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Abstract
Early diagnosis of colorectal cancer may be delayed by the wide prevalence of gastrointestinal symptoms in the general population. This study assessed, with respect to age, the frequency of gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with colorectal carcinoma in comparison with community controls and also compared the frequency of such symptoms between ‘young’ (under 70 years) and ‘old’ (70 year or over) subjects. Two hundred and seventy three consecutive unselected colorectal cancer patients and 273 age and sex matched community controls were interviewed in a structured manner. Among controls, the ‘old’ group compared with the ‘young’ reported abdominal pain (p<0.05), mucous discharge (p<0.01), faecal incontinence (p<0.05), change in flatus production (p<0.05) significantly more often. There were no significant differences in regularity and frequency of bowel habit by age group. All the symptoms considered were significantly more common in colorectal cancer cases than controls (except abdominal bloating), but the association was less strong in the ‘old’ group. This study confirms that symptoms attributable to the lower gastrointestinal tract are reported by a clinically important number of community subjects and by a significantly higher proportion of elderly people.

(Gut 1994; 35: 1267–1270)

Gastrointestinal symptoms are widely prevalent in the general population,\(^1\)\(^2\) thus leading to difficulty in the diagnosis of specific disease. Although there is an increasing amount of published reports examining symptom complexes such as dyspepsia\(^3\)\(^4\) and the irritable bowel syndrome,\(^5\)\(^6\) less attention has been paid to gastrointestinal symptoms in older people where serious organic abnormality is more prevalent. Subjective constipation, for example, is widely held to be more common in the elderly, with estimates of self reported constipation varying from 23 to 34%.\(^7\)\(^8\) Actual frequency of defecation less than thrice weekly, however, was found in only 2\% of men and 7\% of women aged 62–90.\(^9\) Other lower gastrointestinal symptoms are less well reported in the elderly.

Colorectal carcinoma, the second commonest malignancy in the United Kingdom, is predominantly a disease of advancing age with the risk of developing the condition doubling with each decade.\(^10\)\(^11\) Overall survival at five years is no better than 40% principally because of the advanced stage of disease at presentation\(^12\) and substantial numbers of patients still present as emergencies with an associated increased in hospital mortality.\(^13\) There may be considerable delay from symptom onset to treatment, previously estimated as 7.5 and 9 months for colonic and rectal cancer respectively.\(^14\) Despite recent data showing decreased delay,\(^15\) it remains unclear whether such decrements will have any effect on overall survival rates.\(^16\)\(^17\) It is possible that the delay in diagnosis and treatment is a consequence of the common occurrence of colorectal symptoms in the general population: 47\% and 27\% of women and men respectively aged 25–69,\(^18\) thus leading subjects to ignore early symptoms that may point to the presence of carcinoma.

Information about the frequency of lower bowel symptoms in those aged 70 years or more is sparse. We hypothesised that such symptoms may be more common in the ‘healthy’ old than the ‘healthy’ young. Furthermore, we speculated that this might lead to a loss of symptom specificity for serious organic disease (such as colorectal cancer) in the elderly.

The aims of this study, therefore, were to assess with respect to age, the frequency of gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with colorectal carcinoma in comparison with community controls, and to compare the frequency of these symptoms between ‘young’ (under age 70 years) and ‘old’ (70 years or over) community controls and ‘young’ and ‘old’ patients presenting with colorectal cancer.

Methods

SUBJECTS

Colorectal cancer cases
Consecutive unselected patients with a histological diagnosis of colorectal adenocarcinoma who presented to the hospitals of Gateshead and Newcastle Health Districts in the year commencing October 1989 and resident within Newcastle, Gateshead or the adjacent counties of Tyne and Wear or Northumberland and were eligible for inclusion in the study. Patients were identified by daily contact with the resident surgical officers of the four participating hospitals and twice weekly
inspection of histology records and surgical admission lists. All patients were interviewed in hospital by one observer (RC), preoperatively wherever possible, always within 14 days of histological diagnosis. Patients with a previous diagnosis of colorectal adenoma or carcinoma, known colitis, non-whites, and those dying before interview could take place were excluded.

