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Abstract
In order to investigate the response of gastro-oesophageal reflux after medically induced healing of oesophagitis and its relation to the occurrence of relapse during prophylactic treatment, 20 patients with erosive/ulcerative oesophagitis underwent 24 hour oesophageal pH monitoring before and after healing achieved with 12 to 24 week treatment with ranitidine 150 or 300 mg twice daily. Compared with pretreatment values, after macroscopic healing, a significant reduction in daytime median percentage of reflux time (10-0 v 6-5; p<0.05) and median number of reflux episodes lasting more than 5 minutes (5-5 v 1-0; p<0.05) were observed, whereas during night time reflux frequency and severity did not change. During maintenance treatment with ranitidine, 150 or 300 mg nocte, five of the six patients, who had shown no improvement in gastro-oesophageal reflux after acute healing, relapsed. These results suggest that, in contrast with previous work, a decrease in gastro-oesophageal reflux in patients with reflux oesophagitis can be achieved after macroscopic healing, and that the occurrence of such a reduction after acute healing is predictive of a good response to longterm treatment.

Endoscopic assessment of healing in patients with reflux oesophagitis seems to be simple and reliable, and therefore it is commonly used in clinical trials because macroscopic disappearance of mucosal lesions is considered to be an important objective of medical treatment. It is now clear, however, that mucosal repair and symptomatic relief do not necessarily behave in tandem with parallelism. It has also been observed that medically induced healing of reflux oesophagitis is not accompanied by the return to normal of histological features or by an improvement in motor function, as assessed by various tests. Lower oesophageal sphincter pressure, for instance, has been shown not to increase after successful treatment with H2 receptor antagonists, whereas it recovers after surgical treatment; similarly, oesophageal peristaltic activity, acid clearance, and the acid perfusion (Bernstein) test seem not to be consistently affected by healing.

These findings have led to the hypothesis that the oesophageal dismotility, which is considered to be an integral part of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, may not be reversible with medical treatment and may contribute to the frequent occurrence of relapse in medically treated patients after symptomatic and endoscopic healing. Other workers have proposed prolonging treatment beyond the disappearance of mucosal lesions and symptomatic relief in 'an attempt to improve mucosal inflammation and possibly also oesophageal function.'

In the evaluation of gastro-oesophageal reflux, prolonged oesophageal pH monitoring seems to be the most sensitive and specific test available, particularly if it is carried out for 24 hours. In only two of the studies quoted above, however, was oesophageal pH monitoring used to investigate whether gastro-oesophageal reflux was actually reduced after healing: in both studies the oesophageal pH profiles proved not to be significantly modified, but in both there were only a few patients (eight and eleven), and in the Lieberman's study some patients in fact had minimal or no oesophagitis (mucosal erythema) at the time of admission.

We therefore undertook a study in a larger series of ambulatory outpatients with erosive/ulcerative oesophagitis, corresponding to Savary and Miller grade 1 to 4, to verify whether 12 to 24 weeks of successful treatment with 150 or 300 mg ranitidine twice daily can modify the pattern of gastro-oesophageal reflux compared to the pretreatment level. In addition, we prospectively investigated whether in individual patients any improvement in gastro-oesophageal reflux can predict a successful response during subsequent prophylactic treatment with ranitidine.

Methods
PATIENTS
Twenty patients with reflux oesophagitis which had healed after treatment with ranitidine were studied. All 20 patients received 12 and 24 weeks of treatment with ranitidine, at a dose of 150 or 300 mg twice daily, respectively, in a controlled clinical trial, the results of which are presented elsewhere (submitted). Informed consent was

| TABLE 1: Characteristics of patients (n=20). (Data are expressed as medians) |
| Age (years) | 50 |
| Sex | 14 men, 7 women |
| Duration of heartburn (years) | 3-5 |
| Treatment during acute study: Ranitidine 150 mg twice daily (n) | 15 |
| Ranitidine 300 mg twice daily (n) | 5 |
| Symptom score (none/mild/moderate/severe): Before treatment | 0/4/10/6 |
| After treatment | 15/30/2 |
| Endoscopy grading | |
| Grade I & II | 16 |
| Grade III & IV | 4 |
| Oesophageal pH<4 (% of 24 h): Before treatment | 10 |
| After treatment | 7-8 |
obtained from all the patients before treatment began. All were submitted to upper gastro-
intestinal fibre endoscopy before and after treatment; all were subsequently treated pro-
phylactically for six to 12 months with half the dose of ranitidine given previously. Control
endoscopy was performed after six months and, if there was no relapse, after 12 months. The
severity of oesophagitis was scored according to
the Savary and Miller classification (grade
1=non-confluent superficial erosions; grade 2=
confuent erosions, but not covering the whole
circumference; grade 3=circumferentially con-
fluent erosions; grade 4=presence of chronic
complications, such as ulcers, stricture, Barrett’s
metaplasia).

