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Abstract
Forty six patients (median age 61 years; 42 women) with faecal incontinence and 16 age and sex matched controls undergoing a restorative proctocolectomy were assessed by clinical examination, anorectal physiology, and anal endosonography. Forty patients (87%) with faecal incontinence had a sphincter defect demonstrated on anal endosonography (31 external and 21 internal anal sphincter defects). The commonest cause of faecal incontinence was obstetric trauma. This occurred in 35 women, 30 of whom exhibited a morphological defect in the anorectal sphincter complex. In 22 of these patients with a history of a perineal tear or episiotomy, 21 (95%) had a sphincter defect. Sphincter defects were commonly located at the level of the midanal canal.

Defects of the anorectal sphincters are a common cause of faecal incontinence either alone or in combination with pelvic floor neuropathy.1,2 Previous reports on the prevalence of sphincter injury in faecal incontinence have used digital assessment, conventional manometry, and electromyographic sphincter mapping.3 We believe that with these methods sphincter defects have been underdiagnosed. This may, in part, be explained by the limitations of digital examination and anorectal manometry to localise such defects, poor patient compliance with electromyographic sphincter mapping, and the unreliability of electromyography in the presence of scar tissue.4 Furthermore, electromyography is limited to indentifying defects in the subcutaneous or superficial external anal sphincter only and cannot always localise defects in the deeper component of this muscle.5

Endosonography is a new technique capable of imaging the external and internal anal sphincters with a high degree of accuracy.6 As well as being capable of locating defects in the entire sphincter complex it is quick, easy to perform, and well tolerated by the patient.6

The purpose of this study was to assess the prevalence of endosonic anal sphincter defects in patients with faecal incontinence and to correlate morphological defects with anorectal manometry.

Subjects and methods

Subjects and methods

SEVERITY OF FAECAL INCONTINENCE
Thirty three of 35 patients with an obstetric cause for their symptoms experienced incontinence to flatus and faeces requiring a pad and suffered from more than three accidents a week. The two other patients in this group had incontinence to flatus associated with episodes of faecal leakage immediately after defaecation only but were completely continent to solid stool and did not wear a pad. In the rectal prolapse group, all three patients experienced incontinence to flatus, liquid and solid stool and wore pads to counteract faecal leakage. In the group of patients with incontinence from iatrogenic or accidental causes, all but one were continent of flatus and liquid and solid stool, and required a pad, and one patient in whom the external anal sphincter was inadvertently divided during a lateral sphincterotomy suffered from incontinence to flatus and urgency with incontinence to liquid stool only.

CONTROLS
Sixteen age and sex matched patients with ulcerative colitis undergoing a restorative proctocolectomy were carefully chosen to serve as controls because they were considered to have a normal anal sphincter complex. None of these

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1 Causes of faecal incontinence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Causes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal prolapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road traffic accident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iatrogenic:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External sphincterotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fistula surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage of ischiorectal abscess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilatation of the anus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patients gave a previous history of perianal disease such as fissure, haemorrhoids, or sepsis. Although all of them had experienced varying degrees of urgency and tenesmus arising from rectal mucosal involvement, none had experienced episodes of faecal incontinence. All subjects in the control group had macroscopically normal anal mucosa at proctoscopy.

ANAL ENDOSONOGRAPHY
This was performed with a Bruel and Kjaer (Bruel and Kjaer, Harrow, UK) ultrasound scanner (1846) and a 7 MHz rotary transducer enclosed within a 17 mm sonolucent plastic cone filled with water to maintain acoustic contact. All patients were examined in the left lateral position, and images were obtained at the upper, mid and lower anal canal levels. A hard copy of each image was obtained with a thermal printer and stored for later analysis. Images were orientated when scanning in the left lateral position as previously described.7

Defects of the external and sphincter were defined as hypoechoic areas relative to the mixed echo of the rest of the muscle that was either wedge shaped or a more extensive hypoechoic defect of this muscle (Fig 1) in the mid and lower anal canal. This appearance in the upper anal canal was not considered to be an external anal sphincter defect in the presence of a normal anterior sphincter echo seen along the rest of the anal canal. Posterior areas of hypochochogenicity in the external anal sphincter were defined as defects of the sphincter only when such areas were electrically silent with electromyography or when such defects were confirmed at operation because reflections from the coccyx and anococcygeal raphe could give a similar appearance in healthy subjects.7 Similarly a defect of the internal anal sphincter was identified as a clear gap in the hypoechoic ring representing this muscle (Fig 2).

