

Gut

Leading article

Towards safer endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography

In the 22 years since its introduction, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) has become firmly established in the management of biliary and pancreatic disease. It is usually as effective as surgery in treating bile duct stones and involves a shorter hospital stay.¹⁻³ But is it safer? The answer seems to be a qualified yes. The difficulties in comparing the two modes of treatment have been understood for some time.¹ In particular, patients coming to ERCP tend to be older and in poorer general health than those undergoing surgery.¹⁻³ With a complication rate of around 7% and a procedure related mortality of 0.6-0.7%,^{4,5} however, therapeutic ERCP compares favourably with the morbidity and mortality of surgery for choledocholithiasis.³

When patients with malignant obstructive jaundice have been randomised to either surgery or insertion of a large diameter biliary stent, the 30 day mortality in the endoscopic group was significantly lower⁶ or showed a trend in that direction.⁷ Thus, while the risks of ERCP are relatively fewer, this is not grounds for complacency. Many recent developments provide an opportunity for safer ERCP and endoscopists offering this service should consider incorporating these advances into their practice.

Haemorrhage

Haemorrhage after endoscopic sphincterotomy occurs in 2.5-4% of cases.^{4,5} In many of these patients the bleeding stops spontaneously - only 0.4% undergo surgery and 0.2% die.^{4,5} Haemorrhage is usually immediate but it can occasionally be a late complication.⁸

It is customary to check patients' blood coagulation before ERCP and correct any detected abnormality. Compatible blood should be available for transfusion before performing a sphincterotomy. Most patients who bleed after this procedure have normal blood clotting, however, indicating that other risk factors are more important than minor coagulation abnormalities.⁹

The most important of these factors is the size of the sphincterotomy.^{1,5,10} Large sphincterotomies are usually done in the hope of extracting big stones. Those stones with a diameter of less than 1 cm can almost always be removed, whereas those more than 1.5 cm in diameter often cause difficulties. The shape of the calculus is also a determinant of successful extraction. 'Square' stones are more difficult to remove than more rounded ones. Improved mechanical lithotripters that are often effective¹¹⁻¹³ and reasonably cheap are now available and may reduce the temptation to cut too far when attempting removal of large stones. In one centre,

the use of mechanical lithotripsy increased the endoscopic clearance rate of duct stones from 86% to 94%.¹³ Nevertheless, failure to grasp very large 'square' calculi still occurs. In patients with this problem who are elderly and in poor general health, other methods of stone fragmentation such as extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy^{14,15} or biliary stenting without stone removal¹⁶⁻¹⁸ may be safer alternatives than either surgery or enlargement of a sphincterotomy that is already a reasonable size. Unless lithotripsy is planned, inserting a stent is preferable to inserting a nasobiliary drain. The latter provides only a temporary solution to the problem, particularly as these drains may be removed by confused elderly patients at night. In contrast, stents provide reasonable long term palliation in most cases.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ It would, however, be preferable to have a bile duct free of stones, and Johnson *et al* recently reported that in such patients initially treated with an endoprosthesis, eight of 10 who had been given ursodeoxycholic acid had cleared their stones within six months compared with only one of 10 not given this bile acid.¹⁹

Sphincterotomy is sometimes performed to facilitate biliary stenting, and consequent haemorrhage may occasionally be a cause of death.²⁰ With modern equipment, however, a single, large diameter stent can often be inserted without a sphincterotomy^{21,22} and is usually sufficient to provide adequate drainage, even when both lobes of the liver are obstructed by carcinoma.²⁰

Good sphincterotomy technique is well described in standard texts^{23,24} and is crucial to the safety of the procedure. One of the commonest mistakes is attempting to cut with too much wire inside the papilla, partly for fear of losing access to the duct. Sphincterotomes that can be used while a guide wire remains in the common bile duct are now available. The use of this equipment should obviate this problem and also helps to ensure that the sphincterotomy continues in the correct direction. Even with a well performed sphincterotomy of judicious size, unpredictable haemorrhage still occurs in a few cases. The possibility of using endoscopic Doppler ultrasound to detect any large blood vessels lurking in the path of the proposed sphincterotomy has not yet been well evaluated.^{25,26}

