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Abstract
In a prospective blind evaluation of multiple colonic mucosal biopsy specimens, 45 clinically well defined patients with chronic inflammatory bowel disease (21 Crohn's disease and 24 ulcerative colitis) and 16 control subjects (seven normal subjects and nine patients with diverticular disease) were studied to identify reproducible histopathological features which could distinguish chronic inflammatory bowel disease (CIBD) from non-CIBD and Crohn's disease from ulcerative colitis. Using kappa statistics 16 of 41 histological features were sufficiently reproducible for further stepwise discriminant analysis to differentiate between CIBD and non-CIBD, and between Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. Using the combination of three features (an increase of lymphocytes and plasma cells in the lamina propria, the presence of branching of crypts, and neutrophils in the crypt epithelium) we were able to distinguish CIBD from non-CIBD in 89% of the cases with high probability ($p>0.85$). To separate Crohn's disease from ulcerative colitis three features (an excess of histiocytes in combination with a villous or irregular aspect of the mucosal surface and granulomas) had a high predictive value. Using these features 70% of Crohn's disease patients and 75% of ulcerative colitis patients were correctly classified with a high probability ($p>0.85$). These findings indicate that the pathologist is dependent on the presence of only a few histological features for a reliable classification of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis.

Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, although fully described in several studies, continue to present a problem in the differential diagnosis from the clinical as well as the histopathological point of view. The two diseases share many histopathological features and the discriminating characteristics are often subtle and ill defined. During the evaluation of colonic mucosal biopsy specimens for chronic inflammatory bowel disease (CIBD) the pathologist will often endorse the clinical diagnosis by selecting the histopathological features which fit the diagnosis. The histopathological diagnosis of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis should be based on discriminating histological features which are sufficiently reproducible. Assessment of observer variation may identify diagnostic problem areas and may have great therapeutic consequences in clinical practice. However, few studies have been carried out in which the reproducibility and diagnostic value of histopathological features in the differential diagnosis of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis have been investigated.

We performed a prospective blind evaluation of a large number of histopathological features in multiple colonic biopsy specimens from patients with clinically well defined CIBD to accomplish three main objectives: (i) to assess the reproducibility of the histological features commonly used in the histopathological evaluation of colonic mucosal biopsy specimens; (ii) to determine which combination of features has the highest discriminative power in distinguishing CIBD from non-CIBD; (iii) to determine in patients classified as having CIBD which combination of histological features has the highest diagnostic value in distinguishing between Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis.

Methods
The evaluation was made on a total of 61 patients: 45 patients with CIBD (Crohn's disease of ulcerative colitis) and 16 control subjects.

PATIENTS WITH CIBD
Twenty one patients with Crohn's disease (seven men, 14 women; mean age 31.4 years, range 21–62 years) and 24 patients with ulcerative colitis (14 men, 10 women; mean age 40 years, range 15–70 years) were included in this study. The diagnosis of Crohn's disease was based on well established clinical, endoscopic, and radiological parameters. Features characteristic of Crohn's disease: perianal pathology, distal ileum involvement, fistulas, eccentric involvement of the colon, serpiginous or longitudinal ulcers, fissures, cobblestones, and skip lesions. Criteria were comparable with those proposed by Surawicz and Belic.

The clinical diagnosis of ulcerative colitis was based on a characteristic history of recurrent blood/mucus loss, mucosal abnormalities/friability, and loss of vascular architecture, mucopurulent material covering the mucosa, and lesions increasing in severity towards the rectoanal canal, often supported by radiological findings, consistent with a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis. Faecal cultures were all negative for Salmonella, Shigella, Yersinia, and Campylobacter. No antibiotics had been prescribed previously except salazosulfapyridine. The majority of the cases are under regular surveillance in our outpatient department and no change
in diagnosis was necessary later at clinical follow up. Patients with doubtful criteria (indeterminate colitis) were not included in the study.

All these clinically defined patients with typical Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis had a colonoscopy or sigmoidoscopy and multiple biopsy specimens were taken, usually from descending colon, sigmoid, and rectum, and if possible from transverse and ascending colon (Table I). At least three biopsy specimens were taken from each site. Therefore usually nine specimens per patient were studied from the nine to 15 specimens taken. Specimens were taken from inflamed mucosa (when present), not from ulcer margins or ulcer debris.