ANORECTAL MANOMETRY
Anal manometry was performed with a closed water filled balloon system attached to a transducer and heat sensitive pen recorder as described previously.9 Maximum resting pressure was the baseline pressure recording at rest at each site and maximum squeeze pressure at each recorded site was considered to be the maximum pressure recorded on voluntary contraction of the external anal sphincter.

SPHINCTER NEUROPATHY
Neuropathic changes in the external anal sphincter and puborectalis muscles were detected with the use of concentric needle electromyography. This was performed with a 0.64 mm diameter, 77 mm long needle (Teka, Pleasantville, New York) attached to a preamplifier and oscilloscope with a pen recorder (Medelec UK Ltd). The low and high pass filters were set at 20 Hz and 10 KHz respectively. Electrical activity in the sphincters was recorded at rest and during voluntary contraction. With a trigger and 10 ms delay, motor units were analysed for number of sweep phases and duration. Poor recruitment of electrical activity on contraction, multiphasic potentials (>4 phases), and a prolonged duration of motor units (>7 ms)10 were the criteria used for diagnosing sphincter neuropathy. Twenty motor units from different sites were analysed. A neuropathy was diagnosed if more than one half of these individual motor units were multiphasic or prolonged.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Maximum resting and squeeze pressures between patients and control subjects were compared with the non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum test.

Results
SITE AND TYPE OF DEFECT
Forty of 46 (87%) patients had a sphincter defect. Thirty one patients (67%) were found to have external and twenty one (46%), internal defects (Table II). Of the 35 patients with a positive obstetric history, 30 (86%) had a morphological defect in the anorectal sphincter complex. Twenty patients (57%) had a sphincter defect in combination with a neuropathic pelvic floor and external anal sphincter, 10 patients (29%) had a sphincter defect alone, and five patients (14%)
had evidence of pelvic floor and sphincter neuropathy as the sole cause of incontinence (Table III).

PERINEAL TEAR AND EPISIOTOMY
Twenty three of the patients with obstetric trauma gave a history of having had a perineal tear (n=8) or episiotomy (n=15), one sustaining a tear in spite of an episiotomy at the time of delivery. Each patient had had between one and four episiotomies (median 2). In this subgroup of patients, 16 exhibited a defect of the anterior external anal sphincter and 12 a defect of the internal anal sphincter (eight anterior, four posterior). Six patients were found to have a combined sphincter defect. Hence 95% of those with a distinct history of a perineal tear or episiotomy had a defective sphincter complex. One patient with a history of two previous episiotomies was found to have entirely normal morphology of the sphincter on endosonography (Table IV).

LEVEL OF DEFECT
Anal endosonography revealed that 58% (18/31) of defects of the external anal sphincter were mainly at the level of mid-anal canal corresponding to damage in the superficial and deep components of the external sphincter whereas the subcutaneous component in the lower anal canal was the main area of damage in 42% (13/31) of patients. Similarly, most defects of the internal anal sphincter (76%; 16/21) were identified in the mid and upper anal canal. The internal sphincter was absent in the lowermost part of the anal canal both in patients and controls (Table V). Interpretation of anterior external sphincter defects in the upper anal canal from endosonography alone may provide inaccurate information. Control subjects also exhibited an apparent anterior deficiency of mixed echogenic external sphincter at this level. The most likely explanation for this is the anatomical arrangement of the deep component of this sphincter where the anterior fibres tend to meet lower down the anal canal than posteriorly. All controls were found to have an intact anorectal sphincter complex on endosonography.

ANAL MANOMETRY
Anal manometry revealed significantly lower anal resting and squeeze pressures in patients compared with controls (maximum resting pressure 76-13 cm water, maximum squeeze pressure 136-43 cm water, p<0.001). Although manometry was able to indicate a defective sphincter complex it was unable to identify the exact site and type of sphincter injury (Table VI).

