Systemic factors and luminal contents in gut adaptation

Sir,—I read with interest the elegant paper by Albert et al (Gut 1990; 31: 311–6). They state that the atrophic effect of diversion of luminal contents can be counteracted by systemic growth factors released as part of the adaptive response and, accordingly, systemic growth factors are not dependent on a permissive effect of luminal contents.

We used a different strategy to address a similar question. The behaviour of jejunal to colonic mucosal autografts was studied in an experimental animal model of short bowel syndrome. Unusual histological appearances, enterocyte enzyme activities, and in vitro glucose transport were studied at the donor and recipient graft sites in control, SBS, and gastrocolic fistula 5 week old 150 g Sprague-Dawley rats. The dual adaptive response was maintained in the jejunocolic graft after 80% small bowel resection; animals in which small bowel was not resected showed loss of graft function and enzyme activity. Total parental nutrition did not alter graft behaviour but improved the postoperative mortality of the procedures. In addition, after creation of a gastrocolic fistula, the jejunum to colon graft lost functional jejunal activities. This may be interpreted in two ways. Either jejunal chyme and pancreaticobiliary secretion is a prerequisite for adaptation, as has been widely proposed previously; or the same explanation may be even more proposed by Albert et al in their paper. This dual interpretation also applies to their study: it may, therefore, be premature to discount the importance of luminal nutrition.
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Reply

Sir,—The results of our study indicated, as stated by the correspondents, that the action of systemic growth factors released in response to the short bowel syndrome, are not dependent on the presence of luminal contents: they induce proliferation in bypassed loops where neither nutrients nor pancreaticobiliary secretions are present. This conclusion should not be misinterpreted to mean that we consider that luminal nutrients or pancreaticobiliary secretions, or both, are unimportant in other situations. We agree that the importance of luminal contents in the adaptive process should not be discounted. However, such factors could not have been responsible for the proliferative response seen in the bypassed loop in the rats subjected to 85% jejunal bypass. Previous studies have indicated the presence of circulating growth factors in the short bowel syndrome, but it has remained possible that these are simply due to an epiphenomenon related to leakage of locally acting growth factors into the circulation. Our findings suggest that they play an important, and not a minor secondary, part.
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Why do patients with ulcerative colitis relapse?

Sir,—When dedicated research workers spend much effort employing methodology bound to fail in its objective it is always regrettable. In this instance I refer to reliance on self reported answers by ulcerative colitis sufferers to questionnaires about their emotional and allegedly stressful life events reported in the article by Riley et al (Gut 1990; 31: 179–83).

Given the degree of unanimity of view expressed by critics of the above methods, and of the comparable futility of dependence on only one or two interviews to uncover emotional factors in inflammatory bowel disease, it is surprising that the authors of the article did not discuss these criticisms, or even refer to them.

It is now 40 years since I commented on these emotional aspects in a large series: ‘Colitis patients may be ready talkers about their symptoms but they are “dumb” about their emotional feelings.’ Suggestions were then offered on how they could be helped to do so. McMahon et al, in criticising the insensitivity of studies by Feldman et al and Mendeloff et al, wrote that ‘they view psychopathology largely in symptomatic terms, and rely heavily on patients’ self-reports,’ and added that ‘patients with inflammatory bowel disease are notoriously poor reporters of their inner psychic experience’. The authors of this work, who wrote that ‘it is not surprising that ulcerative colitis patients [referring to Mendeloff’s study] report fewer stressful life events in their lives’ and ‘the patients’ extensive use of denial suggests that they are often unaware of the stress at a conscious level.’ Ford and coworkers, writing of comparable experience of regional enteritis, said that ‘it should not be surprising if patients with a degree of rigidity, repression and guardedness noted in our study were to answer negatively to direct questions about emotional stress’ and ‘before these very guarded patients can allow themselves to talk freely a relationship must be established.’ Summarising the serious limitations of inventories and questionnaires in the investigation of all psychosomatic disorders, Pelser and I have said: ‘It is inevitable that questionnaires/inventory techniques are valid only if they uncover deeply repressed and unconscious feelings in patients whose very disability centres on their poverty of emotional expression.’

In an attempt to be constructive I would respectfully suggest that the answer to Riley et al’s question is open to any clinician who is prepared to acquire the sensitive interviewing techniques needed to uncover the typically provocative emotional state in these guarded patients, and to recognise that the process is likely to entail interviews over many weeks.1 3 5
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Reply

Sir,—Dr Paulley criticises our use of self rated questionnaires in patients with ulcerative colitis as he believes that colitis ‘centres on a poverty of emotional expression.’ Dr Paulley and others’ have for many years championed the psychosomatic model of ulcerative colitis. Their observations are extensive but, as all are uncontrolled, we would respectfully suggest that they are of limited value. Controlled studies have consistently failed to show an excess of psychological, illness or stressful life events in patients with colitis.14

The rating scales we have used have been well validated in both healthy subjects and patients with psychiatric illness.15 There is no objective evidence to suggest that patients with colitis have difficulty expressing their feelings through such scales.

