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Abstract

There were no data concerning the incidence of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in France. The aim of this study was to investigate the incidence of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis in northern France. This prospective population based study was realised through the gastroenterologists of the region Nord-Pas de Calais and the Somme Department. Each gastroenterologist referred patients consulting for the first time with clinical symptoms compatible with IBD. Data were collected by an interviewer practitioner present at the gastroenterologist’s consulting room. Two independent expert gastroenterologists assessed each case in a blind manner and made a final diagnosis of Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, ulcerative proctitis, or unclassifiable chronic colitis. From 1988 to 1990, 1291 cases of IBD were recorded: 674 (52%) Crohn’s disease, 466 (36%) ulcerative colitis including 162 proctitis (35%), and 151 (12%) unclassifiable chronic colitis. The mean annual incidence was 4.9 per 100,000 for Crohn’s disease and 3.2 for ulcerative colitis. The sex ratio F/M was 1.3 for Crohn’s disease and 0.8 for ulcerative colitis. The highest age specific incidence rate for Crohn’s disease was between 20 and 29 years: 13.1 for women and 6.5 for men. This first French prospective study has shown an incidence rate for Crohn’s disease comparable with that seen in north European studies but lower than that seen for ulcerative colitis. These results could be related to the different environmental factors or the genetic background of the population studied, or both.
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Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) including Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis are among the most serious and perplexing of digestive diseases. Their pathophysiology remains poorly understood but it is currently accepted that both environmental and genetic factors interact in the occurrence of these diseases. In this regard it is important to detect geographical variations in the incidence of IBD as this can help to identify some of the factors leading to an increased or decreased incidence of IBD. In fact a north-south gradient has for long been suspected in Europe and North America. In the USA only a hospital based study has supported such a gradient. In Europe a European Community supported population based study was started in 1991 aimed at comparing the incidence of IBD in northern and southern European countries. France is a transition country between both parts of Europe. Northern France is close to Belgium, the Netherlands, Luxembourg, Germany, and England. Its location would anticipate high incidences of ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease comparable with the figures reported in the Netherlands and England. Therefore, to find out how France compares, as far as IBD incidence is concerned, we undertook the first population based study in northern France (Nord-Pas de Calais and Somme Departments) in 1988. We report on the first three years of registration.

Methods

POPULATION

The northern part of France has 4,514,055 inhabitants (1990 National Population census). The study area was divided between three Departments: (a) Nord with 2,532,589 inhabitants and a population density of 445/km²; (b) Pas de Calais with 1,433,536 inhabitants and a population density of 210; (c) The Somme Department with 547,930 inhabitants and a population density of 89.

Figure 1: Location of northern France (Nord-Pas de Calais Region and Somme Department) within northern Europe.
There were both urban and rural populations in these areas (ratio urban/rural=8.4 in Nord, 4.1 in Pas de Calais, and 1.2 in Somme). This region is a well defined geographical entity bordering Belgium on the north and the North Sea on the west (Fig 1). The population is stable—that is, the percentages of population moving per year out of each Département were 0.8 for Nord, 0-9 for Pas de Calais, and 1-2 for Somme (French National Statistical Institute).

This prospective study started on 1 January 1988. Only patients who had been resident in the defined study region at the time of diagnosis of their disease were included. Among students, short term workers, fishermen, and members of the armed forces only those who were domiciliated in the study area were included. The study was realised through the gastroenterologists of the three Départements. Before the study began, all the gastroenterologists (125 in total, with 108 in private and 17 in hospital practice) of the three Départements were informed about the methods and the aims of this work, both by letter and by meetings. Each gastroenterologist reported on any patient consulting for the first time with clinical symptoms compatible with IBD and was contacted by phone at least three times a year by an interviewer practitioner. This interviewer went to the gastroenterologist’s consulting room and collected the data from the charts in a standardised questionnaire for each new case. The main data collected were: age, sex, year of diagnosis, interval between onset of symptoms and the diagnosis, clinical, radiological, endoscopic, and histological findings at the time of diagnosis.

**DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA**

Patients with positive stool cultures, neoplastic disease, who had received antibiotic or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug treatment, or both, within the month before the onset of bowel symptoms were excluded. The questionnaire were assessed in a blind manner by two independent expert gastroenterologists. A final diagnosis of Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, or ulcerative proctitis was made and recorded as definite, probable, or possible. The following criteria were used:

**Definite Crohn’s disease** — Presence of epithelial granulomas with giant Langhans’s type cells at examination of biopsy or surgical specimen irrespective of the duration of clinical symptoms.