Discussion
Anal endosonography has added a new dimension to the investigation of the anal sphincter complex. The endoscopic probe causes no more discomfort than routine digital examination of the anus. The technique enables repeated examination of the anal sphincters along the length of the anal canal and permits an accurate assessment of sphincter morphology.

The external anal sphincter complex consists of the subcutaneous component at the anal verge, the superficial component imaged in the mid-canal, and the deep components. The perineal body is an area of muscle fusion between fibres of the external sphincter muscle with those of the transverse perineii. Its proximity to the posterior vaginal wall renders it vulnerable to damage from obstetric trauma.

In this study, most defects of the external and internal sphincters were situated anteriorly and in the mid-anal canal. The anterior half of the sphincter complex is likely to be damaged, especially after childbirth, because the sphincter muscle in this region is thin and any injury to the perineal body is likely to result in damage to the sphincters.

The finding of isolated posterior internal anal sphincter defects after parturition is unusual and requires further prospective evaluation. At this point, we can only put forward theories regarding such a finding. One hypothesis is that...

---

**TABLE II** Distribution of sphincter defects

| Distribution | N (%)
|--------------|------
| External sphincter | 19 (41)
| Internal sphincter | 9 (20)
| Combined | 12 (26)
| None | 6 (13)

**TABLE III** Obstetric causes of faecal incontinence

| Causes | N (%)
|--------|------
| Sphincter defect | 10 (29)
| Sphincter neuropathy | 5 (14)
| Defect and neuropathy | 20 (57)

**TABLE IV** Sphincter defects in relation to episiotomy or perineal tear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EAS</th>
<th>IAS</th>
<th>EAS+IAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perineal tear (n=7)</td>
<td>3*</td>
<td>2†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episiotomy (n=14)</td>
<td>7 (A)</td>
<td>2 (A); 2t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV: *anterior defect; † posterior defect; EAS = external anal sphincter; IAS = internal anal sphincter.

**TABLE V** Endosonic level of sphincter defect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anal canal</th>
<th>External sphincter (n=31)</th>
<th>Internal sphincter (n=21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE VI** Anal manometry (cm water) in patients and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRp: Cases</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>76-13 (31-59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>111-25 (20-04)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP: Cases</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>136-43 (68-58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>217-38 (42-25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRp = maximum resting pressure; MSP = maximum squeeze pressure.
prolonged bearing down during the second stage of labour causes a raised intrapelvic pressure that results in ischaemia to the pelvic floor and perineum. This is often characterised by blanching of the perineal skin and of anal mucosa that everts itself during this stage of labour. A prolonged ischaemic event of this kind could lead to tissue necrosis or fibrosis, the posterior aspect of the internal anal sphincter being particularly susceptible because its blood supply consists of end arteries, the greatest distribution of which are posterior. In a muscle like the internal anal sphincter that is constantly under tonic contraction, fractures of such ischaemic areas could result in endosonic defects.

The study also showed that most of the women seen who had a previous history of an episiotomy or a perineal tear had a defective sphincter; this suggests a direct relation between the two. Again, further evaluation of episiotomy as a cause for sphincter defects is required.

Most of the sphincter defects were detected in the mid-anal canal. This has important surgical implications. Whereas the subcutaneous external sphincter defect is easily recognised at operation, deeper defects may be masked by a seemingly intact subcutaneous sphincter. It is likely to be important that the anal sphincters are exposed along the entire length of anal canal so that deeper defects are revealed and appropriate reconstruction performed.

Data from another study have shown that 37% of primigravida women and a similar proportion of multiparous women with occult sphincter defects either developed a new lesion or had extensions of pre-existing sphincter defects after vaginal delivery. These findings imply a need for closer monitoring of the anorectal sphincter complex especially after difficult childbirth.

This study has shown that defects of the anal sphincters and sphincter neuropathy are commonly associated with faecal incontinence after obstetric trauma. Previous studies have shown a greater improvement in continence after a combined repair of the internal and external sphincter compared with a single repair. Our data support the hypothesis that the internal as well as the external anal sphincter need to be repaired to restore continence in these patients.
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