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Abstract

The functional integrity of the small bowel is impaired in coeliac disease. Intestinal permeability, as measured by the sugar absorption test probably reflects this phenomenon. In the sugar absorption test a solution of lactulose and mannitol was given to the fasting patient and the lactulose/mannitol ratio measured in urine collected over a period of five hours. The sugar absorption test was performed in nine patients with coeliac disease with an abnormal jejunal segment on histological examination, 10 relatives of patients with coeliac disease with aspecific symptoms but no villous atrophy, six patients with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms but no villous atrophy, and 22 healthy controls to determine whether functional integrity is different in these groups. The lactulose/mannitol ratio (mean (SEM)) is significantly higher in both coeliac disease (0.243 (0.034), p<0.001) and relatives of patients with coeliac disease (0.158 (0.040), p<0.005) than in both healthy controls (0.043 (0.006)) and patients with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms (0.040 (0.011)). The lactulose/mannitol ratio in relatives of coeliac disease patients was significantly lower than in the coeliac disease patient group (p<0.04). The lactulose/mannitol ratio was the same in healthy controls and patients with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms. It is concluded that the sugar absorption test is a sensitive test that distinguishes between patients with coeliac disease and healthy controls. The explanation for the increased permeability in relatives of patients with coeliac disease is uncertain. Increased intestinal permeability may be related to constitutional factors in people susceptible to coeliac disease and may detect latent coeliac disease. The sugar absorption test may therefore be helpful in family studies of coeliac disease.

(Gut 1993; 34: 354–357)

The incidence of coeliac disease is not decreasing, but the clinical picture is changing from the classic form in very young children towards the atypical form in school age children and adolescents. It has been suggested that this delay in the development of symptomatic coeliac disease could be the result of the prolonged period of breast feeding and subsequent delayed introduction of and exposure to gluten. Maki et al described four patients with so-called latent coeliac disease, who developed coeliac disease 2 to 9 years after histological examination of the small bowel was normal on a diet containing gluten.

IgA antibodies detected against reticulin and endomysium were shown in 12% of the family members; accompanied by villous atrophy in 9% and without villous atrophy in 3%. It remains to be seen whether the latter group with a low grade immunological reaction preceding coeliac disease should be considered as another example of latent coeliac disease. This low grade immunological reaction could be the reflection of a pre-existing change in the barrier function of the small intestinal mucosa. In some of the patients with latent coeliac disease minor histological changes, such as an increased lymphocyte count, were found before they developed IgA antibodies and coeliac disease. Two of the four patients with latent coeliac disease were related in the first degree to patients with coeliac disease. In first degree relatives, asymptomatic patients with coeliac disease have been described with a prevalence as high as 10%. Whether these patients can also be considered to have had latent coeliac disease is unknown. Usually they have not been investigated previously because of a lack of symptoms.

In other immunological gastrointestinal diseases such as food allergy, it has been suggested that disturbances in the functional integrity, responsible for the barrier function of the small bowel mucosa, could play a role in the development of clinical symptoms. In ‘full blown’ coeliac disease, the histology of the mucosal structure, as well as the functional integrity of the small bowel mucosa, are impaired. If latent coeliac disease is a precursor of coeliac disease itself, the functional integrity of the small bowel could already be altered before gross histological abnormalities are found.

Intestinal permeability, as measured by the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Healthy controls (n=22)</th>
<th>Coeliac disease (n=9)</th>
<th>Relatives of coeliac disease patients (n=10)</th>
<th>Aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms (n=6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal biopsy</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Villous atrophy Some</td>
<td>No villous atrophy</td>
<td>Some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal symptoms</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Some</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives with coeliac disease</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Some</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Healthy controls (n=22)</th>
<th>Coeliac disease patients (n=9)</th>
<th>Relatives of coeliac disease patients (n=10)</th>
<th>Aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms (n=6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lactulose</td>
<td>24.3 (3.1)</td>
<td>138±67 (29.1)</td>
<td>78±5* (9.3)</td>
<td>25.0±6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>54.5±7.3 (4.7)</td>
<td>62.7±1 (20.7)</td>
<td>612.2±5 (9.4)</td>
<td>634.5±66.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L/M ratio</td>
<td>0.043 (0.006)</td>
<td>0.245 (0.034)</td>
<td>0.158 (0.040)</td>
<td>0.040 (0.011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.005; † p<0.001; ‡ healthy controls (Mann Whitney U test).
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The nine controls, whereas patients compared healthy controls, coeliac disease patients, and six patients with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms, the functional integrity is considered a non-invasive index of the small bowel mucosa.