**Probable Crohn’s disease** — (1) Lesions in the large bowel without involvement of the small bowel and the presence of at least three of four diagnostic criteria: (a) case history of diarrhoea or abdominal pain for six weeks or longer, or both, (b) radiological or endoscopic findings, or both, with segmental lesions of cobblestone appearance or stenosis in the large bowel, or both; (c) histological findings compatible with Crohn’s disease; (d) presence of fistulas or abscesses, or both, in relation to the intestinal lesions. (2) Lesions in the small bowel with or without involvement of the colon and irrespective of the duration of clinical symptoms, the presence of at least two of the above four criteria.

**Possible Crohn’s disease** — (1) Lesions in the large bowel without involvement of the small bowel and the presence of two of the above four criteria. (2) Lesions in the small bowel with or without involvement of the colon and irrespective of the duration of clinical symptoms, the presence of one of the above four criteria.

**Definite ulcerative colitis** — (1) Case history of diarrhoea or bleeding, or both, for six weeks or longer and at least two of three diagnostic criteria: (a) typical endoscopic findings including granulated, friable mucosa or ulcerations, or both, of the surface mucosa; (b) typical radiological findings including ulcerations, narrowing, and shortening of the colon; (c) typical histological findings at examination of biopsy surgical or necrotic specimens. Surgical or necrotic specimens, macroscopically typical of ulcerative colitis with typical histological findings, irrespective of the duration of clinical symptoms.

**Probable ulcerative colitis** — (1) Case history of diarrhoea or bleeding, or both, for six weeks or longer and one of the three above criteria. (2) Case history of six weeks or longer of digestive symptoms without diarrhoea and bleeding and two of the above three criteria. (3) Surgical or necrotic specimens, macroscopically typical of ulcerative colitis with no typical histological findings.

**Possible ulcerative colitis** — Typical case history of more than six weeks but with no morphological and histological findings compatible with the diagnosis.

Definite, probable, and possible ulcerative proctitis were defined according to the same criteria as ulcerative colitis, but with the macroscopic evidence that the sigmoid was normal above the rectum. The rectosigmoid junction was arbitrarily estimated at 20 cm from the anal margin.

Patients with a case history of chronic colitis confirmed with the diagnosis of Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis were defined as unclassifiable chronic colitis.

Patients with a case history of colitis of less than six weeks were classified as acute colitis.

**QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES**

The steering committee of the study included five academic gastroenterologists, two academic epidemiologists, one private gastroenterologist, and three interviewer practitioners. This committee established written instructions for the interviewer practitioners for filling in the questionnaire correctly. It also set up a framework to help the expert gastroenterologists in their final diagnosis. The committee met every three months. The aim of these meetings were, by the means of test cases, to verify that the protocol was accurately followed by all interviewer practitioners and to minimise interexpert variations.

To validate the completeness of the case collection through the gastroenterologists, we...
undertook a one year validation study in the Somme Departement in 1989. All general practitioners (n=498) and radiologists, histopathologists, surgical, and paediatric specialists (n=36) received a questionnaire for notifying incident cases of IBD. If they did not reply, they were contacted by phone. All specialists and 450 of 498 general practitioners (91%) replied. In total, 85 cases were notified by these practitioners. In contrast, the recording system through the gastroenterologists notified only 82 cases. The three ‘missing’ cases were declared by two pathologists and one general practitioner (one possible ulcerative colitis, one possible Crohn’s disease, and one possible proctitis). These patients had actually consulted a gastroenterologist who had forgotten to declare their cases to the interviewer practitioner. Thus the completeness of our case collection was 96.5%.  

INCIDENCE CALCULATIONS

Age adjusted incidence rates were calculated for the combined group of definite and probable cases. Possible cases as well as acute colitis were not included in the calculation of incidence rates to compare our data with those of the main studies in published reports. Cases of ulcerative colitis and ulcerative proctitis were pooled together. For each Departement, population data by age and sex were obtained for each year from linear interpolation of the 1982 and 1990 National Population Census. Age standardisation was done using the world standard population weights 22, 18, 16, 12, 9, 7, 3, 1 for each 10 year age group.16 Confidence intervals for the age adjusted rates were calculated according to the method proposed by Breslow and Day.17  

STATISTICS

The incidence analysis was carried out using the Poisson model weighted with the reciprocal of the variances. Group comparisons were performed with the Wilcoxon rank sum test or Kruskal-Wallis test. The distributions of categorical data were compared with the $\chi^2$ or Fisher’s exact test. Data analysis was performed with SAS software.18

Results

INCIDENCE

From 1988 to 1990, 1291 cases of IBD were recorded. There were 674 (52%) Crohn’s disease, 466 (36%) ulcerative colitis including 162 ulcerative proctitis (35% of ulcerative colitis) and 151 (12%) unclassifiable chronic colitis. Among the 674 Crohn’s disease, 242 (36%) were definite and 432 (64%) probable. Among the 466 ulcerative colitis, 332 (71%) were definite and 134 (29%) probable. The mean annual incidence was 4.9 per 100 000 for Crohn’s disease and 3.2 for ulcerative colitis. The incidence rates of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis were the same for each of the three years.

