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Abstract
As various isoenzymes of gastric alcohol dehydrogenase exist and as the effect of sex and age on these enzymes is unknown, this study measured the activity of gastric alcohol dehydrogenase at high and low ethanol concentrations in endoscopic biopsy specimens from a total of 290 patients of various ages and from 10 patients with chronic alcoholism. Gastric alcohol dehydrogenase was also detected by immunohistochemical tests in biopsy specimens from 40 patients by the use of a polyclonal rabbit antibody against class I alcohol dehydrogenase. A significant correlation was found between the immunohistochemical reaction assessed by the intensity of the colour reaction in the biopsy specimen and the activity of alcohol dehydrogenase measured at 580 mM ethanol. While alcohol dehydrogenase activity measured at 16 mM ethanol was not significantly affected by age and sex, both factors influenced alcohol dehydrogenase activity measured at 580 mM ethanol. Young women below 50 years of age had significantly lower alcohol dehydrogenase activities in the gastric corpus and antrum when compared with age matched controls (SEM) (6.4 (0.7) v 8.8 (0.6) nmol/min/mg protein; p<0.001 and 6.0 (1.3) v 9.5 (1.3) nmol/min/mg protein; p<0.001). Over 50 years of age this sex difference was no longer detectable, as high Km gastric alcohol dehydrogenase activity decreases with age only in men and not in women. In addition, extremely low alcohol dehydrogenase activities have been found in gastric biopsy specimens from young male alcoholics (2.2 (0.5) nmol/min/mg protein), which returned to normal after two to three weeks of abstinence. The activity of alcohol dehydrogenase in the human stomach measured at 580 mM ethanol is decreased in young women, in elderly men, and in the subject with alcoholism. This decrease in alcohol dehydrogenase activity may contribute to the reduced first pass metabolism of ethanol associated with raised ethanol blood concentrations seen in these people.

Methods
PATIENTS
A total of 290 patients had gastroscopies and biopsy specimens (weight: 3–12 mg) were taken from apparently normal areas of the gastric corpus, or antrum, or both. Of the 290 patients, 118 were men and 172 were women with an age between 18 and 83 years. All patients required gastroscopy because of medical indications including dyspeptic symptoms and tumour exclusion. None of the patients were receiving H2 receptor antagonist treatment. Patients with Helicobacter pylori infection and with moderate or severe gastritis determined by light microscopy were excluded from the study. The gastroscopy was carried out with an Olympus GIF Q endoscope and the biopsy specimen was taken by a forceps type FB3K. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Department of Medicine, University of Heidelberg, Germany. The patients did not have any history of chronic alcohol abuse. In addition, biopsy specimens were taken from nine male alcoholics (age: 30–75 years) and from one female alcoholic (age: 45 years) at the time of hospital admission. All of these patients reported an intake of more than 100 g ethanol per day and all of them had raised serum γ-glutamyltransferase activities. In addition, all patients had histologically moderate to severe gastritis. Three of these patients had another biopsy during their stay in hospital after 14 and 19 days of abstinence from ethanol. At this time, gastric morphology returned to normal.

Determination of Alcohol Dehydrogenase in Gastric Biopsy Specimens
The biopsy specimens were frozen immediately and kept at −80°C until used. The tissue was homogenised in 100 mM glycine buffer, pH 9.6 using a specially designed homogeniser for...
Eppendorf vials and finally centrifuged at 27,000 g for 15 minutes. The supernatant was used to determine alcohol dehydrogenase activity at 22°C in 100 mM glycine buffer, pH 9-6 with a final ethanol concentration of either 16 mM or 580 mM, and a nicotine adenine dinucleotide concentration of 2-6 mM. The human stomach contains three isoenzymes of alcohol dehydrogenase: The γ-alcohol dehydrogenase forms (class I) with a Km of 1-2 mM at pH 10-0, the α-alcohol dehydrogenase (class IV) with a Km of 11 mM at pH 10-0, and γ-alcohol dehydrogenase (class III), which cannot be saturated with ethanol. At 16 mM ethanol γ-alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes are saturated, α-alcohol dehydrogenase is partially saturated, and γ-alcohol dehydrogenase is not active. At 580 mM ethanol γ-alcohol dehydrogenase is partially inhibited by excess of substrate, α-alcohol dehydrogenase is saturated, and γ-alcohol dehydrogenase has also a small contribution to the activity measured. The complexity of the system makes it impossible to differentiate clearly between the activities corresponding to each enzyme. Therefore, in this paper alcohol dehydrogenase activities measured at 16 mM and 580 mM ethanol are referred to activities of alcohol dehydrogenase with low or high ethanol concentrations.

