Quantitative histological study of mucosal inflammatory cell densities in endoscopic duodenal biopsy specimens from dyspeptic patients using computer linked image analysis


Abstract
Inflammatory cell counting in endoscopic biopsy sections was carried out on duodenal mucosal samples from defined sites in patients with duodenal ulcer, duodenitis but no ulcer, non-ulcer dyspepsia, and asymptomatic controls using computer linked image analysis. The variables measured included polymorphonuclear and mononuclear cells per mm of superficial epithelium and per mm² lamina propria. Duodenal ulcer crater margin and mucosal biopsy specimens from endoscopically inflamed mucosa in the group with duodenitis but no ulcer showed significantly higher inflammatory cell counts than endoscopically normal non-ulcer dyspepsia and control mucosa. Biopsy specimens from non-ulcer dyspepsia patients showed significantly higher lamina propria polymorphs than control group mucosa. Endoscopically normal duodenal ulcer and duodenitis but no ulcer mucosa also showed significantly higher acute and chronic inflammatory cell counts than controls. The prevalence of Helicobacter pylori in duodenal biopsy specimens was low (0–22%) and unrelated to local inflammatory response. Despite histological appearances, duodenal biopsy specimens from non-ulcer dyspepsia patients showed significantly higher inflammatory cell infiltration than control specimens, suggesting that at least some represent part of a spectrum of subclinical peptic disease.

Although duodenitis has been described as a separate endoscopic entity from duodenal ulcer over the past two decades, macroscopic abnormalities of the duodenal mucosa reflect histologically active inflammation in only a proportion of patients and histological changes may be present in endoscopically normal mucosa. From the results of previous quantitative studies, it has been concluded that the type of duodenitis associated with active duodenal ulceration is similar in morphology to that observed in the absence of an ulcer, with the same villus abnormalities, inflammatory cell infiltrate, and response to treatment. Recently, several workers have used quantitative techniques to study duodenal mucosal inflammatory cell populations and grade the severity of duodenitis or explain a causative link between duodenitis and the presence of Helicobacter pylori. A potential criticism of these studies, however, is in their definition of the patient groups studied, in particular with reference to preceding ingestion of drugs with potential to damage the duodenal mucosa or consideration of exogenous factors such as smoking or alcohol intake. Few studies have obtained quantitative biopsy data from control subjects.

In this study we have analysed duodenal biopsy specimens in strictly defined groups of patients with different endoscopic diagnoses and in control subjects. Using statistical methods, we have attempted to show the relations between duodenal mucosal inflammation in biopsy specimens from ulcer crater margins, sites of endoscopic inflammation, and endoscopically normal mucosa within and between defined groups.

Methods

PATIENT SELECTION
Patients were recruited from those presenting with dyspepsia at a gastroenterology outpatient clinic. A clinical history, including duration of dyspepsia and details of smoking habits and alcohol intake, was obtained. Patients under 18 or over 75 years of age, those with a recent history of upper gastrointestinal bleeding, and patients with predominantly lower abdominal symptoms – namely crampy abdominal pain, disturbed bowel habit, passage of diarrhoea or pellet-like stools, or rectal bleeding – were excluded at this stage. In addition, a history of ingestion of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, aspirin compounds, cimetidine, ranitidine, or cytoprotective agents within the previous three months excluded patients from the study. Local ethical committee approval was obtained and written informed consent was given by each patient before endoscopy.

ENDOSCOPY/BIOPSY
Of 147 patients presenting with dyspepsia over a six month period, 112 were considered suitable for inclusion and proceeded to oesophagogastro-duodenoscopy. Patients were excluded at this stage if they had a lesion suspicious of gastric carcinoma or a gastric ulcer. Since the aim of the study was comparison of duodenal inflammation between ulcer crater margins, areas of endoscopic duodenitis, and endoscopically normal mucosa, the sites of duodenal biopsy depended on the overall endoscopic diagnosis at this stage. In duodenal ulcer patients, one biopsy specimen was taken...
within 5 mm of the ulcer edge (biopsy site A) and one from an area of endoscopically normal mucosa at least 10 mm distant from the edge (biopsy site B). In patients with duodenitis but no ulcer, one biopsy specimen was taken from the area of highest grade endoscopic duodenitis (biopsy site A) and one from an endoscopically normal area of the bulb (biopsy site B). In patients with an endoscopically normal duodenum, designated the non-ulcer dyspepsia group, one biopsy specimen was taken from the anterior and one from the posterior duodenal bulb (sites A and B respectively).

