Pancreatic surgery, not pancreatitis, is the primary cause of diabetes after acute fulminant pancreatitis
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Abstract
Acute fulminant pancreatitis is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. To examine the outcome of conservative and surgical treatment of this disorder, 36 patients who survived an initial episode were restudied after a mean of six years. Fifty three per cent had developed diabetes mellitus, half of whom required insulin therapy. Pancreatic resection was associated with a 100% frequency of diabetes, while only 26% of those treated with peritoneal lavage developed this (p<0.001). Insulin secretion and sensitivity were assessed using the hyperglycaemic glucose clamp technique. First phase insulin secretion was impaired in surgically treated patients (mean (SEM) 14 (5) μU/ml×10 minutes) compared with conservatively treated patients and control subjects (144 (66) and 87 (12) μU/ml×10 minutes, respectively; p<0.05). Second phase and 'maximal' insulin secretion were also impaired among the surgically treated patients compared with the conservatively treated patients and the controls. Insulin sensitivity was reduced among the surgically treated patients (2.88 (58) mg/kg/minute) when compared with conservatively treated patients and healthy control subjects (5.87 (1.02) and 6.45 (0.66) mg/kg/minute; p<0.05). Pancreatic resection is associated with a very high frequency of diabetes compared with peritoneal lavage, and these results favour conservative treatment of active fulminant pancreatitis whenever possible.

Acute fulminant pancreatitis represents about 5–15% of all cases of acute pancreatitis. Apart from a high mortality rate of 13–64%, it is associated with a high frequency of secondary diabetes. Although it is generally agreed that pancreatic β-cell loss as a result of the pancreatic disease is the cause of diabetes, it is not known whether other factors such as the mode of treatment contribute to the development of diabetes after active fulminant pancreatitis. The two most common invasive methods used in the Ranson criteria, 60% of the patients in the resection group compared with 53% in the lavage group had a severe pancreatitis.

The 36 patients underwent an oral glucose tolerance test at a mean of 6.2 years (range 1–14 years) after the episode of active fulminant pancreatitis. During follow up eight subjects (22%) experienced at least one further attack of acute pancreatitis. All subjects were asked to participate in another study to evaluate insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity by a hyperglycaemic clamp technique. Twenty three patients responded to the request but eight refused to participate (four because of continued alcohol abuse, two for...
psychological reasons, and two for other personal reasons). Two patients lived abroad and could not be reached and three patients were over 85 years of age. All these subjects were excluded from the hyperglycaemic clamp study.

In addition, nine of the remaining 23 patients had been put on insulin therapy because of insulin deficiency (verified by undetectable C-peptide values), and did not undergo the hyperglycaemic clamp study. Of these nine patients, seven had had pancreatic resection. Therefore 14 patients participated in the follow up study for evaluation of insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity. Seven age and weight matched subjects with normal glucose tolerance and no family history of diabetes served as controls in the hyperglycaemic clamp studies.

ORAL GLUCOSE TOLERANCE TEST AND BIOCHEMICAL TESTS
A two-hour oral glucose tolerance test was used to assess glucose tolerance in all subjects without diagnosed diabetes. After a 12 hour overnight fast, the subjects were given a 75 g oral glucose load. According to the World Health Organisation criteria, the subjects were divided into three groups – that is, those with normal, those with impaired glucose tolerance, and diabetic subjects. After oral glucose loading, plasma glucose, insulin, and glucagon levels were measured every 15 minutes during the first hour and every 30 minutes thereafter.

Biochemical tests including haemoglobin and leucocyte count, amylase, alanine aminotransferase, γ glutamyltransferase, cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, and glycohaemoglobin A1c were assessed.

HYPERGLYCAEMIC GLUCOSE CLAMP
Insulin secretion was evaluated with the hyperglycaemic glucose clamp technique. During the hyperglycaemic clamp the plasma glucose concentration was raised rapidly by 7 mmol/l above the baseline value with a priming infusion of 20% glucose. The desired hyperglycaemic value was maintained for 120 minutes by adjustment of the glucose infusion. At a constant plasma glucose concentration, the amount of glucose required to maintain hyperglycaemia equals the value for whole body glucose metabolism, provided there is no entry of glucose from the liver. The correction for urinary loss of glucose was made.

Under these conditions of constant hyperglycaemia, the normal plasma insulin response is biphasic. After 120 minutes of hyperglycaemia, 0.5 mg of glucagon was administered intravenously in order to further stimulate the pancreatic β-cells. Samples for measurement of plasma glucose and serum insulin were drawn every second minute for the first 10 minutes. Thereafter, the glucose concentration was determined every five minutes and the insulin level every 10 minutes.