The soluble protein content in the supernatant fraction was measured according to the method of Lowery et al17 using bovine serum albumin as a standard.

IMMUNOHISTOLOGY OF GASTRIC ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE

Chemicals – protein A and sephrose CL-4B were obtained from Pharmacia Inc (Uppsala, Sweden), and horseradish peroxidase from Sigma Chemical Co (St Louis, Mo). Protein A-peroxidase was prepared as described.18

Immunochemicals – pyrazole sensitive human liver alcohol dehydrogenase was purified and characterised as described and used to elicit antibodies in rabbits.19 Anti-alcohol dehydrogenase antibodies were purified by affinity chromatography: human liver alcohol dehydrogenase was immobilised on CNBr-activated sepharose CL-4B according to the method described previously.20 A mixture of 10 ml antiserum and 10 ml of alcohol dehydrogenase-sepharose was incubated at 4°C overnight. The suspension was poured into a 0-9 cm diameter chromatography column. The gel was washed with 0-05 M phosphate buffered saline, pH 7-4, followed by 2 M sodium chloride in phosphate buffer.21 Antibodies bound in the column were eluted with 3 M thiocyanate, pH 6, immediately dialysed against phosphate buffered saline (3 × 2 × 1), and concentrated in an Amicon ultrafiltration gel (Amicon Coop, Danvers, Mass) with PM-10 membrane to a protein concentration of 3-4 mg/ml. All elutions were carried out at a flow rate of 80 ml/h. The breakthrough fraction of the affinity chromatography column – that is, antiserum depleted of specific anti-alcohol dehydrogenase antibodies, was concentrated to the original volume and used as negative control (immunoabsorbed antiserum).

**Tissue section** – gastric biopsy specimens were immediately fixed in 4% phosphate buffered formaldehyde, pH 7-4, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at a thickness of 5 micron and mounted on microscopic slides. Three to five sections of each specimen were tested for the alcohol dehydrogenase content with the following immunohistochemical staining method: alcohol dehydrogenase was localised with anti-alcohol dehydrogenase antibodies. Bound antibodies were detected with a protein-A-peroxidase conjugate. The intensity of the staining was graded independently by a pathologist.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Results were expressed as mean (SEM). The statistical significance of the differences in alcohol dehydrogenase activity were assessed by the Student’s unpaired t test. p Values under 0-05 were considered to show statistical significance. The correlation between alcohol dehydrogenase activity and the intensity of the immunoreaction was analysed by the Spearman non-parametric method.

**Results**

In biopsy specimens from the human stomach alcohol dehydrogenase can be detected immunohistochemically in parietal and mucous producing cells and to a lesser degree in chief cells. The intensity, however, of the immunoreaction illustrated as pigment development varies inter-individually (Fig 1). A significantly positive correlation was found between the intensity of the immunoreaction and the alcohol dehydrogenase activity measured with 580 mM ethanol by using the same biopsy specimen divided into two parts to perform both determinations (Fig 2).

When alcohol dehydrogenase activity was measured in gastric biopsy specimens at an ethanol concentration of 580 mM no significant differences in the enzyme activity were found between the corpus and the antrum of the stomach (Table). Younger women, however, showed a significantly lower alcohol dehydrogenase activity compared with age matched men, and elderly men showed a significantly lower alcohol dehydrogenase activity compared with younger men (Table). Figure 3 shows the effect of both age and sex on the activity of gastric alcohol dehydrogenase.