CONTROL PATIENTS
Ethical committee permission was obtained for the endoscopy and biopsy, in an identical manner, of nine asymptomatic healthy control volunteers who had no previous history of dyspepsia and who fulfilled the same inclusion criteria as the study groups. Duodenal biopsy specimens were sampled in an identical manner to the non-ulcer dyspepsia group.

SPECIMEN HANDLING
In order to ensure adequate orientation of the endoscopic biopsy specimens for quantitative histology, each specimen was spread mucosa-side downwards on a piece of soft dental wax and placed in a 10% formalin/saline solution. Specimens were identified by a random number at this stage and processed in the routine manner. Sections were cut to 4 μm thickness and stained with haematoxylin and eosin, Warthin-Starry silver stain and Giemsa for the detection of superficial H pylori.

PILOT STUDY AND QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS
Quantitative analysis was performed using a semiautomatic image analysis system (Kontron MOP Videoplan). At ×400 magnification, a field of the superficial epithelium and lamina propria was analysed in the centre of one section from each duodenal biopsy specimen. Within this field, the total number of polymorphs and mononuclear cells lying within a measured length of superficial epithelium and an area of lamina propria (excluding glandular cross sections) below and basement membrane were counted and expressed as polymorphs/mm, mononuclear cells/mm, polymorphs/mm² and mononuclear cells/mm² respectively. As the optimum number of fields which should be analysed within each section in a study of this type is not known at the outset, a pilot study on duodenal ulcer (site A) biopsies was carried out to examine the effect of increasing the number of fields analysed on standard error (SE) for the four quantitative parameters. The variance in inflammatory cell counts between and within subjects, biopsy specimens, and fields was calculated for five patients from each diagnostic group and components of variance analysis used to predict the effect of increasing the number of fields analysed on the SE. This study showed that increasing the number of fields above three did not reduce the SE significantly. As quantitative analysis of three fields in a single biopsy specimen took approximately one hour to complete, increasing the number of fields analysed would have increased the workload without affecting the overall statistical validity of the results obtained. Increasing the number of duodenal biopsy specimens per patient was shown to have a greater effect on reducing the SE than increasing the number of fields, but this would not have been ethically valid in patients with peptic ulcer disease. It was decided, therefore, that the study should continue with the analysis of three fields per biopsy specimen, and two biopsy specimens per patient, as in terms of ‘cost and effect’ these represent a valid yet practical sample of superficial mucosa for quantitative analysis.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Within group and between group data were compared using the Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test. Data were also compared using log transformation and Student’s t test.

Results
Of 112 patients who underwent oesophagogastroduodenoscopy, 60 went on to further biopsy and study. The duodenal ulcer group consisted of 11 male and 9 female patients with a mean (SD) age of 36.7(13.5) years. The range of duration of dyspeptic symptoms before endoscopy was 14–210 days. The duodenitis without ulcer group consisted of 13 men and seven women with a mean (SD) of 34.9(15.1) years. The range of duration of dyspeptic symptoms before endoscopy was 7–365 days in this group. The non-ulcer dyspepsia group consisted of 10 men and 10 women with a mean (SD) age of 35.6(13.8) years. The range of duration of dyspepsia was 14–180 days. All had normal ultrasonic examination of the gall bladder to exclude gall stones. The control group consisted of five men and four women with a mean (SD) age of 31.8(5.6) years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>Medians and ranges (in parentheses) for the four quantitative variables measured in each biopsy specimen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group (n = 20)</td>
<td>Polymorphs/mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU</td>
<td>287 (0–1413)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUN</td>
<td>209 (61–1346)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUD</td>
<td>208 (60–643)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>48 (0–214)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site A = Ulcer margin in DU; site of maximum grade endoscopic duodenitis in DUN; endoscopically normal mucosa in NUD and controls.
Site B = Endoscopically normal mucosa in all groups.
DU = Duodenal ulcer; DUN = Duodenitis without ulcer; NUD = Non-ulcer dyspepsia.
There were no significant differences between the groups in respect of age or alcohol intake but smoking was significantly heavier in duodenal ulcer subjects \( (p<0.05) \).

