and I hope the editors will choose 50 more problems in their second edition, but next time, please will they ask a physician and a surgeon to comment on joint management.

M R B KEIGHLEY


My heart sank when this book came on to my desk for review for there has been a recent explosion in the number of text books on medical and surgical aspects of liver disease and I understand more are on the way. It is, however, is not another surgical recipe book and the editor has tried hard to invent a new approach by including chapters on 'Hepatitis and the blood bank', 'Clinical laboratory evaluation of the liver' and 'Nutritional support in surgery of the liver'.

The editorial comments at the end of each chapter designed to highlight points which were 'of interest to the clinician' are interesting but don't quite work. In many cases they are either apologies for duplication of data or for a major omission such as the lack of any discussion on echinococcys cysts.

A major part of the book is devoted to aspects of portal hypertension and 'the big names' are well represented presenting data and attempting to analyse it in a scientific fashion. Didactic statements with questionable scientific support still creep in.

As a presentation of the scientific background to clinical liver surgery, the book is good. But serious hepato-surgologists will find much of the data presented in greater detail in the currently available tomes on liver disease and the chapters here are undistinguished abstractions from them. The occasional liver surgeon will probably prefer a book with more recipes! It does, however, have its highlights and no one will come away without having gleaned some useful tibits.

K E F HOBBS

European journal of gastroenterology and hepatology. Vol 1, no 1. Edited by J J Misiewicz. (Pp. 96; illustrated; £97.50 (personal) or £150.00 (institutional) for one year (six issues).) London: Current Science, 1989.

It might be tempting to draw the attention of our readers to the birth of a rival journal. It would be churlish, however and possibly short sighted, to ignore a publication edited by our own ex-editor. In his opening editorial, he argues that the growth of the European movement, and the rising standards and activity in European gastroenterology, require an appropriately European outlet. This may well be true; so far, of the various national gastrointestinal journals in Europe, only Gut is generally recognised to have achieved 'first line' status, an accomplishment due in no small part to George Misiewicz himself. A pan-European journal is therefore a logical development; as English is the universal scientific language, it is the inevitable choice for such a journal. Whether or not the continental Europeans will approve a pre-emptive strike by the UK, not notably Europe minded in many other matters, remains to be seen.

It might be questioned whether the publications are really the pigs (there is already a journal covering the Pacific rim) is a desirable development. Misiewicz claims that "contributions from outside Europe will not be disadvantaged in any way, and this is reflected by coopting eminent authorities from other parts of the world to the editorial board." Certainly, like every other new gastrointestinal journal, the editorial board has a familiar look to it, reminiscent of the moment in the film 'Casablanca' when, after an incident, the police chief orders his men to ' Arrest the usual suspects!' On the face of it, the book seems to be sitting on the fence and facing both ways; it is at once both European and global. It might be argued that as science knows no frontiers, it is science rather than geography that should dictate publishing policy; if more journals are needed, perhaps they should be created to serve sub-specialties needs (pancreatogastroenterology, alimentary pharmacology, and gastrointestinal motility are recent examples).

While there is clearly sufficient output to provide material for new journals, there are two more questions to be faced. Will this result in the publication of material rejected by 'first line' journals? Are the valid and certainly ephemeral? Possibly, but probably only the Science Citation Index will tell us. More urgent is the problem of access to the newer journals. Who will subscribe to them? The evidence of the subscription lists would not keep a specialist journal afloat. There is also clear evidence that, at least in the UK, library funds are not expanding to meet the cost of new journals, while in Eastern Europe, the shortage of hard currency forbids the purchase of even the established journals. The solution of this conundrum probably requires financial subsidy on an international scale (UNESCO), but publishers seek for profit, and it might be improper to allocate international funds to satisfy their appetites.

Nonetheless, the new journal has made a creditable start, and it contains useful innovations in the form of multi-author 'Review in depth' and a selected bibliography, both hallmarks of this publisher, as is the excellent standard of production. The dissemination of science is an honourable pursuit, and deserving of success.

DAVID WINGATE


This unusual book is a logcal extension of review articles analysing trial outcome. The authors have taken data obtained in 350 trials of acute duodenal ulcer treatment (333 references and analyses this exhaustively to compare efficacy and adverse effects of drugs.

Successive chapters examine methods, the nature of the data and the natural history of disease and compare investigations between drug and placebo, and between individual remedies. Trials were identified from publications in French, English, Italian, Spanish and Portugese and (for pirenzepine only) German. The exclusion of other language trials from general consideration is odd. The enthusiastic introduction by H O Conn suggests that they were included but the geographical table identifies only the 19 studies reported in English from W Germany, Austria and Switzerland (as compared with two from Spain, none from Portugal and 14 from central or South America). No individual country managed more than 17% of the total, the largest set being from Italy.

The data contain few surprises, but then the field is well filled. Perhaps the most interesting piece of information is that showing that healing rates in placebo recipients are remarkably uniform within Europe though not elsewhere. By contrast, the ranking of drug efficacy in the last chapter could be contested. Drug-placebo cross comparisons cannot really be used to contrast the efficacy of individual agents because placebo healing rate variations could be due to publication selection influences upon the drug-dosage comparisons.

This is an interesting and exhaustive statistical study. It is not essential reading but it is worth a look.

M J S LANGMAN


Volume 9, the 1989 version of this annual review of gastroenterological topics, is made up of nine chapters by 21 North American authors. The first five chapters deal with the tubal gut and exocrine pancreas, after which there are chapters reviewing gut hormones, gastrointestinal cancers, imaging of the abdomen and endoscopic advances.

The book "attempts to compile the past year's most significant advances"—it does not. There are no references after 1987 and lots from 1986. The book is therefore at least 18 months out of date, and many of the references will have been brought to the attention of readers of Current Opinion in Gastroenterology a year or more ago. And that's really making the main trouble with the book. It is out of date and boring. One does not realise how rapidly information about gastroenterological problems is changing until one encounters a book like the present one.

The book also seeks to 'build a bridge between clinical need and basic science'. Sometimes the attempt is successful—as in the chapters on osteopagus and colon. Sometimes the two aspects are quite separate, making the appropriate chapters look very odd.

I am afraid that I have to report that I am left with a taste of stodge.

K G WORMSLEY


When the first edition of this textbook appeared seven years ago, I was much impressed with the cohesion of a volume in which all but seven of the 49 chapters were the work of David Sheikhman and Niall Finlayson, all the more so because they live on opposite sides of the world. I was intrigued to find out whether its virtues would survive another edition. It is still much the same to look at, although a larger page size and type face make for easier reading, and paper more suitable for the demanding mix of dark and light inks; the half tones have been used. There are, however, undeniable signs of middle age spread. David Carter has been promoted from contributor to surgical editor, but the surgery has been lost to the world. The running data for the size of the book has increased by about 350 pages. The roster of invited contributors has trebled from five to 15.

Obviously much has happened in the last few years, and the expansion of the first four chapters on investigations and procedures from 86 to 145