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Abstract
The activation of immune mechanisms was evaluated by the solid phase enzyme linked immunosassay of immunoglobulin and specific antibody secreting cells in 27 patients (aged from nine to 69 months), subjected to a diagnostic cow's milk challenge or a rechallenge. A significant rise in the total number of immunoglobulin secreting cells was associated with clinically positive (n=17), but not a negative (n=10) cow's milk challenge in all immunoglobulin isotypes. The number of specific antibody secreting cells against beta lactoglobulin, mean (95% confidence interval), increased from 4-8 (1-4, 15-8) to 16-9 (5-5, 52-7) specific antibody secreting cells/10^6 cells, p=0.02, and against casein from 2-2 (0-8, 6-1) to 7-5 (2-5, 22-5) specific antibody secreting cells/10^6 cells, p=0.01, in patients positive to challenge in the IgM class only, indicating defective immune elimination of milk antigens. In addition to the specific immune response to cow's milk antigens, an increase in IgM specific antibody secreting cells against an unrelated dietary antigen, gliadin, from 8-2 (2-1, 31-1) to 31-0 (14-2, 67-6) specific antibody secreting cells/10^6 cells, p=0.01, was observed. These results indicate that cow's milk challenge, in patients who have cow's milk allergy, induces a strong non-antigen specific immune response that includes a response against unrelated antigens concomitantly present in the intestinal lumen. Activation of such immune mechanisms may therefore reflect increased antigenic load caused by the immune mediated lesion in the gut mucosa.

(Gut 1992; 33: 1179–1183)

Cow’s milk allergy has hitherto been considered a temporary condition that improves or disappears with age. Evidence is now accumulating to suggest that this is only partially true, because there is considerable association with other allergic symptoms.1,2 These could manifest themselves either during active cow’s milk allergy or later, when clinical tolerance to cow’s milk has been acquired. The factors which determine the clinical outcome of cow’s milk allergy are not fully understood.

We have previously shown that in cow’s milk allergy the immune elimination of cow’s milk antigens is deficient.3 Exposure of the small intestine to cow’s milk antigens causes a lesion to the gut mucosa and increases its permeability, permitting the entry of antigens through the impaired host barrier.3,4 Sensitisation to unrelated dietary antigens may thus ensue, giving rise to concurrent allergies to other foods.

To date, it is necessary to use clinical criteria for the diagnosis of cow’s milk allergy. Cow's milk is eliminated from the diet for a period of time, after which a challenge test is carried out. The disappearance of symptoms during elimination and reappearance on challenge confirm the diagnosis.

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the immune response evoked by such a diagnostic cow’s milk challenge. In addition to the immune response to beta lactoglobulin and casein, the fate of an unrelated dietary antigen, gliadin, was studied. For this purpose the ELISPOT assay was used.

Methods

PATIENTS
Altogether 32 children were studied. Of these, 22 had challenge proven cow's milk allergy manifested with either skin (urticaria [four], eczema [10] or gastrointestinal (loose stools) [six], diarrhoea [three], vomiting [two], abdominal pain [three]) symptoms. They were rechallenged to study whether or not clinical tolerance had been acquired. Five patients had experienced symptoms suggestive of cow's milk allergy (urticaria [one], loose stools [one], vomiting [two], diarrhoea [one]) and were admitted for diagnostic milk challenge after four weeks' milk elimination. During the elimination period the patients consumed a tolerated formula (soy or protein hydrolysate) or were given calcium supplementation. Five patients were on a diet devoid of gliadin and they were hence eliminated from the analysis. Four of them had had adverse reactions and in one patient cereals had not yet been introduced to the diet.

The study population thus consisted of 27 patients aged from nine to 69 months. Fourteen of these patients had dietary restrictions for egg (three), citrus fruits, peanuts, and fish (11) because of adverse reactions.

MILK CHALLENGE PROTOCOL
The challenge was started with a drop of cow's milk on the lips, thereafter milk was given in rising doses at two hour intervals. On day 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, and 100 ml of milk were given and on day 2 the normal milk intake appropriate for age was started. The challenge was stopped and the patients were examined, when any adverse reaction was noted. The reaction onset time was defined as the duration from the last given dose eliciting the symptoms. The patients were followed up over the one week period of the challenge and, for longterm tolerance; they were seen one month later.
TABLE I Clinical reactions and their onset in response to diagnostic milk provocation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction onset time* (h)</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney test</th>
<th>Statistical value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-74 (0-14, 3-80)</td>
<td></td>
<td>t=2.33†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-0 (1-13, 26-3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>z=2.03†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dose eliciting** symptoms (ml)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-0 (1-200)</td>
<td>200-0 (100-200)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Geometric mean (95% confidence interval); Median (range); † Student’s t test; ‡ Mann-Whitney U test.