A total of 40 pretreatment biopsy specimens were taken from each of the three dyspeptic groups and 18 from the control subjects. In the duodenal ulcer and duodenitis groups only two biopsy specimens were unsuitable for quantitative analysis due to poor tissue section orientation. In the non-ulcer dyspepsia and control groups, all specimens were suitable for analysis. The median and range values for the quantitative parameters in each group are shown in Table I.

**WITHIN GROUP COMPARISONS**

Data from the two duodenal biopsy specimens were compared within each group. No significant differences were noted between the biopsy specimens A and B for any of the parameters. Logarithmic transformation and parametric comparison also showed no statistical differences.

**BETWEEN GROUP COMPARISONS**

A summary of the significant results obtained on comparing data from biopsy site B between the four groups is shown in Table III. There were no significant differences between parameters in the three patient group biopsy specimens. However, biopsy specimens from all three dyspeptic groups showed significantly higher polymorph counts than those from control subjects, and mononuclear cell counts were higher in the non-ulcer dyspepsia group than in control subjects.

**PREVALENCE OF \( H. pylori \) IN DUODENAL BIOPSY SPECIMENS**

Giemsa staining of biopsy specimens showed the \( H. pylori \) incidences in the duodenal ulcer, duodenitis, non-ulcer dyspepsia, and controls in association with areas of gastric metaplasia to be 17%, 22%, 0%, and 0% respectively. Organisms were not seen in the absence of gastric metaplasia. The prevalence rate in the duodenal ulcer and duodenitis groups was too low to permit valid non-parametric statistical analysis between \( H. pylori \) positive and negative biopsy specimens.

**Discussion**

In this study the aim was to examine possible statistical differences between biopsy sites, and no attempt was made to classify the biopsy specimens into semiquantitative histopathological grades as defined by other workers.\(^{11,12}\) Non-parametric statistical tests were used to compare results. As the cell counts were not normally distributed, parametric comparisons with and without log transformation were also employed. The quantitative data obtained showed wide variation in the superficial inflammatory cell counts, even in biopsy specimens from patients with the same endoscopic diagnosis. Although the analysis showed that individual duodenal biopsy specimens cannot be considered homogeneous for a disease group from the pathological or endoscopic viewpoint, significant differences were shown between biopsy data from the study groups.

These results support the findings of previous studies which suggest that a duodenal inflammatory cell response is usually present in the area of a duodenal ulcer crater.\(^{13,14}\) Meikle et al noted histological duodenitis distant from the ulcer in most patients with duodenal ulcer,\(^{14}\) as did Paoluzi et al, who showed that there is mid-bulbar duodenitis distant from the ulcer site.\(^{15}\) Several previous studies have also counted and compared acute and chronic inflammatory cells in patients with 'ulcer-associated duodenitis,' 'non-specific duodenitis,' and non-ulcer dyspepsia. Hasan et al suggested that duodenal mucosa endoscopically defined as showing 'mild' duodenitis did not differ significantly from endoscopically normal mucosa with respect to inflammatory cell counts.\(^4\) They showed that significant differences were present between areas of endoscopically 'severe' duodenitis and endoscopically normal control mucosa. The present study did not show such differences in the duodenitis group, based on endoscopic find-

---

**Table I** Summary of significant results on comparing quantitative parameters between duodenal ulcer (DU) margin biopsy specimens, endoscopic duodenitis in the duodenitis (DUN) group, normal mucosa in non-ulcer dyspepsia (NUD), and control subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biopsy site A</th>
<th>DUN (inflamed mucosa)</th>
<th>NUD mucosa</th>
<th>Control mucosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DU margin</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DU sig higher P/mm(^*)</td>
<td>DU sig higher P/mm(^<em>) and P/mm(^</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUN (inflamed mucosa)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>DU sig higher P/mm(^*)</td>
<td>DU sig higher P/mm(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUD mucosa</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>NUD sig higher P/mm(^*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(*p<0.05; **p<0.01.\) Sig=significantly.