### Effect of age and sex on gastric alcohol dehydrogenase activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age 17-50 years</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Age 50-85 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein (mg)</td>
<td>Protein (mg)</td>
<td>Protein (mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women Alcohol</td>
<td>Men Alcohol</td>
<td>Women Alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antrum*</td>
<td>6-0 (1-3) (n=9)</td>
<td>6-9 (0-0) (n=15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>p&lt;0-001</td>
<td>p&lt;0-001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus*</td>
<td>9-5 (1-3) (n=11)</td>
<td>8-9 (0-0) (n=15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>p&lt;0-001</td>
<td>p&lt;0-001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>8-8 (0-6) (n=15)</td>
<td>8-8 (0-6) (n=15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>5-4 (0-8) (n=20)</td>
<td>5-4 (0-8) (n=20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>6-7 (1-3) (n=12)</td>
<td>6-7 (1-3) (n=12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Alcohol dehydrogenase activity measured at 580 mM ethanol.
** Alcohol dehydrogenase activity measured at 16 mM ethanol.

*p <0-001 for the comparison with men in the same group; results expressed as mean (SEM).
dehydrogenase measured at 580 mM ethanol. While the activity of the enzyme stays fairly constant during the lifetime in women, a sharp decrease of the activity is seen in men between the age of 40 to 50 years. This is in contrast with the alcohol dehydrogenase activity measured at 16 mM ethanol (Table). Neither age nor sex had a significant effect on this enzyme activity, although a trend towards lower activities in younger women and in elderly men can also be detected. Finally, alcoholics had a strikingly low gastric alcohol dehydrogenase activity at 580 mM ethanol compared with non-alcoholics (Fig 4). The 45 year old woman with chronic alcoholism had a gastric alcohol dehydrogenase activity of 0.7 nmol/mg protein/min. Three of the nine male alcoholics could be biopsied again during hospital stay 14 to 19 days after abstinence from alcohol. All three showed a complete return to normal of the enzyme activity.

**Discussion**

The data presented show the presence of at least two types of alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes in endoscopic biopsy specimens from the human stomach with different activities. One isoenzyme has a low $K_m$ for ethanol comparable with that of class I alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes as defined for the liver. This enzyme has already been described in necropsy, and in fresh surgical specimens. By using fresh gastric mucosa, Hernandez-Munoz et al. found that this low $K_m$ enzyme has an optimal pH of 10.6, is very sensitive to the inhibition by 4-methylpyrazole, and migrates cathodically on electrophoresis. This enzyme could also be detected here by immunohistology using a polyclonal rabbit antibody against human liver class I alcohol dehydrogenase. The other type of alcohol dehydrogenase has a much lower affinity to ethanol but with activities that become significant at ethanol concentrations commonly present in the human stomach after alcohol consumption. It has been shown that one high $K_m$ isoenzyme has a pH optimum of 10.5, is refractory to the inhibition by 4-methylpyrazole, and has kinetic properties corresponding to the class III (or $\gamma$-alcohol dehydrogenase) isoenzyme. In addition, a new alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzyme, named $\alpha$-alcohol dehydrogenase has been purified from the human stomach. This enzyme is a class IV isoenzyme but differs from $\pi$-alcohol dehydrogenase, has a pH optimum of 9.9, and a $K_m$ of 41 mM at pH 7.4. This gastric isoenzyme has also been detected in the rat and in the baboon and therefore its occurrence may be widespread in mammals. Thus, three different types of alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes are capable of oxidising ethanol in the mucosa of the human stomach. To measure the overall metabolism of
ethanol by gastric alcohol dehydrogenase and to estimate its contribution to the total alcohol oxidation in the body, sufficiently high ethanol concentrations should be used to saturate all isoenzymes.