Indirect calorimetry was used at the baseline and during the last 60 minutes of the hyperglycaemic clamp, before glucagon administration, to estimate the net rates of carbohydrate and lipid oxidation. A computerised open circuit system was used to measure gas exchange through a transparent 25 l polyvinyl chloride plastic canopy (Deltatrac, Datex, Helsinki, Finland). Protein oxidation was calculated from the urinary excretion of urea nitrogen before and during the clamp.

ASSAYS AND CALCULATIONS
Plasma glucose was assayed with a glucose oxidase method adapted for the Beckman Glucose Analyzer II (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, Calif.). The glycohaemoglobin concentration in blood was measured by high pressure liquid chromatography. The serum insulin concentration was measured by a double antibody radioimmunoassay (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden).

First and second phase insulin secretory responses were estimated by calculating the incremental insulin area during the first 10 minutes and between 10 minutes and 120 minutes of the hyperglycaemic clamp. The ‘maximal’ insulin secretion – that is, the glucose and glucagon stimulated insulin release – was calculated from the incremental area between 120 and 150 minutes.

Net glucose oxidation and lipid oxidation rates were calculated from indirect calorimetric measurements at baseline and during the last 60 minutes of the clamp. Non-oxidative glucose metabolism (primarily the storage of glucose as glycogen) was calculated as the difference between the total body glucose metabolism and glucose oxidation. The constants used to calculate glucose, lipid, and protein oxidation from gas exchange data are available in reference 14.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES
All data are expressed as mean (SEM). All statistical analyses were performed with the use of a BMDP statistical package. A one way analysis of variance or the Welch test was used to test the equality of group means. Scheffe’s method was used for multiple comparisons between group means. A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
TREATMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF DIABETES
Thirteen patients (36%) underwent pancreatic surgery because of exacerbation of the disease. Among these patients, six had a 50% pancreatic resection, six had an 80% pancreatic resection, while one underwent late total pancreatectomy (two years later).

Nineteen patients (53%) developed diabetes during the six year follow up, and four (11%) patients showed impaired glucose tolerance. Six diabetics (32%) were treated with diet alone, four (21%) were taking oral hypoglycaemic agents and nine (47%) were on insulin treatment (mean (SEM) insulin dose = 38 (4) IU/day). Fifty eight per cent of the diabetics developed the disease during the primary hospital stay for active fulminant pancreatitis, 31% of them developed diabetes within the first five years after active fulminant pancreatitis, and 11% developed the
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Discussion
Diabetes secondary to pancreatopathy forms about 0-5% of all patients with diabetes.17 Diabetes can occur in all forms of pancreatic disease and total pancreatectomy is always accompanied by diabetes. It has been estimated that when 40 to 80% of the normal pancreas is removed there is a 32% risk of diabetes, while 80 to 95% removal is associated with a 72% risk of diabetes.18 Nordbeck et al observed that 92% of their patients developed diabetes during follow up after pancreatic resection for acute necrotising pancreatitis.19 This is in close agreement with the figures of the present study.

In chronic pancreatitis, reduced β-cell secretion is frequently observed leading to an increased risk of diabetes. The prevalence of diabetes in chronic pancreatitis has varied between 12 and 80%.16 The choice of treatment is of importance; Thow et al reported that 66% of their patients developed diabetes after surgical treatment compared with 21% after conservative treatment.20 In contrast, the prevalence of diabetes after acute pancreatitis is rather low, ranging between 1 and 15%.21 Only 26% of our conservatively treated patients with active fulminant pancreatitis developed diabetes after a follow up period of six years.

Diabetes after pancreatic surgery is characterised by insulin and glucagon deficiency and increased insulin sensitivity.21 Diabetes secondary to pancreatic surgery differs therefore from the idiopathic forms of diabetes, which are usually characterised by insulin resistance. Glucagon deficiency accounts for some of the metabolic and clinical features, making diabetes secondary to pancreatic resection a well distinguished form of diabetes. Chronic glucagon deficiency reduces liver uptake of gluconeogenic