**Table III** Summary of significant results obtained on comparing quantitative parameters between duodenal ulcer (DU) (endoscopically normal mucosa), duodenitis (DUN) (endoscopically normal mucosa), non-ulcer dyspepsia (NUD), and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biopsy site B</th>
<th>DUN (endoscopic normal mucosa)</th>
<th>NUD mucosa</th>
<th>Control mucosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DU (endoscopic normal mucosa)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DU sig higher P/mm(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUN (endoscopic normal mucosa)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>DU sig higher P/mm(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUD</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>NUD sig higher P/mm(^<em>) and P/mm(^</em>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(*p<0.01; **p<0.05.\) Sig=significantly.
ings. Toukan et al compared duodenal biopsy specimen inflammatory cell counts between patients with non-ulcer dyspepsia and age-sex-matched controls, using a grid counting technique.\textsuperscript{16} As in the present study, wide variation was noted between polymorph and mononuclear cell counts in the duodenal mucosa, and significantly higher polymorph counts were found in the duodenal mucosal epithelium of non-ulcer dyspepsia patients than control subjects. Although the present study showed differences between non-ulcer dyspepsia patients and control subjects with respect to lamina propria polymorphs, no difference in superficial epithelial layer inflammatory cells was shown. It is interesting that endoscopically normal mucosa in these symptomatic patients does seem to be significantly more inflamed than in control subjects but its relation to their symptoms is not clear, and it may be entirely unrelated. It has been suggested that duodenitis may be part of the spectrum of peptic ulcer disease, but variations in endoscopic grading and histological grading may explain poor correlations in clinicopathological diagnosis. Our findings could be explained by the fact that some patients included in the non-ulcer dyspepsia group have mucosal inflammation which is "subclinical" – that is not recognised by endoscopic inspection. It is possible that the duodenal mucosa in some of these patients may progress further to macroscopic changes and may be in a quiescent or resolving phase.

The simultaneous occurrence of gastric and duodenal epithelial colonisation with \textit{H pylori} and peptic ulceration has recently been the subject of a series of studies.\textsuperscript{9, 10, 17-20} \textit{H pylori} have been strongly associated with antral gastritis and areas of gastric metaplasia in the duodenum.\textsuperscript{9, 10, 18} The results of our study confirm the increased chronic inflammatory cell counts in duodenal biopsy specimens from endoscopically inflamed mucosa compared with mucosal biopsy specimens from healthy control subjects suggesting that an active inflammatory response to an exogenous agent leading to active duodenitis may be present. Review of all duodenal biopsy specimens for the presence of \textit{H pylori}, however, found the incidence to be low, between 0–22%, even in areas of duodenal gastric metaplasia. It is not possible, given the low incidence of \textit{H pylori} positive samples, to draw conclusions regarding \textit{H pylori} as an aetiological factor in these patients, and our findings do not add weight to the recently expounded "leaking roof" theory of duodenal ulceration.\textsuperscript{21}

In conclusion, there are few studies in this controversial area which have attempted to quantify duodenal mucosal inflammatory change in a controlled manner. Despite the variation between counts, it has been possible to confirm that differences exist between duodenal ulcer pericrater inflammation and endoscopically normal mucosa. The comparisons do not confirm a role for \textit{H pylori} in the mucosal inflammatory process in either duodenal ulcer or duodenitis patients. Finally, the large proportion of dyspeptic patients clinically designated as non-ulcer dyspepsia whose endoscopic appearances are normal, may comprise a subgroup of endoscopically undetectable but histologically inflamed patients who require further recognition and study to assess whether they represent part of a spectrum of gastroduodenal disease.


13 Chaput JC, Petit JF, Rain B, Buffet C, Camilleri JP, Etienne JP. Les duodenites non sp\c{c}cifiques: \\acute{e}tude de 80 cas. \textit{Arch Fr Mal App Dig} 1974; 63: 611-23.