When bleeding is a steady ooze, it can often be stopped by injections of dilute adrenaline (1 in 10 000). This also facilitates completion of the procedure and thereby drainage of the duct. When blood is spurting this technique is less successful, partly because the endoscopic view may be lost rapidly. Injections should be placed into the apex of the sphincterotomy, avoiding the area around the pancreatic duct. Because uncontrolled spurting haemorrhage is unlikely to stop spon-

taneously and carries a high mortality, patients with this problem, whatever their age and state of health, should be considered for urgent surgical intervention.

Infection

Cholangitis and septicæmia are, in some cases, potentially avoidable complications of ERCP. The most common causes of infection are either the use of endoscopic equipment contaminated with nosocomial pathogens or spread of host derived bacteria after instrumentation of an obstructed biliary tree. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is the most frequent contaminant of endoscopes. Septic complications after the introduction of *P aeruginosa* at ERCP are well described^{6 27-30} and may occur even in patients who do not have biliary or pancreatic disease.^{31 32} Duodenoscopes are more difficult to clean thoroughly than gastrosopes because of the bridging elevator channel and, as they are often used less frequently, their incomplete disinfection or drying allows a greater time for organisms to proliferate.²⁹ In addition to rigorous mechanical cleansing and effective disinfection of the endoscope, prevention of *Pseudomonas* infections requires thorough drying before the endoscope is stored and repeat disinfection immediately before its subsequent use to remove any organisms that may have grown.^{33 34} It must also be remembered that the cleaning equipment itself, including washing machines, is a potential source of contamination.^{29 34} Any water in these cleaning accessories should be changed frequently. All the equipment should be subject to regular microbiological surveillance.

Cannulation and contrast injection of an occluded, and often already infected, bile duct may precipitate overt cholangitis or septicæmia. Prophylactic antibiotics are therefore advisable in those patients found to have biliary obstruction and mandatory in those in whom the duct has not been cleared. Piperacillin or mezlocillin have been recommended,³⁵ but many patients now harbour bacteria resistant to these antibiotics.^{36 37} Ciprofloxacin or cefotaxime,³⁶⁻³⁸ together with metronidazole to cover anaerobic organisms³⁹ may now be preferable alternatives. In patients at risk of infectious endocarditis, ampicillin usually provides satisfactory prophylaxis.^{40 41}

Antibiotics penetrate very poorly into obstructed bile⁴² and drainage of the duct is of paramount importance in both relieving and preventing infective complications.³⁰ It is, therefore, undesirable to undertake ERCP solely as a diagnostic test in jaundiced patients when the endoscopist lacks either the experience or the equipment to relieve the obstructing lesion.

Guide wire exchange and needle-knife papillotomy

New procedures and equipment can facilitate therapeutic ERCP. The guide wire exchange technique can be useful in maintaining access to the common bile duct when the diagnostic cannulation has proved difficult, thereby aiding a successful sphincterotomy or stent insertion. Guide wire technology is continually improving. Newer models have the advantages of increased flexibility and torque control.⁴³ They may also be easier to negotiate through strictures because of a hydrophilic coating which becomes slippery when wet.⁴⁴

In experienced hands, needle-knife papillotomy can be effective in gaining access to an occluded biliary tree.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ If, despite this procedure, cannulation subsequently fails, a repeat attempt at cannulation will often be successful a few days later when the oedema has subsided. Needle-knife papillotomy is probably a safer option than pre-cutting with a sphincterotome where the wire emerges from the end, as the direction of the cut is easier to control with the needle-knife and there is less danger of damage to the pancreatic duct.