The presence of hiatal hernia was endoscopi-
cally defined. Before they entered the study the
history and symptoms of the patients were
assessed and recorded.

Severity of heartburn was defined as: mild –
burning pain that resolves spontaneously;
moderate – burning pain that resolves with one
dose of antacids; severe – burning pain that
persists despite at least one dose of antacids.

Symptoms were assessed again on the same day
that control endoscopy was performed – that is,
after 12 or 24 weeks of treatment and after six or
12 months of longterm treatment.

**pH MONITORING STUDIES**

Each patient underwent 24 hour oesophageal pH
monitoring before starting treatment. The tech-
nique is described elsewhere. Briefly, after an
overnight fast a combined glass electrode (Ingold
M440, Ingold, Switzerland) was passed trans-
nasally after light pharyngeal anaesthesia with
2% lidocaine, passed into the stomach, and then
withdrawn and positioned 5 cm above the gastro-
oesophageal junction, identified pH metrically.

The outer end of the probe was then connected
to a portable recorder (Autronicord CM 18,
Autronic, FRG) and the position of the intra-
luminal tip of the probe checked fluoroscopically.
The subjects could then leave the unit and come back 24 hours later to have the
probe removed. During the test patients were
instructed to have an average Italian diet, con-
sisting in three meals of about 2000 calories in
total. Each patient had exactly the same meal
during the basal and the 24 hour pH monitoring
studies after healing. The following parameters
were calculated for the whole 24 hour period,
and separately for daytime (0700 to 2300) and
night time (2300 to 0700); percentage time with
pH<4; number of reflux (oesophageal pH<4)
episodes; number of reflux episodes lasting more
than five minutes. The pH-metry was repeated
within seven days of endoscopic evidence of
healing, and after at least three days after raniti-
dine was discontinued ('wash-out' period).

**DATA ANALYSIS**

PH-metry data were analysed by non-
parametrical statistical techniques, such as the
Wilcoxon-White test, according to the recom-
endations recently published by a panel of
international experts.

**Results**

Table I gives the patient characteristics. The
median age was 50 years, with a duration of
heartburn symptoms of 3-5 years. The oesopha-
gitis was mainly mild, with only two patients
with ulcerative oesophagitis. The pretreatment
24 hour pH recordings were noticeably abnormal
in the population: the median percentage of time
with a pH<4 was 10-3 during the 24 hour test
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Table II: 24 hour results in patients before and after endoscopic healing of oesophagitis. (Data are expressed as medians)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oesophageal pH&lt;4:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total period</td>
<td>10-3</td>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of daytime (16 h)</td>
<td>10-0</td>
<td>6-5</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of night time (8 h)</td>
<td>6-6</td>
<td>9-7</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux episodes (n):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During total period</td>
<td>49-5</td>
<td>48-0</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During daytime</td>
<td>38-5</td>
<td>38-5</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During night time</td>
<td>5-5</td>
<td>8-0</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III: 24 hour pH results after acute healing in patients with and without relapse of oesophagitis. (Data are expressed as medians)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Relapse (n=6)</th>
<th>No relapse (n=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oesophageal pH&lt;4:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total period</td>
<td>7-6</td>
<td>10-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of daytime (16 h)</td>
<td>7-1</td>
<td>9-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of night (8 h)</td>
<td>6-2</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux episodes (n):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During total period</td>
<td>36-5</td>
<td>49-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During daytime</td>
<td>33-0</td>
<td>38-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During night time</td>
<td>5-5</td>
<td>6-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux episodes of 5 minutes’ duration (n):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During total period</td>
<td>4-0</td>
<td>9-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During daytime</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>8-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During night time</td>
<td>1-0</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: The percentage time with oesophageal pH<4 during night time (8 h) in the 20 patients with oesophagitis before treatment and after healing of oesophagitis. For explanations of symbols, see Fig 1.

Figure 4: The relation between percentage of daytime reflux before and after treatment in the 20 patients (regression equation: y=8·49+0·45x; significance of slope= i=1·32; df=18; p=0·2) (see also text). For explanations of symbols, see Fig 1.

observed in nine patients after 12 weeks of treatment, when 12/20 patients showed endoscopic healing of oesophagitis; after 24 weeks of treatment, all 20 were healed, and 14 were completely asymptomatic.