In our experience most patients with ulcerative colitis will openly discuss psychological conflicts, and, despite our negative findings, many believe that stressful life events precipitate relapse. However, rumination, by both patient and doctor, is particularly common at times of disease relapse. Appropriately controlled studies are, therefore, essential if such biases are to be overcome.

In order to investigate events that may precipitate colitis relapse we studied a cohort of patients in established remission. Patients were assessed at 12 weekly intervals and were followed up to either relapse or completion at 48 weeks. The main advantage of such a study is that the patient serves as his or her own control. Using such a design we found that the frequency and severity of life events were equally matched in patients in relapse and remission and that those who relapsed graded life events no more stressful than those who stayed in remission. Anxiety and depression ratings were also similar in the two groups and did not correlate with disease activity.

We did not assess personality characteristics in our patients, although personality differences from controls are often cited to support the role of psychological factors in the patho...
genesis of ulcerative colitis. It should not be stated that ulcerative colitis is a chronic debilitating illness characterised by episodic bloody diarrhoea; many patients suffer faecal incontinence, some require surgical intervention, and some are at increased risk of colon cancer. That such patients have a tendency to neuroticism and introversion is, perhaps, not surprising. We would not wish to discourage the detailed psychological assessment of patients with ulcerative colitis, but this will only improve our understanding of disease pathogenesis if undertaken in the setting of appropriately controlled clinical trials. Further understanding of this illness would be at best unhelpful and at worst strengthen an already well established bias.
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Enteroopathy associated with HIV

Str.—We read with interest the study by Cummins et al (Gut 1990; 31: 317–21) on the enteropathy associated with HIV infection. There is a growing body of evidence for small bowel pathology in patients infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and there is broad agreement that atrophy of villi is characteristic of such enteropathy. Furthermore, there is evidence that jejunal mucosal pathology may be related to inflammatory bowel disease patients and their healthy siblings. Psychosom Med 1975; 37: 91–103.

There are, however, conflicting reports on the changes in crypt cell proliferation in association with this such villous atrophy. The paper by Cummins et al reports jejunal crypts of normal length, but with increased mitotic rate, in HIV infected patients. This is in general agreement with our own findings. As Cummins et al reiterate, we found a broad spread in crypt length in our patients, ranging from hypoplastic (in one patient with AIDS) through normality to hyperplasia. However, the mean jejunal crypt length in our total of 20 HIV infected patients suggested hyperplasia. Notably, we found a strong correlation between the degree of atrophy of villi and the degree of hyperplasia of crypts, and faced with these data it is difficult to avoid the conclusion that there may be a causal (rather than coincidental) relation between these two variables. It is well recognised that enteric infection may induce hyperplastic villous atrophy, and no doubt the dynamics of HIV enteropathy are complicated by opportunistic infections (even, possibly, with as yet unrecognised pathogens). Ulrich et al have attempted to unravel the two, and have described an HIV specific hyperplastic enteropathy, masked in some patients by the crypt hyperplasia induced by secondary pathogens. We quantified hyperplastic HIV enteropathy also in the absence of indistinguishable opportunistic infections, but the paper by Cummins et al fails to exclude the effects of other pathogens.

Clearly, the mechanisms underlying the villous atrophy of HIV enteropathy remain elusive and are likely to be multifactorial. Its clarification may have to await an in vitro or animal model of HIV infection, when complicating factors can be more carefully controlled.
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Falk Symposium, 1990

These will take place in Freiburg, West Germany as follows:

8–10 Oct Hepatic metabolism
11–13 Oct Bile acids as therapeutic agents
15–17 Oct Mechanisms of peptic ulcer healing
18–20 Oct Inflammatory bowel diseases

Further information: Falk Foundation eV, Leinenweberstrasse 5, Postfach 6529, D-7800 Freiburg, West Germany.

Endoscopy workshop

The Chinese Society of Digestive Endoscopy, C.M.A. and the Hong Kong Society of Digestive Endoscopy will be holding the International Workshop and Symposium on Therapeutic Endoscopy and Gastroenterology on 9–12 October 1990 in Beijing, China.

Further details: Dr Joseph Leung, Department of Medicine, Prince of Wales Hospital, Shatin NT, Hong Kong. Tel: (852)–63631285; Fax: (852)–6350075.

Sir Francis Avery Jones BSG Research Award 1991

Applications are invited by the Education Committee of the British Society of Gastroenterology who will recommend to Council the recipient of the 1991 Award. Applications (15 copies) should include:

1 (a) a manuscript (2 A4 pages only) describing the work conducted;
(b) a bibliography of relevant personal publications;
(c) an outline of the proposed content of the lecture, including title;
(d) a written statement confirming that all or a substantial part of the work has been personally conducted in the United Kingdom or Eire.

The Award consists of a medal and a £100 prize. Entries must be 40 years or less on 31 December 1991 but need not be a member of the BSG. The recipient will be required to deliver a 40 minute lecture at the Spring Meeting of the Society in Manchester in 1991. Application (15 copies) should be made to: The Honorary Secretary, BSG, 3 St Andrew’s Place, Regent’s Park, London NW1 4LB by 1 December 1990.