This study aimed to determine if intestinal permeability, as assessed by the sugar absorption test, is different in relatives of coeliac disease patients compared with coeliac disease patients, healthy controls, and patients not related to coeliac disease patients, with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms in which coeliac disease was excluded by intestinal biopsy investigation.

Patients
The group characteristics are summarised in Table I. Nine patients with moderate to severe villous atrophy shown by intestinal biopsy specimen were classified as having coeliac disease. These patients were either newly diagnosed or in partial remission and were not adhering to a gluten free diet. Ten patients were first degree relatives of (biopsy proved) coeliac disease patients, of whom seven had aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms such as malaise, abdominal cramps, or abnormal stools, while three had no symptoms at all. Five of 10 relatives of coeliac disease patients had no histological abnormalities on intestinal biopsy specimen. The other five patients had no villous atrophy but had a slightly increased intraepithelial lymphocyte count on intestinal biopsy specimen. The latter group would be classified as Marsh I on the criteria recently suggested by Marsh et al.
Six patients, not related to coeliac disease patients, had aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms, but no villous atrophy on intestinal biopsy specimen. Twenty two normal adults without any gastrointestinal symptoms and without relatives with coeliac disease served as controls. For ethical reasons intestinal biopsies were not performed in healthy controls.

Methods
After an overnight fast the patient emptied his or her bladder and drank a solution containing 2 g mannitol, 5 g lactulose and 40 g sucrose, made up to 100 ml with demineralised water, to give an osmolality of approximately 1650 mosm/l. For the first 2 hours after drinking the test fluid, no food or fluid was allowed and all the urine passed in the 5 hours after they had drunk the test fluid was collected. Chlorhexidine digluconate 20% (0-5 ml) was added to the urine as a preservative. The urine volume was measured and aliquots were stored frozen at 20°C. To calculate the lactulose/mannitol ratio samples were analysed for lactulose and mannitol by gas chromatography as previously described.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
The non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was used for statistical analysis of the data. A p value <0-05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
The mean lactulose/mannitol ratio in urine from the four groups is summarised in Table II. The lactulose/mannitol ratio in coeliac disease patients is significantly higher than in both the healthy controls (p<0-0001) and in patients with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms (p<0-002).

The lactulose/mannitol ratio in relatives of coeliac disease patients is significantly lower than in coeliac disease patients (p=0-04) but significantly higher than that in either healthy controls (p<0-0001) and patients with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms (p=0-002). The lactulose/mannitol ratio is not significantly different in healthy controls and patients with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms (p=0-74).

The mean levels of mannitol excretion in urine in the four groups are summarised in Table II. The differences between the groups are not significant. The mean levels of urinary lactulose excretion in the four groups are summarised in Table II. The differences between the groups are generally similar to the differences in the lactulose/mannitol ratio. The differences between coeliac disease patients and relatives of coeliac disease patients, however, can only be seen in the lactulose/mannitol ratio (p=0-04) and not in the urinary lactulose excretion alone (p=0-17).

A lactulose/mannitol ratio 0-099 (mean +2SD) is considered abnormal. On this basis, in eight of nine coeliac disease patients, seven of 10 relatives of coeliac disease patients, none of six patients with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms, and one of 22 healthy controls were found to have abnormal lactulose/mannitol ratio (Figure).

