Systemic factors and luminal contents in gut adaptation

SIR,-I read with interest the elegant paper by Albert et al (Gut 1990; 31: 311-6). They state that the atrophic effect of diversion of luminal contents can be counteracted by systemic growth factors released as part of the adaptive response and, accordingly, systemic growth factors are not dependent on a permissive effect of luminal contents.

We used a different strategy to address a similar question. The behaviour of jejunal to colonic mucosal aut grafts was studied in an experimental animal model of short bowel syndrome. Systemic and enterocyte enzymatic activities, enterocyte enzyme activities, and in vitro glucose transport were studied at the donor and recipient graft sites in control, SBS, and gastrocolic fistula 5 week old 150 g Sprague-Dawley rats. Gastrocolic fistula grafts were maintained in the jejunocolic graft after 80% small bowel resection; animals in which small bowel was not resected showed loss of graft function and enzyme activity. Total parental nutrition did not alter graft behaviour but improved the postoperative mortality of the procedures. In addition, after creation of a gastrocolic fistula, the jejunum to colon graft lost functional jejunal activities. This may be interpreted in two ways. Either jejunal chyme and pancreaticobiliary secretion is a prerequisite for adaptation, as has been widely proposed previously,3 or the same explanation may be given to the study by Albert et al in their paper. This dual interpretation also applies to their study: it may, therefore, be premature to discount the importance of luminal nutrition.
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Why do patients with ulcerative colitis relapse?

SIR,-When dedicated research workers spend much effort employing methodology bound to fail in its object it is always regrettably. In this instance I refer to reliance on self reported answers by ulcerative colitis sufferers to questionsnaires about their emotional feelings and allegedly stressful life events reported in the article by Riley et al (Gut 1990; 31: 179-83).

Given the degree of unanimity of view expressed by critics of the above methods,1,2 and of the comparable futility of dependence on only one or two interviews to uncover emotional factors3 in inflammatory bowel disease, it is surprising that the authors of the article did not discuss these criticisms, or even refer to them.

It is now 40 years since I commented on these emotional aspects in a large series:1 'Colitis patients may be ready talkers about their symptoms but they are "dumb" about their emotional feelings.' Suggestions were then offered on how they could be helped to do so. McMahon et al,3 in criticising the insensitivity of studies by Feldman et al and Mendeloff et al,4 wrote that 'they view psychopathology largely in symptom terms, and rely heavily on patients' self-reports,' and added that 'patients with inflammatory bowel disease are notoriously poor reporters of their inner psychic life.' Hurst and Schimdt,5 who wrote that 'it is not surprising that ulcerative colitis patients [referring to Mendeloff's study] report fewer stressful life events in their lives' and 'the patients' extensive use of denial suggests that they are often unaware of the stress at a conscious level.' Ford and coworkers,6 writing of comparable experience of regional enteritis, said that 'it should not be surprising if patients with a degree of rigidity, repression and guardedness noted in our study were to answer negatively to direct questions about emotional stress' and 'before these very guarded patients can allow themselves to talk freely a relationship must be established.' Summarising the serious limitations of inventories and questionnaires in the investigation of all psychosomatic disorders, Pelser and I have said: 'It is inevitable that questionnaires/inventory techniques are all likely to uncover deeply repressed and unconscious feelings in patients whose very disability centres on their poverty of emotional expression.'

In an attempt to be constructive I would respectfully suggest that the answer to Riley et al's question is open to any clinician who is preparing to acquire the sensitive interviewing techniques needed to uncover the typically provocative emotional state in these guarded patients, and to recognise that the process is likely to entail interviews over many weeks.1,2


Reply

SIR,-Dr Paulley criticises our use of self rated questionnaires in patients with ulcerative colitis as he believes that colitis 'centres on a poverty of emotional expression.' Dr Paulley and others' have for many years championed the psychosomatic model of ulcerative colitis. Their observations are extensive but, as all are uncontrolled, we would respectfully suggest that they are of limited value. Controlled studies have consistently failed to show an excess of psychiatric illness or stressful life events in patients with colitis.1,2

The rating scales we have used been well validated in both healthy subjects and patients with psychiatric illness.3 There is no objective evidence to suggest that patients with colitis have difficulty expressing their feelings through such scales.

In our experience most patients with ulcerative colitis will openly discuss psychological conflicts, and, despite our negative findings, many believe that stressful life events precipitate relapse. However, remission, by both patient and doctor, is particularly common at times of disease relapse. Appropriately controlled studies are, therefore, essential if such biases are to be overcome.

In order to investigate events that may precipitate colitis relapse we studied a cohort of patients in established ulcerative colitis. Patients were assessed at 12 weekly intervals and were followed up to either relapse or completion at 48 weeks. The main advantage of such a study is that the patient serves as his or her own control. Using such a design we found that the frequency and severity of life events were equally matched in patients in relapse and remission and that those who relapsed graded life events as no more stressful than those who stayed in remission. Anxiety and depression ratings were also similar in the two groups and did not correlate with disease activity.

We did not assess personality characteristics in our patients, although personality differences from controls are often cited to support the role of psychological factors in the patho-