The changes in oesophageal pH parameters after healing are shown in Table II. As a group, the patients showed a non-significant reduction in median percentage time with pH<4 during the 24 hour period (7-8 compared to 10-3 before treatment, p>0.05): reflux decreased in seven patients (in four of whom it returned to normal values), increased in five patients, and remained unchanged in eight (in three patients it was already within the normal range before treatment) (Fig 1). The individual data of percentage time with reflux during the daytime and night time periods are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The median daytime percentage of reflux during the day decreased significantly from 10% before treatment to 7-5% after healing (p<0.05), whereas the median nocturnal reflux did not change significantly (6-6% v 9-7%, p>0.05).

The severity of daytime reflux tended to decrease in parallel with the pretreatment values; the trend toward a linear correlation (Fig 4; regression equation: y=8·49+0·45x), however, was not significant (significance of slope: t=1-32; degrees of freedom=18; p=0.2). As for the number of reflux episodes, no significant changes were observed in either the whole 24 hour period or in daytime and night time periods as considered separately (Table II). The number of reflux episodes of over 5 minutes’ duration, however, showed after macroscopic healing similar changes to those observed in percentage time with reflux: in particular, the number of daytime episodes but not nocturnal episodes was
reduced compared to the pretreatment values, whereas it was not significantly changed the total 24 hour number of such reflux episodes (Table II).

To ascertain the relation between change of reflux (if any) after healing and outcome of prophylactic treatment, all the patients underwent a control endoscopy after six and 12 months of maintenance treatment with ranitidine (see Methods). Ten patients remained relapse free after one year of maintenance treatment; six had a relapse of oesophagitis (four patients after six months, two patients after 12 months); finally, one patient dropped out and two patients continued treatment as stated by the protocol, but refused the control endoscopy because they were completely well.

The pH-metry data according to whether a relapse occurred are given in Table III. Of the six patients who relapsed, five had shown either no variation or even an increase of acid gastro-oesophageal reflux at the end of the previous short term treatment, and only one patient had shown a significant reduction of reflux after healing of oesophagitis (% time with pH<4 during 24 hour: pretreatment v posthealing value=55.8% v 11.9%). It must be pointed out, however, that despite the pronounced decrease, in this patient the reflux was not normal – that is, it lasted for more than 7% of total time.

**Discussion**

It is now commonly accepted that oesophagoscropy (± biopsy) and oesophageal pH-metry are the most accurate diagnostic tests of oesophagitis and uncomplicated gastro-oesophageal reflux, respectively. Often, however, inclusion criteria adopted in clinical trials with patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease include unreliable endoscopic signs, such as reddening, friability, granularity, and loss of glistening of the mucosa, in the absence of mucosal defects, without a positive diagnosis of pathological gastro-oesophageal reflux as defined by 24 hour pH-metry. A further problem arises in defining healing of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, for a complete treatment success should ideally include both the disappearance of mucosal lesions and the normalisation of reflux. Several studies have shown, however, that medical treatment is not accompanied by an improvement in oesophageal function, even if the symptoms and the endoscopic features have been relieved, whereas surgery is followed not only by an increase in lower oesophageal sphincter pressure but also by clinical remission which persists for the entire follow up period.

The consequences of these observations, if confirmed, might be of clinical importance: it could be argued, on the one hand, that surgery is the only cure for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, but, on the other hand, that the correction of motor abnormalities is beyond the goal of medical treatment. Alternatively, it has been suggested that medical treatment may need to be continued also after endoscopic normalisation and symptomatic relief if relapse is to be avoided because gastro-oesophageal reflux is still present soon after endoscopic healing of oesophagitis.

The only two studies which included 24 hour pH-metry in the assessment of oesophageal motility changes after healing were carried out on a very limited sample of patients. We decided to undertake a study to find out in a larger series of patients whether successful treatment with the usual dose (150 mg twice daily) or higher than usual dose (300 mg twice daily) of ranitidine, administered for 12 weeks (or 24 weeks, in the case of no healing or incomplete healing), may in fact improve the pattern of gastro-oesophageal reflux compared to the pretreatment level. Furthermore, since no data on longterm outcome were provided in the above studies, we prospectively investigated the relation between relapse during longterm treatment with ranitidine and response of gastro-oesophageal reflux to short term treatment.

The results of the study indicate that reflux occurring during the daytime is significantly reduced after oesophagitis is healed. This is at variance with previous reports and may be explained by the higher statistical power of our study, which is due to the larger patient sample, and perhaps also by the longer duration of treatment – up to 24 weeks instead of the usual eight to 12. This reduction in gastro-oesophageal reflux is not due to a pharmacological effect of ranitidine, since the posthealing pH monitoring was performed after a 'wash out' period when ranitidine was stopped for three days. Also, daytime reduction in gastro-oesophageal reflux seemed not to be related to any change in the patient's weight or smoking habit, though these were encouraged, because no significant changes in these features occurred.

