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J F Colombel, G Torpier, A Janin, O Klein, A Cortot, M Capron

Abstract
The eosinophil population is increased in the jejunal mucosa of patients with coeliac disease. Eosinophils may participate in the mucosal damage by releasing their granule components that have cytotoxic properties such as eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) and major basic protein (MBP). This study aimed to assess the presence of ECP and MBP in the jejunal mucosa of 10 adult patients with active coeliac disease who presented with villous flattening. Endoscopic jejunal biopsy specimens were obtained from macroscopically flattened jejunal mucosa and were processed for ultrastructural study and immunogold labelling using anti-MBP, anti-ECP, and anti-IgA antibodies. Numerous eosinophils were found in the upper part of the lamina propria and showed two types of morphological change: some were lytic and others exhibited ultrastructural signs of activation, containing altered granules with fading of the central core. IgA plasma cells were intermingled with eosinophils and had dense deposits on the external side of their cytoplasmic membrane. MBP was detected in central cores of granules but also diffusely in their matrix and in tight association with dense extracellular deposits. Conversely, ECP was detected only in the matrix of eosinophil granules. This study showed that numerous eosinophils are in an activated state in the mucosa of patients with active coeliac disease and release cytotoxic proteins such as MBP, which could contribute to the mucosal damage. The observation that eosinophils and IgA plasmocytes were closely associated in the mucosa supports a role for IgA in eosinophil recruitment and activation in coeliac disease.

(Gut 1992; 33: 1190–1194)

Eosinophil granulocytes are characterised by their eosin-stained specific granules which contain two compartments that are readily distinguished by their ultrastructure: an inner crystallloid electron dense core and an outer electron radiolucent matrix.1 The protein content of the granules is represented by basic proteins: eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), eosinophil-derived neurotoxin (EDN), and eosinophil peroxidase (EPO) are present in the matrix of the granules whereas major basic protein (MBP) is localised in the central core.1,2 These proteins have cytotoxic properties and participate in immunological defence against parasites.1,2 However, the destructive capability of eosinophils may be turned against the host since eosinophil granule proteins may be cytotoxic to a variety of mammalian cells.1,3 Eosinophils in the mucosa of the small intestine may protect against bacteria and other agents penetrating the epithelium, but, as suggested for other organs, the cytotoxic potential of eosinophils may also be turned against the digestive tract. Evidence that MBP has a role in tissue damage has been shown in eosinophilic gastroenteritis1 and Crohn’s disease.4

In coeliac disease, much research has emphasised the role of lymphocytes and plasma cells in the pathogenesis of intestinal lesions but other inflammatory cells may also be involved.5,6 Morphometric studies have established that the eosinophil population is expanded appreciably in the coeliac mucosa and returns towards normal during gluten restriction.7–9 Extracellular release and tissue deposition of ECP have been shown in the lamina propria of the jejunal mucosa of patients with active coeliac disease.10 Using a jejunal perfusion technique, we found that the secretion rate of ECP was eight times greater on average compared with control values.11 The mechanism of eosinophil infiltration in coeliac disease is unsolved. Interestingly, Lavò et al showed that the jejunal secretion rate of ECP was increased fourfold in patients with coeliac disease after gliadin challenge.12 However, the mechanism by which gliadin challenge might recruit eosinophils or induce the release of their granule constituents remains undetermined. One of the characteristic features of coeliac disease is a massive stimulation of B cells. Immunohistochemical studies and cultures of mucosal small bowel biopsy specimens have indicated enhanced local synthesis of IgA, IgM, and IgG directed specifically against gluten antigens.12,13 Local eosinophil activation and the enhanced mucosal immune response may reflect independently the activity of coeliac disease. Alternatively, a causal relationship may exist—eosinophils express IgA receptors14 and may react to IgA containing immune complexes by releasing their granule proteins.15–19

In this study, we report ultrastructural data that further support the involvement of eosinophils in the pathogenesis of lesions in coeliac disease. Using specific antibodies, we assessed the local release of eosinophil proteins in the jejunal mucosa. We also argue in support of the participation of IgA in eosinophil activation by examining the structural relationship between eosinophils and IgA containing plasmocytes in the jejunal mucosa of patients with coeliac disease.

Methods

PATIENTS
Ten patients (three men and seven women, mean age 25 ± 7 years) were recruited from the Clinique des Maladies de l’Appareil Digestif et de la Nutrition, Hôpital Huriez, CHU Lille, 59037, Lille Cedex, France.
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Age 33 years, range 16-59) with coeliac disease were studied. At the time of the study they had active disease—that is, symptoms and subtotal villous flattening of the jejunal mucosa. The prominent cellular infiltrate of the lamina propria was dominated by lymphocytes and eosinophils. Three patients were taking a gluten free diet at the time of the study but had a bad clinical and histological response due to failure to comply with the diet. Seven patients were newly diagnosed and all had a subsequent symptomatic and histological response to gluten free diet. At that time only scattered eosinophils were present in the mucosa.