The clinical activity of the disease was determined according to the Sutherland score for ulcerative colitis and the Harvey-Bradshaw score for Crohn's disease.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Crohn's disease (n=21)</th>
<th>Ulcerative colitis (n=24)</th>
<th>Diverticular disease (n=9)</th>
<th>Normal (n=7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectum, sigmoid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse colon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum, sigmoid, descending colon</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum, descending, transverse colon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum, sigmoid, descending, transverse colon</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum, sigmoid, transverse, ascending colon</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

Histological features used in the evaluation of colonic biopsy specimens from patients with CIBD and control subjects

**Mucosal epithelial features:**
1. intact mucosa
2. villous mucosal surface: normal, irregular, villous
3. erosion
4. ulceration: superficial, deep, none
5. neutrophils in surface epithelium
6. normal crypt architecture
7. dilated crypts
8. branching crypts
9. loss of parallelism of the crypts
10. gap between the crypt bases and the muscularis mucosae, without the presence of a lymphoid infiltrate
11. intercrypt distance: normal, increased
12. mucus content: normal, increased, decreased
13. hyperplastic epithelium
14. dysplasia
15. endocrine cell metaplasia
16. Paneth cell metaplasia

**Lamina muscularis:**
17. increase of lymphocytes and plasma cells
18. chronic inflammatory infiltrate with plasma cells between the bases of the crypts and muscularis mucosae
19. excess of histiocytes in the chronic inflammatory infiltrate
20. neutrophils in lamina propria: absent, superficial, basal, equal
21. neutrophils in crypt epithelium
22. basal neutrophilic cryptitis
23. basal histiocytic cryptitis
24. basal histiocytic cryptitis: with eosinophils and giant cells, with eosinophils and no giant cells, with no eosinophils and with giant cells, with no eosinophils and no giant cells
25. epithelioid granuloma: with giant cells, with no giant cells
26. location of epithelioid granuloma: superficial, basal, submucosa
27. microgranulomas
28. location of microgranulomas: superficial, basal, equal
29. focal chronic inflammation
30. diffuse chronic inflammation
31. crypt abscess: more than three, less than three, none
32. cystic crypt abscess
33. location of crypt abscess: superficial, basal
34. distribution of crypt abscess: focal, diffuse
35. isolated giant cell
36. location of isolated giant cells: superficial, basal
37. basal lymphoid aggregate

**Muscularis mucosae:**
38. muscularis mucosae: normal, thickened

**Submucosa:**
39. disproportionate inflammation
40. increase of the degree of inflammation from proximal to distal
41. increase of the degree of inflammation from distal to proximal

### Control Subjects

The control group (n=16) comprised nine patients with diverticular disease (six men, three women; mean age 69-4 years, range 56-86 years) and seven healthy control subjects (two men, five women; mean age 57-6 years, range 28-75 years) who were seen during follow up for resection of polyps. All had sigmoidoscopy, and multiple biopsy specimens were taken from descending colon, sigmoid, and rectum. All faecal cultures were negative.

### Biopsy Processing

Biopsy specimens were fixed in sublimate formaldehyde, paraffin-embedded, cut at 4 μm, step sectioned, and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. From each biopsy specimen at least three slides, each containing six serial sections, were examined. Biopsy specimens were randomised and coded so that the histological evaluation of the slides was performed without any clinical information.

### Histological Features

On the basis of published data and our own experience we chose 41 histopathological features to evaluate (Table II). We particularly chose qualitative features that can be recognised by the pathologist in daily practice. Before histological evaluation a list of the definitions of the histological features was provided to the four participating pathologists (BCM, CJLM, JL, CAS).

Most of the histological features (Table II) are self explanatory, but a few need to be defined as follows:

- **Feature 2:** a villous mucosal surface is a surface contour of broad villous like projections with a villous crypt ratio of more than 1:5 (Fig IA); a mucosal surface with a villous crypt ratio between 1 and 1:5 was considered an irregular surface.