Controls
Sex and age (+/- 2 years) matched unrelated controls who were adjacent on the general practitioners' age/sex register to each colorectal cancer propositus were identified. Permission to write to them was obtained from their general practitioner. Controls who consented to participate were visited at home and interviewed by the same observer (RC). If a control subject declined to participate, they could not be contacted after three visits at different times of day or the GP advised against approaching them, the next suitably matched control from the GP register was then approached. Controls were excluded if they had known colorectal adenoma, carcinoma or inflammatory bowel disease.

DATA COLLECTION

Questionnaire
A structured questionnaire was given verbally to both patients and controls by the same observer (RC) and recorded in a standard manner on a specifically designed proforma (questionnaire available from the authors). Data were collected on 21 gastrointestinal symptoms during the previous year by the use of direct closed questions. Questions were put in every day language and positive responses triggered further questions about the frequency and duration of those symptoms. All symptoms were defined in a standard manner. Data concerning the use of proprietary and non-proprietary laxatives and antacids in the preceding 12 months were recorded.

DATA ANALYSIS AND STATISTICS
Responses were coded by a standard format on a proforma especially designed for transfer to the Northumbrian University's Multiple Access Computer (NUMAC). Computer instructions were written to read raw data and create a system file using SPSSX (SPSSX Batch system, SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Verification procedures were carried out to ensure that there had been accurate transcription of the data.

Subjects were divided by age into those under 70 years (‘young’) and those 70 years or above (‘old’). Discrete variables were analysed using the $x^2$ test with Yates's correction where appropriate. The odds ratio (OR) was also calculated as a further measure of association, and thus symptoms with an OR greater than one were more likely to be reported by colorectal cancer cases than controls. A local programme was developed for the calculation of odds ratios which was written by JMF.

The study was approved by both Newcastle and Gateshead ethical and local medical committees.

Results
Two hundred and ninety two cases were admitted to hospital during the study period of whom 19 were excluded from the study: 12 were too ill to be interviewed upon admission and died shortly afterwards, four lived outwith the area, two refused consent, and in one patient the diagnosis was not clear until after discharge. Three hundred and thirty five potential controls were identified. A total of 81·5% of ‘first choice’ controls agreed to interview, 62 ‘first choice’ controls could not be interviewed: 43 refused, six could not be contacted, six had moved outwith the area, three had died and, in four the general practitioner advised against contact. Demographic details of the cases and controls included in the analysis are presented (Table I). One hundred and twenty three cases (45%) and 125 controls (46%) were aged 70 years or greater and 56% were men. The median age of cases and controls was 68 years (range 25–93).

COMPARISON OF BOWEL SYMPTOMS IN COMMUNITY CONTROLS BY AGE
Among community controls, the ‘old’ group v the ‘young’ group reported abdominal pain (>6 episodes) (14 (11·2%) v 6 (4·0%); $p<0·05$); mucus discharge per rectum (13 (10·4%) v 3 (2·0%); $p<0·01$); faecal incontinence (8 (6·4%) v 0 (0%); $p<0·05$); and change in flatus production (21 (16·8%) v 12 (8·1%); $p<0·05$), significantly more often. Furthermore, this group were also significantly more likely to respond positively to questions about change in energy (malaise), (40 (32·0%) v 25 (16·9%); $p<0·05$); appetite (anorexia) (14 (11·2%) v 5 (3·4%); $p<0·05$), and abdominal swelling or fullness (bloating) (28 (22·4%) v 17 (11·5%); $p<0·05$). The ‘old’ group tended to report the following symptoms more often: tenesmus (14 (11·2%) v 7 (4·7%); NS); change in bowel habit (4 (3·2%) v 0 (0%); NS), and subjective weight loss (14 (11·2%) v 8 (5·4%); NS). Rectal bleeding was the only symptom less often reported by ‘old’ controls although this did not reach statistical
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Percentage of community controls <70 years and >70 years claiming different frequencies of defecation.