METHODS

Endoscopic biopsy specimens were obtained from macroscopically flat duodeno-jejunal mucosa. Samples were immediately fixed in 1% glutaraldehyde in cacodylate buffer for one hour at 4°C. After dehydration, half of the specimens were embedded in Epon and further processed for electron microscopy. The other samples were embedded at −35°C in Lowicryl K4M medium following the standard procedure of Roth et al. Sections were floated on a solution of 0.5% (wt/vol) ovalbumin in Tris-HCl-buffered saline (20 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 7.4 TBS) supplemented with 1% heat inactivated normal

---

Figure 1: Ultrastructural features of eosinophil and plasma cells in lamina propria of jejunal specimens. (A) Shows one lytic eosinophil (Eo) with altered granules both within the degenerating cytoplasm (arrow) and extracellular space (curved arrow). Some free granules (arrowheads) are aggregated with necrotic material from an altered plasma cell (Pc) surrounded by three other intact plasma cells (1 to 3). (B) High magnification of altered granules with an electron lucent central core within an apparently intact eosinophil. (C) Shows dense deposit on a plasma cell surface membrane (arrows) and also on rough endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane from lysed plasma cells.
Goat serum. This was followed by incubation with the tested antibodies diluted in TBS containing ovalbumin (TBS-OVA) for two hours at room temperature and then washed with TBS-OVA. Sections were transferred to drops of the appropriate 10 nm gold-conjugated goat anti-IgG solution (diluted 1/30 to 1/40) (Janssen Pharmaceutica, Beerse, Belgium). After 1 h incubation at room temperature grids were washed with TBS and distilled water successively. Finally, sections were jet washed with distilled water and air dried. Lowicryl sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate.

Affinity purified rabbit antiserum to MBP (kindly provided by Dr G J Gleich, Rochester, Minnesota), mouse monoclonal antibody to the activated form of ECP (EG2, kindly provided by Dr Po-Chun-Tai, London, UK), and anti-IgA antibody (Behring) were used as the primary reagents. Aurolab goat anti-rabbit and antimouse IgG (Janssen) were used as the secondary reagents. The specificity of the immunostaining was tested by (a) omitting the first antibody and; (b) substituting the specific antibodies with the preimmune serum or with unrelated (anti-glutathione S-transferase) antibodies whose labelling pattern had been previously analysed. No immunoreactivity was observed on control sections.

Results

ULTRASTRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF EOSINOPHILS

Tissue sections of jejunal mucosa biopsy specimens were analysed by electron microscopy. Similar results were observed in the 10 patients. Eosinophils were numerous and mostly localised in the upper part of the lamina propria, a few were scattered within the basal layer of the atrophic epithelium. In the superficial part of the lamina propria, eosinophils were intermingled with numerous plasma cells with well developed rough endoplasmic reticulum (Fig 1A). More than 90% of eosinophils showed morphological changes. There were two types of change: 25% (18–31) of eosinophils were lytic with no nucleus and desegregation of the cytoplasm. They were surrounded by free granules with altered central cores (Fig 1A). Seventy five per cent (69–82) of eosinophils had an intact nucleus and cytoplasm but contained altered granules with an almost complete fading of the central core (Fig 1B). This kind of ultrastructural

Figure 2: Immunogold staining on ultrathin sections of Lowicryl K4M embedded jejunal specimens. (A) Major basic protein is present in central core of altered eosinophil free granules (arrows) and is also associated with dense extracellular material (arrowheads). (B) Eosinophil cathepsin protein is exclusively found in the matrix of free altered granules (arrow) and not on dense extracellular material. (C) Shows immunogold labelling of IgA within plasma cell rough endoplasmic reticulum. (D) Shows IgA located in extracellular aggregates (arrows) close to altered free eosinophilic granule (arrowhead).
change affected either all the granules or some of them. At a higher magnification, plasma cells located around lytic eosinophils had dense deposits on the external side of their cytoplasmic membrane. Similar deposits were also observed on the rough endoplasmic reticulum membrane of lysed plasma cells (Fig 1C). Thus, this ultrastructural analysis confirmed the presence of numerous altered eosinophils and suggested the deposition of dense extracellular material in close contact with plasma cells.