Similarly, needle-knife papillotomy may be less hazardous than the alternative method of achieving biliary access using the combined percutaneous-endoscopic procedure.^{48 49}

Biliary stenting

Most patients with malignant biliary obstruction in whom a large diameter (10 or 11.5 FG) plastic stent is inserted, die from their tumour while their first stent is still patent.⁶ In the remainder, the stents eventually block with a sludge derived from protein, food fibres, bilirubin, and bacteria.⁵⁰ Cholangitis then commonly follows. While larger diameter stents remain patent longer than smaller diameter ones,^{51 52} many are blocked five to six months after insertion.⁵¹ Attempts to delay stent clogging by prescribing long term antibiotics or by the mucolytic action of aspirin have met with little success,⁵³ though recent studies suggest that silver impregnation of the stent⁵⁴ and omitting side holes⁵⁵ may be helpful.

Failure to exchange an occluded stent leaves the patient at risk of infection and often requires the replacement of the stent by the combined percutaneous-endoscopic procedure with its additional hazards.^{48 49} This can sometimes be avoided by the use of a newly developed stent exchanger.⁵⁶ Employing a short biliary balloon to remove the old stent while leaving a guide wire in place is an alternative technique.⁵⁷

The new metal stents (Wallstent) have been proposed as a possible answer to stent clogging.⁵⁸ As they expand to a much greater diameter (24 FG) than plastic stents, they are not subject to occlusion by debris and bacteria, though some become blocked by tumour ingrowth between the stent mesh.⁵⁹ A preliminary report suggests that they remain patent longer than plastic stents, produce a more rapid decrease in the patients' jaundice, and are associated with a lower incidence of early cholangitis.⁶⁰ Metal stents, however, are expensive, non-removable, and have a short effective length of 6.5 cm.

Acute pancreatitis

Increased serum pancreatic enzyme activities are common after ERCP, but clinically significant pancreatitis occurs in only about 2% of procedures.⁴ It is well known that filling of the acina of the gland should be avoided.⁶¹ This may still happen, however, as an inexperienced endoscopist, having achieved a pancreatogram, struggles with the usually more difficult task of obtaining a cholangiogram, making further injections into the pancreatic duct during his attempts.

The newer, non-ionic contrast materials may be less likely to excite pancreatic inflammation than ionic media.^{62 63} Even when no contrast has been injected into the gland, pancreatitis may occasionally occur, perhaps as a consequence of manipulation of the ampulla.

Perforation

Perforation, which is usually retroperitoneal, complicates approximately 1% of endoscopic sphincterotomies.⁴ An incidence as high as 10% has been reported after combined procedures.⁴⁸ Perforation is more likely when cutting non-dilated bile ducts,⁶⁴ if the sphincterotomy is large or strays from the line of the duct. A recent study describes 11 patients who had retroperitoneal perforation during ERCP and who were all successfully treated by conservative means.⁶⁵ However, this plan of management is advisable only if biliary drainage has been established.

Basket impaction

If basket impaction is to be avoided, it is essential to assess the

size of the sphincterotomy and the lower common bile duct with a balloon catheter, and to compare the size of the balloon with the stone(s) to be removed. The basket should not be closed too tightly as many duct stones are soft and the basket wires may become embedded in the stone so that it cannot be released.

More effective forms of lithotripsy offer the prospect of a reduction in the present rate of basket impaction of 0.2%,⁴ and can also be useful in resolving the problem when it arises.⁶⁶ Sometimes an impacted basket can be delivered the next day, when the ampullary oedema subsides. Whatever plan of management is adopted, however, it is important that some form of biliary drainage is instituted urgently to lessen the risk of cholangitis.