COMPARISON OF BOWEL HABIT IN COMMUNITY CONTROLS BY AGE

There were no significant differences between age groups in the reported frequency of bowel habit (Figure). Only 3.5% and 1% of ‘young’ and ‘old’ control subjects respectively moved their bowels more than thrice daily or less than twice weekly. Similarly, there were no significant differences by age group reported in terms of the regularity of bowel habit in the preceding 12 months; 93.9% and 86.4% of ‘young’ and ‘old’ reported a regular bowel habit, 6.1% and 10.5% reported longstanding irregularity and 0% and 3.2% reported a change of bowel habit in the last year. Although ‘old’ controls reported straining at stool regularly or sometimes more often than their ‘young’ counterparts (37% vs 17%; p<0.001) there were no differences reported in the nature of the stool (loose, formed or hard) or in the frequency of painful defecation. ‘Old’ subjects were significantly more likely to be users of a laxative preparation within the last year (32.8% vs 10.8%; p<0.001).

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Young Cases (n = 150)</th>
<th>Young Controls (n = 148)</th>
<th>OR (CI)</th>
<th>Old Cases (n = 123)</th>
<th>Old Controls (n = 125)</th>
<th>OR (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in bowel habit</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.18 (1.19-13.04)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.04 (2.02-18.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.79 (1.04-7.25)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.3 (4.0-13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecal incontinence</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.23 (1.15-9.24)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.4 (1.5-7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenesmus</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.67 (0.84-3.31)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.6 (1.3-5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucus per rectum</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.64 (1.10-6.32)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.5 (1.2-5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal bleeding</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.9 (5.8-16.7)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.8 (3.0-11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in flatus</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.9 (5.4-18.1)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.6 (1.3-14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.2 (7.0-33.0)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.2 (5.0-16.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.3 (7.9-29.6)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.4 (4.0-13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloating</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.4 (3.6-11.2)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9-2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaise</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.0 (1.8-5.1)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.0 (1.2-3.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*Estimated OR when cell = 0).
bedevilled by problems of definition\textsuperscript{22} and recall bias.\textsuperscript{23} Furthermore, many patients who regard themselves as constipated have defecation frequencies regarded as within the normal range.\textsuperscript{24} None the less, both longitudinal\textsuperscript{25} and cross sectional\textsuperscript{26}\textsuperscript{27} studies consistently suggest that self-report constipation and laxative use increase with ageing. To overcome problems of definition, we asked subjects about the regularity and frequency of defecation and about change in normal bowel habit within the last year. No age effect among elderly controls compared with their younger counterparts was detected, the distribution of defecation frequencies being very similar to that reported elsewhere.\textsuperscript{7} 9 24 27--30 The increased rate of laxative use reported by our elderly controls despite similar defecation frequencies is a consistent finding in published reports.\textsuperscript{7} 9 24 25 28 30 Although this is not a prevalence study, it is reassuring that reported rates of gastrointestinal complaints are largely comparable with other series.

The main aim of this study was to compare symptoms in colorectal cancer cases to age and sex matched community controls with similar geographical and socioeconomic backgrounds. Cases and controls were well matched on these variables. Selection of a suitable control group is always problematic. Virtually all cases were interviewed in hospital and knew themselves to be suffering with a 'bowel' illness whereas controls were seen at home, which may introduce a recall bias. We elected to use community rather than hospital controls, because we felt the second group may well be suffering from other gastrointestinal diseases (for example, alcohol or drug related).

This study has shown, for both young and older groups, significantly higher odds ratios for 10 of 11 symptoms listed (abdominal bloating is the exception) in colorectal cancer cases compared with controls. The usefulness of the odds ratio is that it gives an indication of the strength of an association in addition to its purely statistical significance, but in clinical practice a symptom with an odds ratio of say two or three is probably of less use in guiding a patient or family doctor in their consultation behaviour than one whose odds ratio is 10 or 20. These data would suggest that the following symptoms may carry most weight in determining the odds of there being a colorectal cancer in subjects over 70 years: change in bowel habit, anorexia, weight loss, abdominal pain, rectal bleeding. The other symptoms with odds ratios of between two and three are much less likely to be discriminatory. Furthermore, for each symptom listed the size of the odds ratio for the young and old groups is smaller in the second group, emphasising the enhanced difficulty in using symptoms to guide diagnosis in patients over 70 years. It is unclear to what extent such difficulties lead to the excess delay in diagnosis in elderly patients reported in some\textsuperscript{31} but not all studies.\textsuperscript{32} 33
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