Table 1 shows the annual incidence of IBD by sex and Departement of residence. Crohn’s disease incidence in the female population was significantly higher (sex ratio=1.3; p<0.001). In contrast, ulcerative colitis incidence in the female population was significantly lower (sex ratio=0.8; p<0.01).

The highest age specific incidence rate for Crohn’s disease was between 20 and 29 years in both sexes: 13-1 for women and 9-8 for men (Fig 2). The highest age specific incidence rate of ulcerative colitis was between 20 and 39 years in both sexes: 5-5 for women and 6-5 for men (Fig 3). There were no significant peaks in the seventh or in the eighth decade of life in both Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis.

MANIFESTATIONS OF DISEASE

The median age at the time of the onset of clinical diagnosis was significantly higher for ulcerative colitis (34-3 years) than for Crohn’s disease (26-5; p=0.001). The interval from onset of symptoms to diagnosis between Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis was significantly different (p<0.02). Crohn’s disease diagnosis was made in 49% of cases before the fourth month and in 22% after the first year. Ulcerative colitis diagnosis was made earlier: 55% before the fourth month and only 14% after the first year. Seventy seven per cent of IBD (71% of Crohn’s disease and 87% of ulcerative colitis) were diagnosed and recorded by private gastroenterologists, the remainder being diagnosed by gastroenterologists in hospital practice.

In Crohn’s disease, the ileum was involved in three quarters of the patients. Seventy three (11%) patients had an isolated small bowel involvement; 131 (19%) large bowel only; 325 (48%) combined small and large bowel; and in 22% of the patients, examination of the small

\[ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \text{Nord} & \text{Pas de Calais} & \text{Somme} & \text{Total} \\ \hline \text{Male} & 123 & 122 & 129 & 474 \\ \text{Female} & 204 & 51 & 75 & 330 \\ \hline \end{array} \]
bowel was not available. Twenty per cent of the patients had perineal lesions. This pattern of distribution was found to be equal in both sexes. Patients with small and large bowel involvement were significantly younger than the other groups (median age: 23·8 in small and large bowel vs 27 in small bowel and 28 in large bowel; p=0·001).

In ulcerative colitis, 35% of the patients had an ulcerative proctitis, 45% a left ulcerative colitis, 10% a pancolitis, and in 10%, the examination of the large bowel was not complete. Patients with ulcerative proctitis were significantly younger (median age: 31·9 in ulcerative proctitis vs 35·7 in ulcerative colitis; p=0·01). The median interval from onset of the symptoms to the diagnosis was the same whatever the localisation of the ulcerative colitis.

Discussion

This prospective study has shown a higher annual incidence of Crohn's disease (4·9 per 100 000) in northern France than of ulcerative colitis, which was registered simultaneously (3·2 per 100 000).

Whereas Crohn’s disease incidence rate, in our region, was comparable with those from other studies, ulcerative colitis incidence rate was somewhat lower (Table II). Thus, in contrast with other population based studies, the ulcerative colitis/Crohn's disease ratio was 0·65. We think that this ratio is a valid one and not secondary to an under-registration of ulcerative colitis for the following reasons.

Our region was suitable for such a study because: (a) it included 4 514 055 inhabitants, permitting the collection of a sufficient number of IBD cases over three years; (b) this population was stable in a well defined geographical area (emigration rates were 0·8 for Nord, 0·9 for Pas de Calais, and 1·2 for Somme); (c) people in this region rarely receive medical care elsewhere (between 2·2 and 8% in our study); (d) because of its location in the north of Europe, this region was considered to be in an exposed area for IBD.

Our methodology was specifically tailored for the French Medical Care System, which is a mixture of private and public practice. Our study was not feasible without including all private gastroenterologists who represent 86% of all the gastroenterologists of the region. This study would have been biased if only hospital based. Accordingly the main hypothesis of our study design was that all patients with IBD consult a gastroenterologist. This assumption was based on the fact that in France only gastroenterologists perform endoscopies. As a consequence general practitioners refer suspected cases of IBD exclusively to gastroenterologists. The validity of our assumption was confirmed by a validation study, which established the completeness of our case collection through the gastroenterologists. In a 10% population sample (Somme Department), a one year validation study showed that the completeness of case ascertainment by referral to gastroenterologists, was 96·5%. Moreover the incidence of ulcerative colitis was found identically low in this sample despite the fact that all general practitioners and specialists of the area were included in the registration system. Indeed, the participation of private gastroenterologists was likely to be excellent in the entire study population, because 87% of all incident ulcerative colitis were notified by private gastroenterologists and 13% by gastroenterologists in hospital practice. Ulcerative colitis incidence was lower than that of Crohn's disease during each of the three years and in each of the three Departments.