### Metabolic characteristics of those subjects participating in the hyperglycaemic clamp studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Resection (n=5)</th>
<th>Lavage (n=9)</th>
<th>Controls (n=7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin (uM/ml)</td>
<td>11 (2)</td>
<td>9 (2)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>8.8 (0.8)**</td>
<td>5.7 (0.3)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycohaemoglobin A1C (%)</td>
<td>7.6 (0.5)**</td>
<td>5.7 (0.3)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal glucose oxidation (mg/kg.min)</td>
<td>1.54 (0.27)</td>
<td>1.48 (0.16)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal lipid oxidation (mg/kg.min)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin stimulated glucose disposal (mg/kg.min)</td>
<td>2.88 (0.58)*</td>
<td>2.87 (1.02)</td>
<td>2.65 (0.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin stimulated glucose oxidation (mg/kg.min)</td>
<td>1.68 (0.53)*</td>
<td>2.65 (0.30)</td>
<td>2.83 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin stimulated non-oxidative glucose metabolism (mg/kg.min)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.28)*</td>
<td>3.34 (0.99)</td>
<td>3.14 (0.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin suppressed lipid oxidation (mg/kg.min)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.45 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 v lavation and controls; **p<0.01 v lavation and controls.
Resection=acute fulminant pancreatitis patients treated with pancreatic resection; lavage=acute fulminant pancreatitis patients treated with peritoneal lavage only; controls=healthy control subjects.
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precursors and results in low activity of gluco-
neogenic enzymes, leading to impaired capacity of the liver to produce glucose. The clinical counterpart of the lower rate of gluconeogenesis observed in ‘pancretogenic’ diabetes is a lower fasting blood glucose concentration and increased insulin sensitivity. This means that patients with pancreaticogenic diabetes require smaller doses of insulin than patients with idiopathic diabetes.

Insulin sensitivity measured as glucose disposal during the hyperglycaemic glucose clamp study was severely impaired in the group of patients who had undergone pancreatic resection. The glucose disposal rate was more than 50% lower in the surgically treated patients than among the conservatively treated patients. The main reason for this decrease was impaired non-
oxidative glucose metabolism – that is, mainly storage of glucose as glycogen in the muscle. A similar defect is characteristic for idiopathic type 2 diabetes. In keeping with the current results, in a previous study the rate of glucose metab-
olism was found to be 47% lower in diabetic patients with ‘pancretogenic’ diabetes com-
pared with normal subjects, using the eugly-
caemic insulin clamp technique.

The normal insulin response to intravenous glucose is biphasic with a first phase response primarily representing formed and stored insulin and a second phase representing newly synthe-
sised insulin. A glucagon challenge two hours after the start of the glucose infusion results in insulin concentrations that are 10 fold higher than these observed during the second phase. It is assumed that the insulin concentrations are close to the maximal secretory capacity of the β-
cells and thereby dependent upon the β-cell mass. In the patients developing diabetes, all phases of insulin secretion were impaired indicat-
ing that diabetes was the consequence of loss of β-cell mass. Since most of the patients who developed diabetes had undergone pancreatic resection, it is obvious that removal of pancreatic tissue is the major cause of diabetes. Interest-
ingly, the patients treated conservatively showed normal insulin response and in particular a normal β-cell mass. These data indicate that patients treated conservatively with peritoneal lavage can survive active fulminant pancreatitis without any persistent defect in their β-cell function and strongly challenges the view that acute pancreatitis causes genuine and persistent β-cell damage. In this regard the results differ from chronic pancreatitis in which first phase insulin secretion frequently is impaired and the patients develop impaired glucose tolerance.

However, insulin secretion in the group of patients with a history of active fulminant pancreatitis was heterogeneous. Three different subgroups can be identified – those without endogenous insulin secretion, those with quanti-
tatively impaired insulin secretion, and those with an apparently normal insulin secretion. The obvious resemblance to type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, and healthy subjects cannot be left unmentioned.

Total duodenopancreatectomy has been questioned because of high figures of early and late mortality. Death as a result of severe hypo-
glycaemia has been reported in 50% of patients undergoing total duodenopancreatectomy. Partial pancreatic resection or conservative treat-
ment have been favoured, especially in patients with non-malignant disease. The treatment of acute fulminant pancreatitis has changed over the years and most centres today do not perform pancreatic resection in the way described some years ago. Debridement of necrotic tissue has become the accepted operative strategy. How-
ever, these treatment methods have not been used for longer time periods, long term results are not available. The present study strongly supports conservative treatment in acute fulminant pancreatitis whenever possible as a means of reducing the risk of developing diabetes. It could of course be argued that only the more severe cases were operated on, but it seems certain that major pancreatic resection is associated with a high frequency of diabetes, while the prognosis with regard to diabetes, after conservative treatment is good. In rejecting the body and tail of the pancreas – that is, the most β-
cell rich part of the organ – the risk of diabetes increases considerably. It should also be kept in mind that secondary diabetes is associated with various complications caused by both hyper-
glycaemia and hypoglycaemia. These complica-
tions could also be avoided by more conservative treatment of acute fulminant pancreatitis.
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