. It would seem that we have to rely on this until there is an alternative disinfectant. We hope that the work underway by Casemore et al will contribute to final recommendations.

1 V D WELLER, A T R AXON, AND D J JEFFRIES
Gastroenterology Unit,
General Infirmary,
Leeds

Epidemiological study of asymptomatic inflammatory bowel disease

Sir, — Dr Mayberry and colleagues (Gut 1989; 30: 481-3) used prevalence rates in the above article in a rather misleading way. For instance, comparisons in their Table 4 do not state which age groups the prevalence rates refer to in the various studies which they have compared. The use of age specific rates would have overcome this difficulty.

F NAMDARAN
Dept of Community Medicine,
Lothian Health Board,
Edinburgh

Sir,—The paper by Mayberry et al is interesting and also a timely reminder of what significant pathology may exist undetected in our communities.

It would be interesting to know how the Nottingham group decided to manage these asymptomatic patients and how well their patients complied with any treatments suggested.

J A R SMITH
Northern General Hospital,
Sheffield

Reply

Sir,—We would like to thank both correspondents for their comments. The use of ‘age specific rates’ for the previously published data reported in Table 4 would have been inappropriate as they refer to the prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease in whole populations. Unfortunately, as discussed in the article published, age specific prevalence rates for populations aged 50-74 are unavailable.

Both patients with Crohn’s disease underwent surgical resection. This would not be our routine practice in asymptomatic patients but there was concern that the abnormalities detected on radiological examination could have been tumours. All patients with ulcerative colitis were treated with sulphasalazine and are now regularly followed in an inflammatory bowel disease clinic.

J F MAYBERRY AND K C BALLANTYNE
Leicester General Hospital,
Leicester and
Queens Medical Centre,
Nottingham

Comparison of forceful dilatation and oesphagomyotomy in achalasia

Sir,—The paper in the March issue (Gut 1989; 30: 299-304) by Csendes and colleagues is of great interest. Pneumatic dilatation for the management of achalasia in their hands did not perform as well as surgical management though as they admit their results using the pneumatic method are not as good as those of others. We have now followed up a much larger group of patients than they refer to with the results remaining as good. ‘Their disappointing results may be related to the much lower inflation pressures which they use.

My impression is that many physicians/gastroenterologists are managing achalasia non-surgically. I consider this a welcome development for the very