Presumably, therefore, the observed reduction in gastro-oesophageal reflux is related to the macroscopic healing of the oesophageal mucosa, which in some way may have improved oesophageal motor function. This is stated by the circular theory that reflux produces injury which impairs oesophageal peristalsis and sphincter function, thus promoting more reflux. Our study shows that successful treatment may in fact interrupt this vicious cycle of oesophagitis, reducing the amount of reflux. This finding needs to be confirmed in a prospective study, although a similar trend has been observed in the study by Baldi et al, in which the statistical power was too small to be significant.

The day to day variation of the test might hypothetically account for the apparent reduction of post-healing daytime reflux. Indeed, the concordance of two consecutive ambulatory 24 hour intraoesophageal pH monitoring investigations has been shown to be high, but not optimal, with a value of 83% for the daytime period and 62% for the night period, respectively. According to these data, we could expect a change of ±1-3% acid exposure time only as a consequence of the variability of the test (of the reflux ?). We did not test the reproducibility of pH monitoring, but the magnitude of the reduction we observed is almost threefold greater (−3-5%) than expected on the basis of the data of Johnson and Joelsson.

Why reflux occurring during the night is not affected by macroscopic healing is unclear. Kruse-Andersen et al have recently shown, by
performing 12 hour simultaneous measurement of oesophageal pH and pressure activity in patients with oesophagitis, that apart from the three postprandial hours, the period with the highest frequency of reflux and time with acid in the oesophagus was night and that the pressure activity was also reduced. Under such circumstances, contact between the acid refluxate and the mucosa is longer if the reflux occurs when the patient is recumbent than when in the upright position, also because the antireflux action of gravity is absent. Thus patients with reflux that occurs mainly during the night ('supine' reflux) show a more severe oesophagitis than those with reflux that occurs mainly during the day ('upright' reflux).

Furthermore, it has recently been observed that among the different mechanisms of gastro-oesophageal reflux the absence of basal LES pressure becomes more common with increasing severity of oesophagitis, being associated with 23% of episodes in patients with complicated oesophagitis. In our series of patients overall the initial severity of oesophagitis was mild, with only two patients having frank ulceration of the oesophageal mucosa. This is reflected by a prevalence of only four out of 20 patients experiencing more reflux while supine.

We did not perform manometric evaluation of oesophageal peristalsis, but this has consistently been shown not to be modified by successful medical treatment, in contrast to the positive change observed after surgery. In our study, however, after oesophagitis healed the number of reflux episodes lasting more than 5 minutes, which is considered to reflect the clearance activity of the oesophagus after an episode of acid reflux, showed the same pattern as the percentage time with reflux – that is, a significant decrease during the day and no variation during the night compared to pretreatment values.

To date no studies using 24 hour oesophageal pH monitoring have been done to identify patients with reflux oesophagitis who respond or do not respond to maintenance treatment. In our study we treated all 20 patients prophylactically with a single nocturnal dose of 150 or 300 mg of ranitidine, for a maximum of 12 months. Interestingly, of the six patients who relapsed during maintenance treatment, five had shown either no reduction or an increase in reflux parameters during both daytime and night time after acute healing, whereas the sixth patient had only a non-significant reduction of both frequency and duration of reflux. This finding might explain the high relapse rate reported in the studies on maintenance treatment with H2 antagonists, which showed a higher figure than was observed, for example, in duodenal ulcer prophylaxis. From our data we postulate that in some patients gastro-oesophageal reflux may persist unaffected despite healing of oesophageal mucosal lesions; in such cases a relapse will occur even if patients are prophylactically treated with an H2 antagonist. In the only study on the factors that influence relapse of oesophagitis of which we are aware, ranitidine treatment was not found to be a relevant prognostic factor in a multiple stepwise linear regression analysis. The role of drug interactions, as well as the role of newer and more potent antisecretory agents, such as omeprazole, in the longterm treatment of reflux oesophagitis remains to be elucidated.

We conclude that our study shows that, at variance with previous data, reflux occurring during the day may be reduced by a successful course of treatment with ranitidine, provided that macroscopic healing is achieved. Patients who show such a decrease in reflux have a lower risk of relapse during maintenance treatment with the drug. Finally, the reduction in daytime gastro-oesophageal reflux seems not to be related to the severity of oesophagitis or the degree of reflux before treatment.
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