Discussion
In 1974 Menzies et al. described the theoretical advantages, over other tests, like the xylose test...
of the simultaneous administration of different inert sugars (lactulose/mannitol) and measuring their excretion ratio in urine. Since then many studies have been performed in a variety of gastrointestinal diseases as reviewed by Lifeshitz. Several sugars have been used, such as mannitol, L-rhamnose, lactulose, and cellobiose. As shown by Juby et al. the lactulose/mannitol test can be considered as a suitable screening test for coeliac disease. In our study we used a slightly modified lactulose/mannitol test. We substituted glucose, which is absorbed very quickly by the human intestine and therefore probably contributes little to the intestinal osmolarity of the solution, for sucrose as an osmotic filler. It has been shown that the use of a hyperosmolar solution will result in a better discrimination between normal and abnormal conditions of the small bowel. Both lactulose and mannitol were measured by gas-chromatography as previously described.

In this study the sugar absorption test was performed to determine whether relatives of coeliac disease patients, who are known to be at risk of developing coeliac disease, have functional changes comparable with coeliac disease patients. We showed that the lactulose/mannitol ratio in these relatives is significantly higher than in both healthy controls and patients with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms. The relatives' lactulose/mannitol ratio is, however, still significantly lower than that in coeliac disease patients. The latter two groups cannot be differentiated by lactulose excretion alone. The increase in lactulose excretion in coeliac disease may reflect epithelial injury, cell shedding, or changes in the intercellular tight junctions. Mannitol, which is quite similar in size to xylose, could not differentiate between any group. This confirms the higher sensitivity of the lactulose/mannitol ratio than the use of one marker, like lactulose. In a recent study, we compared the sugar absorption test with the xylose test in detecting impaired mucosal function and found that the sensitivity of the sugar absorption test is much better than that of the xylose test, whereas the specificity was equal.

Untreated coeliac disease patients have an increased risk of intestinal malignancies. For that reason, it seems reasonable to screen first degree relatives whose risk of developing asymptomatic coeliac disease is as high as 10%. None of the 10 relatives showed signs of villous atrophy on histological examination of the small bowel but seven of 10 had increased intestinal permeability. Of these seven, two were asymptomatic while, three showed increased intraepithelial lymphocytes. Therefore, in our study no clear correlation seems to exist between increased intestinal permeability, histological abnormalities of the small bowel, or the occurrence of aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms.

Increased intestinal permeability could facilitate the interaction of gluten with the human immune system thus leading to the production of IgA antibodies and (after prolonged exposure to gluten) to histological damage of the mucosa. It seems unlikely, however, that increased intestinal permeability is a primary factor in the development of coeliac disease. In two recent studies, coeliac disease patients on a gluten free diet had normal intestinal permeability but did react to gluten challenge with an increase in intestinal permeability.

There are two possible explanations for the finding that intestinal permeability was increased in seven of 10 relatives of coeliac disease patients and had no histological evidence of the disease. Firstly, this could be a secondary phenomenon of a low grade immunological reaction to gluten that is insufficient to cause histological damage of the small bowel. Secondly, it could reflect latent coeliac disease in which the 'full blown' histological changes will develop in the future, after long term exposure to gluten or to as yet another unknown trigger. Controversy exists over the value of IgA anti-gliadin antibodies (IgA AGA) in detecting coeliac disease. Arnason recently presented data that suggest a possible role for IgA AGA in detecting coeliac disease. In our study, IgA AGA was performed in a recent study, the Transmucosal Laboratory of the Netherlands Red Cross Blood Transfusion Service (Dr Stapel). In three of six coeliac disease patients positive titres of IgA AGA were found, whereas one of nine relatives had a positive IgA AGA titre. None of the four patients with gastrointestinal symptoms we tested had a positive IgA AGA titre.

We conclude that the sugar absorption test is a sensitive non-invasive test that differentiates between coeliac disease patients and both healthy controls and patients with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms. Relatives of coeliac disease patients tend to have increased permeability compared with both healthy controls and patients with aspecific gastrointestinal symptoms.

The exact implications of increased intestinal permeability for the development of and screening for coeliac disease remains to be seen. As the time interval between latent and active coeliac disease could be as long as 10 years, the follow up of the patients in this study will help us understand whether increased intestinal permeability (as measured with the sugar absorption test) is a predictor of future coeliac disease, and therefore identify patients with so called latent coeliac disease.
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