It has to be pointed out that the specific activity of alcohol dehydrogenase measured at 580 mM ethanol is similar to that obtained by Moreno and Pares and by Hernandez-Munoz et al. measured at 100 mM and 580 mM ethanol and is only 20--40% of that reported by Fresza et al. While Fresza et al. concluded from their data that at least 20% of the dose of ethanol given can be metabolised by 200 g of gastric mucosa in three hours, Moreno and Pares estimated that the total activity of gastric alcohol dehydrogenase measured at pH 7.5 may not exceed 1% of that of the liver and may therefore be negligible with respect to the overall metabolism of ethanol. It seems, however, difficult to extrapolate in vivo ethanol oxidation rates from in vitro data measured in gastric biopsy specimens, as the weight of the total gastric mucosa in humans is not known. Gastric alcohol dehydrogenase may be responsible for the local production of acetaldehyde, even after a comparatively low oral intake of ethanol and considering the toxicity of acetaldehyde, it could contribute to mucosal injury commonly seen after alcohol consumption.

Various conditions have been reported under which ethanol blood concentrations increase after oral intake of ethanol including fasting, chronic alcoholism, cimetidine treatment, and in women. It has been suggested that the increased availability of ethanol is due to decreased first pass metabolism of alcohol in the stomach. Furthermore, as it was found that the first pass metabolism of ethanol (which is the difference between the ethanol blood concentration time curve obtained after intravenous and oral application of alcohol) correlates significantly with the activity of gastric alcohol dehydrogenase measured in endoscopic biopsy specimens, it was concluded that the increased availability of ethanol in women is due to a decreased gastric alcohol dehydrogenase activity. The data presented here confirmed the results of Fresza et al. and earlier results from our laboratory that women exhibit a significantly lower gastric alcohol dehydrogenase activity than men when ethanol dehydrogenase is measured at high ethanol concentrations. This effect of sex, however, on gastric alcohol dehydrogenase activity is age dependent. While the activity of gastric alcohol dehydrogenase in man drops significantly during the fourth and fifth decade and becomes similar to that of women, the activity in women stays more or less constant at a lower activity level for the life time. A similar age effect as for gastric alcohol dehydrogenase has been seen for hepatic alcohol dehydrogenase. Although a sex specificity of hepatic alcohol dehydrogenase distribution profile in younger age groups has been reported, this sex difference was no longer apparent after the age of 50 years. It is of interest to note that neither female sex nor advanced age exhibit a significant suppressive effect on gastric alcohol dehydrogenase activity measured at low ethanol concentrations.

We have recently reported raised blood ethanol concentrations in parents after an oral intake of 0.3 g per kg body weight of ethanol compared with their children. These raised ethanol blood concentrations have been attributed to a decreased distribution volume for ethanol with advanced age. On the basis of the results presented here raised ethanol blood concentrations after oral alcohol administration in the elderly could be due, at least in part, to a decreased gastric first pass metabolism of alcohol by alcohol dehydrogenase.

Moreover, gastric alcohol dehydrogenase activity has been found to be extremely low in chronic alcoholics. The alcohol dehydrogenase activity was only 10--20% of that seen in sex and age matched controls. Therefore, as a result of this decreased alcohol dehydrogenase activity, first pass metabolism can be strikingly decreased in these individuals leading to raised ethanol blood concentrations as reported before. It is of

---

![Figure 3: Effect of age and sex on the activity of human gastric alcohol dehydrogenase measured at 580 mM ethanol. *Significantly lower (p<0.01) compared with age matched men.

![Figure 4: Effect of chronic ethanol consumption on the activity of human gastric alcohol dehydrogenase measured at 580 mM ethanol in biopsy specimens from the corpus of male patients above and below 50 years of age. The data for non-alcoholics are those used in the table. **Significantly lower (p<0.001) compared with patients below 50 years of age. ***Significantly lower (p<0.001) compared with patients above 50 years of age.]}
interest that the gastric alcohol dehydrogenase activity in alcoholics can return to normal values during abstinence, which may be explained by a normalisation of the gastric mucosa during alcohol withdrawal.

In conclusion, the decrease of gastric alcohol dehydrogenase activity seen in women and in elderly men together with a smaller volume of distribution of ethanol in these populations may result in raised ethanol blood concentrations. Such a rise of ethanol blood concentrations may be further enhanced by chronic alcohol abuse, by fasting, or with cimetidine treatment. This should be considered in the definition of safe levels of drinking for men and women of various ages driving motor vehicles or engaging in other activities requiring a high degree of attention or co-ordination.
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