- **Feature 3:** an erosion was diagnosed if neutrophils were present in the base of the area of loss of the surface epithelium; the presence of neutrophils assures that the break in the epithelium is not an artefact produced by biopsy trauma.

- **Feature 4:** an ulceration was deep if it extended into the submucosa.

- **Feature 8:** branching crypts were diagnosed if there was a distorted crypt architecture in which two or more branched crypts in a well orientated biopsy were present. Often this branching is accompanied with shortening of crypts. Branching in the vertical plane could easily be recognised, but branching in the horizontal plane often produced acinar glandular profiles.

- **Feature 10:** another feature of distorted architecture was the presence of an enlarged space between the bottoms of the crypts and the muscularis mucosae with a 'shortfall' of the crypts.

- **Feature 11:** intercrypt distance was increased when mucosa showed a clear loss of the number of crypts.

- **Feature 14:** dysplasia is defined as an unequivocal neoplastic alteration of the colonic epithelium.
Inflammation is an inflammatory chronic inflammatory infiltrate. (C) Crohn's disease patient: an excess of histiocytes. (D) Crohn's disease patient: basal histiocytic cryptitis. Arrows indicate the histiocytic component.

Figure 1: (A) Ulcerative colitis patient: villous surface in a colon biopsy specimen. (B) Ulcerative colitis patient: non-histioctytic chronic inflammatory infiltrate. (C) Crohn's disease patient: an excess of histiocytes. (D) Crohn's disease patient: basal histiocytic cryptitis. Arrows indicate the histiocytic component.

Feature 17: The number of round cells in the lamina propria of normal colonic biopsy specimens varies widely. Thus it may be difficult to decide when round cell numbers are abnormally increased. Therefore an increase of lymphocytes and plasma cells was diagnosed only if there was an obvious increase in the number these cells; an unequivocal or mild increase was not considered abnormal.

Feature 19: An excess of histiocytes was diagnosed when the chronic inflammatory infiltrate contained a large number of histiocytes, either focal or diffuse (Fig 1B and C).

Feature 20: Increase in neutrophils was defined as the presence of more than three neutrophils in the lamina propria; a superficial location was defined as the upper half of the mucosal layer; basal location meant the lower half of the mucosa.

Feature 22: Basal neutrophilic cryptitis is present when there is migration of neutrophils into the crypt epithelium with focal lysis of epithelial cells.

Feature 23: Basal histiocytic cryptitis was defined as a histiocytic inflammatory infiltrate and was present in the epithelium of the base of a crypt (Fig 1D).

Feature 25: An epithelioid granuloma is a discrete collection of at least five epithelioid cells with or without accompanying giant cells, and without caseating necrosis or foreign bodies.

Feature 27: A microgranuloma is an aggregate of histiocytes and lymphocytes; giant cells are absent in microgranulomas.

Feature 29: The distribution of the chronic inflammation was focal if it had a patchy distribution, in contrast to a diffuse pattern where the inflammation is band-like.

Feature 31: A crypt abscess is a chain of neutrophils extending from the lamina propria through the crypt epithelium into the lumen of the crypt.

Feature 32: A cystic crypt abscess is an abscess with flattening of the epithelial cells in a dilated, ballooned gland.

Feature 37: Basal lymphoid aggregates are nodular collections of lymphocytes without reactive centres, located between the muscularis mucosae and the crypts; at least two aggregates had to be present in a biopsy specimen to be considered abnormal.

Feature 39: The inflammation was disproportionate if the submucosa contained a denser infiltrate than the mucosa.

All slides were examined in one session. Each pathologist separately summarised and recorded the histological features on a data file for each patient. All data were entered on a computer file for statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis

Reproducibility analysis

The degree of agreement between observers was characterised by kappa statistics. Kappa is an index of observer agreement, which has been corrected for chance and therefore is a measure of the degree of agreement (Program P4F of the BMDP statistical software package). Kappa values greater than 0.75 are considered to represent excellent agreement beyond chance, values below 0.4 poor agreement beyond chance, and values between 0.4 and 0.75 fair to good agreement beyond chance.