TABLE II Clinical history and laboratory features in patients positive and negative to clinical challenge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Skin symptoms</th>
<th>Gastrointestinal symptoms</th>
<th>Negative challenge</th>
<th>Statistical value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration* (months)</td>
<td>7-6 (2-8)</td>
<td>4-1 (3-1)</td>
<td>4-6 (3-2)</td>
<td>F=2.11 p=0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive family history of allergy</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at the onset of symptoms suggestive to CMA (months)</td>
<td>6-0 (4-5, 7-9)</td>
<td>4-3 (2-2, 8-5)</td>
<td>5-2 (2-9, 9-5)</td>
<td>F=0.36 p=0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE (kU/l)†</td>
<td>138-4 (27-6, 700-6)</td>
<td>5-5 (1-3, 23-6)</td>
<td>43-8 (8-6, 221-2)</td>
<td>F=5.79 p=0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive cow’s milk-specific RAST (&gt;0-4 kU/l)</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>χ²=6.20 p=0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean (SD); †Geometric mean (95% confidence interval).
CMA=cow’s milk allergy.

BLOOD SAMPLES
Venous blood was drawn and heparinised for the ELISPOT assay before the oral milk challenge (day 1) and one week later (day 8). Day 8 was chosen as previous studies have shown that the number of primed lymphocytes in peripheral blood is maximal six to eight days after administration of the antigen on day 1.4,5 We failed to get the day 1 samples from eight patients and the day 8 samples from one patient.

The radioallergosorbent test (RAST) was carried out to detect the circulating cow’s milk specific IgE antibodies, and also the serum total IgE values were measured before starting the challenge.

ELISPOT ASSAY
The total number of immunoglobulin secreting cells and the number of specific antibody secreting cells against beta lactoglobulin, casein, and gliadin were measured by the ELISPOT (solid phase enzyme linked immunoassay) method, as described previously. In brief, mononuclear cells containing mainly lymphocytes were obtained by Ficoll-Hypaque (Pharmacia, Sweden) centrifugation of the heparinised blood. Isolated cells were washed three times in Hank’s buffered salt solution (Flow Laboratories, Irvine, Scotland), then suspended in culture medium and adjusted to a final concentration of 2×10⁶ cells/ml. The cells were incubated in antigen coated, flat bottomed microtitre plates (Immunoplate R1, a/s Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark). The antibodies were visualised by application of enzyme labelled antisera followed by a substrate agarose overlay. The counting of coloured spots, each representing one cell, was done with a stereo microscope after various periods of storage at 4°C.

For determination of immunoglobulin secreting cells the wells were coated with antihuman IgA, IgG, and IgM, and to determine the number of specific antibody secreting cells, beta lactoglobulin, casein, and gliadin were used as coating antigens. There was an immune response if >0.5 specific antibody secreting cells/10⁶ cells.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Because of skewed distributions in immunoglobulin secreting cells and specific antibody secreting cells counts, logarithmic transformations (ln) were used. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student’s two tailed t test were applied for comparing differences between groups in continuous variables, and the Mann-Whitney U test for comparing medians. The repeated observations were studied using paired t test and ANOVA for repeated measures. The χ² test was used to determine differences in proportions.

Results
CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS
The milk challenge was positive in 17/27 (63%) and negative in 10 patients. The challenge elicited cutaneous symptoms in seven of 17 (41%) cases, consisting of urticaria (four) or eczema (three); gastrointestinal symptoms were found in 10/17 (59%) cases, including diarrhoea (one), loose stools (four), vomiting (three), and/or abdominal pain (three).

Patients manifesting cow’s milk allergy with skin symptoms reacted to lower volumes of milk than those with gastrointestinal symptoms (Table I). In addition, they often reacted immediately, while gastrointestinal symptoms were commonly manifested later.

The mean (SD) ages of the patients positive, 20.1 (7.9) months, and negative, 29.4 (16.1)
months, to oral challenge were comparable at the time of the provocation; the difference of 9-3 months with 95% confidence interval of (0-2, 18-8) was statistically not significant. The patients with skin symptoms had positive cow’s milk-specific radioallergosorbent test values, which were less frequent in patients with gastrointestinal symptoms (Table II).

NON-ANTIGEN SPECIFIC IMMUNE RESPONSE (IMMUNOGLOBULIN SECRETING CELLS)
There was a statistically significant increase in the number of immunoglobulin secreting cells from day 1 to day 8 in patients with positive clinical reaction to challenge (Fig 1). By contrast, in patients negative to challenge the mean number of immunoglobulin secreting cells decreased during the challenge period (Fig 2).

