LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Towards safer endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)

EDITOR,—Diagnostic and therapeutic ERCPs are the most dangerous procedures regularly performed in gastroenterology. It is known that major breaks in communication have occurred in many ERCP deaths. Our recent editorial,

E Westerveld, P Cotton, E Westerveld, J Alexander, and P Cotton (2004) identified some technical problems and offered solutions. However, it is clear that further progress is needed. We are therefore pleased to see the recent review by E Westerveld, P Cotton, E Westerveld, J Alexander, and P Cotton (2004)

The first problem is knowing what published figures mean. Bad outcomes cannot be discussed, studied, or minimised, without clear definitions. Suggested definitions for complications (and severity) were made recently after a conference involving 25 experts in ERCP. Reported data vary according to the completeness of their collection. Most retrospective (certainly multicenter) studies minimise the problems, and prospective analyses always show higher rates. Another reason is that the risk of a bad outcome is certainly influenced by the severity of the patient’s presenting illness and burden of concomitant diseases. We cannot assess our results, or compare them with others, without being able to describe the risk factor spectrum of our patient material. Furthermore, the significance of any risk of complication must be judged against the available alternative techniques in that specific clinical context.

Pancreatitis is the commonest complication of ERCP. Thornton and Axon give it only a few lines, stating that ‘clinically significant’ pancreatitis occurs in only about 2% of procedures. It depends what you mean by ‘clinically significant’. Much higher figures have been published. We are still seeing this complication with distressing frequency at Duke University Medical Center. In a strict prospective computer-based study using agreed definitions, we have recorded a total of 160 complications in 2000 ERCP procedures performed over the last three years. One hundred and thirty-two were pancreatic complications: 53% (78%) most cases (55%) were graded as mild (less than three days in hospital). Sphincter of Oddi manometry carries a 12% pancreatitis rate at this institution.

The whole problem of pancreatitis after ERCP has been discussed exhaustively by Sherman and Lehman recently in an important review article, with 181 references. Unfortunately, there have been no major breakthroughs in understanding or prevention. The hope that non-ionic contrast materials might be safer has not been realised in a large randomised controlled trial. Many studies have failed to show any protective value of drugs of given before ERCP; the latest showed that prophylactic somatostatin actually increased the risk of pancreatitis. It is surprising that the leading article has nothing to say about training, as emphasised by my colleagues in the accompanying letter. Although difficult to prove, it is probable that quality training and substantial ongoing experience have some effect on the results of our interventions. Indeed, there is a danger that widespread application of these potentially dangerous techniques in inexperienced hands will cause them to fall into disrepute.

P B COTTON
Division of Gastroenterology,
Duke University Medical Center,
Durham, North Carolina 27710,
USA


Letters

Location of superoxide anion in the human colonic mucosa

EDITOR,—We read with interest the article by Ohshita et al (Gut 1993; 34: 936–8) regarding the location of superoxide anion generation in the human colonic mucosa. We have some concern about the interpretation and the significance of the data, which is probably led the authors to characterise superoxide as the oxygen radical generated in the colonic mucosa especially in ulcerative colitis. The methodological approach used in the study was based on the morphological evaluation of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) reduction by endothelial, epithelial, and infiltrating monocellular cells in the colonic mucosa. Undoubtedly, NBT is reduced by superoxide anion; however, the reduction of NBT is not so specific, and other molecules can favour its reduction in the cell environment. Accordingly, xanthine oxidase (which is localised in the endothelium) readily reduces NBT also by a superoxide-independent way, which is probably related to a direct NBT electronic transfer with a bypass of the superoxide forming enzyme flavin centre. Furthermore, cell detachment enzyme systems may also reduce NBT physiologically, so that morphological techniques based on tetrazolium dyes reduction-precipitation have been largely used to quantify myocellular infarct size, because necrosis areas lack of dehydrogenase activity and therefore fail to reduce NBT and to stain. There is evidence that the spontaneous reduction of NBT mediated by tissue homogenates can be inhibited by iron and copper chelators, as well as by mitochondrial electron transport chain blockers, thus pointing to a role for transition metals and mitochondria in tissue NBT reduction. In this context, it is noteworthy that the radicals generated by inflammatory cells may increase tissue ‘free’ iron and copper concentrations, as a result of ferritin iron mobilisation.

P S JOWELL
J BAILLIE
Division of Gastroenterology,
Duke University Medical Center,
Durham, NC 27710, USA


Reply

EDITOR,—We are grateful to our colleagues from Duke University for drawing attention to our leading article. We agree with the points that they have made. We concentrated mainly on the areas in ERCP where innovations have led to greater safety and as Dr Cotton points out few advances have been made recently where pancreatitis occurs after ERCP.

The question of endoscopy training is of particular relevance in preventing complications, not just in ERCP but in other forms of endoscopy too and our colleagues are correct in drawing attention to this. Regularisation training in endoscopy in the United Kingdom are at present non-existent and although the British Society of Gastroenterology has made recommendations, the Royal Colleges and the Joint Committees for Higher Medical and Surgical training do not insist either on certification of endoscopists or accreditation of endoscopy units for training purposes. We understand that recent moves have been made to radicalise the control of endoscopy units, and we look forward to our colleagues for training purposes and that BSG guidelines with some modification are probably the criteria that will be used in the accreditation process. If this does happen then it is to be welcomed. It is unlikely that endoscopists in the UK will have to undergo a certification or recertification procedure as in the United States, but training in endoscopy is on the agenda of committees in the European Community and it may be that the future lead to legislation that will restrict endoscopy to those who have received suitable training.

A AXON
J THORNTON
The Centre for Digestive Disease, The General Infirmary at Leeds, Great George Street, Leeds LS1 3EX
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