Hypoxia

The recent British Society of Gastroenterology report on endoscopic safety and monitoring⁶⁷ contains much that is germane to the practice of ERCP. Hypoxia is more likely in patients undergoing ERCP than gastroscopy as they are more often elderly and given heavier sedation. In addition, the procedure usually takes longer and an endoscope of a greater diameter is used when biliary stenting is performed.⁶⁸

In most ERCP patients, hypoxia can be avoided by intranasal oxygen given at a rate of 4–5 litres per minute.⁶⁹ Nevertheless, Griffin *et al* found in 10% of their patients that oxygen saturation dropped below 90% for longer than a minute even when supplementary oxygen was used and only modest doses of sedative had been given.⁶⁹ As careful clinical monitoring is more difficult in the darkened environment in which ERCP is usually performed, this finding illustrates the value of additional patient monitoring by pulse oximetry.

Patient selection

Despite attempts to improve the safety of ERCP, some risk will inevitably remain. It is therefore important to select appropriately those patients who need this investigation, and to balance carefully the risks and expected benefits of any therapeutic procedure. These considerations are especially pertinent with the advent of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The bile ducts are not often visualised or explored during this operation⁷⁰ and it is uncertain how those patients with both duct and gall bladder stones can be identified, either beforehand or perioperatively. Choledocholithiasis is highly unlikely when a technically satisfactory ultrasound indicates a non-dilated duct system that is free of stones,^{71 72} particularly when the patient's liver function tests are also normal.⁷² If pre-operative ERCP is planned, it can reasonably be reserved for those patients who do not fulfil these criteria.

