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Abstract
Impairment of non-cholinergic innervation of the lower oesophageal sphincter has been suggested in idiopathic achalasia. As opioid nerves are present in the lower oesophageal sphincter and opioid peptides affect lower oesophageal sphincter motility, the effect of an opioid agonist, morphine (100 µg/kg iv), and an opioid blocker, naloxone (80 µg/kg iv), on lower oesophageal sphincter motor function was assessed in 10 healthy subjects and in 10 patients with untreated idiopathic achalasia on separate days and in randomised order. In addition, in six of the patients, naloxone 0·8 mg iv was injected 60 minutes after morphine and recordings continued for a further five minutes. Lower oesophageal sphincter pressure was monitored by a sleeve device. In the healthy subjects morphine decreased (p<0·01) resting lower oesophageal sphincter pressure by 4 (1) mm Hg (23 (8)%). In the achalasia patients the effect was more marked, lower oesophageal sphincter pressure being reduced (p<0·01) by 11 (2) mm Hg (46 (8)%). Naloxone reversed lower oesophageal sphincter pressure to basal. Both absolute and percentage decreases after morphine were significantly greater (p<0·05) in the achalasia patients than in the healthy subjects. Swallow induced lower oesophageal sphincter relaxation was significantly decreased (p<0·05) by morphine in the healthy subjects but not in the achalasia patients. Naloxone had no effect on resting lower oesophageal sphincter pressure or swallow induced relaxation in either healthy subjects or achalasia patients. In conclusion achalasia patients are hypersensitive to the effect of morphine on resting lower oesophageal sphincter pressure. This finding is unlikely to be the result of a denervation process involving opioid nerves.

Opioid nerves have been shown in the myenteric plexus of normal lower oesophageal sphincter in man and various opioid receptors have been identified in the opossum lower oesophageal sphincter, in the sphincter muscle and the nerve fibres surrounding it. Furthermore, evidence exists that opioid peptides affect lower oesophageal sphincter motor function. In particular, one study showed that an opioid agonist, morphine, administered to healthy subjects determined a decrease and an opioid blocker, naloxone, an increase, although modest, in lower oesophageal sphincter pressure. The latter finding may suggest that endogenous opioids contribute to the control of lower oesophageal sphincter resting pressure.

We reasoned that if opioid nerves are involved in the denervation process of achalasia, the lower oesophageal sphincter of these patients would show hypersensitivity to opioid receptor stimulation induced by morphine and no effect or hyposensitivity to endogenous opioid blockade by naloxone. Therefore, we studied the effect of morphine and naloxone on lower oesophageal sphincter motor function in 10 patients with idiopathic achalasia and compared the results with those obtained in 10 healthy subjects.

Methods

SUBJECTS AND PATIENTS
Ten healthy subjects (aged 19–27 years; eight men) and 10 patients with untreated idiopathic achalasia (aged 25–77 years; four men) were enrolled in the study, which was approved by the Human Research Review Committee of the Ospedale Maggiore of Milan. The patients with achalasia were referred for investigation and treatment of dysphagia which had lasted for three years; 1–10 (median; range). At barium radiograph the oesophageal body, which contained no food residue in any of the patients, had a maximum diameter of 3·9 cm; 2·5–6·0. Four patients have lost weight since the onset of their oesophageal symptoms (3 kg; 0–10). Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was performed in all patients and no lesions were found at the cardias. Routine oesophageal manometry showed absent peristalsis in the oesophageal body and abnormal (<80%) lower oesophageal sphincter relaxation in response to water swallows.

MANOMETRIC RECORDING TECHNIQUE
Oesophageal motility was monitored using an assembly of polyvinyl tubes incorporating a sleeve sensor (Dentsleeve, Belair, Australia).
Figure 1: Effect of morphine on resting lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) pressure. Absolute changes ($\Delta$) and percentage changes ($% \Delta$) of the LOS pressure in healthy subjects and achalasia patients are shown in A and B respectively. Horizontal bars represent means.