The diagnosis criteria adhered to, including clinical history, radiological, endoscopic, and histological findings, were similar to those advocated by other authors,7 10 22 including Lennard-Jones, which have recently been shown to be sufficient to ensure a high degree of accuracy in diagnosis.23

Our figures were calculated based only on definite and probable IBD cases according to our criteria. This could potentially result in an under-registration of incident cases. We thought, however, that including all possible cases would have led to an overestimation of incident cases. Only a follow up of possible cases will permit the calculation of the proportion of actual total IBD incident cases.

The proportion of ulcerative proctitis among incident cases of ulcerative colitis, is considered to be a good marker of the completeness of the ulcerative colitis collection.24 In our study, 35% of ulcerative colitis were ulcerative proctitis. This proportion is within the range (25–50%) of those published in most population based studies reporting a higher ulcerative colitis incidence.5 10 25 26 Sonnenberg et al have established an international correlation between Crohn’s disease

---

**Table II: Incidence of ulcerative colitis in various geographical areas of the world**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>Incidence per 100 000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1970-84</td>
<td>1·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1985-90</td>
<td>3·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1975-79</td>
<td>4·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>1977-81</td>
<td>6·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1962-78</td>
<td>8·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1965-85</td>
<td>10·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1960-79</td>
<td>13·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>1984-85</td>
<td>14·8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All were population based studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>Incidence per 100,000 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1975-85</td>
<td>2.3 Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1986-87</td>
<td>2.7 Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>1976-80</td>
<td>3.5 Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1978-82</td>
<td>3.9 Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>1979-83</td>
<td>3.9 Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1980-89</td>
<td>3.9 Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1979-87</td>
<td>4.1 Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>1990-91</td>
<td>4.5 Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1987-90</td>
<td>4.9 Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1988-90</td>
<td>4.9 Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>1984-85</td>
<td>5.3 Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>1983-86</td>
<td>5.8 Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1965-83</td>
<td>6.1 Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1976-80</td>
<td>6.1 Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>1981-85</td>
<td>6.7 Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1955-85</td>
<td>5.4 Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>1955-85</td>
<td>9.8 Population</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no significant peaks in the seventh or eighth decade of life in Crohn’s disease, as reported in some recent studies. The ileum was the most common location (59%) 21-39, 41 and 48% of the patients had both large and small bowel involvement. Patients with only large bowel involvement were significantly older than those with small and large bowel involvement as reported in a study from Derby. 42

In Crohn’s disease, population-based studies from England and north Europe disclosed results comparable with ours (Table III). Some hospital based studies reported lower incidence rates. 43-46,49 As only 29% of Crohn’s disease cases in our study were recorded by hospitals, it is our opinion that the main reason for the difference between other studies and ours is their design. Similarly, for ulcerative colitis, some studies excluded cases of ulcerative proctitis, and others did not distinguish between ulcerative proctitis and extensive colitis. 10,51

Further specific studies are needed to discover those factors responsible for a lower ulcerative colitis incidence in northern France.

Incidence of Crohn’s disease in various geographical areas of the world

and ulcerative colitis death rates. In contrast with other countries, in this study, the death rate of ulcerative colitis was lower than that of Crohn’s disease in France. 27,28 Finally we also registered patients with a case history of colitis dating less than six weeks. One hundred and four such cases of acute colitis were diagnosed in 1988 and were followed up for three years in Nord and Pas de Calais Departments. Among these 104 acute colitis, 21 had to be reclassified as ulcerative colitis later in the disease course. By including these new cases, ulcerative colitis incidence rate increased for 1988 from 3.3 to 3.9, but remained lower than the Crohn’s disease incidence rate. 29

As active smoking decreases the risk of developing ulcerative colitis, 30-33 high cigarette smoking could explain this low ulcerative colitis incidence. In another international epidemiological study of risk factors for myocardial infarction (Monica study) smoking habits in northern France were evaluated. 34 The frequency of regular smokers in northern France (39%) was higher than in northern Sweden (24%) and in Goteborg (32%), but was lower in the Paris region (52%). In areas in which ulcerative colitis incidence were reported as being higher than Crohn’s disease incidence.

The low ulcerative colitis incidence could be related to a different genetic background in the northern France population. Shanahan et al have shown a significantly increased frequency of positive p-anti-neutrophil cytoplasm antibodies (p-ANCA) among unaffected relatives of patients with ulcerative colitis compared with controls. 35 They have proposed that this subclinical marker could point to a genetic susceptibility to ulcerative colitis. In our region, no p-ANCA were found in the first degree relatives of patients with ulcerative colitis, suggesting a different genetic background in our population. 36

Main epidemiological data in our population are in accordance with those previously published in studies from Europe and North America. For Crohn’s disease, the age distribution and sex ratio of our study were similar to those of other studies with a peak incidence between 20 and 29 years of age and a slight increase of incidence in women. 4,8
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