JOHN THORNTON
ANTHONY AXON

Centre for Digestive Diseases,
The General Infirmary, Leeds

- Cotton PB. Endoscopic management of bile duct stones; (apples and oranges). *Gut* 1984; 25: 587–97.
- Leese T, Neoptolemos JP, Baker AR, Carr-Locke DL. Management of acute cholangitis and the impact of endoscopic sphincterotomy. *Br J Surg* 1986; 73: 988–92.
- Winslet MC, Neoptolemos JP. The place of endoscopy in the management of gallstones. *Baillieres Clin Gastroenterol* 1991; 5: 99–129.
- Ostroff JW, Shapiro HA. Complications of endoscopic sphincterotomy. In: Jacobson I, ed. ERCP: Diagnostic and therapeutic applications. New York: Elsevier, 1989: 61–73.
- Vaira D, D'Anna L, Ainley C, Dowsett J, Williams S, Baillie J, *et al*. Endoscopic sphincterotomy in 1000 consecutive patients. *Lancet* 1989; ii: 431–4.
- Hatfield ARW. Palliation of malignant obstructive jaundice – surgery or stent? *Gut* 1990; 31: 1339–40.
- Shepherd HA, Royle G, Ross APR, Diba A, Arthur M, Colin-Jones D. Endoscopic biliary endoprosthesis in the palliation of malignant obstruction of the distal common bile duct: a randomized trial. *Br J Surg* 1988; 75: 1166–8.
- Finnie IA, Tobin MV, Morris AI, Gilmore IT. Late bleeding after endoscopic sphincterotomy for bile duct calculi. *BMJ* 1991; 302: 1144.
- Hill J, Maxwell AJ, Tweedle DEF, Martin DR. Do measured parameters of blood clotting help predict haemorrhage after endoscopic sphincterotomy? *Gut* 1990; 31: A608.
- Wilson MS, Tweedle DEF, Martin DF. Common bile duct diameter and complications of endoscopic sphincterotomy. *Gut* 1992; 33 (suppl 1): S27.
- Shaw MJ, Dorsher PJ, Vennes JA. A new mechanical lithotripter for the treatment of large common bile duct stones. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1990; 85: 796–8.
- Siegel JH, Ben-Zvi JS, Pullano WE. Mechanical lithotripsy of common duct stones. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1990; 36: 351–6.
- Van Dam J, Sivak M. Endoscopic mechanical lithotripsy of common bile duct stones. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1991; 37: A258.
- Sauerbruch T, Stern M and the study group for shock-wave lithotripsy of bile duct stones. Fragmentation of bile duct stones by extracorporeal shock waves: A new approach to biliary calculi after failure of routine endoscopic measures. *Gastroenterology* 1989; 96: 146–52.
- Vandermeeren A, Delhaye M, Gabbrilli A, Cremer M. The management of giant bile duct stones after endoscopic sphincterotomy. *Gastroenterology* 1990; 98: A265.
- Cairns SR, Dias L, Cotton PB, Salmon PR, Russell RCG. Additional endoscopic procedures instead of urgent surgery for retained common bile duct stones. *Gut* 1989; 30: 535–40.
- Soomers AJ, Nagengast FM, Yap SH. Endoscopic placement of biliary endoprosthesis in patients with endoscopically unextractable common bile duct stones: a long term follow up study of 26 patients. *Endoscopy* 1990; 22: 24–6.
- Dufek V, Benes J, Chmel J, Kordac V. Biliary endoprosthesis in the treatment of large common bile duct stones [Letter]. *Endoscopy* 1990; 5: 240.
- Johnson GK, Geenan JE, Venu RP, Schmalz MJ, Hogan WJ. Treatment of non-extractable common bile duct stones with combination ursodeoxycholic acid plus endoprosthesis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1991; 37: A253.
- Polydorou AA, Cairns SR, Dowsett JF, Hatfield ARW, Salmon PR, Cotton PB, *et al*. Palliation of proximal malignant biliary obstruction by endoscopic endoprosthesis insertion. *Gut* 1991; 32: 685–9.
- Martin DF. Catheter and wire guided endoscopy exchange for biliary stents. *Lancet* 1988; ii: 542–3.
- Huibregtse K. *Endoscopic biliary and pancreatic drainage*. Stuttgart: Georg Thieme Verlag, 1988.
- Cotton PB. Therapeutic endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography. In: Cotton PB, Williams CB, eds. *Practical gastrointestinal endoscopy*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Blackwell, 1990: 118–56.