The 6 cm long sleeve was positioned so that it straddled the lower oesophageal sphincter. A side hole 1 cm below the distal margin of the sleeve recorded intragastric pressure. Side holes at the upper margin of the sleeve and 5 and 10 cm more proximally monitored motor activity of the oesophageal body at levels 2, 7 and 12 cm above the lower oesophageal sphincter. Furthermore, one of two side holes at 23 and 28 cm proximal to the upper margin of the sleeve monitored swallows in the pharynx in all but four patients. Each lumen was connected to a pressure transducer (model 4–327-1, Sensormedics, Anaheim, CA, USA) and perfused with distilled water by a low compliance pneumohydraulic infusion pump (Sensormedics, Milan, Italy; response: $>200$ mmHg/s at 0.5 ml/minute) except for the pharyngeal port, which was water filled, but not perfused to avoid stimulation of swallowing. The gastric side hole and sleeve were perfused at 0.5 ml/minute, whereas the side holes in the oesophageal body were perfused at 0.13 ml/minute in order to minimize the fluid load to the subject. Signal from the pressure transducers were processed and recorded on a polygraph (model R711, Sensormedics, Anaheim, CA, USA) at a paper speed of 1 mm/s.

**EXPERIMENTS**

Oesophageal motility was recorded in the subjects and patients after an overnight fast during two experiments performed in randomised order on two separate days, according to the same protocol. Each experiment comprised a 30 minute basal period and a 60 minute period after intravenous injection of either morphine (100 $\mu$/kg) or naloxone (80 $\mu$/kg) as a bolus. No observations were obtained after naloxone in two of the patients, one refused to perform his second experiment and the other tolerated it poorly and failed to complete it. In the last six patients 0.8 mg of naloxone was injected iv at the end of the morphine period and recording continued for a further five minutes. Although the small dose of naloxone was administered to block the central nervous system effect of morphine, we felt it of interest to include manometric tracings after naloxone in the analysis. Furthermore, in six of the healthy subjects and in the patients who had tolerated water swallows well during routine manometry (eight) lower oesophageal sphincter relaxation in response to six to eight water (5 ml) swallows per period was assessed. Subjects and patients were recumbent throughout the experiments.

**ANALYSIS OF RECORDS**

The pressure tracings were analysed by one of the investigators who was unaware of the drug tested. The effect of the two drugs on resting lower oesophageal sphincter pressure was evaluated as follows. Increases in lower oesophageal sphincter pressure were assessed by comparing the highest end expiratory lower oesophageal sphincter pressure occurring during a two minute interval immediately before drug administration with the highest end expiratory lower oesophageal sphincter pressure occurring during a two minute interval immediately before drug administration with the
lower end expiratory pressure occurring one to three minutes after it. In addition, the time course of lower oesophageal sphincter pressure changes after injection of each drug was evaluated. For this purpose one minute visual means of the tracings were measured. Lower oesophageal sphincter pressure 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 minutes after drug injection and then at five minute intervals up to 60 minutes was compared with the value of the five minutes before injection. Lower oesophageal sphincter pressure was referenced to end expiratory intragastric pressure. Swallow induced relaxations and contractions and artefacts were disregarded in the analysis. On some occasions, lower oesophageal sphincter pressure showed increases synchronous with regular gastric contraction waves and lasting a few minutes, presumably an expression of late phase 2 and/or phase 3 of the interdigestive migrating motor complex. These

Figure 3: Tracing in a patient with achalasia at the time of morphine injection. A marked relaxation of the lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) occurred after morphine. A decrease in respiratory rate may also be observed. The broken line indicates intragastric pressure.

Figure 4: Mean resting lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) pressure before and after morphine in healthy subjects and achalasia patients.
Figure 5: Mean resting lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) pressure of 6 patients with achalasia at the time of naloxone injection showing reversal of the effect of morphine. The broken line indicates mean LOS pressure of the five minutes before morphine injection.

Figure 6: Effect of naloxone on resting lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) pressure. Absolute changes (Δ) and percentage changes (Δ%) of LOS pressure in healthy subjects and achalasia patients are shown in A and B respectively. Horizontal bars represent means.

**Discussion**

The major finding of the present study was that the lower oesophageal sphincter of achalasia patients responded to intravenous injection of morphine with a significantly greater decrease in tone than that in healthy subjects. Two lines of evidence suggest that the effect of morphine on lower oesophageal sphincter tone was mainly mediated through a μ opioid receptor. Firstly, morphine has a high affinity for the μ type among the various opioid receptors,18 and secondly the effect of morphine was almost completely reversed by a small dose of naloxone. Although naloxone at high doses may have pharmacological actions other than opioid antagonism,18 at low doses it binds mostly with μ receptors.10,11-19 The second argument, however, would have been stronger if the blocking effect of naloxone had been shown by injecting the drug before morphine.

