records, railway workers from various parts of India, and practising clinicians. All these studies revealed a significant geographical difference in the prevalence of peptic ulcer disease between the north and south of India. Peptic ulcer was found in the plains of Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh and Gujrat, and common in Madras, Kerala, Mysore, Andra Pradesh and eastern parts of India—namely, Assam, West Bengal as well as Bangladesh. It is also reported to be common in the Kashmir valley in the northern most state of India while the adjacent province of Jammu has a low incidence. These geographical differences exactly matched with the differences in dietary habits. In the low prevalence area, wheat bread (chappatti) and pulses are the staple diet and in the high incidence area boiled rice, green vegetables and highly spiced food are the staple diet. Two reasons are offered to explain the role of diet in the pathogenic role of peptic ulcer namely: (1) wheat bread (chappatti) being a dry food needed a lot of chewing and excessive production of saliva, while boiled rice is sloppy and needs very little mastication and saliva production. These observations were confirmed and the protective role of saliva in the causation of peptic ulcer was shown, and, rice contained ulcerogenic fractions and the excessive prevalence of peptic ulcer in the rice eating areas was related to these ulcerogenic factors.

In 1972 the Indian Council of Medical Research conducted a nation wide study on the occurrence of the peptic ulcer in India. Six centres were selected, Kashmir valley, Dehradun, Delhi, Madras, Goa, and Kampur. This was a population based study and the diagnosis of peptic ulcer was based on radiological studies of the upper gastrointestinal tract and showed that the incidence of peptic ulcer in all the six areas was less than 1% and there was no significant geographical difference in the incidence of peptic ulcer between the north and the south. This study, as already mentioned, however, has some inherent problems in its design. The endoscopic study revealed that the prevalence of peptic ulcer in Kashmir valley was 11% and the point prevalence was around 4%. It also revealed that the complications of peptic ulcer were as common in Kashmir valley as in the other centres. Earlier there have been many reports showing either haemorrhage, duodenal stenosis or perforation to be common in peptic ulcer in India. We believe that most of these reports were drawn from high risk areas and groups of patients from the hospital records and do not represent a true incidence of complications of peptic ulcer in India.

With the advent of fibreoptic endoscopy, the diagnosis of peptic ulcer has become more accurate and to further study the geographical prevalence of peptic ulcer in Indian subcontinent we need to do similar studies in the plains of Punjab, and in south and eastern parts of India. Should geographical difference in peptic ulcer be confirmed by these studies, most likely explanation would be differences in the diet of these populations. The problem in doing such studies would be to select highly diarrhoea patients for endoscopists and the acceptability of the population under study to undergo endoscopists. Public awareness of peptic ulcer occurrence is important and to increase the responder rate small medical centres need to be started in these areas to treat minor ailments. The press, television and the radio need to educate the public about the importance of these studies. These methods were used in our study to gain the support and confidence of the general public, and to increase the responder rate for endoscopies.

The Indian Council of Medical Research and other national research committees in India need to look into these aspects in order to start a multicentric endoscopic study on the prevalence of peptic ulcer in India. It will go a long way to find out some interesting geographical distribution of peptic ulcer in the Indian subcontinent, and will formally establish the role of dietary factors in the cause of peptic ulcer.

M S KHUROO
SHER-I-KASHMIR
Institute of Medical Sciences,
Srinagar 190011, India

2 Dogra R. Studies on peptic ulcer on South India. IV. Incidence of peptic ulcer in India with particular reference to South India. Indian Journal of Medical Research 1941; 29: 665-676.
5 Malhotra SL, Sagar (UN) and Modi GD. Role of saliva in the aetiology of peptic ulcer. British Medical Journal 1967; 1: 222.

Short bowel syndrome and somatostatin 201-995

Sir,—I was interested to read the paper from S Jarnum’s group (Gut 1989; 30: 943-9), suggesting that in patients with severe short bowel syndrome, the somatostatin analogue SMS 201-995 could increase net absorption of water and sodium after reduced secretion of digestive juices rather than by increasing absorptive capacity. The authors did mention our study of a patient with pancreatic cholera (vipoma) in whom the continuous intravenous infusion of 8 μg/kg/h cyclic somatostatin 14 had a diametric effect on diarrhoea. They did not, however, quote the perfusion study of water and electrolyte movements we performed in the small bowel of this patient and of healthy control subjects. We found that water and sodium flux rates decrease in intraluminal water and sodium flow within the distal ileum seen during somatostatin infusion was mostly accounted for by the sharply reduced entry of water and electrolytes from the duodenum into the jejunum. This finding agrees with the author’s hypothesis on the mechanism of action of SMS 201-995 in the short bowel syndrome. In the patient with vipoma, however, not only the entry of water and sodium into the jejunum was reduced, but also the jejunal fluid secretion was markedly decreased, in parallel with the return to normal values of circulating vasoactive intestinal peptide. Thus, extrapolation of pharmacological findings in normal subjects to patients with various causes of diarrhoea should be cautious.

J C RAMBAUD
Service de Gastroenterologie,
Hopital Saint J. de l’Arve,
Paris, 75010, France

Reply

Sir,—We thank Professor Rambaud for his comments on our paper. Professor Rambaud’s group has found, that somatostatin infusion in normal subjects decreases small intestinal flow to the distal ileum, mainly by reducing water and electrolyte entry from the duodenum to the jejunum. In contrast, a patient with vipoma showed decreased jejunal secretion as well. They conclude, that extrapolation of pharmacological findings in normal subjects to patients with various causes of diarrhoea should be made cautiously, a conclusion which we fully agree.

Five of the six patients we studied had inactive Crohn’s disease. It has been shown, that the relative frequency of various endocrine cells and the distribution and frequency of peptide containing nerve fibres in the gut do not differ between patients with Crohn’s disease and controls. Patients with small bowel resection have shown increased release of several gut hormones after a test meal. At present it is, however, difficult to ascertain the integrated effect of the altered hormone response on gastrointestinal secretions. We found that the reduction of stomal output during supply of SMS 201-995 in a patient with only 40 cm jejunum left was equal to the reduction in patients with longer small intestinal remnant. It is therefore possible, although not investigated in our study, that the main effect of SMS 201-995 in our patients, like in Professor Rambaud’s normal subjects, was a reduction of gastroduodenal and pancreatic obliary secretions rather than a reduction in jejunal secretions.

KARIN LADERFOGED
KNUD CHRISTENSEN
Medical Dept P,
Rigshospitalet,
D K-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

NOTES

PANCREATIC INFLAMMATORY DISEASE

An international workshop will be held in Marburg, West Germany on 26 and 27 April, 1990. For further information please contact Prof Dr G Adler, Zentrum Für Innere Medizin, Baldinger Str, D-3550 Marburg/L, Federal Republic of Germany.