Kappa values for interobserver (BCM, CJLM, JL, CAS) agreement were calculated for each of the 41 histopathological features. Features with a
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**TABLE III**  Histological features in colonic biopsy specimens from patients with Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, and diverticular disease and control subjects (Percentages in parentheses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Healthy control subjects (n=7)</th>
<th>Diverticular disease (n=9)</th>
<th>Crohn's disease (n=21)</th>
<th>Ulcerative colitis (n=24)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal surface (2);</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>7 (100)</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
<td>16 (76)</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (24)</td>
<td>15 (65)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villous</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulceration (4);</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (24)</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>6 (25)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils in surface epithelium (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
<td>14 (67)</td>
<td>18 (75)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal crypt architecture (6)</td>
<td>7 (100)</td>
<td>8 (89)</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilated crypts (7)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>12 (50)</td>
<td>0.1134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branching crypts (8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>14 (67)</td>
<td>20 (83)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased lymphocytes, plasma cells (17)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td>2 (22)</td>
<td>20 (95)</td>
<td>22 (92)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic inflammation with plasma cells</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>13 (62)</td>
<td>15 (63)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between bases of crypts and the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muscularis mucosa (18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess histiocytes in the chronic</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>17 (81)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inflammatory infiltrate (19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils in crypt epithelium (21)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>18 (86)</td>
<td>18 (75)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal histiocytic crypts (23)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>8 (38)</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial granulomas (25);</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With giant cells</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (29)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without giant cells</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal chronic inflammation (29)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
<td>19 (90)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>0.0111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of crypt abscesses (34)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>0.0547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase of inflammation from proximal</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>7 (29)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to distal submucosa (40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase of inflammation from distal to</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>0.0117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proximal submucosa (41)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p value of the univariate analysis (Mann-Whitney) to discriminate between CIBD (Crohn’s disease + ulcerative colitis) v non-CIBD (diverticular disease and healthy control subjects). |Numbers as in Table II.

Univariate/multivariate analysis
Histological features with sufficient reproducibility were analysed by univariate and multivariate analysis on all patients (n=61). Univariate analysis was performed for each histological feature separately using the Mann-Whitney non-parametric test (Programs P3S of the BMDP statistical software package). Results with a p value <0.05 were regarded as significant.

To determine the combination of histological features giving the best discrimination, firstly, between CIBD and non-CIBD (non-specific chronic inflammation/normal) in the total group of 61 patients, (linear) stepwise discriminant analysis (Program P7M) was carried out. Secondly, a similar analysis was performed to distinguish, in patients with a diagnosis of CIBD, between Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis.

Evaluation of the discriminant function was performed by classifying specimens of the same set of patients according to the jackknifed classification method.

Results

Reproducibility analysis
According to the kappa values 16 of 41 histological features (Table III) were sufficiently reproducible. The frequency of several scored items such as dysplasia, endocrine and Paneth cell metaplasia, basal histiocytic cryptitis either

with or without eosinophils or with or without giant cells, location of epithelioid granulomas, and presence and location of microgranulomas was too low to investigate their reproducibility by kappa statistics. It is remarkable that the presence of crypt abscesses is not a reproducible observation. We do not have a clear explanation for this finding. It might be that the classification of the items in the feature – that is, more than three, less than three, or no crypt abscesses – is the cause of the lack of reproducibility. In the study of Surawicz and Belic the assessment of this feature was reproducible, however.

The 16 reproducible criteria were considered for further univariate and multivariate analysis.

Univariate analysis
The results of the univariate analysis of the 16 histological features to differentiate between CIBD and non-CIBD are shown in Table III. Histological features with p<0.05 significantly discriminated between CIBD and non-CIBD. The following features showed the best discrimination in the differential diagnosis of CIBD/non-CIBD (p<0.0001): neutrophils in surface epithelium, normal crypt architecture, branching crypts, increase in lymphocytes and plasma cells, chronic inflammatory infiltrate between the bases of the crypts and the muscularis mucosa, and neutrophils in crypt epithelium.

Multivariate analysis
To determine the combination of features useful in differentiating CIBD from non-CIBD, stepwise linear discriminant analysis was carried out. The first histological feature with the highest discriminatory power was the presence or absence of an increase in lymphocytes and plasma cells. The presence or absence of branching crypts provided further discriminative power. Adding a third feature, neutrophils in crypt epithelium, resulted in a more accurate classification.