- Vennes JA. Technique for endoscopic sphincterotomy. In: Jacobson I, ed. *ERCP: diagnostic and therapeutic applications*. New York: Elsevier, 1989: 41–59.
- Silverstein FE, Deltenre M, Tytgat G, *et al*. An endoscopic Doppler probe: preliminary clinical evaluation. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 1985; 11: 347–53.
- Neuhaus H, Hagenmuller R, Lauer R, Classen M. A prospective randomized trial of the influence of suprapapillary doppler ultrasound on endoscopic papillotomy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1991; 37: A253–4.
- Cryan EM, Falkiner FR, Mulvihill TE, Keane CT, Keeling PWN. Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection following endoscopic retrograde cholangiography. *J Hosp Infect* 1984; 5: 371–6.
- Earnshaw JJ, Clark AW, Thon BT. Outbreak of Pseudomonas aeruginosa following endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *J Hosp Infect* 1985; 6: 95–7.
- Classen DC, Jacobson IA, Burke JP, Jacobson JT, Evans RS. Serious pseudomonas infections associated with retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Am J Med* 1988; 84: 590–6.
- Motte S, Deviere J, Dumonceau J-M, Serruys E, Thys J-P, Cremer M. Risk factors for septicaemia following biliary stenting. *Gastroenterology* 1991; 101: 1374–81.
- Doherty DE, Falko JM, Lefkowitz N, Rogers J, Fromkes J. Pseudomonas aeruginosa sepsis following retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Dig Dis Sci* 1982; 27: 169–70.
- Davion T, Braillon A, Delamarre T, Delcenserie R, Jolly JP, Capron J-P. Pseudomonas aeruginosa liver abscesses following endoscopic retrograde cholangiography. Report of a case without biliary tract disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 1987; 32: 1044–6.
- Cleaning and disinfection of equipment for gastrointestinal flexible endoscopy: interim recommendations of a Working Party of the British Society of Gastroenterology. *Gut* 1988; 29: 1134–51.
- Axon ATR. Disinfection of endoscopic equipment. *Baillieres Clin Gastroenterol* 1991; 5: 61–77.
- Anonymous. Antibiotics for cholangitis. *Lancet* 1989; ii: 781–2.
- Sauter G, Grabein B, Huber G, Mannes GA, Ruckdeschel G, Sauerbruch T. Antibiotic prophylaxis of infectious complications with endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. A randomized controlled study. *Endoscopy* 1990; 22: 164–7.
- Lobo AJ, Lynch DAF, Thornton JR, Hawkey PM, Lintott DJ, Axon ATR. High prevalence of coliform bacteria resistant to piperacillin in patients undergoing therapeutic ERCP [Abstract]. *Gastroenterology* 1992; 102: A320.
- Alveyn CG, Robertson DAF, Wright R. Oral ciprofloxacin as antibiotic prophylaxis in patients undergoing endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Gut* 1989; 30: A1462.
- England DM, Rosenblatt JE. Anaerobes in human biliary tracts. *J Clin Microbiol* 1977; 6: 494–8.
- Sontheimer, Salm R, Friedrich G, Wahlert JV, Pelz K. Bacteremia following operative endoscopy of the upper gastrointestinal tract. *Endoscopy* 1991; 23: 67–72.
- Safrany L. Antibiotic prophylaxis in endoscopy: new round in an old discussion. *Endoscopy* 1991; 23: 91–4.
- Leung JWC, Chan RCY, Cheung SW, Sung JY, Chung SCS, French GL. The effect of obstruction on biliary excretion of cefoperazone and ceftazidime. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1990; 25: 399–406.
- Hofman B, Cunningham J, Marsh W. Multiple stent placement with a new steerable guide wire. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1990; 36: 595–6.
- Gane E, Lane M, Hamilton I. Use of an alternative guidewire system in endoscopic placement of biliary endoprosthesis. *Endoscopy* 1991; 23: 215–7.
- Huibregtse K, Katon RM, Tytgat GNJ. Pre-cut papillotomy via fine-needle knife papillotomy: a safe and effective technique. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1986; 32: 403–5.
- Dowsett JF, Polydorou AA, Vaira D, D'Anna LM, Ashraf M, Croker J, *et al*. Needle-knife papillotomy: how safe and how effective? *Gut* 1990; 31: 905–8.