**IMMUNOSTAINING OF EOSINOPHIL PROTEINS**
To identify the components of these deposits, immunostaining with anti-MBP, anti-ECP, and anti-IgA antibodies was performed. On sections stained with anti-MBP antibody, gold particles were found on central cores of intact granules and on remnants of central cores of altered granules. MBP was also detected diffusely in the matrix of altered granules and in tight association with dense extracellular deposits (Fig 2A). In contrast, immunogold labelling with EG2 antibody showed that ECP was normally present in the matrix of all eosinophil granules as well as in the matrix of free altered granules but was not detected in the dense extracellular material (Fig 2B). These findings were present in all the 10 biopsy specimens examined. On sections stained with anti-IgA antibody, numerous gold particles were found within plasma cell endoplasmic reticulum (Fig 2C) and also, in 8 of 10 patients, in extracellular aggregates close to altered free eosinophil granules (Fig 2D).

**Discussion**
In the 10 adult patients with coeliac disease who had total or subtotal villous flattening, eosinophils were numerous in the jejunal mucosa and showed appreciable structural alterations—some were lytic with release of partly altered granules, and some showed a less extreme change with disappearance of granule central cores. The presence of eosinophils with these changes in areas just beneath the surface epithelium of the flattened villi may suggest their participation in the mucosal damage of coeliac disease. Firstly, toxic cationic proteins may be released from the lytic eosinophils. Furthermore, eosinophils are able to release their cytotoxic products without being lytic. Previous studies of eosinophils from the blood and tissues of patients with eosinophil-related diseases have suggested that morphological changes may be associated with an activated state and degranulation. In particular, fading of the central core of eosinophil granules, detected in numerous eosinophils present in the jejunal mucosa of patients with coeliac disease, may be linked with MBP release as shown in other inflammatory bowel diseases such as Crohn's disease or eosinophilic gastroenteritis.

The mechanism by which activated eosinophils damage jejunal mucosa in coeliac disease remains uncertain. However, evidence focuses on cationic proteins, which are the most damaging to the host. Immunogold labelling with specific antibodies allowed us to assess the presence of MBP and ECP released from altered granules in the jejunal mucosa of patients with coeliac disease. The diffuse presence of MBP and its tight association with dense extracellular material suggest that it can bind target cell membranes causing direct damage to jejunal mucosa. Accordingly, guinea pig MBP has been shown to be toxic to intestinal cells whereas a selective release of MBP was shown in the ulcerated areas of a patient with eosinophilic gastroenteritis. A similar phenomenon has been proposed for tissue lesions in patients with Crohn's disease. Immunolabelling of ECP, which is normally present in the matrix of eosinophil granules, showed that it was also present in coeliac disease but not reassOCIated with surrounding structures. ECP as well as MBP can exert a potent cytotoxic activity in vitro and large amounts of ECP have previously been demonstrated with immunoperoxidase on paraffin sections in the lamina propria infiltrated with eosinophils of patients with coeliac disease.

The mechanism of eosinophil activation in coeliac disease is unknown. Jejunal crypt hyperplasia and later villous flattening develop subsequent to the gluten induced increase in intraepithelial lymphocytes (IEL). In IEL, particular attention has been paid to the γδ T IEL subset, which is increased in small intestinal biopsy specimens of patients with untreated coeliac disease. However, the functional properties of human IEL are so far not clear. Activated mucosal T cells may also play a role in the pathogenesis of coeliac disease through the generation of various cytokines. A number of endogenous factors, in particular interleukin 5 release from stimulated lymphocytes, seem to have considerable potential to augment several of the effector properties of eosinophils. This link between the activation of lymphocytes and eosinophils may partly explain the mucosal accumulation of eosinophils in coeliac disease. Another characteristic feature in the mucosa of untreated coeliac disease is the appreciable increase in plasma cells in the lamina propria. Enhanced intestinal secretion of antibodies with specificity for gliadin and to a lesser extent for other food antigens has been shown in coeliac disease. We have shown an increased jejunal secretion rate of secretory IgA and IgM in patients with coeliac disease as well as the presence of polymeric antigliadin-IgA linked to secretory component in the jejunal lumen. Receptors for IgA have recently been reported on human eosinophilic cells, suggesting that the most potent signals for eosinophil degranulation thus, the formation of gliadin-IgA complexes may theoretically contribute to degranulation of eosinophils in the mucosa, provided that the complexes become adhered to the cells. The structural association between eosinophils and IgA secreting plasma cells in the atrophic coeliac mucosa and the concomitant presence of MBP and IgA within extracellular deposits might reinforce this hypothesis. Further work is needed to determine the relative contribution of cytokines such as interleukin 5 and of immunoglobulins to eosinophil recruitment and activation in coeliac disease.
Addendum
Since this paper was accepted for publication, we have shown that eosinophils infiltrating the mucosa of patients with active coeliac disease express the IL-5 mRNA. Thus, in coeliac disease, eosinophils could contribute to paraneural interactions with T and B cells and, in autocrine fashion, participate in local eosinophil activation (Desreumaux P, Janin A, Colombel JF, Prin L, Plumas J, Emilie D, et al. J Exp Med 1992; 175: 293–6).