ANTIGEN-SPECIFIC IMMUNE RESPONSE (SPECIFIC ANTIBODY SECRETING CELLS) TO BETA LACTOGLLOBULIN AND CASEIN
A distinct rise in the number of specific antibody secreting cells to beta lactoglobulin and casein was detected in patients reacting positively to challenge in the IgM class (Fig 3). Conversely, the specific antibody secreting cell responses from day 1 to day 8 in the IgA and IgG classes were minimal: the IgA secreting cells against beta lactoglobulin increased from 0-2 (0-1, 0-7) to 1-2 (0-3, 4-8) specific antibody secreting cells/10⁶ cells, and the IgG secreting cells against beta lactoglobulin from 0-1 (0-04, 0-4) to 0-3 (0-1, 0-9) specific antibody secreting cells/10⁶ cells; the antibody secreting cells in the IgA class against casein increased from 0-1 (0-04, 0-4) to 0-6 (0-2, 2-1) specific antibody secreting cells/10⁶ cells, and in the IgG class from 0-2 (0-1, 0-7) to 1-1 (0-4, 3-2) specific antibody secreting cells/10⁶ cells. In patients negative to challenge there was no increase in the number of specific antibody secreting cells against beta lactoglobulin and casein; Figure 4 depicts the concentrations of IgM specific antibody secreting cells to beta lactoglobulin and casein in these patients.

According to the ANOVA for repeated measures the interaction term between groups and periods was statistically significant; for IgM specific antibody secreting cells to beta lactoglobulin, p=0-007; and to casein, p=0-02. This
means that the group behaviour at successive specific antibody secreting cells measurement points was different: in patients with positive clinical response to the challenge the mean number of specific antibody secreting cells increases and in those negative to the clinical challenge it decreases.

**ANTIGEN SPECIFIC IMMUNE RESPONSE (SPECIFIC ANTIBODY SECRETING CELLS) TO GLIADIN**

The patients positive to cow’s milk challenge also mounted a specific antibody secreting cells response against gliadin in the IgM class (Fig 3), which was not seen in patients negative to challenge (Fig 4). The ANOVA for repeated measures showed significant interaction (p = 0.002) between groups and periods, indicating that the differences at successive measurements of IgM specific antibody secreting cells to gliadin between patients positive and negative to challenge were statistically significant.

**Discussion**

The results of the present investigation agree with those of previous studies indicating that immune mechanisms are activated in cow’s milk allergy, and further extend these findings to unrelated dietary antigens encountered by the enteral route.

We used a new immunoassay, the ELISPOT, to measure immune response during cow’s milk challenge. The ELISPOT is a promising method for indirect study of the immunologic events in the gut. The method is based on the maturation cycle of gut associated lymphoid tissue derived lymphocytes. After contact with intraluminal antigens, the lymphocytes travel to mesenterial lymph nodes to mature and by way of peripheral blood back to the gut mucosa to secrete antibodies against the priming antigen. The cell migration cycle is based on experimental work in animal studies as well as in human studies of oral vaccination.

Evidence in support of the concept has been provided by adoptive transfer experiments in which B-lymphocytes from mucosa associated lymphoid tissues have been shown to repopulate the mucosa. The intensity of the immune response as measured by the ELISPOT correlates with the capability of the antigen of adhering to the epithelial cells.

In the present study a sharp rise in immunoglobulin secretory cells was measured during a clinically positive milk challenge, reflecting non-antigen specific immune response. At the same time, the antigen specific immune response was small and inconsistent. This indicates that immune system elimination of cow’s milk antigens is defective in cow’s milk allergy. In a previous follow up study we found that patients who had challenge proven cow’s milk allergy acquired clinical tolerance to cow’s milk when the antigen specific immune response had developed.

Studies in sensitised experimental animals have shown that exposure of the gut mucosa to dietary antigens leads to intestinal injury. The final result of the immune mediated tissue damage may include mucosal edema, commonly associated with type 1 hypersensitivity, or villus effacement and crypt hyperplasia associated with cell mediated reactions. In patients who have cow’s milk allergy, a clinically positive milk challenge induces the increased permeability of gut mucosa, irrespective of whether the symptoms arise from the gut or the skin. This is in support of the notion that deranged intestinal barrier is not primary to the allergic state, but rather secondary to the hypersensitivity reaction.

Although the intestinal hypersensitivity reaction is highly specific to the priming antigen, the resulting enhancement of permeability is not necessarily antigen specific. On account of that, there is enhanced absorption of unrelated intraluminal antigens during a positive cow’s milk challenge. The sharp rise in the number of specific antibody secreting cells against gliadin may hence reflect increased antigenic load caused by the immune-mediated lesion in the gut mucosa. Repetition of such hypersensitivity reaction could result in broadening of allergic symptomatology.

The clinical implication of this study is that appropriate dietary elimination is crucial in the treatment of patients who have cow’s milk allergy. Furthermore, the question remains how frequently clinical challenges are needed to support the diagnosis and how often the challenges can be carried out without impairing the prognosis of the disease.
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