The location of the receptors responsible for the effect of morphine on lower oesophageal sphincter tone cannot be ascertained by our experiments. On the one hand it is known from animal studies10-22 that the effects of morphine on gastrointestinal motility may occur through stimulation of central and peripheral receptors and that the central component appears to be mediated by the vagus nerve.23 Furthermore, at the periphery, receptors sensitive to morphine have been shown to exist at prejunctional sites along cholinergic pathways24 or directly on the smooth muscle.25 On the other hand, current evidence suggests that in man basal lower oesophageal sphincter pressure represents the sum of excitatory neural activity, inhibitory neural activity, and myogenic activity.24,25 Thus morphine may have acted either along the neural pathways or on the lower oesophageal sphincter muscle itself.

What is the possible physiological interpretation of the greater response of the lower oesophageal sphincter in achalasia and what do we gain in terms of understanding of the pathogenesis of achalasia? This is a problematical point and a few hypotheses may be considered. For example, a decrease or functional impairment of opioid nerve fibres could determine denervation supersensitivity to morphine. Although an immunocytochemical study4 showing no opioid containing nerves in the lower oesophageal sphincter in achalasia may support this contention two other observations do not. Firstly, naloxone had no effect on lower oesophageal sphincter motor function in either normals or achalasia patients, suggesting that this function is not controlled by opioid nerves. It may be argued, however, that, at the high dose we used when naloxone was tested in basal condition, effects other than opioid receptor antagonism may have been predominant.10 Furthermore, endogenous opioids may have a physiological role in the control of lower oesophageal sphincter tone only in the fed state when a decrease in lower oesophageal sphincter pressure is known to occur.26 Secondly, the magnitude of the difference between normal subjects and achalasia patients was probably too small for a true denervation effect. Comparison between animal reports on denervation27 and our paper is difficult. Those studies mainly concerned the contractile response of the smooth muscle to adrenergic and cholinergic denervation and the response of the denervated muscle was somewhat variable, showing that the same degree of contraction was produced by doses of the relevant
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**Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Healthy subjects</th>
<th>Achalasia patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>Naloxone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>Morphine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>Naloxone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbers in square brackets represent residual lower oesophageal sphincter pressure in mm Hg. Data are expressed as mean (SEM).
agonist, noradrenaline or acetylcholine, from a few times to a few 10 times smaller than for the normally innervated muscle. It may nevertheless be cautiously extrapolated that the effect of denervation on smooth muscle was generally greater than the effect of achalasia. Another explanation for morphine hypersensitivity in achalasia is impairment of non-opioid nerves located between the opioid receptor and the lower oesophageal sphincter muscle, which may have altered the lower oesophageal sphincter response. A final hypothesis is the activation of abnormal compensatory neural mechanisms for control of lower oesophageal sphincter tone in achalasia patients, induced by a direct action of morphine on the lower oesophageal sphincter muscle.

We think that further studies on peripheral opioid agonists in achalasia patients may be of interest for two reasons. Firstly, they may help to ascertain whether the receptors involved in morphine hypersensitivity are located within or outside the central nervous system. Secondly, as pharmacological treatment of achalasia is relatively inefficacious and often not well tolerated, they may indicate whether it is worth testing a small oral dose of a peripheral opioid agonist in combination with a calcium channel blocker or a nitrate in order either to achieve a greater reduction in lower oesophageal sphincter tone by combining two different relaxing mechanisms, or to reduce the dose of the traditional drugs. Whereas our data on morphine confirm that it decreases basal lower oesophageal sphincter pressure in normal subjects,13 those on naloxone are in apparent partial disagreement with one study,41 in which a similar dose determined a modest increase of lower oesophageal sphincter pressure. This increase, however, was only intermittently significant during the 60 minute observation period. Furthermore, the different criterion of measuring lower oesophageal sphincter pressure with regard to oscillations related to the interdigestive migrating motor complex may have contributed to the different results.

Contrary to our findings on lower oesophageal sphincter tone, during swallow induced relaxations the achalasia patients showed a much smaller response to morphine than the healthy subjects. A likely hypothesis is that the drug acted on receptors located at sites different from those involved in the effect on tone, most probably along the vagal efferent neural pathways responsible for swallow induced relaxations.63 These pathways are thought to be severely damaged by the disease and thus unable to induce a normal relaxation of the lower oesophageal sphincter or to respond to morphine. Our results in healthy subjects, confirming inhibition of swallow induced relaxation by morphine13 in spite of a relaxing effect on lower oesophageal sphincter basal pressure, support this notion of two different sites of action.
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