The results of jackknifed classification with these three histological features are shown in Figure 2. For histopathological diagnosis high a posteriori probabilities are mandatory. Therefore values of 0·15 and 0·85 were selected as cut off points for the probabilities. A patient is predicted to have CIBD when the probability of CIBD is greater than 0·85 and not to have CIBD when the probability is less than 0·15. Both non-CIBD and CIBD patients are recorded as non-classifiable when the probability lies between 0·15 and 0·85. Using the combination of these three histological features, 40 of 45 (93%) CIBD patients had 13 of 16 (81%) non-CIBD subjects were correctly classified, as shown in Figure 2. The 40 patients comprised 20 with Crohn’s disease and 20 with ulcerative colitis. Three (one Crohn’s disease and two ulcerative colitis) of 45 (7%) CIBD patients were falsely classified as non-CIBD, with a CIBD probability of p<0·15. Two CIBD (8%) patients (ulcerative colitis) and three patients in the non-CIBD group (all with diverticular disease) were recorded as non-classifiable (0·15≤probability≤0·85).
To select the features with the best power of discrimination to distinguish Crohn’s disease from ulcerative colitis in the patients classified as CIBD (n=40) a similar stepwise linear discriminant analysis was performed. The most contributive feature was the presence or absence of an excess of histiocytes in the inflammatory infiltrate. A second feature was the aspect of the mucosal surface - normal, irregular, or villous. The additional feature of epithelioid granulomas resulted in a more accurate classification. These three features were tested in the jackknifed classification, as shown in Figure 3.

A patient is predicted to have ulcerative colitis when the a posteriori probability is \( >0.05 \) and not to have ulcerative colitis, thus Crohn’s disease, when the a posteriori probability is \( <0.15 \). Patients with probabilities between or equal to 0.15 and 0.85 were recorded as CIBD indeterminate.

Figure 3 shows that 14 of 20 (70%) patients with Crohn’s disease were correctly classified and 15 of 20 (75%) patients with ulcerative colitis were correctly classified, both with probabilities of more than 0.85. Two patients with Crohn’s disease were falsely classified as ulcerative colitis with a high probability of more than 0.85. One ulcerative colitis patient was classified as Crohn’s disease. Eight of the 40 CIBD patients (20%) were classified as CIBD indeterminate: four with Crohn’s disease and four with ulcerative colitis.

**Discussion**

This prospective study on the evaluation of colonic biopsy specimens from CIBD patients shows that, according to kappa statistics, only 16 of 41 histological features were sufficiently reproducible. This finding is important since reproducibility of histological characteristics is seldom evaluated. The application of stepwise discriminant analysis to these 16 histological features to differentiate between CIBD patients and non-CIBD patients identified three dis-
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There were an increase in lymphocytes and plasma cells in the lamina propria, branching of crypts, and neutrophils in the crypt epithelium. With these features 40 of 45 (89%) CIBD patients were correctly classified with a high probability (p>0.85). Four (17%) patients with ulcerative colitis were not classified as ulcerative colitis — that is two were classified as non-CIBD and two were not classifiable. Only one (5%) Crohn’s disease patient was falsely classified as non-CIBD. An explanation for the two ulcerative colitis cases erroneously classified might be the low clinical activity of the disease (Sutherland score below 3); however, the Crohn’s disease patient had a clinical activity score of 10, according to the Harvey-Bradshaw index. To distinguish between Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis the combination of three histological features had the best discriminating value — that is, an excess of histiocytes, the aspect of the mucosal surface, and epithelioid granulomas.

Few similar studies applying stepwise discriminant analysis to investigate the importance of certain histological features in biopsy specimens to the diagnosis of CIBD have been carried out. In contrast to the studies of Jenkins et al and Thompson et al, in which a wide range of morphometric measurements were performed, our goal was to determine the features easily found in daily pathology practice. Nevertheless, to some extent the results are comparable and show similarities.

Jenkins et al applied discriminant analysis to multiple variables based on measurements in rectal biopsy specimens only. With the combination of an increase in lamina propria cellularity and the ratios of surface length to mucosal length and of surface epithelial height to crypt epithelial height 95% of the CIBD cases were distinguished from normal. This is in agreement with our results.