- 47 Leung JWC, Banez VP, Chung SCF. Precut (needle knife) papillotomy for impacted common bile duct stone at the ampulla. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1990; **85**: 991-3.
- 48 Dowsett JF, Vaira D, Hatfield ARW, *et al.* Endoscopic biliary therapy using the combined percutaneous and endoscopic technique. *Gastroenterology* 1989; **96**: 1180-6.
- 49 Hall RI, Denyer ME, Chapman AH. Percutaneous-endoscopic placement of endoprosthesis for relief of jaundice caused by inoperable bile duct strictures. *Surgery* 1990; **107**: 224-7.
- 50 Groen AK, Out T, Huibregtse K, Delzenne B, Hoek FJ, Tytgat GNJ. Characterization of the content of occluded biliary endoprosthesis. *Endoscopy* 1987; **19**: 57-9.
- 51 Siegel JH, Pullano W, Kodsi B, Cooperman A, Ramsey W. Optional palliation of malignant bile duct obstruction: experience with endoscopic 12 French prostheses. *Endoscopy* 1988; **20**: 137-41.
- 52 Speer AG, Cotton PB, MacRae KD. Endoscopic management of malignant biliary obstruction: stents of 10 French gauge are preferable to stents of 8 French gauge. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1988; **34**: 412-7.
- 53 Smit JM, Out J, Groen AK, *et al.* A placebo controlled study on the efficacy of aspirin and doxycycline in preventing clogging in biliary endoprosthesis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1989; **35**: 485-9.
- 54 Leung JWC, Banez VP, Lam K, Cotton PB, Costerton JW. Mechanisms of clogging and the role of biocide impregnation in preventing stent clogging [Abstract]. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1990; **36**: 160.
- 55 Coene PPLO, Groen AK, Cheng J, Out MMJ, Tytgat GNJ, Huibregtse K. Clogging of biliary endoprosthesis: a new perspective. *Gut* 1990; **31**: 913-7.
- 56 Soehendra N, Maydeo A, Eckmann B, Bruckner M, Nam V Ch, Grimm H. A new technique for replacing an obstructed biliary endoprosthesis. *Endoscopy* 1990; **22**: 271-2.
- 57 Martin DF. Wire guided balloon assisted endoscopic biliary stent exchange. *Gut* 1991; **32**: 1562-4.
- 58 Huibregtse K, Cheng J, Coene PPLO, Fockens P, Tytgat GNJ. Endoscopic placement of expandable metal stents for biliary strictures - a preliminary report on experience with 33 patients. *Endoscopy* 1989; **21**: 280-2.
- 59 Cremer M, Deviere J, Sugai B, Baize M. Expandable biliary metal stents for malignancies: endoscopic insertion and diathermic cleaning for tumour ingrowth. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1990; **36**: 451-7.
- 60 Neuhaus H, Hagenmuller F, Griebel M, Classen M. Self expanding metal stents versus conventional plastic endoprosthesis for malignant biliary obstruction. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1991; **37**: A253.
- 61 Hamilton I, Lintott DJ, Rothwell J, Axon ATR. Acute pancreatitis following endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Clin Radiol* 1983; **34**: 543-6.
- 62 Cunliffe WJ, Cobden I, Lavelle MI, Lendrum R, Tait NP, Venables CW. A randomized, prospective study comparing two contrast media in ERCP. *Endoscopy* 1987; **19**: 201-2.
- 63 O'Connor HJ, Ellis WR, Manning AP, Lintott DJ, McMahan MJ, Axon ATR. Lopamidol as contrast medium in endoscopic retrograde pancreatography: a prospective randomized comparison with diatrizoate. *Endoscopy* 1988; **20**: 244-7.
- 64 Thatcher BS, Sivak MV, Tedesco FJ, Vennes JA, Cotton SW, Achkar EA. Endoscopic sphincterotomy for suspected dysfunction of the sphincter of Oddi. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1987; **33**: 91-5.
- 65 Martin DF, Tweedle DEF. Retroperitoneal perforation during ERCP and endoscopic sphincterotomy: causes, clinical features and management. *Endoscopy* 1990; **22**: 174-5.
- 66 Merrett M, Desmond P. Removal of impacted endoscopic basket and stone from the common bile duct by extra-corporeal shock waves. *Endoscopy* 1990; **22**: 92.
- 67 Bell GD, McCloy RF, Charlton JE, Campbell D, Dent NA, Gear MWL, *et al.* Recommendations for standards of sedation and patient monitoring during gastrointestinal endoscopy. *Gut* 1991; **32**: 823-7.
- 68 Lieberman DA, Wuerker CK, Katon RM. Cardiopulmonary risk of esophago-gastroduodenoscopy. Role of endoscope diameter and systemic sedation. *Gastroenterology* 1985; **88**: 468-72.
- 69 Griffin SM, Chung SCS, Leung JWC, Li AKC. Effect of intranasal oxygen on hypoxia and tachycardia during endoscopic cholangiopancreatography. *BMJ* 1990; **300**: 83-4.
- 70 Wastell C. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *BMJ* 1991; **302**: 303-4.
- 71 Tobin MV, Mendelson RM, Lamb GH, Gilmore IT. Ultrasound diagnosis of bile duct calculi. *BMJ* 1986; **293**: 16-7.
- 72 Thornton JR, Lobo AJ, Lintott DJ, Axon ATR. Value of ultrasound and liver function tests in determining the need for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in unexplained abdominal pain. *Gut* 1992; **33**: 1559-61.