Our finding that an increase in plasma cells and lymphocytes is highly predictive for CIBD has been found by others.

Using conventional histological features such as an excess of histiocytes, the aspect of the mucosal surface, and granulomas, we classified 70% of the Crohn’s disease and 75% of the ulcerative colitis patients correctly with a high probability (p>0.85). Using measurements such as the standard deviation of crypt length, intercept distance, and the number of polymorphs per unit lamina propria area, Jenkins et al had similar results. However, their false positive rate for Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis was higher than ours — 23% and 28% compared with 10% and 5% in our study.

Our finding that the aspect of the mucosal surface and granulomas are important features for differentiating between Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis has been reported by others.

The diagnostic accuracy rates in other studies on the histological evaluation of mucosal biopsy specimens to differentiate Crohn’s disease from ulcerative colitis are to some extent similar. In the histological evaluation of rectal biopsy specimens Frei and Morson reported a diagnostic accuracy for Crohn’s disease of 57% and for ulcerative colitis of about 67%. In our study the diagnostic accuracy for Crohn’s disease was higher compared with that of both Frei and Morson and Hill et al, as shown in Table IV. However, for the histological features which they used to diagnose Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis, no probabilities were mentioned. In a previous study of Hill et al, though, only 15% of the first rectal biopsy specimens from clinically defined Crohn’s disease patients had the characteristic histological features of Crohn’s disease (severe mucosal inflammation, submucosal inflammation, ulcers, and (micro-)granulomas). In the present study only three CIBD patients (one Crohn’s disease 5% and two ulcerative colitis 8%) were incorrectly classified as non-CIBD — fewer than reported by Hill et al.

In 75% of the first rectal biopsy specimens Hill et al reported no distinct abnormalities, while in the study of Frei and Morson the histological evaluation of first rectal biopsy specimens showed no mucosal abnormalities in only 26% of the Crohn’s disease patients and 8% of the ulcerative colitis patients. This high percentage of false negatives in comparison to our study could be attributed to a sampling error inherent in the diagnosis of Crohn’s disease, a disease with a discontinuous and patchy nature.

Our better diagnostic accuracy rates, as well as the lower false positive and false negative rates can be explained by the fact that we used multiple colonic biopsy specimens from different sites rather than only one rectal biopsy specimen.

It should be emphasised that the various features identified in this study as useful in distinguishing CIBD from non-CIBD and Crohn’s disease from ulcerative colitis are the result of discriminant analysis and not an intuitive selection of collective features. ‘Blind’ histological evaluation of biopsy specimens eliminated the bias of clinical input and provided

### Table IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>CIBD indeterminate</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Infectious</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frei and Morson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crohn’s disease</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0 (as ulcerative colitis)</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8 (as Crohn’s disease)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crohn’s disease</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>23 (as ulcerative colitis)</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29 (as Crohn’s disease)</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson et al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crohn’s disease</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25 (as ulcerative colitis)</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10 (as Crohn’s disease)</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crohn’s disease</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10 (as ulcerative colitis)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5 (as Crohn’s disease)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Category was not included.
objective confirmation of the features that have predictive value. The results of our study, however, also have limitations. Firstly, it must be emphasised that only four groups of subjects (patients with Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, and diverticular disease, and healthy controls) were included and that several entities were not encompassed. For instance, we had no cases of infectious, ischaemic, radiation, or pseudo-membranous colitis in our study, though it is unlikely that different features will emerge from the analysis when these diseases are included because the discriminant histological features identified in our study are not typical for these diseases. Secondly, the patients in this investigation were only clinically well defined and prospectively biopsied. The results from this study suggest that the pathology is independent on the presence of only a few reproducible histological features for a reliable classification of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. A higher diagnostic accuracy rate might be achieved by additional counting of cells containing immunoglobulin. In earlier studies differences in the number of such cells have been found in mucosal biopsies of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis patients. Whether counting cells containing immunoglobulin still has additional diagnostic value for the patient in the differential diagnosis of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis has been investigated in a concomitant study.\footnote{We thank Mr E. Noteboom for skilful statistical help.}